

EC-2025-0165

I cannot also guarantee the Commission that on January 23, 2025, I bring this up during my allocated time to speak during the proposed rate hike hearing.

What the Commission allowed Ameren to do, was sick and twisted. More importantly, what Ameren did themselves is sick and twisted and it takes a Con Artist to manipulate the exact Commission rules and swap them and alter them to what you think they should state.

Technically to date, regardless of the Report and Order in EC-2023-0395, and regardless of the “pending” matter in front of the Commission for EC-2025-0165, I cannot guarantee anyone that I don’t take legal action against them.

As it stands by Commission rule, regulations 20CSR 4240-13.060, Ameren Missouri is still in breach of the agreement for May 22, 2023, and they decided to distribute a counterfeit document, more importantly a disconnect letter shouldn’t have been remotely issued if the “payment agreement” until after May 23, 2023.

The word “pending” never existed, was never of existence and still doesn’t exist. The Commission allowed Ameren to manipulate the word “pending” a take advantage of people that don’t study the rules, regulations and tariff, or Missouri State Statutes.

The Commission allowed Ameren to use their word “pending” to F me out of the hard earned money I worked hard to make. The Commission allowed Ameren to destroy my life with an illegal disconnection of service for 8 months despite pleas, and well, what do you know , an illegal disconnection.

Put two and two together and read the Staff report on the violation of failing to send out a disconnect letter and not abiding by the settlement agreement and payment agreement.

I have four years to seek legal recourse against anyone who participated in helping Ameren craft a counterfeit document, with an email address of www2.ameren.com and for anyone that allowed them to breach the settlement agreement defined in 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

There is no promulgate rulemaking that I have to wait either. I can file for legal recourse at any time, if Ameren chooses not to reimburse me for all the damages, in recourse of the illegal disconnection in EC-2023-0395, and whatever recourse I am free to choose in EC-2025-0165.

I did not deserve to be lied to but further defrauded or deceived out of my hard earned money.

Not only did the Commission and Ameren lie to me personally, but you also lied to my wife, and my children.

If you are trying to teach or show responsibility or setting an example of how things are done, you all did a poor job and should be ashamed of yourselves.

What the Commission did was they taught Ameren it was okay to lie about the Commission rule, regulation and tariff and allowed them to alter the definitions true wording, and alter it to something they wanted it to state, to take advantage of.

The Commission and Ameren took advantage and saw an opportunity to take advantage of an individual who doesn't study law, nor read every word in the rules, regulations and tariffs.

However, after the constant and continued harassment by Ameren Missouri and the trending pattern of altering and swapping words, I decided to further internally investigate Ameren.

I noticed a pattern of sentence structure shifting, changing words, swapping them out to words that give them advantage. Such as they did with Commission rule, regulations and tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060. Altering.

To be in the same exact instance as the last matter over settlement agreements and payment agreements is very unique. More importantly showed a pattern Ameren Missouri continued to lie. There was no change by Ameren.

Instead they continued to add that word "pending" in front of the word payment agreement, so I decided to deep dive the Commission rule and regulations.

There is no argument here. It is a material fact. My agreements are both settlement agreements and payment agreements . Just as defined in 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

Ameren Missouri eventually met their maker, that found the exact words, and the words were in front of me the whole time.

I am simply exhausted and tired of the lies. I'm tired of the bs delusional stories that the Commission allowed Ameren to get away with. Obviously the counterfeit document with an invalid email address of www2.ameren.com.

As practicing Attorneys, you should be ashamed of yourselves, that's attorney misconduct. I cannot guarantee that I take this information and file individual reports with the Missouri Chief Disciplinary Counsel. If that ticks you off, so

be it. Maybe it will make you think twice, instead of deceiving, committing fraud and ignoring Commission rule and regulation 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

I am owed a personal apology from all of you. However, judging by the lack of response or remorse, I don't expect that.

