Exhibit No.:Updates regarding planned resource additions
and related cost issuesWitness:Jason HumphreyType of Exhibit:Supplemental Direct TestimonySponsoring Party:Evergy Missouri West & Evergy Missouri Metro
Case No.:Date Testimony Prepared:February 19, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO.: EA-2024-0075

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JASON HUMPHREY

ON BEHALF OF

EVERGY MISSOURI WEST AND EVERGY MISSOURI METRO

Kansas City, Missouri February 2025

SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JASON HUMPHREY

CASE NO.: EA-2025-0075

I. **INTRODUCTION** 1 2 **O**: Are you the same Jason Humphrey who filed Direct Testimony in this case on November 15, 2024? 3 Yes. I previously submitted Direct Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. A: 4 d/b/a Evergy Missouri West ("Evergy Missouri West," "EMW" or "West") and Evergy 5 Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro ("Evergy Missouri Metro," "EMM" or "Metro") 6 (collectively referred to as "Evergy," "Applicants," or the "Company"). The Applicants, 7 along with Every Kansas Central, Inc. and Evergy Kansas South, Inc. ("Evergy Kansas 8 Central" or "EKC"), are the operating utilities of Evergy, Inc. ("Evergy"). 9

10 Q: What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony?

This Supplemental Direct Testimony primarily addresses the progress made on EMW's A: 11 development efforts. This includes updates regarding various steps of procurement and 12 13 contracting related to the combined cycle gas turbine ("CCGT") facilities identified in my Direct Testimony as the Viola and McNew facilities, as well as the Mullin Creek #1 14 generating unit, a simple-cycle gas turbine ("SSCT") facility (collectively, the "Projects"). 15 I also discuss at a high level the potential effect of recent decisions announced by the new 16 administration through presidential proclamations related to additional proposed tariffs on 17 steel and aluminum. 18

1

2

Q: What progress has been made regarding the Power Island Equipment ("PIE") acquisition for McNew, Viola, and Mullin Creek #1?

A: At the time the Application and Direct Testimony in this case was filed, EMW had executed
reservation agreements for the PIE with Mitsubishi Power Americas ("MPA") for the
Projects. As addressed in the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Evergy witness J Kyle
Olson, EMW has now finalized fixed-price PIE Supply Agreements with MPA. The final
cost figures in the PIE Supply Agreements had minimal changes compared to the estimated
costs reported in the Company's Direct Testimony

9 Q: What has occurred regarding the acquisition of the Generator Step-Up ("GSU")
 10 Transformers and related equipment for McNew, Viola, and Mullin Creek #1?

As discussed in the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mr. Olson, EMW has finalized A: 11 agreements for acquisition of GSU transformers for the Projects. The GSU agreements are 12 with a single, well-established provider. EMW attempted to avoid contracting with GSU 13 14 manufacturers located in Southeast Asia to minimize tariff risks and the possible purchase of parts and components manufactured in China. The utilization of parts and components 15 from China poses substantial risks related to tariff costs, as well as legal risks related to 16 17 trade regulation. The GSU provider EMW has contracted with is not located in Southeast Asia and, therefore, EMW has further managed the additional risks attendant to Southeast 18 Asia manufacturers. EMW's experience with both the PIE and GSU agreements has been 19 favorable and shows that the Projects are proceeding smoothly and in due course. 20

The GSU agreements are also in line with expectations from a cost perspective. There are no changes in the cost estimates attributable to the GSUs. Q: Please identify substantial progress made related to Engineering, Procurement and
 Construction ("EPC") contracting for the Projects since the filing of the Application
 and Direct Testimony?

As stated in Direct Testimony filed by a number of Company witnesses, EMW solicited A: 4 and expected to receive proposals from as many as three prospective EPC contractors to 5 establish its cost estimates for McNew, Viola, and Mullin Creek #1. On January 31, 2025, 6 EMW received two quality bids from prospective EPC contractors Black & Veatch 7 Corporation and the Kiewit Corporation. EMW has analyzed those bids to produce the 8 updated cost estimates for the Projects. Those cost estimates are discussed in detail in Mr. 9 Olson's Supplemental Direct Testimony. The EPC bids were timely and complete and have 10 enabled EMW to complete an accurate cost estimate for the Projects. Ultimately, the costs 11 in the EPC bids for the two CCGTs, Viola and McNew, are close to the cost estimates 12 previously provided, and thus resulted in cost estimates that are very close to the original 13 14 cost estimates submitted in this docket. The EPC bids for the Mullin Creek #1 project were higher than the original cost estimate by ** **. The Supplemental Direct 15 Testimony of Mr. Olson more directly addresses the costs of the Projects. 16

17

Q: Has EMW selected an EPC contractor?

