Exhibit No.:Issue(s):Plant In Service & Accumulated
Depreciation Reserve,
Capitalized Depreciation,
Office Supply and Services Expense,
Penalties, Community Relations, and
Membership Dues,
Building Maintenance & Services,
Maintenance Supplies & Services
Expense,
Bad Debt Expense, O&M ExpenseWitness:Alexis L. BransonSponsoring Party:MoPSC Staff
Corrected Direct / Rebuttal Testimony
Case No.:WR-2024-0320Date Testimony Prepared:March 7, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

AUDITING DEPARTMENT

CORRECTED DIRECT / REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

ALEXIS L. BRANSON

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2024-0320

Jefferson City, Missouri March 2025

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	CORRECTED DIRECT / REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF
3	ALEXIS L. BRANSON
4	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
5	CASE NO. WR-2024-0320
6	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
7	PLANT IN SERVICE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE2
8	CAPITALIZED DEPRECIATION
9	OFFICE SUPPLY AND SERVICES EXPENSE
10	PENALTIES, COMMUNITY RELATIONS, AND MEMBERSHIP DUES5
11	BUILDING MAINTENANCE & SERVICES8
12	MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES & SERVICES EXPENSE
13	TANK PAINTING EXPENSE11
14	BAD DEBT EXPENSE12
15	OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE ("O&M") EXPENSE PERCENTAGE13

1		CORRECTED DIRECT / REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	
2	OF		
3		ALEXIS L. BRANSON	
4		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	
5		CASE NO. WR-2024-0320	
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
7	А.	My name is Alexis L. Branson, and my business address is 200 Madison Street,	
8	Suite 440, Je	fferson City, Missouri 65101.	
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
10	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as	
11	a Utility Regulatory Auditor.		
12	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.	
13	А.	I graduated from Columbia College in 2019 with a Bachelor of Science degree	
14	in Business .	Administration and a minor in Accounting. I completed all necessary courses in	
15	accounting a	and business. Prior to my work at the Commission, I worked for the Missouri	
16	Department	of Revenue in the business tax accounting, auditing, and record keeping sections.	
17	I began my e	employment with the Commission in July 2022.	
18	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?	
19	А.	Yes, I have. Please refer to Schedule ALB-d1, attached to this direct / rebuttal	
20	testimony, for a list of cases in which I have filed testimony.		
21	Q.	With respect to Case No. WR-2024-0320, have you examined the books and	
22	records of the	e Missouri-American Water Company ("MAWC")?	
23	А.	Yes, with the assistance of other members of the Commission Staff ("Staff").	

1 Q. What knowledge, skills, experience, training, or education do you have in the 2 areas in which you are testifying as an expert witness? 3 A. I have reviewed the prior workpapers, prior cases, prior testimony, and data 4 presented by MAWC on these issues, in addition to knowledge, skills, expertise, training and 5 education described above. I have also worked closely with senior auditors and supervisors, 6 who possess extensive regulatory knowledge. 7 **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 8 What is the purpose of your direct / rebuttal testimony? Q. 9 A. The purpose of my direct / rebuttal testimony is to present Staff's 10 recommendations concerning Plant In Service, Accumulated Depreciation Reserve, Capitalized 11 Depreciation, Office Supply and Services Expense, Penalties, Community Relations, and 12 Membership Dues, Building Maintenance & Services, Maintenance Supplies & Services 13 Expense, Bad Debt Expense, and Operation & Maintenance ("O&M") Expense Percentage. 14 I will also respond to the direct testimony of MAWC's witnesses Matthew A. Lueders and 15 Jennifer M.B. Grisham regarding tank painting and bad debt expense, respectively. 16 PLANT IN SERVICE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION RESERVE 17 Q. What methodology did MAWC use to determine what to include in Plant in 18 Service ("Plant") and Accumulated Depreciation Reserve ("Reserve")? 19 A. MAWC made use of the actual Plant balance as of December 31, 2023, and the 20 projected Plant balances on December 31, 2024, and May 31, 2025, making adjustments for 21 projected capital spending. The same method was used for accumulated depreciation reserve.

