EXHIBIT

1057

Crise No(s). 21 -2004 0034 Date 31-04 Rptr 72

Natural Gas Costs Busch/Direct Public Counsel ER-2004-0034

DIRECT TESTIMONY

Exhibit No.:

Witness/Type of Exhibit:

Sponsoring Party:

Issue(s):

Case No.:

• •

a) (a)

FILED

MAY 0 3 2004

Missouri Public Service Commission

OF

JAMES A. BUSCH

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

AQUILA, INC.

CASE NO. ER-2004-0034

February 27, 2004

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Request of Aquila, Inc., d/b/a Aquila Networks-L&P and Aquila Networks-MPS, to Implement a General Rate Increase in Electric Rates.

Case No. ER-2004-0034

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES A. BUSCH

SS

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	
COUNTY OF COLE)	

James A. Busch, of lawful age and being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is James A. Busch. I am the Public Utility Economist for the Office of the Public Counsel.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my direct testimony consisting of pages 1 through 11 and Schedules JAB-1 through JAB-5.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my statements contained in the attached testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

James A. Busch Subscribed and sworn to me this 27th day of February 200 Bonnie S. Howard, Notary Public



1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
· 2		OF
3		JAMES A. BUSCH
4		CASE NO. ER-2004-0034
5		AQUILA, INC
· 6		d/b/a
7		AQUILA NETWORKS – MPS
8		
9		
10	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
11	А.	My name is James A. Busch and my business address is P. O. Box 2230,
12		Jefferson City, MO 65102.
13	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
14	A.	I am a Public Utility Economist with the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel
15		(Public Counsel).
16	Q.	Please describe your educational and professional background.
17	A.	In June 1993, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Economics from
18		Southern Illinois University at Edwardsville (SIUE), Edwardsville, Illinois. In
19		May 1995, I received a Master of Science degree in Economics, also from SIUE.
20		I am currently a member of the American Economic Association and Omicron
21	0 • •	Delta Epsilon, an honorary economics society. Prior to joining Public Counsel, I
22		worked just over two years with the Missouri Public Service Commission as a
23		Regulatory Economist in the Procurement Analysis Department and worked one
	1	

I

ł

ı

ł

د ،

• u



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

case that is used in the calculation of Aquila's revenue requirement. Following
that discussion, I will briefly describe the gas cost recovery mechanism discussed
by Aquila witness Keith Stamm in his Direct Testimony and provide OPC's
recommendation concerning the gas cost recovery mechanism.

NATURAL GAS PRICE MOVEMENT IN THE PAST YEAR

Q. What happened to the price of natural gas during the year 2003?

A. This past year saw another large price spike for natural gas when the March contract expired at \$9.133 per MMBtu. That price was the second highest monthly expiration ever for a NYMEX natural gas contract, only surpassed by the record price set in January 2001. A late winter cold snap caused storage levels to dwindle to near record lows late in the winter heating season causing the price to spike.

13 Due to these low levels of storage, fears of potential price spikes for this 14 upcoming winter heating season (November 2003 - March 2004) permeated the 15 market, which generally kept prices high throughout the summer. However, due 16 to relatively normal weather during the summer cooling season, record amounts 17 of storage were injected throughout the injection season. This has brought the 18 national storage level to above not only last year's amount, but also above the 19 five-year average entering this heating season. The large amounts of natural gas 20 put into storage this past summer also helped keep prices above normal throughout the heating season. Even though prices remained relatively high, they 21 22 generally fell since reaching a summer high of \$5.945 per MMBtu in June.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

However, with the recent winter weather in the Northeast, natural gas prices have jumped again during the first week of December.

What factors contributed to the decrease in the price of natural gas during most of Q. 2003?

There were many factors that contributed to the decrease in the price of natural A. gas during the year 2003. I will briefly describe some of the more important factors.

As I discussed earlier, the price of natural gas fell over the summer and fall due to record injections of storage during that timeframe. There was fear of potential winter price spikes related to low levels of storage at the beginning of this injection season. Those fears led to higher prices for natural gas in the 12 industry. However, as the injection season went on, record levels of natural gas were injected into storage. Even though there is always the potential for price spikes, one potential cause has been substantially reduced. 14

The second factor was the weather. Generally, this past summer across the United States was near normal as far as temperatures were concerned. With no major, sustained heat waves, there was not a huge increase in demand for natural gas by electric generating facilities.

19 The third factor was the economy. Even though it has recently been 20 reported that the nation's economy has grown at an impressive clip over the third quarter of 2003, there was not a huge increase in industrial demand for natural 21 22 gas. Some of the economic growth can be attributed to the fact that the nation is 23 still recovering from the recession of 2001. Also, the higher price level of natural

. .

I

1		gas itself may have tempered any industrial demand. When the price of natural
2		gas gets too high, many industrial consumers can switch to less expensive fuels.
3		CURRENT CONDITIONS IN THE NATURAL GAS MARKET
4	Q.	What are the current conditions in the natural gas market?
5	A.	Currently, the natural gas market is one month into the five-month winter heating
6		season. Storage levels are above both the five-year average and above last year's
7		storage amount. However, due to the recent winter storm in the Northeast and a
8		cold snap in early December, prices on the NYMEX have spiked recently.
9		Natural gas futures for January delivery on the NYMEX closed at \$6.135 per
10		MMBtu on Friday, December 5, 2003, while the 12-month futures strip for 2004
11		was \$5.1439 per MMBtu.
12	Q.	Do you believe the most recent price run-up is an indication of this winter's
13		prices?
14	A.	No. One of the problems with the futures market is that short-term events cause
15		prices to rise or fall dramatically even though the event is not an indication of
16		future activity. That is, an early winter cold snap does not mean that this winter
17		will bring colder-than-normal weather across the United States. I believe that the
18		market moves as much on fundamentals as it does on the psychology of traders.
19		When the traders see cold weather, they often bid the price of gas up. However, if
20		this winter is colder than normal, prices could stay at present levels or even rise.
21	Q.	Are you alone in your opinion about this winter's natural gas price?
22	А.	No. The Energy Information Administration (EIA) is currently optimistic about
23		the price of natural gas this winter. Attached, as Schedule JAB-2 is a brief press

1 release from EIA from December 8, 2003. In this press release, the EIA indicates 2 that it is predicting a price of natural gas for this winter of between \$4.50 and 3 \$5.00 per MMBtu. Also, on that same Schedule is a brief press release from the American 4 Gas Association (AGA). The AGA echoes the EIA in believing that there will be 5 6 plenty of natural gas in storage for this winter. It does, however, predict that this 7 winter could see volatile price swings. Concerning the recent price increases, Mr. Robert Best, the new Chairman of the AGA is quoted as saving, "it did not make 8 9 much sense for natural gas costs in February to be based on a cold snap in early 10 December." 11 What are current storage levels for natural gas nationwide? Q. 12 The latest EIA report indicated that there is 3.095 Tcf of natural gas in storage. Α. 13 This is 5% above last year's total and 4% above the five-year average. 14 Q. Is this winter forecasted to be warmer or colder-than-normal? 15 Schedule JAB-3, attached to this testimony, is a news story from the NOAA Α. 16 (National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) Climate Prediction Center 17 from November 20, 2003. This winter outlook predicts that warmer-than-normal 18 weather is expected for the South, West, and the entire central United States. 19 However, it also indicates that temperatures could vary, especially in the eastern 20 United States. What does this mean for natural gas prices? 21 0. I think this forecast fits with the previous comments by the EIA and AGA. 22 A. Warmer-than-normal weather, coupled with adequate storage will lead to falling 23