No. EMW has not yet selected an EPC contractor and has not executed a final EPC contract.
EMW is still analyzing bids and continues to negotiate general terms and conditions with
the two contractors that submitted bids. The EPC bidding process has proceeded favorably,
and EMW is on path to have an EPC contract fully negotiated and executed in the second
quarter of 2025.



Q: In your Direct Testimony you discussed the market for generation construction and,
 in particular, natural gas-fired power plants. Have you continued to see inflation and
 competition in that market?

A: Yes. The increase in costs that we witnessed in the initial cost estimates was a function of
broad inflation in the general economy, as well as supply and demand forces for new firm,
dispatchable power generation, particularly gas-fired power plants. EMW has continued to
see significant signs of growing demand for gas-fired power generation construction in the
market as a whole,¹ including specific additional projects announced in other jurisdictions
like Louisiana,² Georgia,³ and North Dakota,⁴ among others.

Q: What impact does this new information have on your opinion regarding the reasonableness of the cost estimates for McNew, Viola, and Mullin Creek #1, and the decision to move forward with construction of those plants now?

A: In my opinion this information provides additional support for our determination that the proposed costs for the Projects fairly represent the market and are reasonable under the circumstances. In fact, EMW is pleased it did not see substantial increased costs in the EPC bids for the combined cycle plants it received as a result of this additional evidence of inflationary and competitive forces in these markets. While the cost estimate did increase for Mullin Creek #1, this increase was supported by two bids from qualified EPC providers with market experience with advance class machines generally and the Mitsubishi 501JAC

¹ See "US utilities see way around emission mandates for gas-fired plants," S&P Capital IQ (Nov. 14, 2024), attached as Sched. JH-1.

² <u>See</u> "*Entergy to power \$5B Meta datacenter in Louisiana with new gas capacity*," S&P Capital IQ (Nov. 21, 2024), attached as Sched. JH-2.

³ See 2025 IRP, Georgia Power Company, Docket No. 56002 (January 31, 2025), attached as Sched. JH-3.

⁴ See "Basin Electric to Build 1,400-Megawatt Generation Facility in Northwest North Dakota," Basin Electric Power Cooperative (Jam. 23, 2025), attached as Sched. JH-4.

specifically. Furthermore, EMW has observed cost increases from similar simple cycle
 projects in other parts of the country that are slightly more advanced than EMW's in their
 development.

Because EMW expects these inflationary and competitive forces to continue, and possibly intensify, this additional evidence further demonstrates that there is considerable risk in delaying these projects such that the most fundamental risk would be not moving forward with the Projects now. Lastly, EMW has performed CCN Supplemental Direct modeling, as described in the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mr. VandeVelde. The model still supports the need and addition of all the Projects, as contemplated in the Direct Testimony filed in this case.

Q: Based on the developments regarding McNew, Viola, and Mullin Creek #1, what is the status overall of the Projects at this time?

To this point, the Projects have progressed favorably and are on their expected paths, A: 13 including the continued timely progress of this Certificate of Convenience and Necessity 14 ("CCN") proceeding. EMW has been able to provide information to support its position in 15 this case that the costs and timing of the Projects are reasonable, and that the Projects will 16 17 enhance the reliability and efficiency of EMW's generation portfolio and its system as a whole. Evergy has now decided to allocate the second half of the McNew facility to EMW, 18 and provided its rationale and basis for that decision, as it said it would do when it filed the 19 Application. In addition, and also as represented in its initial filing, EMW has been able to 20 update cost estimates for all three projects and has supplied those estimates to the 21 Commission and the parties. 22

Both analysis and testimony continue to fully support the Projects as they develop and progress to construction. Although the timeline is aggressive, the Projects remain on a path to complete their construction within the anticipated timelines.

4 Q: Con

Q: Could any actions taken by the new administration affect the Projects?

