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Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.
    JUDGE JONES: Sedalia Industrial Users' Energy
Association.
    MR. CONRAD: I guess I got either the dead one
or the --
    JUDGE JONES: It sounds like it's working.
        MR. CONRAD: Is it working? Hello? Test.
        Stuart W. Conrad for Sedalia Industrial Energy
Users' Association, law firm of Finnegan, Conrad and
Peterson, 3100 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri.
    JUDGE JONES: Thank you. And the Department
of Natural Resources.
    MS. WOODS: Shelley Woods, assistant attorney
general appearing on behalf of the Missouri Department of
Natural Resources, Post Office Box 899, Jefferson City,
Missouri 65102.
    JUDGE JONES: I spoke with Mr. Comley this
morning. He represents the City of Kansas City. I was told
by him that any questions regarding their portion of the
agreement can be addressed by Ms. Woods.
    Ms. Woods, is that correct?
    MS. WOODS: Yes, it is, your Honor.
    JUDGE JONES: Thank you.
    Are there any other attorneys present that
    wish to enter an appearance today?
```
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Seeing none, are there -- well, first, it was brought to my attention we should probably take up the matter of the remaining exhibits that have not been admitted into the record. At this point, I will admit all the remaining exhibjts. Are there any objections to those exhibits or concerns that we need to discuss? Mr. Williams?

MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, I think there have been some exhibits that were marked that were never even offered. If you're talking about the testimony and the schedules, I don't have any problem with that, but during cross-examination $I$ recall one exhibit, in particular, that was marked but not offered by the company.

JUDGE JONES: The testimony has been offered and it is admitted. Any exhibits that have not been offered, then they aren't admitted. Does anyone see any problem with that here today?

All right. Well, with that then, I will see if the remaining two Commissioners wish to join us. We'll go off the record for a moment.
(OEf the record.)
JUDGE JONES: First of all, I'd like to know if there are any parties here who, in particular, want to make an opening statement of some sort?

MR. SWEARENGEN: Judge, I think not. Thank you.

24 energy charge works.
25 Chairman Gaw? Watkins. for the record, please.

Mr. Watkins.

QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
A. Good morning.

JUDGE JONES: I don't understand what's so
funny, but at this time then we will move on to questions.

CHAIR GAW: Since no one wants to support the Stip, Judge, maybe $I$ don't have any questions. No. Let me see. If I could, please, get some -- I want to have a -first of all, an explanation of how this interim energy charge is going to work. And I want to have someone explain that to me better than what $I$ can gather out of the written material. So who is going to do that from staff?

MR. WILLIAMS: I believe that would be James

JUDGE JONES: Will you please state your name

THE WITNESS: James C. Watkins.
(Witness sworn.)
JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may be seated,

JAMES WATKINS testified as follows:
Q. Good morning, Mr. Watkins.
Q. Give me an overview, first of all, of how the
A. Basically, there is a portion of the energy

1 costs that are in permanent rates and another portion that are interim rates subject to refund. All of the fixed costs are in the permanent rates. A portion of the variable costs are also in the permanent rates.
Q. How were the fixed costs arrived at? Is there a calculation of that? Is that as a result of staff believing that that is $\cdots$ that there's certain amount of fixed costs and you calculated it and that's the amount in the Stip or is it something that's compromised that staff has come up and the parties have come up to an agreement on the value without any basis of calculations?
A. The fixed costs were never an issue.
Q. All right.
A. Those are recorded in certain accounts and identified as fixed costs.
Q. So those things have never been an issue. So what kinds of things do you identify as fixed costs? When you say "fixed costs," what does that mean?
A. A large category would be capacity payments associated with purchased power or demand charges where those amounts are fixed and then energy is priced on a megawatt hour basis, depending on how much you buy.

The gas transportation costs, the
preponderance of those are fixed, they're capacity reservation charges that do not depend on how much gas you
buy. Basically any of the costs in those accounts that don't vary with -- with usage of the fuel.
Q. So there was never a dispute in this case as to whether or not the fixed costs that were evident in the test year were appropriate or not? Everyone has agreed from the beginning of the case that those numbers were not only just accurate mathematically, but that the costs were prudently incurred?
A. Yes, that's the case.
Q. Okay. Does that include some sort of an understanding or an agreement by Staff that Aquila's current generation mix is appropriate?
A. I'm not sure I understand that question. We do not rely on the booked amounts for the variable portion of fuel costs.
Q. I'm just on fixed now.
A. On fixed.
Q. Just on fixed. If you don't know, you can tell me somebody who might. And if I need to ask the question in a different way, $I$ can try to do that, if you want.
A. Why don't you try asking it in a different way first?
Q. I'm trying to understand whether Staff is in agreement that from a resources standpoint, Aquila's current

1 generation mix and its use of choice -- its choices in 2 regard to use of generation during the test year were 3 appropriate and prudent.

4
A. I'm probably not the one to ask, but I don't believe that we've made any adjustments.
Q. Who is the right person to ask that question?
A. I think that would probably be steve Traxler.
Q. Is he here? Okay. I'll go on and then come back to him later.

In regard to those costs that are not fixed, the variable costs, tell me what those would include.
A. Primarily the cost of each of the fuels plus any variable transportation costs, for example, the cost of bringing coal in by rail.
Q. What else? Price of gas would be another one?
A. Certain -- yes, the cost of all the fuels delivered to the generating plants, the gas, oil, coal.
Q. Okay. Anything else besides transportation costs on coal?
A. I don't believe so. There's -- there are some other costs, but $I$ think we considered them to be fixed. In the wintertime the coal's treated so that it doesn't freeze up in the cars. And $I$ believe we looked at that as a fixed amount.
Q. Okay. All right. Are the variable costs
identified in Appendix A?
A. The specific variable costs?
Q. Yes. Or maybe you can just tell me where they're identified for purposes of the stipulation.
A. I don't believe that they're specifically identified in great detail in the Stipulation. I believe that that would be another question that Mr. Traxler would be better able to answer.
Q. Mr. Traxler's going to appreciate you much after you're finished.
A. That's the advantage of being first.
Q. Yes, it is. I'm writing myself a note.

All right. Did you prepare Appendix A? Who prepared Appendix A?
A. For the most part, yes. The parties prepared it.
Q. Okay. When you speak of variable costs though, when you were doing your calculation, none of the variable costs are figured into the regular rates in this Stip; is that correct?
A. No.
Q. It's not correct or --
A. That's not correct.
Q. Okay. What are the variable costs that are in current rates -- in the rates that are proposed in the stip,
rather?
A. Let me approach that in this way.
Q. Okay.
A. The preponderance of the variable costs are the costs of the fuel itself. What -- what the -- what the basis for the amounts that are in permanent and interim rates are are the results of fuel run, of generation dispatch simulation model where the two runs are essentially identical except for the price of gas and the associated price of purchased power. The amount that was included in permanent rates was based on a fuel run with a gas price of $\$ 3.50$.
Q. $\$ 3.50 ?$
A. That's correct.
Q. Why was that number -- where did that number come from?
A. I think it -- I hate to say it was pulled out of the air, but it isn't really tied to anything. It was a number the parties agreed to.
Q. So that's your base price on gas?
A. Well, that -- that's the -- that's the price of gas that was used in the model run to determine the amount of variable costs that would be included in permanent rates.
Q. All right. So $\$ 3.50$ is the assumed amount in
the base rates?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. How much is the assumed -- what did you do with coal?
A. The price of -- the price of coal delivered was also not an issue. So it's -- all of the fuels are in there at the -- at the staff's prices, but there wasn't any disagreement, I don't believe, on any of the prices other than for gas, natural gas.
Q. What I'm trying to understand is what part of the variable costs are in base rates and what part of the variable costs are not. So when $I$ ask about coal, I'm trying to understand what part of the coal -- fuel costs coal are included in the base rates.
A. Okay. I believe that --
Q. What's the assumed amount?
A. I believe the appendix indicates the amount of the variable costs that are in permanent and the amount of variable costs that are in interim in the aggregate but not by fuel.
Q. Who knows the answer to my question?

Mr. Traxler maybe? Maybe so.
A. I see him shaking his head.
Q. He seems to be. He seems to be.
A. He probably does have --
Q. All I can say is probably we're going to lock the doors in here in a little bit so no one leaves after you.

