

**From:**  
**To:** [Missouri Public Service Commission](#)  
**Subject:** EA -2024-0302  
**Date:** Thursday, January 30, 2025 10:19:28 AM

---

Hello,

My family, the Laton C Goodson and Wilma Louise Goodson (both DECD) family owned the farm SW of Denver, MO until Dec. 27, 2024. This land is situated in Gentry and Worth County Missouri. I am co-executor for their estate.

Wilma L. Goodson died in January 2021. Laton C Goodson died April 17, 2024. As co-power of attorney for Laton I reviewed all incoming USPS mail for Laton beginning Feb. 2023 until his date of death. As co-executor after Laton's death I have reviewed every piece of incoming mail received for Laton Goodson's estate and for Laton C Goodson personally.

Immediately upon the death of Laton C Goodson we successfully submitted a request with the US Postal service to have all mail for Laton C Goodson forwarded to my personal mailing address in Ankeny, IA. The Laton C Goodson estate mailing address has always and continues to be my mailing address in Ankeny. Please see my mailing address listed in my email auto-signature below.

I became aware only very recently that ATXI is seeking approval of a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to build this main transmission line which will impact property owned by the Goodson family.

I want the Commission to be aware that I received no notification of ATXI's intent to file for this CCN. I believe I am entitled to such notification.

I was never notified of a hearing that I am told was held at the Hundley Whaley building in Albany MO on December 9, 2024 nor did I receive notice of the virtual hearing December 10, 2024.

The first notification I received was Jan. 09, 2025 by regular USPS mail for a virtual hearing for the people impacted by the DO-27 original route. My complaint is that while the DO-27 route did cross my family farm it was along a field edge and did minimal impact. As I understand it, DO-27 route got replaced with a DO-28 reroute which impacts my property to a much greater degree.

Because I received no notice of this application and no notice of the December 9-10, 2024 hearings I had no opportunity to provide input on this proposed line. Not knowing what implications the local knowledge of this proposed path through the middle of our family farm may have had on our recent land auction, and not knowing what potential implications this could have on a recent sale of this land, I want the commission to know this applicant failed to properly notify the estate and me as co-executor as required and respectfully request this line be returned to its original DO-27 path because after comparing the two paths, DO-27 does minimal impact to our farm compared to DO-28. I would not anticipate any future problems if DO-27 were approved and I did at least receive the notice of the January 16, 2025 meeting for that route which I immediately provided to our selling agent which was then forwarded to the new land buyer.

We trust the board will carefully review the above and make the correct decision to return to and adopt the DO-27 path and not the DO-28 path.

Please advise of the decision of this commission.

Respectfully,

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**From:**  
**To:** [ANNA.martin@opc.mo.gov](mailto:ANNA.martin@opc.mo.gov); [Scott.Gross@contractlandstaff.com](mailto:Scott.Gross@contractlandstaff.com); [Connect@amerengridmo.com](mailto:Connect@amerengridmo.com); [Missouri Public Service Commission](#)  
**Subject:** Northern Missouri Grid Transformation Program  
**Date:** Tuesday, February 4, 2025 8:50:32 PM

---

Affected Property: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Or more precisely: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

To All Concerned:

I take exception to the chosen route of the proposed project for these three reasons:

1. My property, as detailed above, will be directly and negatively impacted by the project.
2. I did not receive notice of the public hearing held in early January 2025 nor was I aware of it.
3. The chosen route will be a significant burden for many years into the future for several households within Sections 5,7 and 8 of T66N, R30W. Additionally, it will prevent construction of a new planned residence. An alternate route approximately 3/4 east and within the east halves of Sections 5,8,17 and 20 would greatly minimize such impacts. To me it seems that cost may be the only reason for selecting the current route while at the same time diminishing the quality of life for residents along said route.

**I expect to be better informed in the future.**

Respectfully,

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_

RECEIVED  
February 10, 2025  
Data Center  
Missouri Public  
Service Commission

January 26, 2025

Tracy Dencker  
Senior Project Manager, ATX  
1901 Chouteau Ave  
St. Louis, MO 63103

Public Service Commission  
P. O. Box 360  
Jefferson City, Missouri 65102

**Reference: July 5, 2024 Letter Associated with My Worth County  
Missouri Land Ownership Along the Proposed ATXI Transmission  
Line Route**

I am a 5<sup>th</sup> generation landowner of a Missouri Century Farm certified by the University of Missouri Century Farm Project and will not agree to any easement for a 345 KV transmission line crossing this farm. I am just now becoming aware of this project due to a move to Wisconsin in 2023. If the routing of this transmission line has changed, please send me updated information on the new route.

Thank you for your consideration of this sacred farm which my family members have owned since 1885. Their footsteps own this land through several generations of hard work. I recommend you find an alternative route around my farm on Route M outside of Denver, Missouri.

Sincerely,

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_