•••

ł

)

1		natural gas prices. However, if this winter has periods of colder-than-normal
2		temperatures, natural gas prices will increase with those swings.
3		LIKELY FUTURE MOVEMENT OF THE PRICE OF NATURAL GAS
4	Q.	What is the outlook for the price of natural gas for the rest of this winter's heating
5		season?
6	A.	I agree with the comments of the AGA and EIA regarding the price of natural gas
7		for this winter. With the relative high level of storage, a normal or warmer-than-
8		normal winter should cause prices to fall this winter. However, the market is
9		extremely sensitive to weather fluctuations. Cold snaps will put a lot of strain on
10		the price of natural gas and fluctuations in price could occur.
11	Q.	What is the outlook for the price of natural gas for the year 2004 and beyond?
12	A.	Assuming a normal winter, storage levels should be relatively strong as the
13		industry leaves the winter heating season and enters the injection season in April.
14		Under this likely scenario, I believe that the price of natural gas should stay
15		mostly in the \$3.50 to \$4.50 range over the foreseeable future.
16	Q.	What is EIA's natural gas price projection for 2004?
17	A.	Attached, as Schedule JAB-4 is EIA's Short-Term Energy Outlook. This outlook
18		provides EIA's projections of various winter fuels. In its November 2003 Short-
19		Term Energy Outlook, the EIA projects the well-head price of natural gas to be
20		\$3.99 per Mcf, where a Mcf is roughly equivalent to an MMBtu. This projection
21		assumes relatively normal weather this winter.

2

3

1

PUBLIC COUNSEL'S RECOMMENDATION

Q. Based on your above discussion, what is Public Counsel's recommendation for the price of natural gas to be imbedded in rates in this case?

4 A. In this case, I believe that the price of natural gas to be used as an input in the 5 calculation of electric generation and purchased power fuel costs should be based on a four-year weighted average of natural gas prices adjusted for any basis 6 differential. The four years that I have utilized to calculate this average are the 7 8 actual settlement prices based on the NYMEX for the three years ended 9 December 2003 and the 12-month futures strip price, January 2004 – December 10 2004. Therefore, the underlying price of natural gas would be \$3.99 per MMBtu 11 as adjusted for the basis differential between Williams Natural Gas Pipeline 12 (WNG) and the NYMEX. Please see Schedule JAB-5 for the calculation of my 13 \$3.99 per MMBtu recommendation.

14 Q. What is basis differential?

15 Α. Basis differential is the price difference between two separate delivery points for 16 natural gas. In this instance, Aquila receives its natural gas supplies off of the 17 WNG pipeline. This pipeline is based primarily in the Mid-continent area (Kansas and Oklahoma). The NYMEX prices are based on the Henry Hub index 18 19 in Louisiana. Since these areas are different, each has its own pricing variations. 20 However, these prices generally move tandem. However, to get a clearer picture 21 of the price that the Company will actually pay for natural gas, the NYMEX 22 prices should be adjusted by the historical price differential between the Henry 23 Hub and the actual location where the Company receives its supplies.

Q. Why did you utilize this type of four-year average as the basis of Public Counsel's
recommendation?

3 I utilized this hybrid approach of historical and future data in recognition of the Α. volatility of the natural gas market. Although the past is important for realizing 4 5 the actual activity of the Company and the market, relying solely on the past may 6 not be a good predictor of future price movements. However, as I discussed 7 earlier, simply picking a date and using the 12-month strip of futures prices for 8 natural gas prices lacks reliability. I believe that combining the past with the 9 future provides a better basis for establishing the price level for natural gas that 10 the Commission should utilize in determining the Company's overall rates.

Q. What is the weighting that you used to help forecast the appropriate level ofnatural gas costs?

A. I weighted each month's average natural gas price by a three-year average of natural gas volumes actually used by Aquila for electric generation for each specific month.

16 Q. On what pricing information is Public Counsel's recommendation based?

A. The pricing information is based on the NYMEX monthly settlement prices for
the months January 2001 – December 2003 and the 12-month futures strip,
January 2004 – December 2004 on November 20, 2003, updated for December's
actual settlement price. The NYMEX prices were utilized because this data is
readily available and an accurate reflection of actual market activity. Attached, as
Schedule JAB-5 is a list of the monthly data that I used to make my
recommendation.

.

.

٠

,

1		GAS COST RECOVERY MECHANISM
2	Q.	In Mr. Stamm's Direct Testimony, he discusses the concept of a gas cost recovery
3		mechanism (GCRM). Could you please describe Aquila's GCRM proposal?
4	А.	Aquila's gas cost recovery mechanism is similar to the Interim Energy Charge
5		(IEC) that was approved by this Commission for Empire in Case No. ER-2001-
6		299. The IEC was possible because it resulted from a Unanimous Stipulation and
7		Agreement among all parties to that proceeding, and thus did not face a legal
8		challenge based upon the prohibition against single-issue ratemaking.
9		Unlike the Empire mechanism, there is no floor under the Aquila plan.
10		This means that if the actual cost for natural gas is below the amount built into
11		rates, Aquila will refund the difference to its customers.
12	Q.	What is the price for natural gas that the Company wants to build into rates if the
13		gas cost recovery mechanism is approved?
14	A.	The Company would want a price of \$5.64 per MMBtu as the ceiling. This
15		means that the customers will pay up to \$5.64 for natural gas as long as this rate is
16		in effect.
17	Q.	What is Public Counsel's opinion on the proposed gas cost recovery mechanism?
18	А.	Public Counsel does not support the concept of a gas cost recovery mechanism for
19		this Company at this time. I will address these concerns in my Rebuttal
20		Testimony that will be filed in January 2004 in this proceeding.
21	Q.	Did Public Counsel support Empire's IEC?
22	А.	Yes. When Empire's IEC was initially created, Public Counsel supported the
23		Stipulation and Agreement that included the IEC at that time in recognition of the

conditions in the gas market and Empire's situation. However, in Empire's
 subsequent rate case, Case No. ER-2002-424, Empire proposed the extension of
 the IEC. At that time, OPC opposed the continuation of the IEC. Ultimately, the
 IEC was discontinued.

_

5 Q. Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?

.6 A. Yes it does.

Cases of Filed Testimony James A. Busch

· —

. .

,

•

<u>Company</u> Union Electric Company	<u>Case No.</u> GR-97-393
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-98-140
Laclede Gas Company	GO-98-484
Laclede Gas Company	GR-98-374
St. Joseph Light & Power	GR-99-246
Laclede Gas Company	GT-99-303
Laclede Gas Company	GR-99-315
Fiber Four Corporation	TA-2000-23; et al.
Missouri American Water Company	WR-2000-281/SR-2000-282
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	GR-2000-512
St. Louis County Water	WR-2000-844
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2001-299
Missouri Gas Energy	GR-2001-292
Laclede Gas Company	GT-2001-329
Laclede Gas Company	GO-2000-394
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2001-629
UtiliCorp United, Inc.	ER-2001-672
Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE	EC-2002-1
Laclede Gas Company	GR-2002-356
Empire District Electric Company	ER-2002-424
Southern Union Company	GM-2003-0238

Schedule JAB-1

ļ

Aquila, Inc.