A: Yes. On February 10, 2025, President Trump signed executive proclamations reinstating
and expanding a 25% tariff on steel imports, as well as increasing tariffs on aluminum
imports to 25%.⁵ The proclamations also revoke existing country-specific exemptions and
quota arrangements and eliminate the mechanism for requesting exclusions for steel and
aluminum products deemed unavailable from domestic sources. These expanded tariffs
will become effective on March 12, 2025. I would note, however, that the proclamations
do leave open the possibility that the President may revisit his tariff orders.

Q: What impact will President Trump's new steel and aluminum tariffs have on McNew,
Viola, and Mullin Creek #1?

14 A: Although there is much uncertainty surrounding the President's tariff proclamations, if the expanded tariffs ordered in the proclamations are implemented without significant 15 modification, they could impact the Projects. While the Company will take measures 16 17 through the contracting process that mitigate some of the risk, such as supporting the purchase of domestic metals where possible, many components that will be needed for 18 these Projects contain steel and aluminum. This extends to less obvious ones like steel rebar 19 20 in concrete. The new tariffs could increase input costs, cause shortages and supply chain 21 disruptions, and escalate the risk of disputes involving cost allocation, project delays, scope

⁵ <u>See</u> "*Adjusting Imports of Steel Into the Untied States*," Proclamation (Feb. 10, 2025), available at: <u>https://www.whitehouse.gov/presidential-actions/2025/02/adjusting-imports-of-steel-into-the-united-states/.</u>

changes, and performance defenses such as force majeure, change in law, or impracticability. These tariffs and other federal actions have the potential to impact the final price of the Projects during the five-to-six-year development process that is required for these power plants to reach commercial operation. However, some of the executive action on tariffs have been delayed or rescinded, adding to the uncertainty. We will continue to monitor and take additional remedial steps, as necessary.

7

Q: What does EMW recommend to the Commission regarding the potential

8 impacts of President Trump's tariff proclamations?

A: After the impacts of the tariff proclamations and other changes in law or tariff policy
become more certain, EMW recommends that it be allowed to submit an adjusted cost
estimate to account for any known and quantifiable tariff-related or other governmental or
economic impacts. EMW requests that the Commission grant it leave at such time to
provide this information to the Commission and the parties.

- 14 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 15 A: Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Case No. EA-2025-0075

AFFIDAVIT OF JASON HUMPHREY

STATE OF MISSORUI)
) ss
COUNTY OF JACKSON)

Jason Humphrey, being first duly sworn on his oath, states:

1. My name is Jason Humphrey and I am employed by Evergy Metro, Inc. as Sr Director Renewable & Assistant Treasurer - Renewables Development.

2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Supplemental Direct Testimony on behalf of Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West consisting of seven (7) pages, having been prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the above-captioned docket.

3. I have knowledge of the matters set forth therein. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions therein propounded, including any attachments thereto, are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Jason Humphrey

Subscribed and sworn before me this 19th day of February 2025.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

4/24/2025 ANTHONY R. WESTENKIRCHNER

Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West

Docket No.: EA-2025-0075 Date: February 19, 2025

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION

The following information is provided to the Missouri Public Service Commission under CONFIDENTIAL SEAL:

Document/Page	Reason for Confidentiality from List Below
Supplemental Direct, p. 3, ln. 15	3, 4, 5, and 6

Rationale for the "confidential" designation pursuant to 20 CSR 4240-2.135 is documented below:

- 1. Customer-specific information;
- 2. Employee-sensitive personnel information;
- 3. Marketing analysis or other market-specific information relating to services offered in competition with others;
- 4. Marketing analysis or other market-specific information relating to goods or services purchased or acquired for use by a company in providing services to customers;
- 5. Reports, work papers, or other documentation related to work produced by internal or external auditors, consultants, or attorneys, except that total amounts billed by each external auditor, consultant, or attorney for services related to general rate proceedings shall always be public;
- 6. Strategies employed, to be employed, or under consideration in contract negotiations;
- 7. Relating to the security of a company's facilities; or
- 8. Concerning trade secrets, as defined in section 417.453, RSMo.
- 9. Other (specify)

Should any party challenge the Company's assertion of confidentiality with respect to the above information, the Company reserves the right to supplement the rationale contained herein with additional factual or legal information.