Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Cost of Capital
Witness: Ann E. Bulkley
Type of Exhibit: Rebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Union Electric Company

File No.: ER-2024-0319

Date Testimony Prepared: January 17, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FILE NO. ER-2024-0319

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ANN E. BULKLEY

ON

BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY

D/B/A AMEREN MISSOURI

St. Louis, Missouri January 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

l.	Introduction	3
II.	Summary and Overview	6
III.	Updated Cost of Equity Analyses	8
IV.	Capital Markets Conditions and Comparable Return	11
V.	Response to Dr. Won	30
	V.A. Proxy Group	30
	V.B. Two-Step DCF Analysis	32
	V.C. CAPM Analysis	
	V.D. BYRP Analysis	
	V.E. Overall Cost of Equity Results	59
VI.	Response to Mr. Murray	60
	VI.A. Overview	60
	VI.B. Proxy Group	70
	VI.C. Multi-Stage DCF Model	71
	VI.D. CAPM Analysis	78
	VI.E. "Rule of Thumb" BYRP Analysis	81
VII.	RESPONSE TO MR. WALTERS	84
	VII.A. DCF Analyses	85
	VII.B. BYRP Analysis	95
	VII.C. CAPM Analysis	102
	VII.D. Overall Cost of Equity Results	106
VIII.	RESPONSE TO MR. COMINGS	108
	VIII.A. Proxy Group	108
	VIII.B. DCF Analyses	110
	VIII.C. CAPM and ECAPM Analyses	113
	VIII.D. Risk Premium Analyses	118
IX.	BUSINESS AND REGULATORY RISKS	121

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A.

Consumers,³ and Tyler Comings on behalf of the Sierra Club,⁴ regarding their respective proposals for the return on equity for the Company in this proceeding. In addition, while it is my understanding that the intent of the testimonies to be filed by the intervening parties on December 3, 2024 was to be direct testimony, Mr. Comings has nonetheless provided rebuttal testimony in part to my cost of equity analyses that I will also address herein.

Q: Are you sponsoring any exhibits in support of rebuttal direct testimony?

8 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Schedule AEB-R1, Attachments 1 through 13, which have been prepared by me or under my direction.

Q: Have you prepared cost of equity analyses to support your rebuttal testimony that reflect current market conditions?

Yes. As discussed in more detail herein, I have prepared updated cost of equity analyses based on market data through November 30, 2024 to rebut the cost of equity analyses of the other witnesses in this proceeding. These analyses validate the reasonableness of my recommended ROE range of 9.90 to 11.25 percent, and that the Company's proposed ROE of 10.25 percent is reasonable. My conclusion continues to be based on not only the results of multiple cost of equity models, as

Deleted: 20

Missouri Public Service Commission, Direct Testimony and Schedules of Christopher C. Walters, Case No. ER-2024-03197, December 3, 2024 ("Walters Direct").

Missouri Public Service Commission, Direct Testimony of Tyler Comings, Case No. ER-2024-03197, December 3, 2024 ("Comings Direct").

Missouri Public Service Commission, Direct Testimony of Ann E. Bulkley, Case No. ER-2024-03197, June 28, 2024 ("Bulkley Direct"), at 73.

Q:

testimony?

30

31

32

33

1 2 3		 Updated cost of equity analyses based on market data through November 30, 2024 confirms that Company's requested ROE of 10,25 percent continues to be reasonable.
4 5 6 7 8		 When Dr. Won's DCF, CAPM, and BYRP analyses are updated to reflect the most current data available and corrected for the issues that I discuss in detail herein, the average cost of equity is 10.53 percent – which is substantially higher than the Company proposed cost of equity in this proceeding.
9 10 11		 Mr. Murray's ROE recommendation lacks analytical foundation and simply represents his own unsupported opinion as to the appropriate ROE for Ameren Missouri. Specifically:
12 13 14		 Mr. Murray conducts DCF and CAPM analyses, as well as a "rule of thumb" BYRP analysis, but does not rely on the results of any of these analyses for his ROE recommendation.
15 16 17 18 19		 Despite a significant increase in interest rates over the past few years that indicates an increase in the cost of equity, which Mr. Murray acknowledges, he nonetheless recommends an ROE that is nearly 20 basis points below what he states is the average authorized ROE nationally for vertically-integrated electric utilities in 2024.
20 21 22 23 24 25		 When Mr. Walters' analyses are updated to place primary weight on his DCF that uses analyst projected EPS growth rates such as he has done in the past, and corrected to reflect the inverse relationship between interest rates and risk premia in his CAPM and BYRP analyses, the results indicate an ROE of 10.50 percent would be appropriate - which too is higher than the Company proposed cost of equity in this proceeding.
26 27 28		 Mr. Comings's cost of equity analyses suffer from the same flaws as I identify in my response to the other witnesses, and consequently, the results of his analyses are understated.
29	III.	Updated Cost of Equity Analyses

