Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025 Page 1 1 BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION STATE OF MISSOURI 2 3 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 4 PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE 5 6 7 In the Matter of the Request of ) The Empire District Electric ) 8 Company d/b/a Liberty for ) Authority to File Tariffs )File No. ER-2024-0261 9 Increasing Rates for Electric ) Service Provided to Customers in) its Missouri Service Area 10 ) 11 12 13 MONDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 2025 14 9:00 a.m. 15 Jefferson City, MO via WebEx 16 VOLUME I 17 18 19 CHARLES HATCHER, Presiding SENIOR REGULATORY 20 LAW JUDGE 21 22 23 TRANSCRIBED BY: MELISSA EICKEN 24 25

February 24, 2025

	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 2 LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Let's go on the record.
2	Today is February 24th, 2025. The Commission has set
3	this time for a prehearing conference or procedural
4	conference. I routinely mix up those two terms, for
5	File Number ER-2024-0261.
6	I would like to let the parties know there
7	is no court reporter, but this procedural conference
8	is being recorded for potential transcription later.
9	This conference is being held solely by
10	Internet via the Webex app.
11	My name is Charles Hatcher, and I'm the
12	regulatory law judge assigned to this case. Let's go
13	ahead and have counsel for the parties make their
14	entries of appearance. For Liberty.
15	MS. CARTER: Good morning, Judge. Diana
16	Carter, Jermaine Grubbs and Lindsey Ingram for
17	Liberty.
18	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you. And
19	for staff.
20	MS. CARTER: Thank you.
21	MR. VANDERGRIFF: For staff, Eric
22	Vandergriff.
23	MR. JOHNSON: And Mark Johnson. Are you
24	guys able to hear us okay? Okay.
25	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: And thank you. The



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 3 Office of the Public Counsel.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: Nathan Williams.
3	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you, sir. For
4	MECG.
5	MR. OPITZ: Good morning. Tim Opitz for
6	MECG.
7	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. For and
8	EDRA, Empire District Retired Members & Spouses
9	Association.
10	MR. JARRETT: Good morning. Terry Jarrett
11	from Healy Law Offices for the Empire Retirees.
12	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And for
13	Renew Missouri.
14	MS. MERS: Nicole Mers with Renew Missouri.
15	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: And for IBEW Local
16	1474. If you're on a phone, it is star 6 to unmute.
17	Okay. Those are all the parties that I
18	have respond. Are there any other parties with
19	that would like to make their entry of appearance?
20	Okay. Let's move forward. Hearing none.
21	I would like to start our procedural
22	conference with the statement that I do not speak for
23	the commissioners. I also do not wish to give legal
24	advice. So with that, I would like to start our
25	conference by asking Liberty a question. What harm



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 4 would there be to Liberty if they voluntarily extended
2	the tariff timeline or well, let's start with that
3	question. What harm would there be if Liberty
4	voluntarily extended the tariff timeline? Other
5	parties, I will be asking you the same question in
б	just a second. Ms. Carter, go ahead.
7	MS. CARTER: Thanks, Judge. Are you asking
8	from the perspective of if we'd agree or just strictly
9	what the consequences would be?
10	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Just the consequences.
11	MS. CARTER: Okay. So any delay is
12	creating additional regulatory lag, and that would be
13	the same whether it be a new filing with a new clock
14	or extending the date on the existing filed tariff
15	sheets. There's an additional wrinkle that we see
16	with extending the date on the tariff sheets as
17	opposed to refiling and starting a new clock.
18	Technically, once you extend the date on the tariff
19	sheets, there is no longer a clock. Certainly, not
20	implying that the Commission would just sit on the
21	case forever, but it's it's my understanding that
22	technically once a utility voluntarily extends beyond
23	the 11-month statutory deadline, then there's no
24	longer a clock operating out there by which the
25	Commission would have to act.



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page5 LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Do you have a citation
2	for that?
3	MS. CARTER: No. I do not, Judge, and I'm
4	not sure I'm not sure if one exists to be honest.
5	Just it's the opposite. You have a clock by
6	statute, when it's the 11 months, and there is case
7	law, and I can get you a statute for that, that
8	without a statutory deadline, the Commission is not
9	under a strict obligation to act by a certain date.
10	But I don't have that offhand.
11	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. And you're
12	stating that if you refile the tariffs under a new
13	tariff number okay. Let's we're here now.
14	Let's delve into that just a little bit. So my
15	reading would be if you refiled under a new tariff
16	number, the statute doesn't differentiate between what
17	case. It says when a tariff sheet is filed.
18	MS. CARTER: Uh-huh.
19	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: So that would enable
20	the Commission to then suspend it for 11 months under
21	the statutory deadline. That seems to contradict what
22	you just said.
23	MS. CARTER: No. That, I agree a hundred
24	percent. Liberty agrees with what you just said
25	there, Judge, a hundred percent. If we were to refile

I	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 6 the tariffs in the same case still, what the company
2	proposed in their response, if we refile, the
3	Commission, then, may suspend by statute for 11
4	months. That is
5	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.
6	MS. CARTER: different from what we
7	voluntarily extend the effective date of the existing
8	tariff sheets that are on file.
9	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Then back to our
10	original question, you listed the regulatory lag. Is
11	there any other harm to Liberty by extending the
12	tariff deadline?
13	MS. CARTER: No, Judge. Just everything
14	that comes with regulatory lag, and we all know all
15	those things that come with regulatory lag.
16	Certainly, the company filed its case when it knew it
17	was needing a rate increase in order to keep just and
18	reasonable rates, you know, with the understanding
19	that would take effect approximately 11 months after
20	filing. So any additional delay is delaying the
21	imposition of just and reasonable rates based on cost
22	of service.
23	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you.
24	Let's move to staff. What harm do you see if Liberty
25	voluntarily extended their their tariff or if they
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

