Exhibit No.:

Issue:

Systems Operations and

Management

Witness:

Case No.:

Michael T. McDuffey

Sponsoring Party:

Folsom Ridge LLC and Big Island Homeowners Water

and Sewer Association, Inc.

Case No. WO-2007-0277

Joined for hearing with Case No. WC-2006-0082

FILED²

APR 0 2 2007

Missouri Public Service Commission

FOLSOM RIDGE LLC AND BIG ISLAND HOMEOWNERS WATER AND SEWER ASSOCIATION, INC.

> Case No. WO-2007-0277 Joined for hearing with Case No. WC-2006-0082

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

MICHAEL T. McDuffey

Camdenton, Missouri February, 2007

Date 2-28-07 Rptr **

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of the Application Folsom Ridge LLC and Big I Homeowners Water and Sewline, for an order authorizing the and Assignment of Certain Water Assets to Big Island Water Company and Big Island Sew	sland er Association, he transfer ater and ater	•	Case No. WO-2007-0277
Company, and in connection certain other related transaction	therewith))	, .
<u>AF</u>	FIDAVIT OF M	<u> IICHAE</u>	L T. MCDUFFEY
STATE OF MISSOURI) 55		
COUNTY OF CAMDEN) ss.)		

- I, Michael T. McDuffey, of lawful age, and being duly sworn, do hereby depose and state:
- My name is Michael T. McDuffey. I am presently Chief Operator and Senior 1. Advisor for Lake Ozark Water and Sewer L.L.C.
 - 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded are true and correct to the best of my personal knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, this 15th day of February, 2007.

CAROLYN A. BRISCOE

Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Camden County - Comm.#08425703

My Commission Expires Dec. 21, 2010

2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY MICHAEL T. MCDUFFEY
3	Q.	Please state your name and your business address.
4	A.	My name is Michael T. McDuffey. My business address is 840 Thunder
5		Mountain Road, Camdenton, Missouri 65020.
6		
7	Q.	Are you the same Michael T. McDuffey who filed written direct testimony in
8		this matter?
9	A.	Yes, I am.
10		
11	Q.	What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?
12	A.	I will respond to the direct testimony filed by Ben Pugh.
13		
14	Q.	Again on page 4 of his testimony Mr. Pugh states that sewer and water valve
15		in the same upright are only inches apart. Is this a threat to public health?
16	Α.	No. The distance between the service line for water and the service line for sewe
17		does not pose a health risk. The preference is to separate each, and preferably
18		have each in a separate excavation or "pit" but because of ground conditions, such
19	·	as rock, and the expenses of digging extra cavities for these lines, they often are
20		installed in the same pit. I will note that DNR has no regulations setting out
21		minimum separation for service lines.

î

7

11

- 1 Q. Mr. Pugh on page 4 of his testimony states that only one home on the causeway has a shut off valve. Do you believe this to be the case?
- A. No. There are shut off valves for each home on the causeway, not just the one
 Mr. Pugh identifies in his testimony. Instead of being in an upright, the valves are
 probably buried and a qualified plumber would be able to locate them. I have
 confirmed that shut off valves are installed for each home on the causeway.
- Q. Do you have any comments about Mr. Pugh's statements on page 4 about the
 relocated water main and its relationship to the location of the sewer main.
- 10 A. Mr. Krehbiel will be discussing this in his separate rebuttal testimony.
- On page 4 of his testimony, Mr. Pugh discusses water that accumulated in a trench dug by Mr. Duane Stoyer in April of 2001. He also states that the water was contaminated. Will you tell the Commission about your involvement in this matter?
- 16 A. I am attaching as MTM Schedule 1 a memo I prepared to Mr. Golden of Folsom 17 Ridge about Mr. Stoyer's trench and the water that was found there and how my 18 office worked toward eliminating the water from accumulating again. That is an 19 accurate version of the events. But Mr. Pugh is incorrect in calling the water 20 "contaminated" or "polluted" as he does in his testimony. The water sample 21 taken from Mr. Stoyer's trench showed 10,909 fecal colonies per 100 ml but this 22 amount of fecal coliform means that the water is not raw sewage. At that level 23 the water might be normal runoff. Fecal coliform occurs naturally in the ground.