Deleted: 20

Have you updated your cost of equity analyses to support your rebuttal

Yes. I have updated the analyses that I prepared in my direct testimony using

market data through November 30, 2024,. In addition, I provide additional analyses

that also rebut the outdated cost of equity analyses provided by Dr. Won, Mr.

FIGURE 2: SUMMARY OF COST OF EQUITY ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Constant Growth DCF

	Minimum Growth Rate	Average Growth Rate	Maximum Growth Rate
Mean Results:			
30-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.08%	10.31%	11.27%
90-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.17%	10.39%	11.36%
180-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.44%	10.67%	11.64%
Average	9.23%	10.46%	11.42%
Median Results:			
30-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.27%	10.04%	10.71%
90-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.39%	10.21%	10.83%
180-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.64%	10.50%	11.15%
Average	9.43%	10.25%	10.90%

CAPM / ECAPM / Bond Yield Risk Premium

	30-Year Treasury Bond Yield							
	Current	Near-Term	Longer-Term					
	30-Day Avg	Projected	Projected					
CAPM:								
Current Value Line Beta	11.65%	11.65%	11.64%					
Current Bloomberg Beta	10.45%	10.43%	10.40%					
Long-term Avg. Value Line Beta	10.29%	10.27%	10.24%					
ECAPM: Current Value Line Beta Current Bloomberg Beta	11.75% 10.85%	11.75% 10.84%	11.74% 10.82%					
Long-term Avg. <i>Value Line</i> Beta	10.73%	10.71%	10.69%					
Bond Yield Risk Premium:	10.53%	10.47%	10.40%					

Con

Mean Results:

30-Day Avg. Stock Price 90-Day Avg. Stock Price 180-Day Avg. Stock Price Average

Median Results:

30-Day Avg. Stock Price 90-Day Avg. Stock Price 180-Day Avg. Stock Price Average

CAPM / ECAPI

CAPM:

Current Value Line Beta Current Bloomberg Beta Long-term Avg. Value Line Beta

ECAPM:

Current Value Line Beta Current Bloomberg Beta Long-term Avg. Value Line Beta

Deleted: Bond Yield Risk Premium:

A.

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q: Do the updated results support the Company's requested ROE of 10.25

Deleted: 20

percent in this proceeding?

Yes. While the results of the cost of equity analyses reflecting market data through November 30, 2024 are moderately lower than the results filed in my direct testimony, the updated results continue to support the Company's recommended ROE of 10,25 percent in this proceeding.

Deleted: 20

IV. Capital Markets Conditions and Comparable Return

Q: Do you generally agree with Dr. Won's and Mr. Murray's characterizations of the changes in market conditions over the past few years and their effect on the cost of equity?

Yes. I generally agree with Dr. Won's and Mr. Murray's respective characterizations of the capital market conditions over the past few years and the fact that they both acknowledge the cost of equity for electric utilities has increased since the Company's last rate proceeding as a result of the changes in capital market conditions. Dr. Won and Mr. Murray recognize that short-term and long-term interest rates are significantly higher since that time due to the Federal Reserve's efforts to combat persistently high inflation. As Dr. Won notes, inflation remains elevated above the Federal Reserve's target and that one of the most important factors in the economic conditions that impact the cost of equity is the

See, e.g., Won Direct, at 4 (range of 9.49 percent to 9.99 percent) as compared to Missouri Public Service Commission, Case No. ER-2022-0337, Direct Testimony of Seoung Joun Won, PhD, January 10, 2023, at 4 (range of 9.34 percent to 9.84 percent); Murray Direct, at 3 (cost of equity range is approximately 0.75 percent higher than his estimate in Ameren Missouri's 2022 rate case).