.

i.

.

EF-2003-0465

Missouri American Water Company

Union Electric Company d/b/a

WR-2003-0500

GR-2003-0571

Busch, James

From:	Enerfax Daily [enerfaxdaily@enerfax.com]
Sent:	Monday, December 08, 2003 12:50 AM
To:	jim.busch@ded.mo.gov

Subject: Natural Gas Futures Lower as Longs Bail Ahead of Weekend

••••

If you can not read this publication or the date is incorrect please click http://www.enerfax.com

00:00:03

Enerfax Daily

PHYSICAL GAS PRICES	Moi	uday, December 8, 20	ß	Trunk E.La Western Region	6.08
Gulf/Eastern Region Agua Dulce ANR SE Carthage TG Chicago Citygate Columbia Gulf Onshore Dominion South Point Henry Hub Houston Ship Channel Katy Hub NGPL – LA Pool NGPL - Midcontinent	5.95 6.14 5.96 6.12 6.17 6.46 6.27 5.96 5.92 6.09 5.52	NNG Demarc. Niagara PEPL Pool Sonat Tier 1 TCO IPP Pool TETCO E.La TETCO M-3 TETCO S.TX TGP Zone 0 TGP Zone 1 (500 Ley TGT Zone SL New York Citygate	5.71 6.28 5.51 6.17 6.40 6.20 7.16 5.97 5.96	Western Region California Border El Paso Permian El Paso San Juan Waha Hub Canadian/Rockies Region Nova/Aeco \$/MMBtu Dawn Hub/Union Northwest Stanfield Wyoming Pool Opal PGT-Malin Huningdon/Sumas	5.52 5.50 5.29 5.64 5.09 6.23 5.28 5.35 5.35 5.36 5.37 5.23
NGPL STX NGPL TX/OK	5.83 5.78	Transco Station 65 Transco Zone 6 (NY)	6.26	Free daily newsletter C	<u>lick here</u>

To Flan Your 2004 Advertising Budget with **Early's Dady**, We Are Offering Discounted Advertising Contracts from One Month to One Year

Call 985.893.9225 or email: enerfaxl@aol.com

Discounts Available on All the Following Ad Locations:

Top Banner - 468 x 60 pixels-\$2,500 per month or \$2000 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page One Ad $-3.25" \times 6.25" - $2,500$ per month or \$2000 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page One Ad $2.5" \times 3.25" - $1,200$ per month or \$960 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 2 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$2000 per month or \$1600 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 3 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$1500 per month or \$1200 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$1500 per month or \$1000 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 5 - 3/4 Page $- 6" \times 13.5" - 2000 per month or \$1600 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 1/2 Page - 3"x 9" (portrait); or 6"x 4.5" (landscape) -\$1600 per month or \$1280 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$880 per month or \$1600 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 6 - 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$1000 per month or \$1600 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 1/2 Page - 3"x 9" (portrait); or 6"x 4.5" (landscape) - \$1600 per month or \$1280 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 6 - 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$1000 per month or \$800 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 1/2 Page - 3"x 9" (portrait); or 6"x 4.5" (landscape) - \$1500 per month or \$1200 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 6 - 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$1000 per month or \$800 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 1/2 Page - 3"x 9" (portrait); or 6"x 4.5" (landscape) - \$1500 per month or \$1200 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 7 - 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$500 per month, includes 6 lines in the text version or \$400 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004 Page 7 - 1/4 Ad -3"x 4.5" - \$500 per month, includes 6 lines in the text version or \$400 with a one year contract signed by January 1, 2004

> Schedule JAB-2 Page 1 of 6

Physical Power		\$/MWH Low Average	
AEP Dff Peak Synergy Dff Peak ERCOT ERCOT (N) Entergy IVA Comed NEPCOL * PJM West Palo Verde Off Peak	36.00 16.00 36.00 47.50 48.00 44.00 00.00 31.00 63.00 47.50 26.50	50.25 32.15 15.00 15.65 30.50 32.65 15.50 15.75 46.50 47.00 48.00 48.00 42.50 43.15 00.00 00.00 28.00 29.20 59.00 61.65 46.00 46.75 25.25 25.55 45.00 47.35	(a) ENERGY (b) ENERGY (c) Energy industry. (c) Energy firms* (c) ABAINA COMPANY (c)
Today • <u>Northeast I</u> Snowstorm	- Section S Pow Digs Out 1	ι D - December θ, er Bulletins from Relentless	OPPORTUNITIES
with Ameren R Peabody N Treasurer, as S Duke Ener Treasurer of N Calpine Re as One of Top Innovative Info Calpine Ce Recycled Wate	egarding ames Wa Steve Scha gy Names ew Finand ceives Av 100 Com ormation 1 elebrates (ar Pipeline	Iter Hawkins VP an ab Announces Retir Myron Caldwell ce Organization vard from InfoWorl panies Using Most Technology Completion of Geys ; Partnership Exten	d ers
Municipal Wat • Reliant Re on CenterPoint • Transmiss	ter sources U Energy's ion Outag	and Provides for Inlikely to Exercise Shares Of Texas G e Affects Customer and Western Upper	enco s in We hund me future into every solution we develop today.
• Financier	t 2 UK Pa	oros Backing Manaj ower Plants Owned r	

-

.

.

Schedule JAB-2 Page 2 of 6

Section E - December 8, 2003

EIA Says US Winter Natural Gas Supplies Adequate

There should be adequate natural gas supplies to meet this winter's needs, even if it is colder than average, according to the Energy Information Administration. The EIA says it is too soon to say whether last week's dramatic rise in natural prices is a short-term spike or the harbinger of a sustained rise above \$5.00range of recent months. Its model shows that even if this winter is 10% colder than average, the nation would have adequate supplies compared with historical averages. The EIA is forecasting prices of \$4.50-\$5.00 per MMEtu this winter. Natural gas prices last week have jumped from \$4.92 to \$6.12 amid the first run of cold weather in the Northeast. Citing robust drilling and industry success with coalbed methane and other unconventional gas, the EIA has taken a somewhat optimistic view of natural gas production. While some analysts expect production to decline in 2003, the EIA projects a 2% increase for the year. Besides greater domestic production, the US is also gaining incremental supply with additional gas imports through liquefied natural gas. But this increase is partially offset by a significant decline in imports from Canada.

AGA Says Winter Natural Gas Supply Adequate

The United States will have plenty of natural gas to meet consumer demand this winter, but there could be wild price swings, according to Robert Best, the new chairman of the American Gas Association. However, Best warned that prices are expected to remain volatile through the winter season Prices for natural gas to be delivered in January and February shot up nearly 30% last week, although prices fell back some on Friday. Best blamed the price jump on cold weather, saying it did not make much sense for natural gas costs in February to be based on a cold snap in early December. Even though consumers are expected to use less natural gas this winter, prices are forecast to be higher and the EIA predicts bills for consumers will be up an average 6% during this winter. The AGA plans to push hard to get Congress to pass a broad energy bill early next year that would speed up drilling permit applications and open more lands to exploration. The AGA expects Congress to pass the energy bill sometime between President Bush's State of the Union address on January 20th and Congress' mid-February President's Day holiday recess.

