Exhibit No.:

Issues: Insurance (MoPub & SJLP),

Injuries and Damages,

Property Taxes (MoPub & SJLP),

Lobbying (MoPub & SJLP),

Outside Services,

Maintenance (MoPub & SJLP),

SJLP Related Expenses,

Witness: Amanda C. McMellen

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff

Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: ER-2001-672

Date Testimony Prepared: December 6, 2001

### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

FILED<sup>3</sup>

**DIRECT TESTIMONY** 

DEC 6 2001

**OF** 

Missouri Public Service Commission

AMANDA C. McMELLEN

UTILICORP UNITED INC. d/b/a MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE

**CASE NO. ER-2001-672** 

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2001

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF
3	AMANDA C. McMELLEN
4	UTILICORP UNITED INC.
5	d/b/a MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE
6	CASE NO. ER-2001-672
7	INSURANCE/INJURIES AND DAMAGES
8	PROPERTY TAXES
9	LOBBYING4
10	OUTSIDE SERVICES4
11	MAINTENANCE 5
12	SJLP RELATED EXPENSES
13	

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		AMANDA C. McMELLEN
4		UTILICORP UNITED INC.
5		d/b/a MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE
6		CASE NO. ER-2001-672
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	Amanda C. McMellen, P.O. Box 360, Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO
9	65102.	
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am a Regulatory Auditor for the Missouri Public Service Commission
12	(Commission	or PSC).
13	Q.	Please describe your educational and employment background.
14	A.	I graduated from DeVry Institute of Technology in June 1998 with a
15	Bachelors of	Science degree in Accounting. I commenced employment with the
16	Commission S	Staff (Staff) in June 1999.
17	Q.	What has been the nature of your duties while employed by the
18	Commission?	
19	A.	I am responsible for assisting in the audits and examinations of the books
20	and records of	futility companies operating within the state of Missouri.
21	Q.	Have you previously filed testimony before this Commission?
22	A.	Yes, I submitted direct testimony in Case Nos. SR-2000-556 and
23	WR-2000-55	7, Osage Water Company; and direct and true-up direct testimony in Case
24	No. ER-2001	299. The Empire District Electric Company.

1	Q.	Have you made an examina	ation of the books and records of	Missouri
2	Public Servic	e (MPS or Company), a divis	ion of UtiliCorp United, Inc. (UtiliC	Corp) for
3	purposes of th	nis case?		
4	A.	Yes, with the assistance of oth	her members of the Staff.	
5	Q.	Please describe your areas of	responsibility in Case No. ER-2001-	672.
6	A.	I am responsible for the areas	of injuries and damages, insurance	expense,
7	property taxes	s, rents and leases, lobbying, ou	atside services, and maintenance expe	enses for
8	both the MPS	S and St. Joseph Light & Power	er (SJLP) divisions of UtiliCorp. Al	so, I am
9	responsible fo	or payroll and related benefits for	or the SJLP divisions.	•
10	Q.	Please describe what adjustment	ents you are sponsoring in this case.	
11	A.	I am sponsoring the following	g adjustments:	
12		Insurance Expenses		S-83.1
13		Injuries and Damages		S-84.3
14		Property Taxes		S-94.3
15 16		Outside Services	S-22.3, S-23.3, S-31.3, S-47.6, S-54.3, S-63.6, S-64.6, S-68.6, S-8	-
17 18 19 20 21		Maintenance Expense	S-20.2, S-21.2, S-22.2, S-23.2, S-30.2, S-31.2, S-32.2, S-33.4, S-46.5, S-47.5, S-48.5, S-49.5, S-61.5, S-62.5, S-63.5, S-64.5, S-66.2, S-67.5, S-68.5	S-45.2, S-60.2,
22	INSURANC	E/INJURIES AND DAMAGI	E <u>S</u>	
23	Q.	What costs are recorded in ac	counts 924 and 925?	
24	A.	Account 924 represents insu	rance premiums and account 925 re	epresents
25	injuries and d	lamages.		