The origin of the water is still somewhat of a mystery but it definitely did not come from a septic tank, and if it came from the treatment plant at Big Island, it was treated wastewater within DNR effluent standards—it would have been chlorinated. If Mr. Stoyer's health was affected in any way, it was not from the water in the trench he dug or the water treated and discharged from the treatment plant. Mr. Stoyer did have his own septic tank and it was not connected to the regional treatment system.

- Q. On page 6-7 of his testimony, Mr. Pugh gives a run down of what he refers to as a "series of violations" of the Missouri Safe Drinking Water Regulations.
- 11 Are these notices of violation?
 - A. No, they were not. These are four unsatisfactory features that were listed in a June 28, 2005 report of inspection of the facilities. The first and third subparagraphs a. and c. on page 7 of Mr. Pugh's testimony - involve a failure to collect routine samples from the water system and development of a written coliform bacterial sample siting plan. These items were unfounded. My laboratory regularly collected the samples required and had submitted the sample siting plan to DNR on a timely basis. DNR had misplaced the records of the sampling and the sampling siting plan.

Regarding the second feature, ---subparagraph b on page 7, which respects dispensing water without a written permit, it is true that none had yet been applied

1		for. That permit was applied for on July 22, 2005. We are still waiting on the
2		permit to be issued. This permit is not a priority for DNR.
3		
4		As for the fourth mentioned in the report,subparagraph d. on page 7 of Mr.
5		Pugh's testimony that is a duplicate of the notice of violation received when
6		construction crews started work under the mistaken belief that plans, which were
7		on file at DNR, had already been approved. Mr. Krehbiel will address this in his
8		testimony.
9		
10		DNR noted two design guide deficiencies in the June 28, 2005 report, one of
11		which was to "paint the exterior of the well casing," but this and the other
12		recommendation (install meters on the service connections) were not mandatory.
13		
14	Q.	Have any of the unsatisfactory features noted in the June 28, 2005 report
15		resulted in enforcement action by DNR?
16	A.	No. Each has been rectified to DNR's satisfaction.
17		
18	Q.	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
19	A.	Yes, it does.

LAKE OF THE OZARKS WATER & SEWER, INC.

Wastewater and water-specialists

840 Thunder Mountain Road Camdenton, Mp. 65020

Phone 573 346-2092 Fax 573 346-4676

TO: Reggie Golden

Big Island Subdivision

FROM: M.T. McDuffey

DATE: July 16, 2001

RIE: "Stover Springs"

This is just a note to keep you informed of the events at "Stoyer Springs."

July 5: I received a call from Ken Carroll that the valve area across from Stoyer's home was wet. I arrived on the location of the wet spot to find Mr. Stoyer hand digging in area. Water was flowing in the area at this time.

July 6: Ken Carroll exposed Southwestern Bell Conduit at the fresh water line near Stoyer's property. Water was flowing from the conduit. Cainden County Wastewater took a feeal sample from the Stoyer sewer vault.

July 9: Camden County Wasteweter took another fecal sample. The results from the first sample were inconclusive.

July 10: The results from the fecal sample indicated the presence of wastewater.

July 12: We installed a 90° fitting on the Southwestern Bell Telephone conduit to bring the water flow out of the hole. We closed the hole at this time. (Water was flowing through the pipe within 30 minutes.) The backhoe was moved to an area near the wastewater treatment plant and used to expose the sewer line on the west side of the road. Fresh water was in the hole at the sewer line. The backhoe was moved across the road and used to expose the fresh water line and the Southwestern Bell Telephone conduit. A hole was drilled in the conduit to check for water flow. No water was flowing in the conduit. We put fluorescent dve in the hole at the sewer line.

July 13: The dye was showing in the culvert at Stoyers. We cut the Southwestern Bell Telephone conduit at the wastewater treatment plant and capped both ends and closed the hole. The hole with the dye was closed. We began digging at the wastewater treatment plant and found a hole in the discharge line from the wastewater treatment plant (the line between the chlorinator and the chlorine retention tank). It appears as if a stake was driven through the 4" schedule 40 line, and a rubber hoot was installed to repair the break.

a 05:13P Michael T. McDuffey

573 346 2092

P.03

The repair was inadequate to stop the water from leaking through. Ken Carroll has photos of the described conditions.

July 16: "Stoyer Springs" appears to be drying up. There was no water flow through the Southwestern Bell Telephone conduit at this time.

We have provided Jennifer at the Camden County Wastewater Department and John Fraga of the Missouri Department of Natural Resources with this information