

EC-2025-0165

I respectfully ask that the Commission and Regulatory Judge look into possibly granting the Complainant a Judicial Review of EC-2023-0395 and the surrounding "pending payment agreement" or "payment agreement" that Ameren Missouri sent to Mr. Felber.

EC-2023-0395 and EC-2025-0165 share common disagreements and implementation of Payment and Settlement Agreements.

Ameren Missouri ultimately in EC-2023-0395 and EC-2025-0165 violated payment agreement & settlement policies. In fact, EC-2023-0395, was a matter in which Ameren Missouri not only agreed with Commission staff to change their "pending payment agreement," "payment agreement" policy language, only to commit the same mistake a year and a half later, to the same party. They committed a "pending payment," or "payment agreement" policy violation against the same complainant.

Mr. Felber had his reasons for putting a watermark on the actual payment agreement submitted in EC-2023-0395. At the time and as Ameren has shown, they are not only a danger to the Complainant, but also the Community. If Mr. Felber would have taken the watermark out of the payment agreement showing the actual due date, amount and agreement terms, Ameren Missouri would have simply altered the agreement, made a counterfeit agreement, manipulate the agreement, destroy the agreement, forge the agreement.

In fact, Ameren did submit a counterfeit document. A counterfeit document that had bogus PAG terms and a bogus email address of www2.ameren.com

I ask the Commission upon any decision to make in honoring or granting Mr. Felber's Judicial Review request, look at the four agreements that have been submitted. Three are actual payment agreement copies and one is a counterfeit.

All of Mr. Felber's agreements show the actual email address and proper terms of the labeled agreements. Ameren's agreement is a "typed up" agreement from their "senior software engineer" who they named as Aubrey Krcmar. Aubrey Krcmar is the one that typed up that document, with the assistance of her counsel. It is a counterfeit, forged, reproduced, and not an actual agreement.

Mr. Felber didn't get a fair trial in EC-2023-0395 and it shows that despite Ameren changing their "policies" again, they still managed to again, violate the terms of the Settlement's and Payment Agreements policy, again in EC-2025-0165 when they illegally terminated, canceled, modified, forged, altered Mr. Felber's CWR payment due November 20, 2024, to the amount of \$ [REDACTED] in a matter of minutes in emails sent four to five minutes apart.

Plus, 2025-0165 aggravated, problems that Ameren Missouri and the Complainant have had in the past, such as EC-2023-0395 over payment agreements.

It would also only be fair and just to the Complainant and deemed proper by the Commission. As Ameren Missouri cannot be trusted on their words. Every testimonial statement given by the Respondents so called "witness" was a lie. Which they lied under oath during their evidentiary hearing. The entire evidentiary hearing.

If the Commission doesn't want to grant a Judicial Review I understand as well, however, I hope that the Commission clearly see's what Ameren Missouri has essentially done once again to Mr. Felber.

Which, essentially once again, manipulated, altered, produced, forge a different agreement, or a disconnect notice, prior to the Complainant being at default of the agreement and changing the amount of \$ [REDACTED] to \$ [REDACTED] within a matter of minutes. The respondent did that again.

I had and have no reason to lie to the Commission. It is front of them on paper once again, to show, who the manipulator counterfeit, forgery, destroy, alteration, deceptive and deceiving party is and it is the party that essentially sent separate email apart from one another on November 20, 2024. One reminding them of \$ [REDACTED] due and the next email at 7:08 AM terminated Mr. Felber's "pending payment agreement," "payment agreement," "and now asking for \$ [REDACTED].

Respondent clearly fooled the Complainant and the Commission once and essentially tried to fool the Complainant and the Commission again.

Ameren Missouri violated their Settlement & Payment Agreement polices back in 2023 and their new implemented policies in 2024.

Another thing, if the Commission doesn't want to grant Complainants Judicial Review I respectfully ask that the Commission open their own investigation into Ameren, internally. If you want to find the actual answers, ask Ameren Missouri to hand over their computers and servers in Jefferson City and their prior counsel and co-counsels computers. Of course, servers as well. You will find everything you need there.

Ameren Missouri is going to continue these same repeated violations until they are fined as a result of it.

So I respectfully ask that if the Commission doesn't want to grant a Judicial Review, then please open your own investigation into Ameren Missouri independently.

Brett Felber
11/26/2024

[REDACTED]