Please explain adjustment S-83.1.

2

3

5

4

7

6

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

Adjustment S-83.1 annualizes insurance expense booked to account 924 to A. reflect the most recent paid policy premiums.

- Q. Please explain the term "annualization" and its purpose
- A. Annualization is a term used in ratemaking purposes which refers to items that have occurred within the test year and will continue to occur subsequent to the test year adjusted to reflect the appropriate level on a going-forward basis. For example, insurance expense was annualized to reflect the most current monthly rate. This monthly rate is then multiplied by 12 to reflect the Staff's annualized amount.
  - Q. Please explain adjustment S-84.3.
- Adjustment S-84.3 annualizes injuries and damages. The Staff usually A. normalizes self-insured retention of actual claims settlements for injuries and damages based on a five-year average. In this case, the Staff calculated a 3-year average (calendar years 1998-2000) because UtiliCorp has recently consolidated its accounting system and MPS-specific data was not available for five years. These normalized amounts are then adjusted by the electric jurisdictional factor.
  - Q. Please explain the term "normalization" and its purpose.
- Normalization is a term used for ratemaking purposes and involves the A. process of "smoothing" the effects of costs subject to considerable fluctuation from year to year. This smoothing process mitigates cost fluctuations that occur during the "test year" for purposes of establishing rates at an appropriate level on a going-forward basis.

### **PROPERTY TAXES**

- Please explain adjustment S-94.3. Q.
- Adjustment S-94.3 annualizes property tax expense. This adjustment was A. calculated by developing a property tax rate to be applied to total electric plant in service

## Direct Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

as of December 31, 2000. The property tax rate was developed by dividing the amount of total Company electric property taxes paid in 2000 by the total Company electric property (plant in service) as of January 1, 2000. This property tax rate was then applied to total electric plant in service at December 31, 2000 to arrive at annualized property taxes. The annualized property tax expense was then subtracted from test year property tax expense to arrive at the adjustment. The Staff will reexamine the property tax calculation as part of the true-up audit in this case. For a discussion of the Staff's true-up audit, please refer to the direct testimony of Staff witness Phillip K. Williams.

### **LOBBYING**

- Q. Please explain why an adjustment was not made for lobbying activities.
- A. The Staff examined the lobbying costs and verified that these costs were charged to below-the-line accounts, thus ratepayers will not be required to pay these costs through rates.

#### **OUTSIDE SERVICES**

- Q. Please describe the adjustments S-22.3, S-23.3, S-31.3, S-47.6, S-48.6, S-54.3, S-63.6, S-64.6, S-68.6 and S-82.4.
- A. Adjustments S-22.3, S-23.3, S-31.3, S-47.6, S-48.6, S-54.3, S-63.6, S-64.6, S-68.6 and S-82.4 annualize outside services. The Staff reviewed outside services costs posted to all Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) accounts during the test year, which is the calendar year 2000. Some of the costs included were industrial vacuum services, tree trimming, structural evaluations, contract meter reading and wood pole preserving. Since the number of invoices for all outside services was too voluminous to review, the Staff analyzed a statistically significant sample of invoices.

### Direct Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

The sample was chosen based on all vendors with charges over \$50,000 during the test year. This sample resulted in an analysis of over \$7 million of the direct outside services, out of a total of over \$9 million. The majority of the SJLP expenses were excluded because the invoices were for an employee that was leased from SJLP to work for MPS. This employee is now working for the SJLP division of UtiliCorp. Inclusion of these costs would be inappropriate since the costs are nonrecurring expenses that would not be representative of ongoing MPS expense levels. Also, specific expenses were removed from the test year because they were incorrectly charged to Missouri operations. They should be charged to UtilicCorp's Colorado operations. Adjustments were made based only on items found in the sample.