	Transcript of Proceedings Pebluary 24, 2023
1	Page7 refiled under a new tariff deadline to achieve the
2	same extension?
3	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Good morning, Judge. We
4	believe that the harm is similar to what Liberty said
5	for themselves, but as far as harm, it would help us
6	if it got delayed, so.
7	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Let's move to
8	Office of Public Counsel.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, we don't disagree
10	that there would be some regulatory lag impacts, but
11	Liberty had riders including its fuel adjustment
12	clause which basically it ameliorates regulatory lag
13	impacts, and those impacts are not necessarily bad.
14	They can be reductions in costs, too. It just depends
15	on what is happening, if you have inflationary
16	pressures, and of course, they go up. Also, I don't
17	agree with Liberty about extending tariff dates,
18	because if you look at the statute, it says that the
19	Commission has the right to suspend tariffs for 120
20	days plus an additional six months, and tariff sheets
21	need to have let's see not taken in not going
22	to effect earlier than 30 days before when they're
23	filed. But that doesn't limit when a utility may file
24	a tariff sheet with a longer effective date. So don't
25	agree with what Diana has said entirely.

8

1	Page & LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: We also think, although,
3	it's not well, it's been raised in the reply a bit.
4	There may be some notice issues with regard to the
5	case that has been filed.
6	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Yeah. I don't want to
7	talk about your reply, Mr. Williams. None of the
8	other parties have had a chance to respond to that
9	yet. So everyone else is at a little bit of a
10	disadvantage here. So let's let's just keep it to
11	the motions to dismiss as originally filed and
12	Liberty's response, as far as the topic that we're
13	discussing today. But I appreciate your input.
14	Let's move to MECG, same question. What
15	harm do you see by extending the tariff deadline?
16	MR. OPITZ: I think from the harm to MECG
17	would be the ability to have the time to review the
18	new February tariff filings, and I guess, you know, I
19	have no reason to believe that the company's harm
20	would be regulatory lag or financial no reason to
21	dispute their belief that, so. That's basically it.
22	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you.
23	Let's move to the retired members. Mr. Jarrett.
24	MR. JARRETT: EDRA doesn't really take any
25	position in this. None of these issues really relate

	Transcript of Proceedings Pebloary 24, 2023
1	Page 9 to to their issues.
2	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. And for Renew
3	Missouri.
4	MS. MERS: Yeah. For Renew, I think I'm
5	going with what MECG and staff has said. I think that
6	without other procedural steps, that parties would
7	lose a little bit of time reviewing the the new
8	tariff filings and the supporting changes for that, I
9	also think that there could be parties out there that
10	aren't here that may be the difference between the
11	the 92 and the 160 would make a difference on if they
12	would want to intervene, but I do believe that there's
13	probably some steps that could be taken to extend the
14	tariff, but then fix those issues where everybody kind
15	of gets what they want in the end.
16	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you. And
17	I'll call one last time for Local 1474 just to see if
18	they have joined us.
19	MR. HOWELL: Yes, Your Honor. I was I
20	was having technical difficulties. But I am here, and
21	Garrison Howell for Local 1474. Similar to EDRA, we
22	don't have a position here. Just kind of monitoring
23	the proceedings for now.
24	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Absolutely. Thank you
25	very much. And on that note, just one last call, are

	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2023
1	Page 10 there any other parties that would like to respond
2	that I did not call that might have joined the call or
3	conference a little bit late? Okay. Hearing none.
4	We've we've discussed a little bit about
5	the difference between Liberty voluntarily extending
6	the deadline on their currently filed tariffs versus
7	refiling with a new tariff number. Let's move to the
8	dismissal. What harm would befall Liberty if the case
9	was dismissed and Liberty would have to refile its
10	case in its entirety? Ms. Carter.
11	MS. CARTER: Thanks, Judge. Certainly, the
12	regulatory lag issue would still be there, only
13	creating even more regulatory lag. Our Charlotte
14	Emory and her team are regulatory folks like to plan
15	on nine months, basically, to prepare a rate case
16	properly. So we're talking quite a bit of additional
17	time, if they are needing to file an entire
18	prepare so we can file an entire new case.
19	We also have a wrinkle with the fuel
20	adjustment clause for Liberty. By statute, we need a
21	case on file where new rates would take effect by
22	June 1st of 2026, and that would mean a May rate case
23	filing. And based on the fact that it normally takes
24	about nine months to properly prepare a rate case, May
25	would mean the company would be forced, basically, to



Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
Page 11 throw a case together and get it on file and that I
don't know if you want to say harm, but that certainly
does not help anyone. We have a good case on file.
We would if it were dismissed, and we were having
to start over, the case won't be as well done in order
to get it on file by May, if that's even possible.
Also, there have been more than 400 data requests
served in this case and all that work from the
company, staff, OPC, we've had more than 40 data
requests additional data requests served since the
motion to dismiss were filed. The parties have
have put a lot of work in and all of that would then
have to be duplicated if we start over with a new
case.
LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you. For
staff.
MR. VANDERGRIFF: Your Honor, the harm for
staff is administrative efficiency, as Liberty
previously stated. We have filed a lot of data
requests up and to this point. We still agree with
having more time because we haven't gotten full access
to all of our data requests at this period of time and
a full dismissal may complicate this situation even
worse, but the idea is that we get the better case,
and we don't have all the information we need for our



1	case today.
2	MR. JOHNSON: And I may just add, just
3	just for clarity sake, should the case be dismissed
4	and an entirely new case be filed by Empire, of
5	course, we would need to do entirely new discovery.
6	This would would what Mr. Vandergriff stated is
7	in relation to if the case were dismissed and Empire
8	were to turn around and file the identical case, it
9	would result in parties having to recreate all the
10	discovery that's already already (inaudible)
11	requested.
12	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you. And
13	Mr. Williams, for Public Counsel.
14	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't think I agree
15	with entirely what Diana related about the impact
16	of a fuel adjustment clause. I think if you look at
17	the statute, it said in the case in the adjustment
18	mechanism submitted under Subsections 1 and 2 of this
19	section, it includes provisions requiring that the
20	utility file a general rate case with the effective
21	date of new rates to be no later than four years after
22	the effective date of the Commission order
23	implementing the adjustment mechanism, so that would
24	be the order approving the compliance tariff sheets
25	would start the four-year clock, and I believe the