1	Q.	Did Staff include Plant and Reserve in its revenue requirement?	
2	A. Yes. Staff included Plant and Reserve based on actual book amounts as of		
3	June 30, 2024, the agreed upon update period in this case. Staff intends to include changes to		
4	Plant and Reserve balances through December 31, 2024, in its true-up accounting schedules.		
5	Q.	Did Staff adjust the June 30, 2024, book amount for Plant?	
6	А.	No. Staff recommends no adjustments to MAWC's June 30, 2024, Plant balance.	
7	Q.	Did Staff adjust the June 30, 2024, book amount for reserve?	
8	А.	Yes. Staff recommends that adjustments be made for land accounts in which	
9	MAWC reported reserve. Staff made adjustments of \$1,582 for water and \$(27) for sewer to		
10	remove these	reserve amounts because land is not a depreciable asset.	
11	CAPITALIZ	ZED DEPRECIATION	
12	Q.	Please explain capitalized depreciation.	
13	А.	Expenses related to construction projects are accumulated in construction-work-	
14	in-progress ("CWIP") accounts that can be included in rates after the completion of each	
15	project. The capitalized expenses include depreciation expense associated with assets used in		
16	construction, such as power-operated equipment and transportation equipment. Capitalized		
17	depreciation expenses must be subtracted from the depreciation expense calculated using		
18	MAWC's total plant-in-service balances to prevent double recovery in rates.		
19	Q.	Did MAWC state its position related to capitalized depreciation in its	
20	direct testime	ony?	
21	А.	No. MAWC did not submit any direct testimony related to capitalized	
22	depreciation.		

1	Q. Did Staff review capitalized depreciation for this rate case?				
2	A. Yes. Staff reviewed MAWC's response to Staff Data Request ("DR") No. 0130				
3	which requested a breakdown of the amount of depreciation expense for the 12 months ending				
4	December 31, 2023, on transportation equipment and power-operated equipment that MAWC				
5	owned or purchased through a capitalized lease.				
6	Q. How did Staff determine capitalized depreciation to include in it	its			
7	recommendation?				
8	A. After receiving MAWC's response to Staff DR No. 0159 in Case	se			
9	No. WR-2017-0285, and after further discussion with MAWC in that rate case, Staff discovered				
10	that MAWC was not tracking the amount of time these assets are being used for construction				
11	versus expense. Based on MAWC's response to Staff DR No. 0141 in this case, MAWC is still				
12	not keeping track of this information. Therefore, Staff deducted capitalized depreciation based				
13	on the overall capitalization ratio Staff calculated from its total depreciation expense to arrive				
14	at the amount of depreciation expense associated with O&M related functions. If MAWO	′C			
15	tracked this information, Staff could use the actual capitalized depreciation and not have to use	se			
16	an overall capitalization ratio.				
17	Q. Does Staff propose an adjustment for capitalized depreciation?				
18	A. Yes. Staff's adjustment for capitalized depreciation is \$(1,666,005).				
19	OFFICE SUPPLY AND SERVICES EXPENSE				
20	Q. What is included in the operating expense related to office supply and services	s?			
21	A. The operating expense for office supply and services are those expenses related				
22	to software licenses, bank fees, and various office supplies.				

Page 4

1	Q. Did MAWC state its position related to office supply and services in its			
2	direct testimony?			
3	A. No. MAWC did not submit any direct testimony related to office supply			
4	and services.			
5	Q. Did Staff calculate an adjustment for office supply and services expense?			
6	A. Yes. Staff calculated a total adjustment of \$29,707. Staff grouped 16 accounts			
7	MAWC uses to record office supply and services expenses into the following subcategories:			
8	Bank Charges			
9	Books & Publications			
10	• Forms			
11	Office & Administrative Supplies			
12	Software Licenses			
13	• Uniforms			
14	Staff reviewed trends for each subcategory for either the three-year period ending			
15	December 31, 2023, or the test year composed of the most current 12 months of data ending			
16	December 31, 2023. Staff selected the test year for the subcategories Bank Charges and Forms.			
17	Staff selected these subcategories because trends showed them to be in a consistent rise or			
18	decline. Staff used a three-year average for the following subcategories: Books & Publications,			
19	Office & Administration Supplies, Software Licenses, and Uniforms, where more fluctuation			
20	was evident.			
21	PENALTIES, COMMUNITY RELATIONS, AND MEMBERSHIP DUES			
22	Q. Please describe Penalties, Community Relations, and Membership Dues			