Schedule JAB-2 Page 3 of 6

Enerfax Daily - Section F - December 8, 2003

WELLHEAD TO BURNERTIP

Natural Gas Futures Lower as Longs Bail Ahead of Weekend

Natural gas futures for January delivery on the NYMEX dropped \$0.202 yesterday to \$6.135 per MMBtu, pressured by a steady flow of profit taking ahead of the weekend despite a Northeast storm and bullish technicals after a near 30% run up earlier last week The February on contract lost \$0, 171 to \$6,132 per MMBtu. Other months ended mixed, with some 2006-2009 contracts finishing up slightly. The cash market was up big, but the Midwest cash was still lagging behind futures. An unexpected cold snap across much of the nation last week helped back the rally, which saw prices surged in the previous 4 sessions as shorts were forced to cover when a series of technical resistance points were breached. Open interest on Thursday jumped more than 6,200 lots on a 10% price jump, a sign of new length in the market, some of which probably bailed Friday before more moderate weather arrives. The CFTC Com-

Today's Gas Bulletins

1
EIA to Forecast Tighter Long-Term Natural
Gas Supply Outlook in Annual Report to Be
Released in Next Week, Citing Declining Growth
Rates in North American Production.
Houston Exploration Commences Exchange
of 7% Senior Subordinated Notes
Peoples Energy Declares Quarterly Dividend
of \$0.53 per Common Share
SunGard to Acquire FAME; Adds Reference
Data Solutions to its Market Data Offerings
El Paso 1.55 Billion Market Manipulation
Settlement Approved by California Judge
Majority of California PUC's Board Objects
to Pacific Gas and Electric's Reorganization
NEB Requests Minister of Environment
Refer Application for Seismic Program in Gulf
of St. Lawrence to Review Panel
 FERC Says More than \$70 Million in
Penalties and Fines Assessed by Office of
Market Oversight and Investigations Since Its
Creation in August 2002
Ex-Enron Official John Forney Pleads Not
Guilty to Conspiracy

Schedule JAB-2 Page 4 of 6



Schedule JAB-2 Page 5 of 6

· <u></u>	Z	Section Bru reactive reactive reactive reactive reactive Neuvela Lavela Energia Energia	0 < 4 2 4 1 4 4 4 4 7 5 5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Rii	YME.	will be will b	
80、1 30 年の、1 30 年の、20 年の、20 年の、20 年の、20 7 20 7 9 月 (1) 20 7 9 月 (1) 20 7 9 月 (1) 20 7 9 月 (1) 20 7 9 月 (1) 20 7 20 7 20 7 20 7 20 7 20 7 20 7 20	C Natural Can NG Futures	Settion I - December 5, 2003 Bruce Power has received treats well begin should have it recommends from should have it recommends. The approval recommendation of the source a start of the Bruce A unit 4 November. Both units 1 loca have undergone a long repair in and the Society of Energy ion and the Society of Energy	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
18 53 112 144	NYMEX Natural Cas Options Velatility NG Fufutes Days left in Fufuterart to Opt.Exp. Vo	Section I - December 6, 2003 Bruce Power has received approval form Canadian regulators to restart its Bruce A unit 3 nuclear reactor and should have it reconnected from Canadian regulators to restart its Bruce A unit 3 nuclear reactor and should have it reconnected to Ontario's power grid later this month. Safety and operating reconnected. The approval granted by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission comes after the re- start of the Bruce A unit 4 reactor in October. At the time, the unit 3 reactor was expected to restart in Nuclear Safety Commission comes after the re- November. Both units Incessed on the shores of Lake Huron about 150 miles northwest of Toronto. Nevember: Both units Incessed on the shores of Lake Huron about 150 miles northwest of Toronto. Never undergone a long repair and upgrate program and wave originally expected back in service by June. The reactors were nothballed in 1998 after they were found to be operating at minimum safety June a consentum of firms lade by Sastentoon. Canado 53.0% states in Bruce. The Power Workers' United by Sastentoon Canado in Brows state in Bruce. The Power Workers' United by Profilesionals own the remaining 5.2%.	и по
940-100 940-100 9888 8888 8	An and a second	to Restart I rom Canadia to Ontario's p to Canadian the C	ημ.
DZCSALLE	ADA	Bruce A Unit 1 regulators to 0 produce abon Nuclear Safer Nuclear Safer Safer Nuclear Safer Nuclear Safer Nuclear	Enerfax Delly Sactan H - December 6, 2003 FETROL ELLA PALCHES Beany Light Pale CHES Beany Courter Beany Courter Bean Courter B
		Nut Totan Postan Postan Postan Postan Postan Postan Postan Postan Postan	by Read
	-0.4 -0.040 -0.0000 -0	r its Bruce normh. Safe normh. Safe norwasca, corr ruine morther nuine morther napperating to pperating to morey losis a. Pipe Lines se. The Pow	c Daily - Section H - December 8,2003 corrections corrections corections corections
		A unit he grid he grid he grid he grid not al het and tok in a nun in he para rer Wo	Soch at Soch a
66666666 6666666 8666669		3 nuclear operating once it's her the ret restart in f Teronte by ant Srissh ant Drissh rheors' Un-	6. 2003 29. 19 29. 19 27. 19 27. 29 20. 79 30. 79 30. 79 30. 79 30. 79 30. 79 4. Stormonater for the start of the start for the start for the start for the start for the start start for starts that we for starts that we for starts that we for starts that we for starts that we fo

12/08/2003

Schedule JAB-2 Page 6 of 6

NOAA Magazine || NOAA Home Page || Previous Story

Commerce Dept.

NOAA ISSUES WINTER OUTLOOK UPDATE; WINTER WEATHER STILL PROMISING MUCH VARIABILITY



Nov. 20, 2003 — The <u>NOAA Climate</u> <u>Prediction Center</u> today released its update to the U.S. winter outlook, which says temperatures and precipitation may vary this season, especially in the East. (Click NOAA image for larger view of forecast winter temperatures for the USA. <u>Click here</u> for high resolution version, which is a large file. Please credit "NOAA.)

For December, January and February, NOAA forecasters are calling for the likelihood of

above-average precipitation over Texas, Oklahoma, Washington, northern Oregon and northern Idaho, while below-normal precipitation is likely over Florida, southern Georgia, and California. Meanwhile, above normal temperatures are now likely over the central U.S. from Texas to Wisconsin, including almost all of the Great Plains. Above-normal temperatures are also expected for the southwest U.S., including all of New Mexico and Arizona; and West Coast states of California, Oregon, and Washington, and for Alaska and Hawaii. For other parts of the nation, the winter will have equal chance of above-, below- or near-normal temperatures and precipitation.

NOAA forecasters continue to expect the existing multi-year drought conditions in much of the interior West and parts of the Central Plains to continue for at least the next three months. Some improvement is likely, mostly in some areas in the north-central Plains and parts of the West. In many areas in the inter-mountain region, from Arizona to Montana and the western Great Plains, drought will likely persist and contribute to a lingering, long-term water shortage. (Click NOAA image for larger



view of forecast winter precipitation for the USA. <u>Click here</u> for high resolution version, which is a large file. Please credit "NOAA.)