February 24, 202
------------------

	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 13 Commission's already addressed it in the past saying
2	that as long as the tariff sheets do implement the
3	fuel adjustment clause, we're the effective date of
4	what the utility files only need be four years within
5	that within four years of that date.
6	And in terms of impacts, of course,
7	whatever responses we've gotten to discovery and the
8	current case could be used in the future case.
9	They're just admissions. And if the if there's a
10	new case filed, it has a say, a different test
11	year, there just be new requests probably well,
12	updates, essentially, to the existing ones based on
13	the new information. It shouldn't require totally
14	recreating everything from zero well, that would
15	depend on what the request is and what the utility has
16	done.
17	Practically, I don't see a real from my
18	perspective, I don't see a huge difference between
19	whether somehow this case is extended or a new one is
20	filed, and you keep talking about on the existing
21	tariff sheets. I don't know exactly what you mean.

Are you talking about the originally filed ones or are 22 23 you talking about as substituted or -- that's unclear 24

And I think that does make a difference. to me.

> LAW JUDGE HATCHER: When I talk about the

25



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 14 filed ones, I'm lumping them all together, the
2	November and the February filings. Those are the
3	current currently file yeah. You're right. I
4	don't
5	MR. WILLIAMS: They're not consistent.
6	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Be that as it may, I'm
7	lumping them together. Thank you.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: All right. Thank you.
9	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: For MECG, Mr. Opitz.
10	MR. OPITZ: Thank you, Your Honor. I don't
11	think we have, you know, a if they if they
12	withdraw and refile, you know, counsel for OPC
13	mentioned it, there may be some test year impacts, and
14	I'm not sure that, that we've MECG has come to a
15	position on on, you know, what that might be, if
16	the case is withdrawn and refiled. But it is
17	something that, you know, I've thought about. I I
18	just from my perspective, as long as we're getting
19	additional time, I think whatever the test year might
20	be is something we can argue about and litigate within
21	the case is is kind of what I think. I mean, if
22	people want updated so long as the company is
23	willing to, during the pendency of the case, provide
24	those updated figures to the parties who are wanting
25	an updated test year, you know, I think extending

	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 15 the the current case should give parties the
2	opportunity to satisfy their their legal and fact
3	arguments.
4	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you. And
5	Mr. Jarrett.
6	MR. JARRETT: Yeah. I would I would
7	agree with what MECG said there, really, as long as
8	the parties have the time. Certainly, EDRA, again,
9	doesn't take any position, but. Seems like if
10	everybody has the the time, then everything else
11	can be sort of litigated in the case.
12	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And Ms.
13	Mers.
14	MS. MERS: Yeah. I I agree with what
15	staff said. I think that there's an administrative
16	efficiency in allowing some of the the work that's
17	been done in this case to continue. Obviously, the
18	the tariffs will change the numbers, but. There are
19	some policy and and some non-revenue requirement
20	issues that Liberty has raised in its case that
21	parties have looked at that won't necessarily need to
22	be tossed out, so. I think that it does, to me, seem
23	simpler to give parties extra time to to look at
24	the the new changes and move from within the
25	existing paradigm, but maybe an opportunity to allow

	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 16 parties that might not have intervened or had the
2	notice the first time around to to go forth but
3	starting from scratch doesn't seem like it really
4	helps everybody too much.
5	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And for
6	Local 1474.
7	MR. HOWELL: I would concur with EDRA and
8	MECG.
9	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you, Mr. Howell.
10	I think my next point is more of a
11	statement on on my part, and then we'll kind of go
12	from there to discuss that. The commissioners would
13	like to address these motions at this week's agenda.
14	I see three or four options, and the first one would
15	be either Liberty voluntarily extends the tariff
16	effective dates or refiles the tariffs under a new
17	tariff number. That would keep the current rate case
18	in place and also would keep all of the current
19	discovery and testimony in place. But that would mean
20	extending out the deadline by what I would guess would
21	be approximately two months. That would be kind of
22	what the options I I see available to the
23	commissioners.
24	The second would be that the commissioners
25	reject the tariffs outright. That would be dismissal

17

	Page 1
1	of the case and that would require Liberty to refile
2	and that would start the clock over again, but it
3	would also, as everyone has pointed out, restart all
4	of the work that has gone into this case, both on
5	behalf of the parties, on behalf of the Commission,
6	and on behalf of of Liberty.

7 Another option would be -- and I think this one might fall a little bit under -- if Liberty 8 9 voluntarily extends the date by one of the two methods, that the Commission redoes the procedural 10 11 schedule somehow. I can see some possibilities being 12 re-opening the intervention period and issuing a new 13 notice and allowance for parties to intervene with the 14 That might mean moving back some updated numbers. 15 aspects of the procedural schedule, things like 16 deadlines or the date for the evidentiary hearing and 17 other things involved with that.

18 A fourth option would be that we move 19 forward with things that -- with the way that things 20 are, that would risk going to agenda this week and 21 finding out what the commissioners have to say, and 22 then if they don't take any action, then we would move 23 forward with the tariffs the way that they are. So 24 all of that kind of thrown out there, let's go through 25 the party list again.