Q. Please describe Penalties, Comp
expense as it relates to common auditing criteria.

1	A. The umbrella of Penalties, Community Relations, and Membership Dues			
2	includes accounts for MAWC's charitable contributions, membership dues, community			
3	partnerships, customer education, customer relations, and penalties. These accounts are where			
4	promotional items and costs relating to advertising are recorded.			
5	Q. Did MAWC state its position related to Penalties, Community Relations, and			
6	Membership Dues expense in its direct testimony?			
7	A. No. MAWC did not submit any direct testimony related to Penalties,			
8	Community Relations, and Membership Dues expense.			
9	Q. Did Staff calculate an adjustment for Penalties, Community Relations, and			
10	Membership Dues expense?			
11	A. Yes, Staff calculated a total adjustment of \$(932,074). Staff grouped 14 accounts			
12	MAWC uses to record all associated cost and reviewed actual costs for each account for the			
13	test year ending December 31, 2023. Staff submitted DR No. 0172, which requested all			
14	General Ledger entries for dues and donations expenses incurred for the calendar years of 2021,			
15	2022, and 2023, trued-up through June 30, 2024. Staff also submitted DR No. 0218, which			
16	requested copies of supporting documentation and additional information on Purchase Card			
17	transactions that were not previously provided for all accounts under the Penalties, Community			
18	Relations, and Membership Dues category. Staff reviewed MAWC's responses to			
19	Staff DR Nos. 0172 and 0218. In its response to Staff DR No. 0172, MAWC stated that			
20	"All donation expenses billed to MAWC from the [American Water Works] Service Company			
21	were removed for ratemaking purposes. MAWC is not requesting recovery of donations			
22	expenses in the pro forma Support Services expense." MAWC also included in its response to			

Staff DR Nos. 0172 and 0218 a list of direct and allocated expenses incurred from January 1,
 2023, through June 30, 2024.

3 After review, Staff determined that some of these costs were recoverable expenses and 4 made necessary adjustments to include these costs in Staff's level of Penalties, 5 Community Relations, and Membership Dues expense. Some of the expenses that Staff 6 included are press releases that promoted water conservation, door hangers associated with 7 precautionary boil water advisories, and customer notices that provided general information 8 that are useful for the provision of safe and reliable service. Some of the expenses that Staff 9 disallowed include lanyards, press releases regarding grant programs, and rubber ducks for 10 community events. Staff determined these costs do not provide a direct benefit to ratepayers 11 and were, therefore, excluded from Staff's recommendation. Staff removed all expenses related 12 to dues for The National Association of Water Companies ("NAWC") in its' ongoing level of 13 expenses. MAWC has ended its membership with NAWC, and that expense is no longer 14 reoccurring going forward.

15 Staff reviewed the supporting documentation MAWC provided in its responses to 16 Staff DR Nos. 0172 and 0218 and determined that most expenses associated with dues and 17 memberships were for sponsorships (such as paying to sponsor a golf team or for an 18 advertisement in a flyer for a local community event), and that a portion of dues and 19 memberships were for lobbying or political activities. The Commission has determined in the 20 past that lobbying or political activities are not in ratepayers' best interest, as they do not provide 21 a direct quantifiable customer benefit, and the Commission consistently disallows these 22 expenses. For example, the following statement was made in *In the Matter of Missouri Public* 23 Service, a Division of UtiliCorp United, Inc.'s Tariff Designed to Increase Rates for Electric

1	Service to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of the Company, Case No. ER-97-394,			
2	Report and Order, 7 Mo.P.S.C.3d 178, 212 (1998):			
3 4 5 6 7 8	The Commission has traditionally disallowed donations such as these. The Commission finds nothing in the record to indicate any discernible ratepayer benefit results from the payment of these donations. The Commission agrees with the Staff in that membership in the various organizations involved in this issue is not necessary for the provision of safe and adequate service to the MPS ratepayers.			
9	To determine the	To determine the amount of dues and memberships for lobbying or political activities,		
10	Staff contacts the orga	Staff contacts the organizations to receive the percentage of dues that go towards lobbying or		
11	political activities. Using those percentages, Staff determines the lobbying/political activity			
12	portions of the dues for these memberships to exclude.			
13	Staff recommends the exclusion of the cost of any promotional giveaway item that does			
14	not provide a direct benefit to the ratepayers and is not necessary for the provision of safe and			
15	adequate utility service to its customers.			
16	BUILDING MAINTH	ENANCE & SERVICES		
17	Q. Please e	explain building maintenance expense.		
18	A. These a	are expenses related to electricity, grounds keeping, heating oil/gas,		
19	janitorial, security, tras	h removal, and water/wastewater for building maintenance.		
20	Q. What m	ethodology did MAWC use to determine building maintenance expense?		
21	A. To calcu	ulate building maintenance and services expense, MAWC began with the		
22	actual expense from th	ne 12 months ending December 31, 2023, then normalized activity by		
23	removing one-time expenses to arrive at an expected 12 months of expense.			
24	Q. How do	es Staff's methodology differ from MAWC's methodology?		