"Conditions in the central Pacific will not play a strong role in the winter weather patterns over the U.S.," said Edward O'Lenic, meteorologist at the NOAA Climate Prediction Center. "While last winter's jet stream patterns were relatively persistent, leading to cooler than average conditions in the eastern U.S., this winter we see more frequent jet stream swings, resulting in more variable weather patterns and regimes lasting from one to several weeks," he added.

Today's winter outlook update expands the area of warmer-than-normal expected conditions from the South and West to include all of the central U.S., but excludes the inter-mountain West and Rocky Mountain region. The wetter-than-normal area in the

Schedule JAB-3 Page 1 of 2 Northwest, and the slight increased risk of dryness in the southeast and California are also new. These changes are based on updated empirical and dynamical prediction tools NOAA forecasters utilize to make seasonal climate forecasts.

Over the last month and the first few weeks of November, the U.S. has been experiencing some dramatic weather events. However, according to the <u>NOAA Climatic</u> <u>Data Center in Asheville</u>, N.C., <u>October 2003</u> ranked as the 8th warmest and 30th driest October for the U.S. in 108 years. The Western region and central part of the U.S. were mostly dry, while the Northeastern and extreme Northwestern regions were mostly wet for October. The outlook for December through February implies a continuation of at least some of the elements that contributed to these observations. In particular, odds are better than average for abnormally wet conditions in the Northwest, while drier-than-average conditions are more likely than average in California and Florida.

NOAA will issue an update for the January-February-March period and beyond on December 18, 2003.

The NOAA Climate Prediction Center is part of the <u>NOAA National Weather Service</u>, which is the primary source of weather data, forecasts and warnings for the United States and its territories.

NOAA is dedicated to enhancing economic security and national safety through the prediction and research of weather and climate-related events and providing environmental stewardship of the nation's coastal and marine resources. NOAA is part of the <u>U.S. Department of Commerce</u>.

Relevant Web Sites

NOAA Climate Prediction Center

NOAA Drought Information Center

El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) Diagnostic Discussion

Weekly El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) Update

Most Recent 2 Months Sea Surface Temperature Anomaly Animation

El Niño and La Niña-related Winter Features over North America

Sea Surface Temperature Outlook

ENSO Impacts by Region

NOAA Storm Watch — Get the latest severe weather information across the USA

Media Contact:

Carmeyia Gillis, NOAA Climate Prediction Center, (301) 763-8000 ext. 7163

Schedule JAB-3 Page 2 of 2

12/08/2003 10:03 AM

Short-Term Energy Outlook – November 2003

November 6, 2003 Release (Next Update: December 8, 2003)



Overview

World Oil Markets. While West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices have remained slightly below our previous projection baseline for October, current prices are close to \$29 with barrel. per prices for the 5 trading days ending November 5

averaging \$29.20 per barrel (Figure 1). Our projected gradual decline toward \$27 per barrel reflects a slow but steady return toward more normal levels of petroleum stocks in industrialized countries compared to previous months. It is assumed in this *Outlook* that overall OPEC oil production (including natural gas liquids) in 2004 will decline from the 2003 average by about 0.7 million barrels per day as the effect of quota reductions offset increased output from Iraq. Two other factors will also impact world oil markets: Russia may not limit its oil production and overall non-OPEC production is likely to rise by some 1.3 million barrels per day in 2004 from 2003.

Degree-Day Forecasts. Starting with this issue of the *Outlook*, degree-day projections by Census Division from the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Climate Prediction Center (CPC) will be used in the *Short-Term Energy Outlook* (*Outlook*) in place of the history-based "normal" values previously used. While degree-day outlooks are subject to considerable uncertainty at both the national and regional level, EIA feels that the CPC projections contain information than can help to improve the quality of EIA's baseline energy projections. The CPC projection used in this month's *Outlook* is roughly 1.4 percent colder than the previously-used norm in 2004.

Winter Fuels Update. A warm start to the heating season has kept early heating demand levels at a minimum in the United States, contributing to continued builds in underground natural gas storage to above-average levels. Heating degree-days were approximately 11 percent below normal in October (on a

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 1 of 12

population-weighted basis) and the first week in November brought unseasonably warm weather to key heating areas in the Midwest and Northeast. Consequently, working gas in storage is projected to reach nearly 3,200 billion cubic feet at the end of the injection season and the natural gas spot price at the Henry Hub dipped below \$4.00 per million Btu (mmBtu) on October 31 for the first time in 2003. However, mid-winter futures for natural gas remain near \$5.00 per mmBtu, and at \$28-\$30 per barrel, West Texas Intermediate crude oil prices, while weaker than previously projected, remain above the average of \$26.90 per barrel seen during the fourth quarter over the last 3 years. Continued aboveaverage temperatures would yield new downward pressure on heating fuel prices during the fourth quarter, but consumer prices for fuels still seem poised to exceed year-ago levels during the October to December period. Given NOAA's degree-day forecasts, the outlook for household heating bills remains mixed relative to 2002-2003: natural gas-heated homes: up 6 percent; heating oil users: down 6 percent; propane-heated households: about flat; and homes with electric heat: up about 4 percent.

Details



World Oil Markets.

Crude Oil Prices. Average monthly prices for the major marker crude oils rose by \$2-\$3 per barrel in October, offsetting declines of a similar amount in September. Prices for these marker crude oils were \$1-\$2 per barrel higher than year-ago 2002) (October (Figure 1). The OPEC basket price

continued to be within or above its target range for the nineteenth consecutive month and has not fallen below this range since March 2002. However, oil prices softened towards the end of the month. EIA projects that the industrialized countries' oil inventories, which were about even with year-ago levels at the end of October, will show noticeable year-over-year increases compared to the extraordinarily low levels seen at the end of 2002.

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 2 of 12

However, OECD commercial inventories are tight relative to normal levels for this time of year and are expected to remain so until the middle of 2004 (Figure 2). Until these inventories are rebuilt to well above observed 5-year lows, WTI crude oil prices should remain firm, then gradually slide to roughly \$27 per barrel as Iraqi oil exports in 2004 begin returning to near pre-war levels.

OPEC Oil Supply. In October, OPEC 10 oil production (excluding Iraq) was stable at an estimated 25.5 million barrels per day, about the same as their estimated September production level and only slightly above the OPEC 10 production targets that took effect on June 1 (Figure 3). The return of Iraqi oil exports to pre-war levels is not expected to lead to a sharp price decline in 2004 because it is assumed that, based on the surprise decision in September to cut quotas beginning November 1 and the possibility of further adjustments, overall OPEC production (including natural gas liquids) will not increase next year and may fall by 2-3 percent from the 2003 average.

International Oil Demand and Supply. World oil demand is projected to grow by about 1 million barrels per day in 2003 and in 2004, slightly less than the projected growth in non-OPEC oil production of 1.3 million barrels per day in 2004 (Figure 4). About 1/3 of the growth in world oil demand in 2003 is projected to come from the U.S., with China and other non-OECD countries projected to provide a total of another 0.5 million barrels per day of demand growth.