Page 18 Liberty, do you have any comments on those
options?
MS. CARTER: Yes, Judge. Although, from
Liberty's standpoint, it would be acceptable, your
four option to move forward, just as is in, that it
was more of a simple error of how we presented the
numbers. I would like to make it clear. Liberty
consents to does not oppose options well,
everything but option two. We oppose the reject,
slash, dismiss, but Liberty has proposed and continues
to agree.
LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Nope. Nope. I
do not want to talk about your settlement offers.
MS. CARTER: Oh. Oh. Okay.
LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Those are covered by
rule that we do not discuss those at all, and there's
a pending motion for sanctions about those. I do not
want to hear about what you have offered to other
parties. I have a follow-up question for you, though.
If you don't oppose or you agree to do this, how come
it hasn't been done yet? How come has Liberty not
refiled its tariff under a new tariff sheet? How come
Liberty alternatively, how come Liberty has not
voluntarily extended the effective date?
MS. CARTER: Judge, I'm not sure how to



Page 19 answer that without being able to say what has transpired. One I am not sure how to handle that without being able to say what has transpired. LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. MS. CARTER: It hasn't happened yet because
without being able to say what has transpired. LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.
LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.
MS. CARTER: It hasn't happened yet because
we didn't we didn't have agreement of the parties.
Liberty as I was starting to say, Liberty does not
oppose a new procedural schedule exactly as you
outlined to allow for a new intervention period and a
new notice to be issued. Liberty has no opposition to
that. We very much appreciate that OPC raised the
issue about our tariff sheets being excuse me,
being in error. That was appreciated. We attempted
to fix it through the substitute filing to address
staff's concerns and OPC's concerns and the other
parties' concerns. We do not object to amending the
procedural schedule to allow more time, again, both
for intervention and new notice and also for all the
parties to have additional time to review the revised
tariff sheets.
LAW JUDGE HATCHER: But without Liberty
taking some proactive steps, what will happen is, we
will get to Thursday on agenda, and the commissioners
will take up motion to dismiss, and there will be
nothing from Liberty, just the response stating that



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 20 we made a mistake, and we believe that these are just
2	corrective, so. My my question is, I I hear you
3	agreeing to it, but there hasn't been a motion filed
4	for the commissioners to actually take that up or take
5	it into consideration.
6	MS. CARTER: And Judge, the parties were
7	all working together toward a joint filing, and and
8	then that we were not able to make that joint
9	filing to do to do just what you said, so we're
10	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Why do you need a joint
11	filing?
12	MS. CARTER: I as far as the time and
13	the delay, Judge, and the regulatory lag that we've
14	been speaking of, from Liberty's standpoint, if we
15	were to have done that completely on our own without
16	agreement of the parties and without a Commission
17	order to do so, that this fight that this exact
18	same fight would be starting in the new with the
19	new filing, and we'd be going through this process
20	again. It we did think about doing it on our own.
21	We were you know, depending on the timing, February
22	28th was the day we were looking at to to refile.
23	Very much appreciate that you and the commissioners
24	are very expedient on this and willing to take it up
25	so quickly.



	I ranscript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 21 Missouri is unusual in that regard. Most
2	commissions take a lot longer but, yes, that
3	Liberty was looking at February 28th as kind of our
4	drop dead date that if we didn't have agreement of the
5	parties or an order from the Commission then then
6	we would potentially just do it on our own, but we
7	were trying to avoid just doing it on our own without
8	knowing if everybody agreed or if that's what the
9	Commission wanted.
10	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: I would highly
11	encourage you to move your deadline forward because
12	your deadline for acting on your own will be after the
13	Commission's agenda when they take up the motions to
14	dismiss. And that seems like a very big risk to take,
15	but that's your call. I don't want to give legal
16	advice. Let's move on
17	MS. CARTER: Not taking it as legal
18	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: No. Go ahead.
19	MS. CARTER: Thank you, Judge. Oh, no. I
20	was saying not taking it as legal advice but
21	appreciate the direction. So thank you.
22	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: For staff,
23	Mr. Vandergriff, do you have any comments on the
24	options that I listed? And I can relist them if you
25	need.

	Transcript of Proceedings Pebloary 24, 202
1	Page 22 MR. VANDERGRIFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	Staff opposes the fourth option. We are okay with
3	moving forward with the third, if that's the one that
4	listed the procedural schedule, Commission notice, and
5	a new intervention period. The reason why we can't
6	support the other option is for the same reasons we
7	mentioned in our our motion for dismiss.
8	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Would you no.
9	That thank you. I appreciate your comments.
10	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Mr. Williams, for
12	Public Counsel.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Well, of course, Commission
14	can do whatever it likes, but our position is as set
15	forth in our motion to dismiss that the case should be
16	dismissed and Liberty should file whatever it thinks
17	is appropriate if for a new general rate case, if
18	that's what it wants to pursue. Clearly, I think that
19	would be the cleanest thing to do all the way around,
20	and as to data request responses, I think whatever has
21	been provided already certainly can be used in a new
22	case. I mean, it's like I said before and
23	mentioned by the utility is to information that's
24	provided, so. At least their responses to request.
25	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Thank you.



1	Page Mr. Opitz.
2	MR. OPITZ: I think the only one we're
3	strongly opposed to is option four, moving forward
4	with the uncertainty. Just to add in on on the
5	other options, you know, I think I would I think it
6	would be a good idea if the company were to agree to
7	provide some updated information with respect to a
8	true-up period, understanding they may want to keep
9	their filed case, but you know, to the extent that,
10	that there is some agreement there to provide
11	additional information as the case moves forward, I
12	think that would be helpful to all parties.
13	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And
14	Mr. Jarrett.
15	MR. JARRETT: Yep. Yes. I Judge, I
16	think you've laid out the the options
17	appropriately, and EDRA takes no position on them.
18	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you very much.
19	Ms. Mers.
20	MS. MERS: Yeah. I think that option four
21	would probably also be the the only one that we
22	would kind of take a little concern over, and it also
23	seems like to be the most likely to be challenged
24	outside of these kind of proceedings. So I would hate
25	to see us do a whole case, and then have to fight



	Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025
1	Page 24 about it in appeals, but. Otherwise, you know, it
2	sounds like that the option three that also sounds
3	like staff supports is the best balancing of all the
4	parties' concerns of Liberty's and the parties who do
5	the audits and and whatnot. So let's hope for
6	that.
7	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And
8	Mr. Howell.
9	MR. HOWELL: The Local does not take a
10	position at that time, Your Honor.
11	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. I
12	appreciate that. That is really all that I had to
13	discuss. It seems appropriate just to throw out if
14	anyone else had any other discussion topics that they
15	want to bring up, I'll run through our list of
16	parties.
17	For Liberty, was there anything else that
18	you would like to discuss today?
19	MS. CARTER: No, Judge. Thank you.
20	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you.
21	Mr. Vandergriff.
22	MR. VANDERGRIFF: No, Your Honor.
23	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And
24	Mr. Williams.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Not that I haven't already



