EC-2025-0165

To add to my Motions for re-hearings. The comment that states "Felber is correct," conclusively raises doubt, awareness, and suspicion about document MPSC 0027. It further conclusively agrees that another party whether it be the Staff of the Commission, Ameren Missouri or both, that www2.ameren.com is NOT an email address and the document itself wouldn't send. Therefore it isn't a legal or valid payment agreement.

It would further conclude MPSC 0027 is a counterfeit document.

The purpose of the Commission is to investigate any and all complaints that arise out of a complainant. Correct? Since there are know multiple agreeing parties that agree that "Felber is correct," means those parties have doubt about the so called email address, or fake email address that Ameren tried to use as a payment agreement.

Personally, since there is multiple parties that conclusively agree that "Felber is correct," it demands response from Ameren Missouri in the form of a yes or no answer.

In addition Complainant asks that the Commission enforce Ameren Missouri to put the amount of succession into a suspense from EC-2023-0395 and continue to keep in suspense until any decision is handed down from the Commission.

In total \$ _____ the Complainant wants to be suspended until a hearing is setup regarding the issues or a Commission order is issued.

"Felber is correct" clearly and conclusively challenges the authenticity of document MPSC 0027 and if the document was ever sent or not, considering Ameren Missouri clearly stated they "couldn't get a copy of the agreement." Therefore the only way to validate if MPSC 0027 is a real document or not, is to see and have Ameren try to send a document from the email address and it shows in the email inbox from www2.ameren.com as the sender.

If Ameren Missouri has nothing to hide or to prove they aren't lying, they would openly respect the complainants demands and try to send an email from www2.ameren.com. However, the Complainant has received pushback, lack of response from Ameren Missouri, and failure to answer a question that was in part of a Motion to Compel, and in served requests from Mr. Felber asking about the bogus email address.

In addition, even though it has nothing to do with this matter. I received new information or a tip from outside credible sources within a certain Government Agency that the utility company that prior counsel, Jermaine Grubbs is now counsel for has an open investigation that was requested by Staff and Office of the Public Counsel?

Imagine that. The person that called their prior counsel a con artist for crafting counterfeit documents, clearly. The Staff and Office of the Public Counsel has requested that the Commission investigate, due to certain documents, in that matter.

Ameren Missouri and their legal counsel are nothing but Con Artists.

I'm coming for my money Ameren, you haven't seen what a real investigation even looks like. Return all the money that you stole from me by the end of business 3/10/25, or I will ensure that you are investigated by every agency outside of the Commission until you are fined, punished and prosecuted.

Also, raising awareness about counterfeit documents isn't harassment. It's a demand to tell the truth prior to taking higher legal actions applicable under all Missouri laws and statutes.

Just remember and let in sink in, "Felber is correct." No matter what way Ameren tries to deflect, or tries to spin it, I'm correct, not Ameren.

Brett Felber

3/10/2025