```
            A. I believe that he has the results of those
runs --
Q. Okay.
A. -- in the aggregate.
Q. Okay. I'll ask him that question.
```

All right. So the concept is there's a base amount that's put into rates. That's just built in to the base rates. And then there are variable costs over and above those that were included in the base rates which are in the interim energy charge --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- correct?

Okay. And then there is an assumption as to what that is likely to be over the course of the interim energy charge's existence and that results in a certain amount that the consumers are going to pay in subject to true-up. Am I correct in that or not?
A. I think basically. I don't -- I don't think that there's a presumption on the part of any of the parties that they know what gas prices are going to do over that period.
Q. But there is --

```
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A. But there is --
Q. -- there's an assumption, an assumed amount to calculate the charge. Correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. What is that assumed amount and the breakdown for that? Would Mr. Traxler have that information too?
A. I believe that's also identified, but I -- he would know. I think it's the 5.64 .
Q. Okay. But that's not broken down into fuel type, of course. That's not broken down. That number is a cumulative number?
A. Yes. There was a run based on gas prices at \(\$ 5.64\) that gave a total. And the difference between that and the previous run at \(\$ 3.50\) for gas prices was what's in the interim energy charge.
Q. And it's subject to true-up. Correct?
A. True-up and refund with interest.
Q. Yeah. But it is not subject to something where additional monies might be owed by consumers --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- if the --
A. That's .-
Q. -- if the assumption is too low?
A. No. If the assumption is too low, consumers 25 are not going to pay more.
```

Q. On page 5 of the Appendix A -- do you have that in front of you?
A. I believe so, although the version I have doesn't have any page numbers on it.
Q. Okay. There's certain sub i categories under paragraph -- looks like paragraph 6, sub $B$ and then there are i, little ii, little iii.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. This is just for purposes of clarification for me. Under the iii heading, there's a-- where it says $A$ is the trued-up IEC of the residential class and then under -and then it says $E$ is the trued-up IEC of the residential class, is there something different between $A$ and $E$ ? I'm just trying to understand why that was done that way or if it's missing something. Do you see where I'm referring to?
A. Yes. I'll have to think -- think my way through this formula. I believe that those two things are identical.
Q. Okay. So I could have called $E A$, in other words?
A. Yes. I think we may have gotten carried away with our letters.
Q. I just wanted to make sure there wasn't something left out of there that would have made them different. That's the reason I'm asking.

24 standpoint, why was that done?

25 that would help. calculate the refund. cases, these formulas? case. what was in effect at Empire. different classes.
okay. Can you tell me just in general what those formulas under those sub i's are designed to produce? I'm just having a little difficulty following the formula from a logic standpoint. If you could give me just a basic understanding of what those formulas are designed to do,
A. The overview of what they're designed to do is to allow us to compare the portion of the variable costs that were paid by each class with the IEC to their share of the actual costs that were incurred so that we could
Q. Have you all used this formula before in other
A. No. The -- the design of the rate is more complicated in this case than, for example, in the Empire
Q. That's where $I$ was going, to see what the difference was and how this formula worked in comparison to
A. In Empire the IEC rate was the same cents per kilowatt hour for each class. This varies between the
Q. I see. Why is that? From a policy
A. I - I can give you two answers. One is it's
what everybody agreed to. And I can give you --
Q. I understand that.
A. -- my -- my view.
Q. Give me the other part.
A. I can give me my view about why that is.
Q. Okay.
A. That when -- when you -- when you look at the hourly fuel costs over a whole year and you match those up with hourly class loads, if you calculate the average for each class, those classes that are relatively high energy users that have high load factors, even if they pay the same price in every hour, will end up with a lower average cost then those classes that have less energy usage, lower load factors.

And that's because of the proportion of the -proportion of the usage that's on peak relative to the proportion that's off peak. So you'll typically see that the -- the energy charges for the residential, small commercial classes are higher than they are for the large power, large primary classes. And that's because of the percentage of the user that's on peak versus off peak.

The rate design that we've implemented for this IEC has that same characteristic, that the average price is lower for the high load factor, high energy consumers than for the other classes.
Q. Are the amounts that the different classes are going to be charged reflective of the usage patterns that Staff has observed for Aquila and those residential classes that Aquila serves -- and those classes that Aquila serves, not just residential? Excuse me.
A. I -- again, I have to have -- give you two answers.
Q. Okay.
A. Based on our past experience, those are representative of Aquila. There is a rate design docket where we will calculate those hourly fuel costs and look at the hourly loads for each class and determine precisely what we think those are in order to recommend to you any adjustments in the permanent rates.
Q. How does that fit into this case?
A. Well, it's a separate case, but it's -- it's next on the list. It's been out there a while. They've been developing -- the company has been developing the research data to estimate the hourly class loads. They've, of course, put together their cost of service as have all the other parties for the purposes of this rate case. We hope we can use all of that for the rate design case.
Q. Is that other case referred to in this Stipulation?
A. I don't believe it is. concept.

Purchased power is not included in the variable costs; is that correct? Not included in the interim energy charge?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. It is included?
A. Yes.
Q. How does that work? Take me through that
A. It's -- the -- the fuel run basically simulates both generation and purchases to serve native load. So all of the fuel costs and variable purchased power costs are included in the fuel run. The only portion that would not be is purchases for resale off -- on interchange.
Q. Was a portion of -- was there an assumption about purchased power values that were built into the base rates as well?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know what that assumption was?
A. I know that the assumptions differed between the -- what was in the base rates and what was in the rates that included both the base and the interim. The -- because they were adjusted for some relationship to the price of gas. So I know that the purchased power prices were higher in the run that had higher gas costs, but I'm not the person to answer the --
Q. Is Mr. Traxler --
A. -- details.
Q. -- the person? I don't see anybody nodding their head back there this time.
A. If it's -- if it's details about the fuel run, I'm sure we'll find somebody for you.
Q. Okay. I'll let you all do that. I'll just hold off on --
A. Actually, I see Mr. Elliott in the back of the room. He's probably the one that could answer those. CHAIR GAW: All right. I think I'm going to stop right now, Judge, with this witness. Maybe somebody else wants to ask him some questions. I'll go on to somebody else.

JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Murray?
COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I don't believe I have any questions for this witness. Thank you, Judge.

JUDGE JONES: Okay. Commissioner Clayton?
Very well. You may step down then, Mr. Watkins.

In this case, it would probably be best to go 14 right on to Mr. Traxler, see if he can answer some 15 questions. We will do some -- Mr. Traxler.

MR. WILLIAMS: I would also advise the Commission that Mr. Traxler's available on some other issues, tax, pension.

MR. CONRAD: Judge, while he's coming to the stand, let me just quickly correct -- I think I had omitted on my entry of appearance Ag Processing and I should have included them. I apologize.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Conrad.
(Witness sworn.)
JUDGE JONES: Will you please state your name