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

ROE that was established in the Arizona cases may have been set on a different basis.

 Lastly, I excluded authorized returns that reflect a utility-specific penalty, because an authorized ROE that includes a penalty is not indicative of a market-derived cost of equity.

As shown in Figure 6, since 2020, authorized ROEs for vertically-integrated electric utilities have increased. Further, both Dr. Won's recommended ROE of 9.74 percent and Mr. Murray's recommended ROE of 9.50 percent are below the average authorized ROE for vertically-integrated electric utilities in the United States in 2024. Finally, the Company's requested ROE of 10 25 percent is within the range of authorized ROEs for vertically-integrated electric utilities in 2024. Neither Dr. Won nor Mr. Murray have provided any evidence to demonstrate that the Company's ROE should be below the mean authorized ROE in 2024.

Deleted: 20

FIGURE 6: RANGE OF ANNUAL AUTHORIZED ROES FOR VERTICALLY INTEGRATED ELECTRIC UTILITIES, 2020 –2024²³

Year	Mean	Low	High
2020	9.62%	9.20%	10.02%
2021	9.60%	9.00%	10.60%
2022	9.80%	9.30%	10.80%
2023	9.81%	9.25%	11.45%
2024	9.86%	9.26%	10.50%

²³ S&P Capital IQ Pro.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

A.

Specifically, the changes to Dr. Won's two-step DCF, CAPM, and BYRP analyses are shown in Schedule AEB-R1, Attachments 10 through 12, respectively. As shown in Figure 8, the resulting average cost of equity is 10.53 percent – which is significantly higher than the Company's proposed ROE of 10.25 percent in this proceeding.

Deleted: 20

FIGURE 8: RESULTING COST OF EQUITY FROM DR. WON'S ADJUSTED COST OF EQUITY ANALYSES

	Analysis Results
Two-Step DCF Analysis	9.84%
CAPM Analysis	11.57%
BYRP Analysis	10.19%
Average	10.53%

VI. Response to Mr. Murray

VI.A. Overview

Q: Please summarize Mr. Murray's cost of equity analyses.

Mr. Murray estimates the cost of equity by conducting multiple scenarios of a multistage DCF and CAPM analysis. In these analyses, Mr. Murray relies on a proxy group of comparable electric companies, as well as separately calculates results based on Ameren instead of a proxy group. Mr. Murray also uses an ad hoc "rule of thumb" bond risk premium approach as a reasonableness test on the results of

risk premium should be *greater than* the long-term historical average market risk premium – which is not the case for Mr. Murray's simplistic BYRP analysis.

Furthermore, Mr. Murray's "rule of thumb" does not provide any meaningful insight into the cost of equity for the Company in this proceeding given that multiple ranges for this "rule of thumb" have been offered in testimony in prior cases before the Commission. For example, in the Company's last rate proceeding, Dr. Won testified that the "rule of thumb" risk premium ranged from 3.50 percent to 5.50 percent. In addition, Dr. Won has previously testified that the range of the "rule of thumb" market risk premium was 4.00 percent to 6.00 percent. Given Mr. Murray's position that the yield to maturity on Ameren Missouri's recent long-term bonds is about 5.50 percent, if Dr. Won's prior "rule of thumb" range of 4.00 percent to 6.00 percent were utilized, it would suggest that Mr. Murray's estimated cost of equity should be in the range of 9.50 percent to 11.50 percent, or an average of 10.50 percent — which is clearly not supportive of Mr. Murray's ROE recommendation and is in fact higher than the Company's requested ROE of 10.25 percent in this proceeding.

Lastly, Mr. Murray's simplistic "rule of thumb" produces material differences in the results that are inconsistent with his ROE recommendations over time.