However, if the Commission and Ameren want forgiveness, denial is not the way to that forgiveness and further lying to cover up the first lie, doesn't make it any better.

If there's anything that I've learned from all my years in the data recovery industry. We detect and uncover the lies that rogue and abusive lawyers try to hide, delete, modify, etc. We study the word structure, sentence structure, intentional vagueness of the documents.

We thoroughly examine them with a process that goes through a rigorous examination that attorneys just don't have the power to see, or use.

As a data recovery consultant and an IT consultant, we have the powers to get our hands on every document, legally allowed to by law. We get our hands on any document that someone tries to hide or delete. Yes, we have powers like lawyers think they have too. Only in the data industry.

I'll admit, sometimes I play stupid. I do that on purpose, therefore I can watch other attorneys finish the sentence or help the other attorney or Regulatory Judge in this instance reword or verbally alter the word violation.

Prime example in EC-2023-0395, when Judge Clark said twice that Ameren committed a clear violation, not once, but twice, then [REDACTED] helped Judge Clark modify the sentence, change the structure and alter the wording.

Remember, as a data recovery consultant and dealing in IT, we remember all the words that someone states, whether verbally or on paper. We don't get rid of them.

I noticed a trending pattern on Ameren of each "lawyer" having a different and conflicting statement. Such as one admitting to altering and editing, and one stating they didn't alter or edit. The paper trail.

From here on out, if Ameren and the Commission want my respect, you have to earn it. Which means, telling the truth, being honest, no more manipulations of the Commission rules, regulations and tariffs.

We specifically abide by 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Settlement agreement and payment agreement.

The *** kissing stops between the Commission, Staff and Ameren. Ameren admits they are at fault in violation and the Commission admits they too, are in breach of Commission rule, regulation and tariffs 20 CSR 4240-13.060.

I expect Ameren to fulfill both breaches and I expect the Commission to order Ameren to fulfill the both breaches and any money damages, before this matter goes any further legal than it has to.

The Commission and Ameren have left me in a spot I really don't want to have to do, which is further in commencing legal action against both and filing a complaint with the Prosecuting Attorney.

I'm going to follow my business protocol from here on out. I have a rule, one rule. Be honest, upfront and don't lie to me. When you lie, is when I take legal actions. The fact I've given Ameren and the Commission numerous times only to be ghosted is pathetic. Instead I got another bs delusional story, with a sever amount of *** kissing from Staff and Ameren , along with a grave lie.

I honestly don't care about the Staff report at this point, because I believe it will be filled with FOS lies. (I abbreviated the words to be respectful). Because that is all I have gotten from either Judge Clark, Staff and Ameren. FOS lies.

If you believe that 20 CSR 4240-13.060 Settlement agreement and payment agreement states "pending" you need serious mental help and are delusional .

As a reputable data recovery consultant, just remember. You can try to sell your bs, that doesn't mean your bs is true. Just bvecause you are an attorney doesn't make it true either.

Oh and as my time as a data recovery consultant, I've seen many attorney's lose their law license, because of trying to sell bs, delusional stories, defraud individuals, and try to extort them out of more money than due for financial gain.

And no, I don't believe one word that Ameren has told me is true, what Staff has told me is true and what Judge Clark has told me is true. Because if anything they said was true, we wouldn't be here arguing Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-13.060, again.

Now if you don't mind I'm going to excuse myself and attach this with the actual page of Commission rule 20 CSR 4240-13.060, Settlement agreement & payment agreement, therefore Ameren can stare at it with their legal manipulators and regulatory manipulators to find a way to insert the word "pending" into the Commission rule, regulations and tariff.

Just remember Ameren, what you hide, I get. What you hide, I find.

No matter what way you try to spin it, you are just as FOS and you were prior to responding to it. Ameren doesn't even remember yesterday from today, because they are so deep in their FOS lies.

I don't care about the derogatory words, at least I kindly abbreviated them.

Brett Felber

1/7/25