```
for the record?
```

THE WITNESS: My name is Steve M. Traxler. JUDGE JONES: Thank you, sir.

Chairman Gaw, you had questions for
Mr. Traxler.

CHAIR GAW: Thank you.
STEVE TRAXLER testified as follows:

QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Mr. Traxler, I don't know if you want to dive into some questions I had earlier or do you want me to go back and kind of filter what $I$ was asking?
A. There were quite a few. I'd probably prefer that you restate your questions.
Q. Give me some idea about the costs of natural gas that are built into the base rates, first of all.
A. Well, the agreement between the parties on the base cost of gas was $\$ 3.50$.
Q. All right. And that was a figure that $I$ heard earlier. And where did that figure come from? Is there any --
A. It's basically an agreement between the parties in terms of what range that was intended by the IEC to protect the company and the customers for the volatility of gas prices. And that range is between 3.50 at the low end and 5.14 at the high end.

```
Q. And so is there a range of volatility. So when you go between 3.50 -- the base rates assume a 3.50 value --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- is that correct?
Okay. So then if \(I\) jump into my interim energy charge, what happens then with the assumptions about the natural gas costs?
A. Well, basically what happens is that the the amounts subject to refund is a difference between 3.50 and 5.14 .
Q. So the --
A. The 3.50 , to put that in perspective --
Q. Let me interrupt real quick here.
A. Okay. Go ahead.
Q. So the amount that the interim energy charge is based upon is that difference between the base amount 3.50 and a maximum amount of \(\$ 5.14\) ?
A. That's correct.
Q. All right. Okay. Go ahead. I'm sorry.
A. To put the 3.50 in perspective, the Staff's filed case assumed an approximate cost of \(\$ 4\) an MCF. Now, the fact that we're sitting at 3.50 is a benefit on the consumers' side, if you will. The lower the price is on the IEC, the more protection the consumer has with regard to
```

this particular proposal.
Q. Okay. I understand. All right. So what will happen on the energy interim energy charge with the assumption is that if gas is over $\$ 5.14$, then it's exceeding the maximum that was assumed under the charge; is that correct?
A. That's correct. The company would assume all responsibility for anything above 5.14 .
Q. If gas drops below $\$ 3.50$, then $I$ guess that the consumer doesn't get anything back?
A. That's correct. It has to go before -- below 3.50 before the company would benefit from a lower gas price.
Q. Now, help me out with this concept, because I don't know how this calculation is put together. You've got a whole lot of different fuel elements that have to go in to account for the assumptions that you make in producing these -- producing the interim charge.

How do you -- when you're looking at this in retrospect after the -- for purposes of true-up, how do you make those calculations? Are you assuming a certain volume of gas usage in conjunction with coal usage and purchased power?
A. Well --
Q. How does that work? Just very generally
first.
A. With regard to the other elements and with regard to the specific --
Q. And I'll get to the prices on those in a little bit too, but I'm just wanting to see how that all gets put together.
A. With regard to the other elements, with regard to the cost of oil, the cost of coal generation, both sides are running along, you're assuming that the generation is done on a least cost basis. Those costs are in the base rate. They're not subject to refund, they don't have any impact in terms of determining what the overall refund will be based upon.
Q. Okay.
A. Now, the very specifics of the way this thing is going to be audited for true-up is a question better suited for Mr. Watkins. But basically that's something that the parties agreed to and $I$ wasn't privy to in terms of how they plan to audit, you know, the actual transaction.
Q. So I need to talk to Mr. Watkins about that general makeup of --
A. More specific in terms of how you determine the amount at the true-up.
Q. My questions to you would relate to what that amount is per fuel then would be more appropriate for you?
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A. Yes. In general, yes.
Q. Okay. If you can add something as we're going along here on the fringes of what $I$ ask you, please do it. okay?
A. Sure.
Q. What was the assumption for coal?
A. The assumption for coal, there's no an disagreement between the Staff and company. The company accepted the Staff's position on that for purposes of running a fuel model.
Q. All right. Do you know what that amount was?
A. In terms of?
Q. The cost amount.
A. You'd have to -- we can get that for you. You'd have to go do that on a unit-by-unit basis. Each major generating station has a separate coal contract.
Q. Okay. That's fair. What --
A. But the amounts are generally based on the actual contract.
Q. I guess what I'm looking for is was there -is any part of the fuel cost of coal in the interim energy charge?
A. No. The fuel costs for coal are all part of the base cost --
Q. All right.