Deleted: 20

Missouri Public Service Commission, Case Nos. ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130, Direct Testimony of Seoung Joun Won, June 8, 2022, at 29.

¹¹³ Missouri Public Service Commission, Case No. WR-2020-0344, Staff Cost of Service Report, November 2020, at 27.

10

Excluding his CAPM scenarios that rely on the Kroll "normalized" market risk premium for failing to account for the inverse relationship between interest rates and the market risk premium. 142

Based on these changes, the average results of each of Mr. Walters's three analyses range from 10.35 percent to 10.65 percent, with a midpoint of 10.50 percent, which supports the Company's proposed ROE of 10,25 percent, and is

Deleted: 20

FIGURE 17: COMPARISON OF THE RESULTS OF MR. WALTERS'S COST OF EQUITY ANALYSES - AS FILED V ADJUSTED

significantly greater than Mr. Walters's recommended ROE of 9.50 percent.

	Mr. V	Valters	Mr. Walters		
	As l	Filed	As Ac	ljusted	
	Mean	Median	Mean	Median	
DCF					
Constant Growth (analyst growth rates)	10.81%	10.49%	10.81%	10.49%	
Constant Growth (sustainable growth rates)	8.70%	8.72%	n/a	n/a	
Multi-Stage	8.67%	8.43%	n/a	n/a	
Average	9.3	9.30%		55%	
DCF					
Near-Term Projected Treasury Bond Yield	9.9	00%	10.	33%	
3-Month Avg. A-rated Utility Bond Yield	9.7	5%	10.26%		
3-Month Avg. Baa-rated Utility Bond Yield	9.96%		10.37%		
6-Month Avg. A-rated Utility Bond Yield	9.9	0%	10.34%		
6-Month Avg. Baa-rated Utility Bond Yield	10.	12%	10.45%		
Average	9.9	9%	10.35%		
CAPM					
Kroll Normalized Method:	8.3	7%	n	/a	
Risk Premium Method:	10.21%		9.8	5%	
FERC DCF Method:	10.78%		11.	03%	
Average	9.4	0%	10.4	14%	
Midpoint			10.5	50%	

¹⁴² Walters Direct, Exhibit CCW-15, at 1; reflects the CAPM results of Mr. Walters's (i) Risk Premium Derived MRP; and (ii) Average FERC S&P 500 DCF Derived MRP scenarios.

is proposing an ROE of 10.25 percent. Thus, there is no basis for Mr. Comings to suggest that the results of my CAPM analyses or recommended range somehow impugn the applicability of the ECAPM for purposes of establishing the Company's authorized ROE in this proceeding.

Deleted: 20

Second, the ECAPM does not "merely adjust" an "already high CAPM" result and thus suggest that the need for the analysis is "dubious" as Mr. Comings contends. As I discussed in my direct testimony, the ECAPM is conducted because the traditional CAPM understates the cost of equity for companies such as utilities with a beta less than 1.0. The cost of equity is not a figure that can be determined definitively and must be estimated, and thus that is precisely why it is reasonable and appropriate to rely on the results of multiple cost of equity estimation models for purposes of recommending an ROE. The ECAPM is one of multiple models that are appropriately used by analysts to estimate the cost of equity and used by regulatory commissions to establish a utility's ROE.

Q: Do you agree with Mr. Comings's criticism of the equity risk premium that you rely on in your CAPM and ECAPM analyses by reference to his own assumption?¹⁵³

A. No. The market risk premia relied on by Mr. Comings suffer from all of the same flaws that I previously discussed in my response to Dr. Won and, as a result,

COST OF EQUITY ANALYSES SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Constant Growth DCF

	Minimum Growth Rate	Average Growth Rate	Maximum Growth Rate
Mean Results:			
30-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.08%	10.31%	11.27%
90-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.17%	10.39%	11.36%
180-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.44%	10.67%	11.64%
Average	9.23%	10.46%	11.42%
Median Results:			
30-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.27%	10.04%	10.71%
90-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.39%	10.21%	10.83%
180-Day Avg. Stock Price	9.64%	10.50%	11.15%
Average	9.43%	10.25%	10.90%