U. S. Energy Prices

Motor Gasoline: The October average motor gasoline price (regular unleaded gasoline) dropped to \$1.56 per gallon compared to \$1.68 in September. Motor gasoline prices have been drifting downward, as expected, following the late summer price surge (Figure 5). Pump prices should continue to decrease through the winter, as crude oil prices and margins continue to ease. However, the relatively tight levels of gasoline inventories should act as a brake on a more accelerated price drop. By the end of October, gasoline inventories remained just above the 5-year min/max range (Figure 6). In 2004, the annual pump price is projected to average \$1.46 per gallon (down roughly 11 cents per gallon from the projected 2003 average), as crude oil prices and average annual refiner margins recede. (Here, "refiner margin" refers to the difference between the average refiner price for gasoline and the average per-gallon crude oil input cost.) This year, refiner margins soared in March and again in August and September as supplies of gasoline fell to low levels. Next year, the assumption of higher (but still tight) stock levels for gasoline should reduce slightly the average refiner margin.

Some uncertainties remain in our projections about the gasoline market during the next several months, particularly in the Mid-Atlantic and New England

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 3 of 12

regions, because several large states have mandated changes in fuel additives. New York and Connecticut use Federal reformulated gasoline (RFG) that contains methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE). MTBE is used in RFG to meet the minimum 2.0 percent oxygen weight requirement, to reduce gasoline's air emissions, and to improve engine performance. However, detection of MTBE in some ground water supplies has led these two states to ban its use in gasoline by the end of 2003. MTBE, which makes up about 11 percent of a gallon of RFG, will be replaced by fuel ethanol. The MTBE bans introduce significant uncertainties to Northeast gasoline markets. It is more difficult and costly to produce RFG with ethanol and the MTBE ban introduces an additional constraint to the supply system. While supply is expected to be adequate, developments during the phaseout of MTBE from gasoline in California earlier this year and the Midwest's past experience with ethanol-based RFG over the past few years suggest a greater potential for temporary price spikes. For a comprehensive analysis of the Connecticut and New York gasoline markets and MTBE bans refer to the EIA report, "Preparations for Meeting New York and Connecticut MTBE Bans," October 2003.

Recently, California' s weekly price for regular motor gasoline was \$1.71 per gallon, or about 17 cents per gallon higher than the national average price of \$1.54 per gallon. The price difference has narrowed over the last month.

Distillate Fuel Oil (Diesel Fuel and Heating Oil): As expected, residential heating oil prices have risen as the first month of the heating season has passed. Diesel fuel oil prices, on the other hand, have eased over this same time period. Diesel prices are normally expected to increase this time of year, pushed by the seasonal demand patterns of heating oil. Currently, a weakening in crude oil prices and a healthy level of distillate inventories has mitigated steeper upward price movements for this fuel. Heating oil prices this winter season (October-March), are likely to average about \$1.32 per gallon, or about the same as last winter's average price (Figure 7). Nevertheless, this winter may see price spikes, especially if winter weather on the East Coast turns abnormally cold for prolonged periods or if world oil prices increase substantially. Cold weather alone could add an additional 10-15 cents per gallon to the base case projections and perhaps even more at the local level. At the end of October, distillate fuel oil inventories were almost 133 million barrels, a level in the middle of the 5-year min/max range (Figure 8).

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 4 of 12

Natural Gas: Mild weather during the last 4-5 months (a relatively cool summer followed by a warm early autumn) reduced industrial demand, and a modest production response to increased drilling contributed to historically high volumes of gas injections into underground storage, which has resulted in robust levels of natural gas stocks. Inventories of working gas are now comfortably above the middle of the 5-year average range. Nearly 3.2 trillion cubic feet of working gas were in storage by November 1. Historically, the gas industry regards any level over 3.0 million cubic feet of gas working gas in storage by November 1 as a sufficient amount to meet the heating season demand unless very severe winter weather conditions prevail. On October 31, the cash price at the Henry Hub dipped below \$4.00 per mmBtu (\$3.98 per mmBtu), reaching the lowest price of the year. Assuming our base case weather forecast, spot prices in the \$4.50-\$5.00 per mmBtu range (or \$4.64-\$5.15 per mcf) can be expected for the winter of 2003-2004 (Figure 9).

For 2003, wellhead prices are projected to show an increase of almost \$2.00 per thousand cubic feet (mcf) (still the largest U.S. annual wellhead price increase on record) over the 2002 annual average, pushing the annual average for the year to about \$4.90 per mcf. However, average annual wellhead prices in 2004 are projected to drop by \$0.90 per mcf (about 18 percent), pushed down by significant gains in net imports of natural gas (5 percent over 2003 levels compared to a net decrease from the previous year's level), a more robust storage situation throughout the entire year, slow gas demand growth, and a projected decline in crude oil prices.

U.S. Oil Demand

This year, total petroleum demand is projected to increase by 243,000 barrels per day from last year's average, or 1.2 percent, to 20.00 million barrels per day (Figure 10). Demand for motor gasoline, the largest oil-based product, is also projected to increase 1.3 percent for the year. While motor gasoline demand growth was nearly flat during the first half of the year as a result of lack of growth in highway travel (due in part to harsh weather conditions during the first quarter), a 2.4-percent increase in motor gasoline demand over the second half of the year is anticipated due to the resumption of growth in highway travel brought about by the general improvement in the economy.

Jet fuel markets, having been adversely affected by several outbreaks of the SARS epidemic as well the Iraqi military campaign earlier in the year, are expected to remain sluggish for the rest of the year as well. For the first half of 2003, revenue ton-miles showed little growth, but capacity continued to expand. Moreover, recently published FAA data show continued year-to-year declines in jet fuel purchases. Airlines, seeking to increase load factors, have announced plans to trim capacity further during the rest of this year. As a result, commercial jet fuel demand is projected to contract by about 1 percent in 2003.

Schedule JAB-4 Page 5 of 12 Moreover, domestic military demand will likely show a decline as a result of an increase in overseas activity (particularly in the first half of the year), contributing to the projected year-over-year decline of 2.6 percent in total jet fuel demand.

Distillate fuel oil use is projected to increase 3.9 percent in 2003. Demand during the first half of the year increased 7 percent as a result of harsh weather during the first quarter and high sales to the power generation sector during the spring and summer in the wake of spikes in natural gas prices. Transportation demand, the largest distillate component, is projected to increase 3.0 percent for the year as a whole, reflecting continued growth in overall economic activity. Residual fuel oil demand, bolstered by high space-heating demand during the first quarter and firm natural gas prices throughout the year, is projected to register an increase of 10.7 percent this year. The same weather- and price-related factors that boosted distillate demand during the first half of the year also brought about an 11.3-percent increase in demand for residual fuel oil during that period. Moreover, recent data point to a 27-percent increase in third guarter demand. However, a decline in the relative price of natural gas and the assumption of normal weather patterns are expected to bring about a 4.1-percent decline in residual demand in the current quarter. Despite the colder-than-average weather in first quarter, liquefied petroleum gas demand is projected to decline 5.5 percent for the year as a whole, largely as a result of weakness in petrochemical activity as well as high natural gas prices and higher production costs for much of the year.