```
in the base rate?
```

```
in the base rate?
```

A. That's correct.
Q. All right. And then what about purchased
power? How much of that -- what of that is in base and what
of it is in --
A. As I understand, there's an impact on the purchased power energy costs related to gas. The gas costs assumption affects the purchased power energy cost. And the Staff, as I understand it -- Mr. Elliott can be more specific, but the Staff's -- the base case assumes the Staff's purchased power energy cost and the ceiling for the IEC assumed the company's assumption for purchased power energy cost.
Q. Okay.
A. And a big difference between the two was driven by the gas cost assumption.
Q. Okay. So do you know what that amount is in the base rate?
A. The approximate cost per megawatt hour was about $\$ 31$ per megawatt hour in the staff's case, as I recall.
Q. Say that again. How much?
A. Thirty-one dollars per megawatt hour, as I recall.
Q. Thirty-one dollars?
A. Our witness on that was Mr. Bender. The
Q. So $\$ 7$ is in the interim energy charge?
A. I think that's correct. And Mr. Bender or Mr. Elliott, if those numbers are not completely correct --
Q. I'll let counsel sort that out with me for the witnesses rather than try to do that myself. He can talk to me and give me a different -- give me different information if it's different than that.
A. Sure.
Q. Am I leaving out any other fuel source that was used in the calculation other than gas?
A. That represents the difference between the IEC and the base --
Q. Yes.
A. -- that's your question?
Q. Yes.
A. No.
Q. Okay. Does Aquila have any other generation other than gas or coal?
A. Yes, they have oil.
Q. Oil. And oil was built into it?
A. Yes, it was.
Q. Was that all -- is that all in base?
A. That's in base, like coal.
Q. Like coal. Okay. And what about transportation of oil?
A. All costs related to coal and oil are included in your base cost.
Q. All right. I thought that's what you were saying. I wanted to make sure $I$ was following you.

CHAIR GAW: Okay. Let me ask Staff's
attorney. It seems like that you're creating some potential for there to be a portion of the interim energy charge to at least potentially create a situation where the company has the ability to choose gas over coal and -- up to \$5.14 because they can recover -- it's being recovered.

I guess what I'm looking for is help me to understand the dynamics of the incentives that exist when the gas is the only fuel that's really put into the interim energy charge, if any.

With all of the coal -- with coal and oil all being placed into the base rates and none of it into the interim energy charge, does that create any incentives to use one fuel over another even though the other fuel may be actually cheaper at the time?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, from what I understand, there are different fuels used for different types of load demands. You're going to have base load, which is going to be coal primarily, which is a cheaper fuel source.

CHAIR GAW: I understand that. What I'm
looking for is whether or not this mechanism creates any incentive to utilize a fuel that is not the least cost at the time that it was utilized. And what I'm really after is, is this stipulation -- does this stipulation create any agreements in regard to prudence review as to fuel choice or what is all prudence review continued in the case just as it always would be?

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm going to need to confer on that because $I$ was not the attorney that was involved in the negotiations in the --

MR. SWEARENGEN: Could I speak to that?

CHAIR GAW: Yeah. I'm not trying to cut you all off. I was going to get around to you.

MR. SWEARENGEN: I think that's addressed in paragraph 4 of Appendix A.

CHAIR GAW: There we go.
MR. SWEARENGEN: Particularly the last sentence where it speaks in terms of the -- in the context of the IEC audit, a determination is made concerning Aquila's actual and prudently incurred variable costs for fuel and purchased power.

CHAIR GAW: Okay. So Aquila is agreeing that prudence review would be done of all of these - of these generation burns --

22 know, prudence or reasonableness necessarily underlying this
23 settlement. The settlement is an overall reasonable
24 settlement. No one is acquiescing as to any particular
25 issue relating to what the generation mix is or what a

1 particular price is.

21 that is used in some states is not going to be present with
22 an interim energy charge, or at least it's not going to be
23 near as great, in my opinion.

25 guess this is on.
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CHAIR GAW: Go ahead, Mr. Conrad.
MR. CONRAD: I would concur respectively with

Mr. Coffman's comment. And add to that that as I'm understanding the sense of your question and, thus, your concern, what you might characterize as a perverse incentive to substitute one fuel for another, it is, in effect, I think addressed by focusing rather than -- although you're inquiring, and properly so, as to the set of assumptions that underlie this, the IEC is its -- is not explicit in those set of assumptions. It is instead based on a cents per megawatt hour.

And that being the target, at least in our view, that tends to neutralize the perverse incentive that you're sensing or that you're expressing concern about. Because it focuses attention on what the lowest -- what I would call bus bar cost is and focuses on that as a result rather than the specific inputs, if you understand my -- the distinction I'm trying to make.

CHAIR GAW: I understand what you're saying. And it goes back to my question about how the calculation will be done on the true-up. And when I get that answered, it will help me to understand how this mechanism works and what incentives it creates or doesn't create.

MR. CONRAD: And, Commissioner, I would certainly confess to you that I'm trying to think of the
name of the program both company and staff use to do what Mr. Watkins was characterizing as a Euel run.

CHAIR GAW: Yes, sir.