#### **MAINTENANCE**

- Q. Please explain adjustments S-20.2, S-21.2, S-22.2, S-23.2, S-24.2, S-30.2, S-31.2, S-32.2, S-33.4, S-45.2, S-46.5, S-47.5, S-48.5, S-49.5, S-60.2, S-61.5, S-62.5, S-63.5, S-64.5, S-65.2, S-66.2, S-67.5 and S-68.5.
- A. Adjustments S-20.2, S-21.2, S-22.2, S-23.2, S-24.2, S-30.2, S-31.2, S-32.2, S-33.4, S-45.2, S-46.5, S-47.5, S-48.5, S-49.5, S-60.2, S-61.5, S-62.5, S-63.5, S-64.5, S-65.2, S-66.2, S-67.5 and S-68.5 normalize maintenance expense for production, transmission and distribution plant, respectively, during the test year.
- Q. How did the Staff determine normalized maintenance expense for the test year ended December 31, 2000?
- A. After removing payroll costs included in these accounts, a three-year average (calendar years 1998 through 2000) was calculated because data was not available to perform the five-year analysis the Staff typically performs.

# Direct Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

- 1	,
1	Q. Why was payroll removed prior to calculating the three-year average of
2	maintenance expense?
3	A. Payroll is normalized and annualized separately for purposes of rate cases.
4	Therefore, any payroll costs recorded in the maintenance accounts must be removed to
5	avoid double counting of such payroll costs. Staff witness Graham A. Vesely will be
6	sponsoring the Staff's payroll adjustments in this case.
7	Q. Please explain the results of your analysis of maintenance expense.
8	A. Please reference Schedule 1 attached to this testimony. Schedule 1
9	illustrates the per book costs recorded for production maintenance (accounts 510-514
10	and 551-554), distribution maintenance (accounts 568-573) and transmission
11	maintenance (accounts 590-598) during the three-year period. Each account has been
12	summed, payroll costs deducted and a three-year average calculated by maintenance
13	function. I then compared the three-year average to the test year booked cost and
14	calculated an appropriate adjustment.
15	SJLP RELATED EXPENSES
16	Q. How do expenses for the SJLP division of UtiliCorp relate to this MPS
17	case?
18	A. The reason for the inclusion of certain expenses for the SJLP division will
19	be discussed in the direct testimony of Staff witness Steve M. Traxler.
20	Q. Does this complete your direct testimony?
21	A Ves it does

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

### **OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI**

In the Matter of the Application of the Tariff Filing of Missouri Public Service (MPS) A Division of UtiliCorp United Inc., to Implement a General Rate Increase for Retail Electric Service Provided to Customers in the Missouri Service Area of MPS  Case No. ER-2001-672  Case No. ER-2001-672
AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA C. MCMELLEN
STATE OF MISSOURI )
COUNTY OF COLE ) ss.
Amanda C. McMellen, being of lawful age, on her oath states: that she has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of
amanda cmº mell

Subscribed and sworn to before me this  $\frac{5^{11}}{2}$  day of December 2001.

Duziellankin

Amanda C. McMellen

D SUZIE MANKIN
NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI
COLE COUNTY
MY COMMISSION EXP. JUNE 21,2004

Utilicorp/ Missouri Public Service Case No. ER-01-672 Calculation of Normalized Maintenance Expense For the Year 2000