1	A. Staff reviewed and analyzed invoices MAWC provided in response to		
2	Staff DR Nos. 0235 and 0236. Staff compared test year data, the two-year average, and the		
3	three-year average to actual building maintenance costs MAWC incurred and determined the		
4	data demonstrates a steady increase in building maintenance expenses.		
5	Q. What is the appropriate amount of building maintenance expense to include in		
6	MAWC's cost of service?		
7	A. The appropriate amount of building maintenance expense is \$2,237,475.		
8	This represents the update period for building maintenance costs of the twelve months ending		
9	June 30, 2024. Staff believes the update period best represents a normalized level of ongoing		
10	expense due to the rising costs of building maintenance.		
11	Q. Will Staff be addressing building maintenance expense as part of its		
12	true-up calculations?		
13	A. Yes. Staff will update it calculation for this expense through the true-up cutoff,		
14	which is December 31, 2024.		
15	MAINTENANCE SUPPLIES & SERVICES EXPENSE		
16	Q. Please explain maintenance supplies and services expense.		
17	A. Maintenance supplies and service expenses are the costs MAWC incurs for		
18	ongoing maintenance supplies, miscellaneous maintenance, miscellaneous maintenance		
19	permits, and contract service expenses. This account includes expenses related to hydrant		
20	painting, main breaks expense, valve maintenance expense, and tank painting (engineered		
21	coatings) expense. Tank painting expense will be discussed in a separate section later		
22	in this testimony.		

- Q. What methodology did MAWC use to determine maintenance supplies and
 services expense?
- A. To calculate maintenance supplies and services expense, MAWC began with the actual expense from the 12 months ending December 31, 2023, then normalized activity to exclude expense items that were accelerated to arrive at an expected 12 months of expense.
- Q. Has Staff had concerns with the data for maintenance supplies and services
 expense that MAWC recorded in the past?
- 8 Yes. In addition to the items mentioned above, MAWC also recorded expenses A. 9 for main breaks, hydrant maintenance and painting, and tank painting in its maintenance and 10 supplies services accounts. This continued until 2020, when MAWC started using unique 11 coding to separate hydrant costs from the other maintenance supplies and services expenses. 12 Therefore, Staff is comfortable with the separation of data from 2020 through June 30, 2024. 13 For this reason, Staff included a three-year average of these costs in MAWC's cost of service. 14 Staff believes a three-year average best represents normalized ongoing expense for the 15 fluctuating costs of maintenance.
- Q. What steps did staff take to ensure an appropriate level of main break expense
 was included as part of the three-year average ending June 30, 2024?
- A. Staff looked at the number of main breaks within each month, comparing them
 to the data of the same months gathered from 2018 through the present. Staff used this
 information to ensure no outliers could be seen in any month used for the average.
- Q. What is the appropriate amount of maintenance supplies and services expenseto include in the cost of service calculation?

1	А.	Staff used a three-year average for the period ending June 30, 2024, to arrive at	
2	\$9,264,874 for maintenance supplies and services expense.		
3	Q.	Will Staff address maintenance supplies and service expense as part of its	
4	true-up calculations?		
5	А.	Yes. Staff will update this expense through the true-up cutoff, December 31,	
6	2024.		
7	TANK PAIN	TING EXPENSE	
8	Q.	Please explain tank painting, also known as engineered coatings expense.	
9	А.	Tank painting is the cost of maintaining a water storage tank. These costs include	
10	routine tank inspections and tank painting maintenance costs.		
11	Q.	What methodology did MAWC use to determine tank painting/engineered	
12	coatings expe	nse?	
13	А.	As described in the direct testimony of MAWC witness Mr. Lueders, MAWC is	
14	proposing cap	pitalization treatment of investments related to engineered coatings starting the	
15	effective date	e of rates in this proceeding. The engineered coatings capitalization issue is	
16	discussed in t	he direct / rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Amanda C. McMellen.	
17	Q.	Did Staff make an adjustment for tank painting expense? If so, please explain	
18	that adjustment	nt.	
19	А.	Yes, Staff adjusted tank painting expense using a five-year average of tank	
20	painting and	inspection costs for the five 12-month periods ending December 31, 2023, to	
21	determine a r	normalized level of \$1,949,792 for tank painting and inspection expense. Staff	
22	believes a five	e-year average best represents normalized ongoing expense as it takes into account	