MR. CONRAD: But it's -- I haven't looked under the hood of that and don't -- and, Erankly, don't want to, but you can -- you can sense from $I$ think this discussion that it's an iterative type process because it's kind of a simultaneous equation.

CHAIR GAW: My main goal here is to make sure that everyone understands what that system will be so when we're back to that portion of it, that we won't be having as many disagreements about how to calculate it. So that's part of the reason I'm taking so much time on this. Thank you, Mr. Conrad. BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Mr. Traxler, I can't remember when I asked earlier about the interest rates, whether or not you had any information on that or not.
A. If I understood your question, I believe that the answer to the question was the fact that the rate assumption is the rate to be used for refunding to customers and doesn't equate to what Aquila's current borrowing rate is.
Q. It doesn't seem to, but I guess what I'm trying to see is whether or not this money is available at a

2 could acquire if they had to go -- if they were going to go 3 borrow it.
A. It's -- in answer to your question, the company, because of its financial condition, at this point cannot borrow at the prime rate.
Q. That's what I understood.
A. It was an assumption that was assumed to be fair to the ratepayer with regard to a refunding provision.
Q. Does it create an incentive -- I guess it doesn't. The interim energy charge is fixed, isn't it? Fixed subject to refund?
A. Yes, it is. I don't think there's any incentive that arises because of the assumption for the -you know, for the interest rate. We just have to make sure that that's a fair rate to be provided for the refund -money to the ratepayer, if that's the case.
Q. The protections that you built in -- that the parties have built in to ensure that the company will be in a position to refund the money include certain -- there's certain things that $I$ think $I$ read in here. They have to post a bond or something or what $I$ did read in -Mr. Coffman?

MR. COFFMAN: Pages 8 and 9.
CHAIR GAW: Of the stip?

MR. COFFMAN: The last paragraph on Appendix A on page 9 there is some guarantee or assurance.

CHAIR GAW: How is that going to work?
MR. COFFMAN: I'm not sure if the company has specified exactly what approach they're going to use, but there's a condition that .-

CHAIR GAW: Can Aquila maybe shed some light on that part?

MR. SWEARENGEN: Yes, Judge, I'11 try to. The way I understood what we've agreed to is that in the event a situation arises where there's some question as to the ability of the company to make these refunds in the event Aquila either becomes insolvent or reorganizes in some fashion, we would do something in the nature of either posting a bond or entering into an escrow agreement or what have you that would be agreeable with the parties to the proceeding to ensure that we could do that.

CHAIR GAW: I'm not sure I'm tracking with you, but $I$ think it's me, not you. Is Aquila going to get a bond to guarantee the amounts -- the amounts that they collect under the interim energy charge?

MR. SWEARENGEN: We will either do that or enter into an escrow arrangement or if there's some other possibility that we haven't thought of that would be agreeable to the parties.

CHAIR GAW: And that's going to be signed off on later by the parties?

MR. SWEARENGEN: That would be -- that's true. CHAIR GAW: Okay. Okay. I'm not sure how you would do that with an escrow, but -- you're going to actually incur the costs as you're going along. I don't know -- maybe somebody has an idea how that would okay on escrow. I understand on a bond how it would work. It's the escrow part I'm not sure about.

MR. WILLIAMS: Chair, we were wanting to have an assurance that the funds would be available immediately in the event the company were to do something like be put into a bankruptcy so that they wouldn't be tied up by the bankruptcy trustee.

CHAIR GAW: I understand why you're trying to do it. That part $I$ understand. It's trying to track how these other mechanisms would actually provide protection that I'm not sure I'm following. I understand the bond.

MR. WILLIAMS: The thought was a third party escrow where the money would be put with an independent third party so that it was no longer under Aquila's control.

CHAIR GAW: I understand. But won't the money already be spent? I mean, they're collecting this money to used for energy purchases and fuel. Won't the money be gone? That's what I'm trying to understand. I guess if you
put it -- unless somebody is just tracking it on a daily basis to ensure -- I don't know.

MR. SWEARENGEN: It is tracked monthly, Judge. My understanding is it's tracked monthly. Mr. Watkins can probably speak to this.

MR. WILLIAMS: And I would --
CHAIR GAW: I just encourage you all to make sure you do whatever you need to to put adequate protections in to ensure that if there is any over-collection later on, that the money will be there. I know you'll do that, but just --

MR. COFFMAN: It was our understanding that this agreement does require some assurance to be made that the monies will remain unencumbered now, not that that assurance would be provided in the event they become insolvent, but that it --

CHAIR GAW: And I'm not --
MR. COFFMAN: -- happens now in case --
CHAIR GAW: I think that's very important. I'm going one step farther in dealing with money that's actually spent but that is later determined to not -- to have been spent either in violation of the agreement or something that would require money to be refunded back that has -- was no longer there. So whatever needs to be done with that, I'm sure you all -- if you all have to sign off

24 and Agreement, I would be answering questions with regard to
CHAIR GAW: Oh, that's right. Thank you. COMMISSIONER MURRAY: What were all of the issues that you covered?

THE WITNESS: Oh, the issues that I'm
responsible for?
COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Yes.
THE WITNESS: with regard to the stipulation the discussion of the income tax area and pensions and any