CAPM / ECAPM / Bond Yield Risk Premium

	30-Year Treasury Bond Yield						
	Current	Near-Term	Longer-Term				
	30-Day Avg	Projected	Projected				
CAPM:							
Current Value Line Beta	11.65%	11.65%	11.64%				
Current Bloomberg Beta	10.45%	10.43%	10.40%				
Long-term Avg. Value Line Beta	10.29%	10.27%	10.24%				
ECAPM:							
Current Value Line Beta	11.75%	11.75%	11.74%				
Current Bloomberg Beta	10.85%	10.84%	10.82%				
Long-term Avg. Value Line Beta	10.73%	10.71%	10.69%				
Bond Yield Risk Premium:	10.53%	10.47%	10.40%				

CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

30-DAY AVERAGE STOCK PRICES

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
Company	Ticker	Annualized Dividend	Stock Price	Dividend Yield	Expected Dividend Yield	Value Line EPS Growth	S&P CapIQ EPS Growth	Zacks EPS Growth	Average Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Minimum Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Average Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Maximum Growth Rate
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	\$1.92	\$60.54	3.17%	3.27%	6.00%	6.69%	6.70%	6.46%	9.27%	9.74%	9.98%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$3.72	\$97.21	3.83%	3.95%	6.50%	6.28%	6.20%	6.33%	10.15%	10.28%	10.45%
Avista Corporation	AVA	\$1.90	\$37.55	5.06%	5.17%	5.00%	4.68%	3.90%	4.53%	9.06%	9.70%	10.19%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	\$2.06	\$69.03	2.98%	3.09%	6.00%	7.37%	7.50%	6.96%	9.07%	10.04%	10.60%
DTE Energy Company	DTE	\$4.08	\$123.55	3.30%	3.41%	4.50%	7.82%	8.00%	6.77%	7.88%	10.19%	11.43%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$4.18	\$114.30	3.66%	3.77%	5.00%	6.39%	6.40%	5.93%	8.75%	9.69%	10.17%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$4.80	\$144.84	3.31%	3.40%	0.50%	7.56%	8.30%	5.45%	3.82%	8.86%	11.75%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$2.67	\$61.88	4.31%	4.45%	7.50%	5.62%	5.80%	6.31%	10.06%	10.76%	11.98%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	\$3.44	\$111.29	3.09%	3.20%	6.00%	7.12%	8.30%	7.14%	9.18%	10.34%	11.52%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$2.06	\$78.02	2.64%	2.75%	8.50%	8.31%	8.10%	8.30%	10.85%	11.05%	11.25%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.60	\$54.86	4.74%	4.86%	4.00%	5.36%	6.10%	5.15%	8.83%	10.01%	10.98%
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	\$1.69	\$42.03	4.01%	4.13%	6.50%	6.02%	5.20%	5.91%	9.31%	10.03%	10.64%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$3.58	\$89.78	3.99%	4.12%	4.50%	7.04%	8.20%	6.58%	8.58%	10.70%	12.35%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	\$2.00	\$47.45	4.21%	4.41%	6.00%	8.79%	12.60%	9.13%	10.34%	13.54%	17.08%
PPL Corporation	PPL	\$1.03	\$33.28	3.10%	3.21%	7.50%	7.04%	6.80%	7.11%	10.00%	10.32%	10.71%
Southern Company	so	\$2.88	\$88.95	3.24%	3.34%	6.50%	6.47%	6.80%	6.59%	9.82%	9.94%	10.15%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$2.19	\$67.57	3.24%	3.35%	6.00%	7.03%	6.90%	6.64%	9.34%	9.99%	10.39%
Mean				3.64%	3.76%	5.68%	6.80%	7.16%	6.55%	9.08%	10.31%	11.27%
Median				3.31%	3.41%	6.00%	7.03%	6.80%	6.58%	9.27%	10.04%	10.71%

Notes:

- [1] Bloomberg Professional
- [2] Bloomberg Professional, equals 30-day average as of November 30, 2024
- [3] Equals [1] / [2]
- [4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])
- [5] Value Line
- [6] Yahoo! Finance
- [7] Zacks
- [8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])
- [9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7]) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])
- [10] Equals [4] + [8]
- [11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7]) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])

CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

90-DAY AVERAGE STOCK PRICES

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
Company	Ticker	Annualized Dividend	Stock Price	Dividend Yield	Expected Dividend Yield	Value Line EPS Growth	S&P CapIQ EPS Growth	Zacks EPS Growth	Average Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Minimum Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Average Growth Rate	Cost of Equity - Maximum Growth Rate
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	\$1.92	\$58.88	3.26%	3.37%	6.00%	6.69%	6.70%	6.46%	9.36%	9.83%	10.07%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$3.72	\$98.33	3.78%	3.90%	6.50%	6.28%	6.20%	6.33%	10.10%	10.23%	10.41%
Avista Corporation	AVA	\$1.90	\$37.70	5.04%	5.15%	5.00%	4.68%	3.90%	4.53%	9.04%	9.68%	10.17%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	\$2.06	\$68.06	3.03%	3.13%	6.00%	7.37%	7.50%	6.96%	9.12%	10.09%	10.64%
DTE Energy Company	DTE	\$4.08	\$123.46	3.30%	3.42%	4.50%	7.82%	8.00%	6.77%	7.88%	10.19%	11.44%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$4.18	\$113.29	3.69%	3.80%	5.00%	6.39%	6.40%	5.93%	8.78%	9.73%	10.21%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$4.80	\$129.94	3.69%	3.79%	0.50%	7.56%	8.30%	5.45%	4.20%	9.25%	12.15%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$2.67	\$59.93	4.46%	4.60%	7.50%	5.62%	5.80%	6.31%	10.21%	10.90%	12.12%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	\$3.44	\$104.54	3.29%	3.41%	6.00%	7.12%	8.30%	7.14%	9.39%	10.55%	11.73%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$2.06	\$79.31	2.60%	2.71%	8.50%	8.31%	8.10%	8.30%	10.80%	11.01%	11.21%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.60	\$54.44	4.78%	4.90%	4.00%	5.36%	6.10%	5.15%	8.87%	10.05%	11.02%
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	\$1.69	\$40.34	4.18%	4.30%	6.50%	6.02%	5.20%	5.91%	9.49%	10.21%	10.81%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$3.58	\$87.62	4.09%	4.22%	4.50%	7.04%	8.20%	6.58%	8.68%	10.80%	12.45%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	\$2.00	\$47.22	4.24%	4.43%	6.00%	8.79%	12.60%	9.13%	10.36%	13.56%	17.10%
PPL Corporation	PPL	\$1.03	\$32.12	3.21%	3.32%	7.50%	7.04%	6.80%	7.11%	10.12%	10.43%	10.83%
Southern Company	so	\$2.88	\$87.75	3.28%	3.39%	6.50%	6.47%	6.80%	6.59%	9.86%	9.98%	10.19%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$2.19	\$63.47	3.45%	3.56%	6.00%	7.03%	6.90%	6.64%	9.55%	10.21%	10.60%
Mean				3.73%	3.85%	5.68%	6.80%	7.16%	6.55%	9.17%	10.39%	11.36%
Median				3.69%	3.79%	6.00%	7.03%	6.80%	6.58%	9.39%	10.21%	10.83%

Notes:

^[1] Bloomberg Professional

^[2] Bloomberg Professional, equals 90-day average as of November 30, 2024

^[3] Equals [1] / [2]

^[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])

^[5] Value Line

^[6] Yahoo! Finance

^[7] Zacks

^[8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])

^[9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7]) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])

^[10] Equals [4] + [8]

^[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7]) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])