Petroleum demand growth in 2004 is projected to average 310,000 barrels per day, or 1.5 percent, to 20.31 million barrels per day. All the major products, except residual fuel oil, are expected to contribute to that growth. Motor gasoline demand is projected to increase 2.2 percent, reflecting a continued acceleration of economic growth and an almost 9-percent decline in retail pump prices. Jet fuel demand, having declined for two consecutive years, is projected to post a growth rate of 1.6 percent to average 1.60 million barrels per day, still below the 2001 average. Distillate demand growth is projected to moderate to 1.7 percent, as demand reductions resulting from the assumption of more normal weather partly counteracts the projected 3.1-percent growth in transportation diesel demand. Residual fuel oil deliveries, having experienced growth in 2003, are projected to decline by 6 percent in 2004. That reversal reflects the assumptions of more or less normal weather and greater availability of natural gas, prices of which are projected to decline to levels that more effectively compete with other fossil fuels. Demand for liquefied petroleum gas is expected to recover smartly from the weaknesses of the previous year, with growth averaging 4 percent. Growth in petrochemical activity and declines in natural gas feedstock prices are both expected to offset the decline in space-heating demand

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 6 of 12

under baseline assumptions of normal weather during the 2003-2004 winter season.

Oil Supply

Average domestic oil production is expected to decrease in 2003 by 11 thousand barrels per day, or 0.2 percent, to a level of 5.74 million barrels of oil per day. For 2004, a 1.7 percent decline is expected, resulting in an average annual production rate of 5.64 million barrels of oil per day (Figure 11).

Lower-48 States oil production is expected to decrease by 5,000 barrels per day to a rate of 4.76 million barrels per day in 2003, followed by a decline of 67,000 barrels per day in 2004. Oil production from the Mars, Mad Dog, Ursa, Thunder Horse and Nakika Federal Offshore fields is expected to account for about 12 percent of the lower-48 oil production by the 4th quarter of 2005.

Alaska is expected to account for 16.8 percent of total U.S. oil production in 2004. Alaskan oil production is expected to decrease by 0.6 percent in 2003 and decrease by 3.2 percent in 2004. The combined production rate from the two significant fields, Alpine and North Star, averaged nearly 173 thousand barrels per day during June 2003. Production from the Kuparuk River field plus the production from West Sak, Tobasco, Tarn and Meltwater fields is expected to stay at an average of 210 thousand barrels per day over the forecast period.

Natural Gas Supply and Demand

Natural gas demand is expected to fall by 2 percent in 2003 as high prices discourage demand, particularly in the industrial and electric power sectors (Figure 12). A modest increase of nearly 1 percent in consumption is projected for 2004 driven by strong economic growth and projected lower prices.

This winter, demand for natural gas is expected to be about 2 percent lower than last winter' s level, due largely to the effect of weaker heating-related demand. Gas-weighted heating degree-days for the season (Q4 2003 and Q1 2004), under our baseline weather projections, would be about 2.5 percent below year-ago levels. Winter natural gas prices are projected to be about 10.5 percent

Schedule JAB-4 Page 7 of 12 higher than last winter in the residential sector as cumulated natural gas utility costs through 2003 are recovered in higher household delivered charges. In the event of colder-than-expected weather this winter, natural gas prices could go higher.

Working natural gas in storage is estimated to be near 3.2 billion cubic feet (Bcf) at the end of October, over 3 percent above the 5-year average level (Figure 13). This is the result of the higher-than-normal storage injections that have characterized the 2003 stockbuild period.

Natural gas production is expected to show increases of about 3 percent this year. High natural gas prices and sharply higher oil and natural gas field revenues have resulted in strong natural gas-directed drilling activity this year following the downturn in 2002 (Figure 14). Monthly oil and natural gas field revenues are expected to continue to average over \$400 million this year (Figure 15). The prospects for significant reductions in natural gas wellhead prices over the forecast period hinge significantly on the productivity of the increased drilling in terms of expected output. An average natural gas wellhead price of about \$3.99 per thousand cubic feet (mcf) is projected for 2004, about \$0.90 per mcf lower than the expected 2003 average, based on the assumption that, barring severe weather this winter, pressure on natural gas markets related to storage refill will be considerably less in 2004 than in 2003.

Electricity Demand and Supply

Electricity demand in 2003 is expected to remain at close to last year's levels (Figure 16). Following the relative increase in demand in the first quarter due to cold weather, declines in demand occurred during the second and third quarters, also driven largely by weather factors, i.e., lower cooling demand this summer than last summer. In 2004, annual electricity demand is projected to rebound, growing along with the economy at a rate of about 2.4 percent.

Natural gas-fired electricity production is expected to decrease by about 4 percent in 2003 due to fuel substitution in response to high natural gas prices, as indicated by increasing oil-fired plant utilization beyond what otherwise might have been projected. Also in 2003, petroleum-generated electricity production is expected to increase by about 21 percent. In 2004, petroleum-generated electricity production is projected to decline about 5.8 percent as natural gas availability improves. Hydroelectric generation in 2003 is expected to increase by 4.8 percent overall due to improve water levels in the Eastern half of the country. Nuclear generation in 2003 is expected to be lower than last year by 1.8 percent. Part (at least) of the reason for the lower nuclear generation is that two

Schedule JAB-4 Page 8 of 12 nuclear plants have been in extended shutdown mode. However, nuclear and hydropower for electricity generation are expected to be more abundant this winter than last winter. Nuclear plants that experienced extended outages are expected to be back on line in 2004, when nuclear generation increases 2.4 percent over 2003 levels. Hydroelectric generation is also expected to increase in 2004 due to the somewhat recovered levels of precipitation this year.

Coal Demand and Supply

Coal consumed to generate electricity climbed 3.3 percent during the first seven months of 2003, compared to the same period in 2002. Coal, nuclear and gas-fired generation are typically used to meet baseload demand, although natural gas is also the primary peaking demand fuel. Year-to-date nuclear generation is down 2.4 percent and natural gas-fired generation is down 9.5 percent. Coal-fired generation, up 2.5 percent, has taken up the slack in baseload demand. Despite flatness in total electricity demand and total electric sector generation, coal-fired electricity generation is expected to grow by 0.4 percent and electric sector coal consumption to grow by 1.0 percent in 2003 (Figure 17). Coal-fired generation and associated coal consumption is expected to continue growing in 2004, as generation grows at 0.8 percent while consumption rises by 1.2 percent.

Demand in other coal-consuming sectors is expected to grow moderately in 2003. Expected increases in the coke plant sector (1.9 million short tons (mmst) or 8.2 percent) are nearly offset by a projected decline in consumption in the retail and general industry sectors (1.5 mmst or 2.3 percent). Total non-electric sector coal demand growth for 2003 is projected to be 0.3 percent. Non-electric sector growth is expected to decline in 2004, (0.8 percent) as demand for coal as a boiler fuel continues to decline and coke plant demand falls.

Total U.S. coal production is expected to decline by 0.6 percent in 2003 (Figure 18). Year-to-date U.S. coal production (January through September) is roughly 802.5 mmst, or 2.2 percent lower than the same period of 2002. Western region coal production is likely to grow 1.5 percent, while Appalachian and Interior production falls 3.4 percent and 1.1 percent respectively. In 2004, growth in electric sector coal demand is expected to lead to an increase in total coal production (0.8 percent), but Western region coal production is projected to continue its strong growth at a rate of 4.5 percent.

Schedule JAB-4 Page 9 of 12

Representation of Uncertainty in STEO Using the STIFS Model

The EIA uses its Short-Term Integrated Forecasting System (STIFS) model to analyze monthly trends in U.S. energy demands and prices, both nationally and by sector, and to generate its monthly *Short-Term Energy Outlook* (*STEO*). This model consists of approximately 920 endogenous variables, 216 of which are stochastic (i.e., have error distributions associated with them).