```
general revenue requirement reconciliation questions, if you
will.
    COMMISSIONER MURRAY: I have no questions
then. Thank you.
    JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Clayton?
    COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Thank you.
QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER CLAYTON:
    Q.. Mr. Traxler, regarding pensions, can we talk
about that just for a second?
    A. Sure.
    Q. The last several cases -- rate cases that we
```

have had have involved a dispute on pension expense
generally between ERISA minimums and the FAS 87. Is that a
fair statement, to the best of your knowledge?
A. That is correct.
Q. Okay. Would you describe to me exactly what
is going on here? It appears that the agreement in rates in
the revenue requirement is for the ERISA minimum, but
there's also an amortization. So if you would explain to me
what the agreement is in paragraph 16, I'd appreciate it.
A. Yes, sir. There's actually three things going
on with regard to settlement and pensions. One of them is a
change from determining pension costs for rate-making
purposes as you describe from a FAS B 87 approach to an
ERISA minimum approach. Secondly, there is an amortization included for the existing prepaid pension asset which is accrued between the date the company was first -- that FAS B 87 was first adopted for rate-making purposes in the company and the true-up date in this case which is September 30th, 1993 -- I mean 2003. And I'1l explain why that occurs and why that's necessary.

And the last agreement in the Stipulation is an agreement between the parties to track the actual ERISA minimum contributions made by the company from the effective date of rates in this case until their next rate case to ensure that any difference between what we've allowed in rates for this case and what they actually have to -- are required to fund under ERISA regulations is accounted for to the extent -- with regard to that provision, to the extent that they have to contribute more required under law, that amount would be accumulated in a regulatory asset and then amortized in the next rate case over five years.

If they contribute less then what's been allowed in this case, that's a regulatory liability which would be flowed back to customers in the next rate case over a five-year period.

The reason for this concern, and it's kind of a unique tracking mechanism, is the fact that I'm sure you're aware that the pension funds around the country,