1	the extended time frame of tank painting and repair and accounts for a period of time with both				
2	high and low cost years. Staff allocated the normalized tank painting and inspection expense				
3	by using an allocation factor determined by the square footage of the tanks in each district.				
4	Using that metric, Staff allocated 61.33% percent of cost to St. Louis water districts and 38.67%				
5	of costs to all other water districts.				
6	Q.	Q. Will Staff address tank painting expense as part of its true-up calculations?			
7	А.	A. Yes. Staff will update this expense through the true-up cutoff, December 31,			
8	2024.				
9	BAD DEBT EXPENSE				
10	Q.	Please describe bad debt (uncollectible) expense.			
11	А.	Uncollectible expense, or bad debt expense, is the portion of revenues MAWC			
12	is unable to c	ollect from customers due to non-payment of customer bills. Per MAWC's bad			
13	debt policy, provided in response to Staff DR No. 0114, after a certain period of time has passed,				
14	delinquent cu	stomer accounts that owe under \$50,000 are written-off, while accounts with a			
15	balance over	\cdot \$50,000 are turned over to collection agencies to attempt to collect			
16	the delinquent	cies.			
17	Q.	What methodology did MAWC use to determine its uncollectible expense?			
18	А.	MAWC witness Ms. Grisham states in her direct testimony on page 8,			
19	lines 16-21:				
20 21 22 23 24		The Company calculated an uncollectible rate for the years 2021, 2022, and 2023 by taking actual net charge-offs over annual billed revenue. Any adjustments for the recording of an allowance for doubtful accounts have been removed from the calculation. This three (3) year average was then applied to present rate and proposed rate revenues to			

develop the on-going level of expense that will be applicable to the 1 2 filed revenue requirement. О. Does Staff consider bad debt expense when calculating its recommended 3 4 revenue requirement? 5 A. Yes. Staff's task is to determine the appropriate level of uncollectible expense 6 that should be included in Staff's recommended revenue requirement. Uncollectible expense is 7 a normalized level of actual bad debt net charge-offs (write-offs less recoveries). 8 Q. Did Staff review bad debt expense for this rate case? 9 A. Yes. Staff reviewed net charge-offs for the period of January 2019 through 10 December 2023. Staff excluded the 2020 net charge-offs from its review due to the impacts of 11 the COVID-19 pandemic. Staff analyzed the data to determine whether there was a trend over those four years. 12 13 Q. What did Staff determine to be the level of bad debt expense to include in its 14 recommendation? 15 A. Staff determined that a three-year average of the net charge-offs was 16 appropriate due to bad debt expense varying greatly from case to case. 17 What is Staff's normalized amount for bad debt expense? Q, 18 Staff's normalized total amount for bad debt expense is \$2,801,277. A. 19 **OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE ("O&M") EXPENSE PERCENTAGE** 20 Q. Please describe the O&M expense percentage. 21 A. In each general rate case, Staff reviews the historical levels of labor that have 22 been utilized for both capital and expense and develops a percentage reflecting a normalized 23 level of labor that is considered expense as opposed to capital. Staff then applies

1	that O&M percentage to annualized employee related costs in the cost of service. In order to		
2	account for labor that is associated with construction activities, Staff applied an O&M expense		
3	percentage to Staff's annualized payroll to determine the appropriate level of payroll to be		
4	included as an expense. MAWC used a three-year average of expenses to determine the		
5	percentage in their Labor workpaper. Staff determined this percentage using the capital and		
6	expense totals given by MAWC in response to Staff DR No. 0158, which comprised		
7	the 12 months ending December 31, 2023.		
8	Q. What is Staff's O&M percentage for this rate case?		
9	A. Staff's O&M percentage for expense is 53.78% and capitalized at 46.22%.		
10	Q. Does this conclude your corrected direct / rebuttal testimony?		
11	A. Yes it does.		

Page 14

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

)

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2024-0320

AFFIDAVIT OF ALEXIS L. BRANSON

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

COMES NOW ALEXIS L. BRANSON and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Corrected Direct / Rebuttal Testimony of Alexis L. Branson*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

ALEXIS L. BRANSON

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 7+4 day of March 2025.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

uziellankin Notary Public