1	Page 25 brought up.
2	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. Mr. Opitz.
3	MR. OPITZ: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
4	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Mr. Jarrett.
5	MR. JARRETT: No, Judge. Thanks.
6	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. Ms. Mers.
7	MS. MERS: No. Thank you, but I'm glad you
8	brought us all together to kind of give us a an
9	insight on to on to the case moving forward.
10	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Thank you. And
11	Mr. Howell.
12	MR. HOWELL: No. Thank you, Your Honor.
13	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. That really
14	brings us to the end of our procedural conference.
15	Yeah. Thank you, all. Let's go off the record.
16	MS. CARTER: Judge, I'm sorry. Let me
17	one thing
18	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Yeah.
19	MS. CARTER: Did you say the the agenda,
20	meaning this week is on Thursday?
21	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Yes, it is. The 27th.
22	Let me see if I can pull up the time real quick.
23	EMILY WALTHERS: It's noon this week,
24	Judge.
25	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Oh, it's moved again?



1	Page 26 I've got noon on Thursday.
2	EMILY WALTHERS: Yes, sir.
3	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Does is that
4	correct, noon on Thursday?
5	EMILY WALTHERS: Yes.
6	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay.
7	MS. CARTER: Thank you.
8	LAW JUDGE HATCHER: Okay. Then, thank you
9	all. Let's stop the recording, and as I said, we're
10	off the record. Have a good day, everyone.
11	(Audio ended.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.



1	Page 27
	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, Melissa J. Eicken, Certified Court
4	Reporter of Missouri, Certified Shorthand Reporter of
5	Illinois and Registered Professional Reporter, do
6	hereby certify that I was asked to prepare a
7	transcript of proceedings had in the above-mentioned
8	case, which proceedings were held with no court
9	reporter present utilizing an open microphone system
10	of preserving the record.
11	I further certify that the foregoing pages
12	constitute a true and accurate reproduction of the
13	proceedings as transcribed by me to the best of my
14	ability and may include inaudible sections or
15	misidentified speakers of said open microphone
16	recording.
17 18	Melisse Eicken
19	Melissa J. Eicken, CCR, CSR, RPR
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Date: March 10, 2025
25	
	88-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F.

February 24, 2025Index: 1..citation

	Transcript of	Proceedings Februa	ry 24, 2025Index: 1citatior
1	A	agrees 5:24	basically 7:12 8:21 10:15,25
		ahead 2:13 4:6 21:18	<b>befall</b> 10:8
<b>1</b> 12:18	ability 8:17	allowance 17:13	behalf 17:5,6
<b>11</b> 5:6,20 6:3,19	Absolutely 9:24	allowing 15:16	belief 8:21
<b>11-month</b> 4:23	acceptable 18:4	alternatively	<b>big</b> 21:14
<b>120</b> 7:19	access 11:21	18:23	<b>bit</b> 5:14 8:3,9 9:7
<b>1474</b> 3:16 9:17,21 16:6	achieve 7:1	ameliorates 7:12	10:3,4,16 17:8
<b>160</b> 9:11	act 4:25 5:9	amending 19:16	bring 24:15
<b>1st</b> 10:22	acting 21:12	<b>app</b> 2:10	brings 25:14
	action 17:22	appeals 24:1	brought 25:1,8
2	add 12:2 23:4	appearance 2:14	
<b>2</b> 12:18	additional 4:12,15 6:20 7:20 10:16	3:19	C
<b>2025</b> 2:2	11:10 14:19 19:19	appreciated 19:13	call 9:17,25 10:2
<b>2026</b> 10:22	23:11	appropriately 23:17	21:15
<b>24th</b> 2:2	address 16:13 19:14	approving 12:24	<b>Carter</b> 2:15,16,20
<b>27th</b> 25:21	_	approximately	4:6,7,11 5:3,18,23 6:6,13 10:10,11 18:3,14,25 19:5
<b>28th</b> 20:22 21:3	addressed 13:1 adjustment 7:11	6:19 16:21	
2011 20.22 21.3	10:20 12:16,17,23	argue 14:20	20:6,12 21:17,19 24:19 25:16,19
3	13:3	arguments 15:3	26:7
<b>30</b> 7:22	administrative	aspects 17:15	case 2:12 4:21
30 7.22	11:18 15:15	assigned 2:12	5:6,17 6:1,16 8:5 10:8,10,15,18,21,
4	admissions 13:9	Association 3:9	22,24 11:1,3,5,8,
40 44:0	advice 3:24 21:16, 20	attempted 19:13	14,24 12:1,3,4,7,
<b>40</b> 11:9	agenda 16:13	audio 26:11	8,17,20 13:8,10, 19 14:16,21,23
<b>400</b> 11:7	17:20 19:23 21:13	audits 24:5	15:1,11,17,20
6	25:19	avoid 21:7	16:17 17:1,4 22:15,17,22 23:9,
<b>0</b> 0 40	agree 4:8 5:23 7:17,25 11:20		11,25 25:9
<b>6</b> 3:16	12:14 15:7,14	B	challenged 23:23
9	18:11,20 23:6	back 6:9 17:14	chance 8:8
	agreed 21:8	bad 7:13	change 15:18
<b>92</b> 9:11	agreeing 20:3	balancing 24:3	Charles 2:11
	agreement 19:6	based 6:21 10:23	Charlotte 10:13
	20:16 21:4 23:10	13:12	citation 5:1

888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F. www.lexitaslegal.com California Firm Registration #179