```
21 the earned benefits -- the earned benefits --
```

A. Sure.
Q. Okay.

And there's -- certainly the expert information that I've read is that there could be a very delayed effect in terms of these ERISA contributions could be significant over the next three or four years to make up this difference and we're not seeing this full impact yet. So we want to make sure that the company recovers its required pension funding to adequately fund the plan. That's the reason for the tracking.

Now, with regard to your specific question on the asset, what the asset represents, under FAS $B 87$, under a well-funded plan, you can actually end up with a negative pension cost. And the reason that occurs is -- the three primarily components of pension costs are your accrued benefits in a given year earned by the employees, the interest cost assumption on the liability --
Q. Can I stop you right there? Would those be considered a liability, the first thing you just said there,
Q. -- of the employees?
A. That's all part of the accrued liability, yes.
A. The interest cost assumption, which is time value of money assumption within the calculation. And then the one that can drive pension costs negative is the fact that the assumption is that there is no pension cost for those two items $I$ just mentioned if the fund -- the earnings on the fund -- funded assets is significant -- is adequate enough to cover those costs.

In a well-funded plan, it's possible for the earnings -- annual earnings on the fund to exceed your interest costs and service costs resulting in a negative number.

Now, under FAS B 87 we have flowed back to customers these negative costs. Even though the company cannot get this excess funding out of the plan by law, but this negative cost has been flowed back to rates, that's what this prepaid pension asset represents.

And when you switch -- the general assumption is -- from a rate-making perspective when we went into this -- when we first adopted FAS $B 87$, this should be a temporary time difference. It represents the difference between funding the plan and the accrued cost under GAAP accounting. Those two over time should equalize because we're talking about the same pension liability.

But when you've got a well - as a practical matter, when you've got a very well-funded plan, if that

1 doesn't change, you're going to continually end up with a

22 in this case. And the -- it's likely, based on what

23 we've -- what I've read that those pension contributions

24 could even be higher from a time frame between now when the

25 rates are set and their next rate case. And that's what
we're trying to protect.
Q. Going backwards in the last rate case, what method was used?
A. Up until the last case, FAS B 87 was used for this company -- up until this case. Since -- let's see. I'm trying to remember the actual dates. I think FAS B 87 was adopted in 1997 for this company for the MPS division.
Q. Under FAS B 87, there is no -- for lack of a better term, there's no true-up or there's no amortization of a difference like there would be in this agreement.

``` Correct?
A. There's an amortization within FAS B 87 that's a component of FAS B 87 for the difference between estimated results and actual results. When you determine FAS B 87, you use an expected rate of return, if will you, on the funded assets.

Any difference between that expected rate of return and the actual earned return, either plus or minus, is called a gain or a loss, which is amortized, which under the Staff's method was a five-year amortization under our treatments of FAS B 87.
Q. Okay. Is this the first time -- the agreement that you have in paragraph 16, is this the first time this type of treatment of pension expense has been used by the Commission, to the best of your knowledge?

1
A.

The ERISA minimum contribution was actually approved by the Commission prior to the adoption of FAS B 87 for all the companies in the state. You know, we're talking back in the early 1990's. Everybody was on an original ERISA and then we went to FAS B 87.

After the legislation required the adoption of FAS B 106, which is an identical accrual accounting method, if you will, in most respects to the pension side of FAS B 87, is when the Staff -- was the primary reason why the Staff went to a FAS B 87 approach to be consistent.