Confidence intervals presented in the *STEO* for a selected STIFS variable, such as the crude oil price, gasoline price and natural gas spot price, are analytically calculated using information about the error distribution of the modeled variable and the error distributions of any endogenous variables that may affect the variable of interest. These confidence intervals, based on +/-2 standard errors within the STIFS model, do not include the impact of major supply disruptions and other phenomena not represented in the model.

To the extent that supply disruptions in world oil markets and/or other phenomena not included in the STIFS model do significantly affect future market developments, confidence intervals presented in the STEO likely will be less than the usual 95 percent, all other factors being equal.

		Year			Annual Percentage Change		
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004
Real Gross Domestic Product (GDP)						
(billion chained 1996 dollars)	9215	9440	9702	10101	2.4	2.8	4.1
Imported Crude Oil Price							
(nominal dollars per barrel)	22.00	23.69	27.54	24.68	7.7	16.3	-10.4
Petroleum Supply (million barrels pe day)	r						
Crude Oil Production ^b	5.80	5.75	5.74	5.64	-1.0	-0.2	-1.7
Total Petroleum Net Imports							
(including SPR)	10.90	10.54	11.26	11.46	-3.3	6.8	1.8
						C .1	Into TAD

Table HL1. U.S. Energy Supply and Demand: Base Case

(Energy Information Administration\Short-Term Energy Outlook -- November 2003)

Schedule JAB-4 Page 10 of 12

Energy Demand

· •

•

1

World Petroleum							
(million barrels per day)	77.1	77.6	78.6	79 .7	0.6	1.3	1.4
Petroleum		•					
(million barrels per day)	19.65	19.76	20.00	20.31	0.6	1.2	1.5
Natural Gas							
(trillion cubic feet)	22.23	22.52	22.06	22.26	1.3	-2.0	0.9
Coal ^c							
(million short tons)	1060	1066	1076	1087	0.5	0.9	1.0
Electricity (billion kilowatthours)							
Retail Sales ^d	3370	3475	3478	3560	3.1	0.1	2.4
Other Use/Sales *	173	180	176	182	4.2	-2.3	3.5
Total	3543	3655	3654	3742	3.2	0.0	2.4
Total Energy Demand ¹							
(quadrillion Btu)	96.3	97.6	97.6	9 9. <i>6</i>	1.3	0.0	2.1
Total Energy Demand per Dollar of GDP							
(thousand Btu per 1996 Dollar)	10.45	10.34	10.06	9.86	-1.1	-2.7	-1.9
Renewable Energy as Percent of Total	5.6%	6.2%	6.4%	6.6%			

*Refers to the refiner acquisition cost (RAC) of imported crude oil.

^bIncludes lease condensate.

*Total Demand includes estimated Independent Power Producer (IPP) coal consumption

Schedule JAB-4 Page 11 of 12 İ

i

^dTotal of retail electricity sales by electric utilities and power marketers. Utility sales for historical periods are reported in EIA's Electric Power Monthly and Electric Power Annual. Power marketers' sales for historical periods are reported in EIA's Electric Sales and Revenue, Appendix C. Data for 2001 are estimates.

*Defined as the sum of facility use of onsite net electricity generation plus direct sales of power by industrial- or commercial-sector generators to third parties, reported annually in Table 7.5 of the Monthly Energy Review (MER). Data for 2001 are estimates.

The conversion from physical units to Btu is calculated by using a subset of conversion factors used in the calculations performed for gross energy consumption in Energy Information Administration, Monthly Energy Review (MER). Consequently, the historical data may not precisely match those published in the MER or the Annual Energy Review (AER).

⁹Renewable energy includes minor components of non-marketed renewable energy, which is renewable energy that is neither bought nor sold, either directly or indirectly, as inputs to marketed energy. The Energy Information Administration does not estimate or project total consumption of non-marketed renewable energy.

SPR: Strategic Petroleum Reserve.

Notes: Minor discrepancies with other published EIA historical data are due to independent rounding. Historical data are printed in bold; forecasts are in italics. The forecasts were generated by simulation of the Short-Term Integrated Forecasting System.

Sources: Historical data: Latest data available from Bureau of Economic Analysis and Energy Information Administration; latest data available from EIA databases supporting the following reports: Petroleum Supply Monthly, DOE/EIA-0109; Petroleum Supply Annual, DOE/EIA-0340/2; Natural Gas Monthly, DOE/EIA-0130; Electric Power Monthly, DOE/EIA-0226; and Quarterly Coal Report, DOE/EIA-0121; International Petroleum Monthly DOE/EIA-0520; Weekly Petroleum Status Report, DOE/EIA-0208. Macroeconomic projections are based on Global Insight Forecast CONTROL1003.

Need Help? phone: 202-586-8800 email: infoctr@eia.doe.gov <u>Specialized Information Services</u> For Technical Problems phone: 202-586-8959 email: <u>wmaster@eia.doe.gov</u> (In the current environment, inquiries mailed to EIA will likely encounter serious delays. For rapid service, contact us electronically or by phone.) Energy Information Administration, El 30 1000 Independence Avenue, SW Washington, DC 20585 Page last modified on Thu, 06 Nov 2003 19:07:15 GMT

> Schedule JAB-4 Page 12 of 12

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC COUNSEL AQUILA, INC CASE NO. ER-2004-0034

NATURAL GAS PRICE CALCULATION

					Includes	Monthly	
				NYMEX	Basis	Volumetric	-
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>(11/20)</u>	Differential	Percent	
January	9.978	2.555	4.988	4.904	5.4271071	1.76%	0.095268
February	6.293	2.006	5.660	4.944	4.5466071	1.65%	0.075223
March	4.998	2.388	9.133	4.844	5.1616071	3.21%	0.165513
April	5.384	3.472	5.146	4.579	4.4661071	7.35%	0.328178
May	4.891	3.319	5.123	4.549	4.2913571	7.48%	0.321085
June	3.738	3.420	5.945	4.564	4.2376071	12.77%	0.540939
July	3.182	3.278	5.291	4.581	3.9038571	25.40%	0.991549
August	3.167	2.976	4.693	4.601	3.6801071	24.26%	0.892841
September	2.295	3.288	4.927	4.591	3.5961071	9.59%	0.345005
October	1.830	3.686	4.430	4.601	3.4576071	3.19%	0.110299
November	3.202	4.126	4.459	4.766	3.9591071	1.72%	0.06817
December	2.316	4.140	4.860	4.946	3.8863571	1.62%	0.063026

RECOMMENDATION \$ 3.997

March '03 was deleted due to lack of data on WNG for that month.

NYMEX WNG 9.978 9.98 -0.002 6.293 6.29 0.003 4.998 5.03 -0.032 5.384 5.34 0.044 4.891 4.82 0.071 3.738 3.66 0.078 3.182 3.05 0.132 3.167 3.10 0.067 2.295 2.24 0.055 1.830 1.75 0.080 3.202 3.05 0.152 2.316 2.24 0.076 2.555 2.51 0.045 2.006 1.90 0.106 2.388 2.31 0.078 3.472 3.29 0.182 3.319 3.20 0.119 3.420 3.08 0.340 3.278 3.08 0.198 2.976 2.84 0.136 3.288 2.98 0.308 3.686 3.32 0.366 4.126 4.06 <td< th=""></td<>
--