	Transcript of	Proceedings February 2	4, 2025Index: clarityexists
clarity 12:3 clause 7:12 10:20	consideration 20:5	<b>delay</b> 4:11 6:20 20:13	E
12:16 13:3	consistent 14:5	delayed 7:6	earlier 7:22
cleanest 22:19	continue 15:17	delaying 6:20	EDRA 3:8 8:24
<b>clear</b> 18:7	continues 18:10	<b>delve</b> 5:14	9:21 15:8 16:7
<b>clock</b> 4:13,17,19,	contradict 5:21	depend 13:15	23:17
24 5:5 12:25 17:2	correct 26:4	depending 20:21	effect 6:19 7:22
comments 18:1	corrective 20:2	depends 7:14	10:21
21:23 22:9	<b>cost</b> 6:21	Diana 2:15 7:25	effective 6:7 7:24 12:20,22 13:3
<b>Commission</b> 2:2 4:20,25 5:8,20 6:3	costs 7:14	12:15	16:16 18:24
7:19 12:22 17:5, 10 20:16 21:5,9	<b>counsel</b> 2:13 3:1 7:8 12:13 14:12	difference 9:10,11 10:5 13:18,24	efficiency 11:18 15:16
22:4,13	22:12	differentiate 5:16	EMILY 25:23 26:2,
Commission's 13:1 21:13	court 2:7	difficulties 9:20	5
commissioners	covered 18:15	direction 21:21	<b>Emory</b> 10:14
3:23 16:12,23,24 17:21 19:23 20:4,	creating 4:12 10:13	disadvantage 8:10	Empire 3:8,11 12:4,7
23	current 13:8 14:3	disagree 7:9	enable 5:19
commissions	15:1 16:17,18	discovery 12:5,10	encourage 21:11
21:2		13:7 16:19	end 9:15 25:14
company 6:1,16 10:25 11:9 14:22		discuss 16:12 18:16 24:13,18	ended 26:11
23:6	data 11:7,9,10,19, 22 22:20	discussed 10:4	entire 10:17,18
company's 8:19		discussing 8:13	entirety 10:10
completely 20:15	date 4:14,16,18 5:9 6:7 7:24	discussion 24:14	entries 2:14
compliance 12:24	12:21,22 13:3,5	dismiss 8:11	entry 3:19
complicate 11:23	17:9,16 18:24 21:4	11:11 18:10 19:24	ER-2024-0261 2:5
concern 23:22	dates 7:17 16:16	21:14 22:7,15	Eric 2:21
concerns 19:15,	day 20:22 26:10	dismissal 10:8	error 18:6 19:13
16 24:4	days 7:20,22	11:23 16:25	essentially 13:12
concur 16:7	dead 21:4	dismissed 10:9 11:4 12:3,7 22:16	evidentiary 17:16
<b>conference</b> 2:3,4,	deadline 4:23 5:8,	dispute 8:21	exact 20:17
7,9 3:22,25 10:3 25:14	21 6:12 7:1 8:15	District 3:8	excuse 19:12
consents 18:8	10:6 16:20 21:11, 12	drop 21:4	existing 4:14 6:7
<b>consequences</b> 4:9,10	deadlines 17:16	duplicated 11:13	13:12,20 15:25 exists 5:4



Transcript of Proceedings Ebruary 24, 2025 Index: expedient..issues

expedient 20:24	finding 17:21	happening 7:15	<u></u>
extend 4:18 6:7	fix 9:14 19:14	harm 3:25 4:3	I
9:13	folks 10:14	6:11,24 7:4,5 8:15,16,19 10:8	<b>IBEW</b> 3:15
extended 4:1,4 6:25 13:19 18:24	follow-up 18:19	11:2,17	idea 11:24 23:6
extending 4:14,16 6:11 7:17 8:15 10:5 14:25 16:20	forced 10:25 forever 4:21 forward 3:20	Hatcher 2:1,11,18, 25 3:3,7,12,15 4:10 5:1,11,19	identical 12:8 impact 12:15 impacts 7:10,13
extends 4:22 16:15 17:9	17:19,23 18:5 21:11 22:3 23:3, 11 25:9	6:5,9,23 7:7 8:1,6, 22 9:2,16,24 11:15 12:12 13:25	13:6 14:13 implement 13:2
extension 7:2 extent 23:9	four-year 12:25 fourth 17:18 22:2	14:6,9 15:4,12 16:5,9 18:12,15 19:4,21 20:10	implementing 12:23
extra 15:23	fuel 7:11 10:19 12:16 13:3	21:10,18,22 22:8, 11,25 23:13,18 24:7,11,20,23	implying 4:20 imposition 6:21
	full 11:21,23	25:2,4,6,10,13,18, 21,25 26:3,6,8	inaudible 12:10 includes 12:19
fact 10:23 15:2 fall 17:8	future 13:8	hate 23:24	including 7:11
February 2:2 8:18	G	Healy 3:11	increase 6:17
14:2 20:21 21:3 fight 20:17,18 23:25 figures 14:24	Garrison 9:21 general 12:20 22:17	hear 2:24 18:18 20:2 hearing 3:20 10:3 17:16	inflationary 7:15 information 11:25 13:13 22:23 23:7, 11
file 2:5 6:8 7:23 10:17,18,21 11:1, 3,6 12:8,20 14:3 22:16	<b>give</b> 3:23 15:1,23 21:15 25:8 <b>glad</b> 25:7 <b>good</b> 2:15 3:5,10	held 2:9 helpful 23:12 helps 16:4	Ingram 2:16 input 8:13 insight 25:9
filed 4:14 5:17 6:16 7:23 8:5,11 10:6 11:11,19 12:4 13:10,20,22 14:1 20:3 23:9	7:3 11:3 23:6 26:10 Grubbs 2:16 guess 8:18 16:20	highly 21:10 honest 5:4 Honor 9:19 11:17 14:10 22:1,10 24:10,22 25:3,12	Internet 2:10 intervene 9:12 17:13 intervened 16:1
files 13:4	<b>guys</b> 2:24	hope 24:5	intervention 17:12 19:9,18 22:5
filing 4:13 6:20 10:23 19:14 20:7, 9,11,19 filings 8:18 9:8	H handle 19:2	Howell 9:19,21 16:7,9 24:8,9 25:11,12	involved 17:17 issue 10:12 19:12 issued 19:10
14:2 financial 8:20	happen 19:22 happened 19:5	huge 13:18 hundred 5:23,25	<b>issues</b> 8:4,25 9:1, 14 15:20