Production Maintenance Expense

Account	1998	1999	2000 3	Year Total	Adiu	stment*	Adi#
510	\$1,230,815	\$1,038,169	\$1,075,122	\$3,344,106		(13,929)	
511	\$656,281	\$1,007,459	\$1,191,507	\$2,855,247	_	(15,436)	
512	\$4,023,879	\$4,747,666	\$5,078,777	\$13,850,322		65,798)	
513	\$2,860,174	\$2,942,519	\$2,476,731	\$8,279,424	_	32,087)	
514	\$35,597	\$93,733	\$158,664	\$287,994			S- 24.2
551	\$919	\$36	\$1,955	\$2,910			S- 30.2
552	\$53,762	\$91,780	\$56,095	\$201,637	_		S- 31.2
553	\$459,495	\$469,489	\$370,697	\$1,299,681	\$		S- 32.2
554	\$1,653	\$316	\$12,627	\$14,596	\$		S- 33.4
Total Production	\$9,322,575	\$10,391,167	\$10,422,175	\$30,135,917		. ( • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Less Payroll	(\$2,862,531)	(\$3,131,478)	(\$3,359,773)	(\$9,353,782)			
Less Overhaul Accrual	(\$500,000)	(\$500,000)	(\$500,000)	(\$1,500,000)			
Net Production	\$5,960,044	\$6,759,689	\$6,562,402	\$19,282,135			
3 Year Average Production Maintenance Expense				\$6,427,378			
Staff Adjustment \$6,427,378 - (\$10,422,175 (2000 Books) - \$3,359,773 (Payroll) - \$500,000 (Overhaul Accrual))			(\$135,024)				

Transmission Maintenance Expense

Transmission Mantenance Expense						
Account	1998	1999	2000 3Yea	ar Total	Adjustment*	Adj#
568	\$45,799	\$64,060	\$48,299	\$158,158		S- 45.2
569	\$75,795	\$34,868	\$29,882	\$140,545		S- 46.5
570	\$368,849	\$550,710	\$405,460	\$1,325,019		
571	\$574,299	\$967,618	\$1,106,976	\$2,648,893		
572	\$6,996	\$33	\$97	\$7,126	<del>* (1.0,701)</del>	_0 +0.0
573	\$149,307	\$145,489	\$112,839	\$407,635	\$ (11,603)	S- 49 5
Total Transmission	\$1,221,045	\$1,762,778	\$1,703,553	\$4,687,376	4 (1.1000)	0 .0.0
Less Payroll	(\$319,980)	(\$372,278)	(\$295,236)	(\$987,494)		
Net Transmission	\$901,065	\$1,390,500	\$1,408,317	\$3,699,882		
3 Year Average Transmission Maintenance Expense				\$1,233,294		
Staff Adjustment \$3,699,882 - (\$1,703,553 (2000 Books) - \$295,236 (Payroll))				(\$175,023)		

Distribution Maintenance Expense

Account	1998	1999	2000 3Ye	ar Total	Ad	justment*	Adi#
590	\$302,792	\$42,271	\$26,471	\$371,534	\$		S-60.2
591	\$31,920	\$20,635	\$52,759	\$105,314	Š		S- 61.5
592	\$617,176	\$554,952	\$581,186	\$1,753,314	\$	(39,991)	***
593	\$5,124,631	\$5,237,918	\$5,775,400	\$16,137,949	\$	(397,402)	
594	\$280,420	\$521,957	\$738,289	\$1,540,666		(50.801)	
595	\$47,616	\$40,721	\$33,560	\$121,897	Š		S- 65.2
596	\$348,544	\$297,654	\$356,531	\$1,002,729	Š	(24,533)	
597	\$85,910	\$68,844	\$23,618	\$178,372			S- 67.5
598	\$729,066	\$787,020	\$868,069	\$2,384,155		(59,731)	
Total Distribution	\$7,568,075	\$7,571,972	\$8,455,883	\$23,595,930	┝	3	
Less Payroll	(\$2,713,921)	(\$2,435,913)	(\$2,588,010)	(\$7,737,844)	i		
Net Distribution	\$4,854,154	\$5,136,059	\$5,867,873	\$15,858,086	1		
3 Year Average Distribution Maintenance Expense			\$5,286,029	t			
taff Adjustment \$5,286,029 - (\$8,455,883 (2000 Books) - \$2,588,010 (Payroll))			(\$581,844)	1			

<sup>\*</sup> Allocated based on 2000 information