CONSTANT GROWTH DCF

180-DAY AVERAGE STOCK PRICES

		[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]	[10]	[11]
					Expected					Cost of Equity -	Cost of Equity -	Cost of Equity -
	-	Annualized	Stock	Dividend	Dividend	Value Line	S&P CapIQ	Zacks EPS	Average	Minimum	Average	Maximum
Company	Ticker	Dividend	Price	Yield	Yield	EPS Growth	EPS Growth	Growth	Growth Rate	Growth Rate	Growth Rate	Growth Rate
Alliant Energy Corporation	LNT	\$1.92	\$54.28	3.54%	3.65%	6.00%	6.69%	6.70%	6.46%	9.64%	10.12%	10.36%
American Electric Power Company, Inc.	AEP	\$3.72	\$91.99	4.04%	4.17%	6.50%	6.28%	6.20%	6.33%	10.37%	10.50%	10.68%
Avista Corporation	AVA	\$1.90	\$36.08	5.27%	5.39%	5.00%	4.68%	3.90%	4.53%	9.27%	9.91%	10.40%
CMS Energy Corporation	CMS	\$2.06	\$63.61	3.24%	3.35%	6.00%	7.37%	7.50%	6.96%	9.34%	10.31%	10.86%
DTE Energy Company	DTE	\$4.08	\$116.80	3.49%	3.61%	4.50%	7.82%	8.00%	6.77%	8.07%	10.38%	11.63%
Duke Energy Corporation	DUK	\$4.18	\$105.61	3.96%	4.08%	5.00%	6.39%	6.40%	5.93%	9.06%	10.00%	10.48%
Entergy Corporation	ETR	\$4.80	\$117.51	4.08%	4.20%	0.50%	7.56%	8.30%	5.45%	4.59%	9.65%	12.55%
Evergy, Inc.	EVRG	\$2.67	\$55.88	4.78%	4.93%	7.50%	5.62%	5.80%	6.31%	10.54%	11.24%	12.46%
IDACORP, Inc.	IDA	\$3.44	\$98.14	3.51%	3.63%	6.00%	7.12%	8.30%	7.14%	9.61%	10.77%	11.95%
NextEra Energy, Inc.	NEE	\$2.06	\$74.17	2.78%	2.89%	8.50%	8.31%	8.10%	8.30%	10.99%	11.20%	11.40%
NorthWestern Corporation	NWE	\$2.60	\$51.94	5.01%	5.14%	4.00%	5.36%	6.10%	5.15%	9.11%	10.29%	11.26%
OGE Energy Corporation	OGE	\$1.69	\$37.38	4.51%	4.64%	6.50%	6.02%	5.20%	5.91%	9.82%	10.55%	11.15%
Pinnacle West Capital Corporation	PNW	\$3.58	\$80.85	4.43%	4.57%	4.50%	7.04%	8.20%	6.58%	9.03%	11.15%	12.81%
Portland General Electric Company	POR	\$2.00	\$44.77	4.47%	4.67%	6.00%	8.79%	12.60%	9.13%	10.60%	13.80%	17.35%
PPL Corporation	PPL	\$1.03	\$29.84	3.45%	3.57%	7.50%	7.04%	6.80%	7.11%	10.37%	10.69%	11.08%
Southern Company	SO	\$2.88	\$81.04	3.55%	3.67%	6.50%	6.47%	6.80%	6.59%	10.14%	10.26%	10.47%
Xcel Energy Inc.	XEL	\$2.19	\$58.37	3.75%	3.88%	6.00%	7.03%	6.90%	6.64%	9.86%	10.52%	10.92%
Mean				3.99%	4.12%	5.68%	6.80%	7.16%	6.55%	9.44%	10.67%	11.64%
Median				3.96%	4.08%	6.00%	7.03%	6.80%	6.58%	9.64%	10.50%	11.15%

Notes

^[1] Bloomberg Professional

^[2] Bloomberg Professional, equals 180-day average as of November 30, 2024

^[3] Equals [1] / [2]

^[4] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x [8])

^[5] Value Line

^[6] Yahoo! Finance

^[7] Zacks

^[8] Equals Average ([5], [6], [7])

^[9] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Minimum ([5], [6], [7]) + Minimum ([5], [6], [7])

^[10] Equals [4] + [8]

^[11] Equals [3] x (1 + 0.50 x Maximum ([5], [6], [7]) + Maximum ([5], [6], [7])