888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F. www.lexitaslegal.com California Firm Registration #179

Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025Index: issuing..offers

iccuing 17:10	•		4, 2025Index: issuingoffer
issuing 17:12	10:12,13 20:13	longer 4:19,24 7:24 21:2	22:7,15
J	laid 23:16 late 10:3	looked 15:21	motions 8:11 16:13 21:13
Jarrett 3:10 8:23, 24 15:5,6 23:14, 15 25:4,5 Jermaine 2:16 Johnson 2:23 12:2 joined 9:18 10:2 joint 20:7,8,10 judge 2:1,12,15, 18,25 3:3,7,12,15 4:7,10 5:1,3,11, 19,25 6:5,9,13,23 7:3,7,9 8:1,6,22 9:2,16,24 10:11 11:15 12:12 13:25 14:6,9 15:4,12 16:5,9 18:3,12,15, 25 19:4,21 20:6, 10,13 21:10,18, 19,22 22:8,11,25 23:13,15,18 24:7, 11,19,20,23 25:2, 4,5,6,10,13,16,18, 21,24,25 26:3,6,8 June 10:22	law 2:1,12,18,25   3:3,7,11,12,15   4:10 5:1,7,11,19   6:5,9,23 7:7 8:1,6,   22 9:2,16,24   11:15 12:12 13:25   14:6,9 15:4,12   16:5,9 18:12,15   19:4,21 20:10   21:10,18,22 22:8,   11,25 23:13,18   24:7,11,20,23   25:2,4,6,10,13,18,   21,25 26:3,6,8   legal 3:23 15:2   21:15,17,20   Liberty 2:14,17   3:25 4:1,3 5:24   6:11,24 7:4,11,17   10:5,8,9,20 11:18   15:20 16:15 17:1,   6,8 18:1,7,10,21,   23 19:7,10,21,25   21:3 22:16 24:17   Liberty's 8:12   18:4 20:14 24:4   likes 22:14	lose 9:7 lot 11:12,19 21:2 lumping 14:1,7 <u>M</u> made 20:1 make 2:13 3:19 9:11 13:24 18:7 20:8 Mark 2:23 meaning 25:20 MECG 3:4,6 8:14, 16 9:5 14:9,14 15:7 16:8 mechanism 12:18, 23 members 3:8 8:23 mentioned 14:13 22:7,23 Mers 3:14 9:4 15:13,14 23:19,20 25:6,7 methods 17:10	move 3:20 6:24 7:7 8:14,23 10:7 15:24 17:18,22 18:5 21:11,16 moved 25:25 moves 23:11 moving 17:14 22:3 23:3 25:9 N Nathan 3:2 necessarily 7:13 15:21 needing 6:17 10:17 Nicole 3:14 non-revenue 15:19 noon 25:23 26:1,4 note 9:25 notice 8:4 16:2 17:13 19:10,18 22:4
K	limit 7:23	Missouri 3:13,14	November 14:2
kind 9:14,22 14:21 16:11,21 17:24 21:3 23:22,24 25:8	Lindsey 2:16 list 17:25 24:15 listed 6:10 21:24 22:4	9:3 21:1 mistake 20:1 mix 2:4 monitoring 9:22	number 2:5 5:13, 16 10:7 16:17 numbers 15:18 17:14 18:7
knew 6:16	litigate 14:20	months 5:6,20	0
knowing 21:8	litigated 15:11	6:4,19 7:20 10:15, 24 16:21	<b>object</b> 19:16
L	Local 3:15 9:17,21	morning 2:15 3:5,	obligation 5:9
	16:6 24:9	10 7:3	offered 18:18
lag 4:12 6:10,14, 15 7:10,12 8:20	long 13:2 14:18,22 15:7	motion 11:11 18:17 19:24 20:3	offers 18:13



Transcript of Proceedings February 24, 2025Index: offhand..Renew

	Transcript of		2025Index: offnandRenev
offhand 5:10	parties 2:6,13	pressures 7:16	10:21 12:21
Office 3:1 7:8	3:17,18 4:5 8:8 9:6,9 10:1 11:11	previously 11:19	re-opening 17:12
Offices 3:11	12:9 14:24 15:1,8,	proactive 19:22	reading 5:15
<b>OPC</b> 11:9 14:12	21,23 16:1 17:5,	procedural 2:3,7	real 13:17 25:22
19:11 <b>OPC's</b> 19:15	13 18:19 19:6,19 20:6,16 21:5 23:12 24:4,16	3:21 9:6 17:10,15 19:8,17 22:4 25:14	reason 8:19,20 22:5
operating 4:24	parties' 19:16 24:4	proceedings 9:23	reasonable 6:18,
<b>Opitz</b> 3:5 8:16	party 17:25	23:24	21
14:9,10 23:1,2 25:2,3	<b>past</b> 13:1	process 20:19	reasons 22:6
opportunity 15:2,	• pendency 14:23	properly 10:16,24	record 2:1 25:15 26:10
25	pending 18:17	proposed 6:2	recorded 2:8
oppose 18:8,9,20	people 14:22	18:10	recording 26:9
19:8	percent 5:24,25	provide 14:23 23:7,10	recreate 12:9
opposed 4:17 23:3	period 11:22	provided 22:21,24	recreating 13:14
opposes 22:2	17:12 19:9 22:5 23:8	provisions 12:19	redoes 17:10
opposite 5:5	perspective 4:8	Public 3:1 7:8	reductions 7:14
opposition 19:10	13:18 14:18	12:13 22:12	refile 5:12,25 6:2
option 17:7,18	<b>phone</b> 3:16	pull 25:22	10:9 14:12 17:1 20:22
18:5,9 22:2,6 23:3,20 24:2	<b>place</b> 16:18,19	pursue 22:18	refiled 5:15 7:1
options 16:14,22	<b>plan</b> 10:14	<b>put</b> 11:12	14:16 18:22
18:2,8 21:24 23:5,	point 11:20 16:10	Q	refiles 16:16
16	pointed 17:3		refiling 4:17 10:7
order 6:17 11:5 12:22,24 20:17	<b>policy</b> 15:19	<b>question</b> 3:25 4:3, 5 6:10 8:14 18:19	regard 8:4 21:1
21:5	<b>position</b> 8:25 9:22 14:15 15:9 22:14	20:2	regulatory 2:12
original 6:10	23:17 24:10	quick 25:22	4:12 6:10,14,15 7:10,12 8:20
originally 8:11	possibilities 17:11	quickly 20:25	10:12,13,14 20:13
13:22	potential 2:8		reject 16:25 18:9
outlined 19:9	potentially 21:6	R	relate 8:25
outright 16:25	Practically 13:17	raised 8:3 15:20	related 12:15
P	prehearing 2:3	19:11	relation 12:7
	<b>prepare</b> 10:15,18,	rate 6:17 10:15,22, 24 12:20 16:17	relist 21:24
paradigm 15:25	24	22:17	<b>Renew</b> 3:13,14 9:2,4
part 16:11	presented 18:6	rates 6:18,21	9.2,4

888-893-3767 Lexitas operates in all 50 states and is licensed where required Nevada Registration #116F. www.lexitaslegal.com California Firm Registration #179



	Transcript of	Proceedings February	24, 2025Index: replytopics
reply 8:3,7	19:8,17 22:4	starting 4:17 16:3	12,25 7:1,17,20,
reporter 2:7	scratch 16:3	19:7 20:18	24 8:15,18 9:8,14 10:7 12:24 13:2,
request 13:15	section 12:19	stated 11:19 12:6	21 16:15,17 18:22
22:20,24	served 11:8,10	statement 3:22	19:12,20
requested 12:11	service 6:22	16:11	tariffs 5:12 6:1
requests 11:7,10,	set 2:2 22:14	stating 5:12 19:25	7:19 10:6 15:18
20,22 13:11		statute 5:6,7,16	16:16,25 17:23
require 13:13 17:1	settlement 18:13	6:3 7:18 10:20 12:17	team 10:14
requirement 15:19	sheet 5:17 7:24 18:22		technical 9:20
requiring 12:19	sheets 4:15,16,19	statutory 4:23 5:8, 21	technically 4:18,
respect 23:7	6:8 7:20 12:24	steps 9:6,13 19:22	22
respond 3:18 8:8	13:2,21 19:12,20	stop 26:9	terms 2:4 13:6
10:1	similar 7:4 9:21	strict 5:9	<b>Terry</b> 3:10
response 6:2 8:12	simple 18:6	strictly 4:8	<b>test</b> 13:10 14:13,
19:25	simpler 15:23	-	19,25
responses 13:7	sir 3:3 26:2	strongly 23:3	testimony 16:19
22:20,24	<b>sit</b> 4:20	submitted 12:18	thing 22:19 25:17
restart 17:3	situation 11:23	Subsections 12:18	things 6:15 17:15, 17,19
result 12:9	<b>slash</b> 18:10	substitute 19:14	thinks 22:16
retired 3:8 8:23	solely 2:9	substituted 13:23	
Retirees 3:11	<b>sort</b> 15:11	support 22:6	thought 14:17
review 8:17 19:19	sounds 24:2	support 22.0 supporting 9:8	throw 11:1 24:13
reviewing 9:7	speak 3:22		thrown 17:24
revised 19:19	speaking 20:14	supports 24:3	<b>Thursday</b> 19:23 25:20 26:1,4
riders 7:11		suspend 5:20 6:3 7:19	<b>Tim</b> 3:5
risk 17:20 21:14	<b>Spouses</b> 3:8	1.10	
routinely 2:4	staff 2:19,21 6:24 9:5 11:9,16,18	т	time 2:3 8:17 9:7, 17 10:17 11:21,22
rule 18:16	15:15 21:22 22:2	takes 10:23 23:17	14:19 15:8,10,23
run 24:15	24:3		16:2 19:17,19 20:12 24:10 25:22
	staff's 19:15	taking 19:22 21:17,20	
S	standpoint 18:4	talk 8:7 13:25	timeline 4:2,4
sake 12:3	20:14	18:13	timing 20:21
sanctions 18:17	star 3:16	talking 10:16	today 2:2 8:13 12:1 24:18
satisfy 15:2	start 3:21,24 4:2 11:5,13 12:25	13:20,22,23	topic 8:12
schedule 17:11,15	17:2	tariff 4:2,4,14,16,	topics 24:14
SUICUUL 17.11,13		18 5:13,15,17 6:8,	τορισς 24.14
			I



	Transcript of	Proceedings	February 24, 2025Index: tossedyears
tossed 15:22	wanting 14:24		
totally 13:13	Webex 2:10		
transcription 2:8	week 17:20 25:20,		
transpired 19:2,3	23		
true-up 23:8	week's 16:13		
turn 12:8	whatnot 24:5		
	Williams 3:2 7:9 8:2,7 12:13,14		
U	14:5,8 22:11,13		
<b>Uh-huh</b> 5:18	24:24,25		
uncertainty 23:4	withdraw 14:12		
unclear 13:23	withdrawn 14:16		
understanding 4:21 6:18 23:8	<b>work</b> 11:8,12 15:16 17:4		
unmute 3:16	working 20:7		
unusual 21:1	worse 11:24		
updated 14:22,24, 25 17:14 23:7	wrinkle 4:15 10:19		
updates 13:12	Υ		
utility 4:22 7:23 12:20 13:4,15	<b>year</b> 13:11 14:13, 19,25		
22:23	years 12:21 13:4,5		
V			
Vandergriff 2:21, 22 7:3 11:17 12:6 21:23 22:1,10 24:21,22			
versus 10:6			
voluntarily 4:1,4, 22 6:7,25 10:5 16:15 17:9 18:24			
W			
<b>WALTHERS</b> 25:23 26:2,5			
wanted 21:9			