But with regards to this specific approach, it's also been, as I understand, agreed to with regard to the current American water Company case. In addition, the Laclede Gas and Empire Electric are also on an ERISA minimum contribution as a result of recent cases.
Q. Did you work in -- or did you participate in those cases? Let's take the American water case, for example.
A. No, I was not --
Q. You were not involved in that?
A. -- a participant, no.
Q. How about the Laclede case you just referenced?
A. No. No, sir, I was not.
Q. Is the language that is in paragraph 16 , is
that basically a recitation of Staff's position from the beginning of this case?
A. It is with the exception of the tracking mechanism, which was not included in part of our Direct Testimony.
Q. Okay.
A. The other items --
Q. Now, that correcting mechanism that you referred to, was that in the American water case as well?
A. Let's see.
Q. And I'll go ahead and ask while you're pondering that if the other examples that you gave, the Laclede and the Empire case, is that correcting mechanism -that's really what \(I\) 'm asking about is the correcting mechanism.
A. Yes. There's a tracking mechanism certainly with regard to Laclede. I don't think there is one in effect right now for Empire. And I'm not absolutely sure about Missouri American Water. I know the Staff was considering one, but whether or not that ended up in the final settlement agreement or not, I'm not sure.
Q. Do you recall the difference in expense between using this method on an annual basis and FAS B 87?
A. With regard to the current case?
Q. Yes. And if it's dieficult to find, I'm
    1 looking more of an estimation.
```

```
A. I've got the reconciliation of the final positions, so \(I\) can tell you what it's worth.
Q. If it's easy, if it doesn't take too long.
A. Yeah. I'm assuming you want this for all
three cases or for all three --
Q. I'd just like a general idea of the differences in the amount. So if you have all three, I can match them up.
A. Approximately \(\$ 5.2\) million.
Q. Is the difference -- overall difference between the two figures?
A. Between the two positions, yes.
Q. Okay. Last question, there has been legislation either working its way through or has worked its way through the US Congress. Does that in any way affect any of the assumptions in this case relating to pension -mandatory pension contributions?
A. No, it does not affect anything in this case. COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Thank you.
JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Gaw, just to be sure, did you have questions concerning pensions?
CHAIR GAW: Not now. I think Commissioner
Clayton asked some of them.
JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Traxler. You may
```

```
step down.
    Did you want to ask questions of Mr. Elliott?
    CHAIR GAW: If he knows the answers to my
questions, I'd like to, that I was posing earlier.
    JUDGE JONES: Mr. Elliott, could you please
    step forward?
    (Witness sworn.)
    JUDGE JONES: Thank you. You may be seated
    and please state and spell your name for the court reporter.
    THE WITNESS: My name is David Elliott,
E-l-l-i-o-t-t.
    JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Commissioner Gaw?
    DAVID ELLIOTT testified as follows:
    QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
```

    Q. Mr. Elliott, you might just tell us what you
    do. I should have done that earlier.
    A. In this case, I run the fuel model. We built
    a fuel model that simulates all the operations of all the
    plants and figures out the fuel cost for the generation plus
    the purchased power for the net load and native load.
    Q. Okay. What assumptions did you have that are
        in the Stipulation in regard to fuel use and generation mix?
    A. What we -- what we did was we took the Staff's
        model, which is built upon a lot of assumptions. But for
        the IEC what we did was we -- we went and changed the gas
    1 price and the purchased power pricing. As was mentioned
2 earlier, I think staff filed originally was $\$ 4$ gas. We put
$3.50-3.50$ gas in the model and the 5.14 gas in the model 4 along with two sets of purchased power pricing and ran those two simulations. And that should be the base in the - and the ceiling for the IEC.
Q. What were your - you did not vary from the model that you had used in your position in the case?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. So the percentages that you utilized in regard to what percentage would be purchased power, what percentage would be utilizing their gas -- a gas turbine as opposed to a coal plant, all of those things were included in the model that you utilized originally or not? I mean, I don't know.
A. Those - yeah. I think you're trying -- the basic assumption did not change, yes. We -- we -- just to clarify, we don't put in percentage of how much it should run. We put in the fuel prices and the load and the heat rates which tell how efficient it is and then let the model run to decide how much it should run. But, yes, the assumptions remained the same in the base rate except for --
Q. In other words, your model determines what the -- your model determines what the percentages should be, not some historical use pattern?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And who develops the model?
A. I do.
Q. Okay. And what do you develop that model on? How do you come up with the model?
A. I'm sorry. We use a model called Real Time that's -- we purchased. I'm sorry. I developed the inputs to the model.
Q. There you go.
A. Sorry.
Q. Okay. That's giving me what I'm looking for.

Okay. So the Real Time software is something you purchase?
A. Yes.
Q. And is that an industry standard or are there several different kinds of software packages out there to do something similar?
A. There are several different types of software out there. Aquila also uses Real Time software.
Q. Okay. Just to get a basic understanding about -- without going into too much detail about choices on when to run what generator, does the software -- when you utilize the Real Time software if you were a utility, do you rely on that to tell you when to turn on a gas turbine as opposed to buying on the market, or is that something you've
just got marketers that -- or someone that's making that decision on an hourly basis?
A. In real life, there's a dispatcher that would make those decisions on what to turn on, what to turn off, whether to buy or not. And that's his job for the utility.
Q. All right.
A. This model is sort of a simulation to try to -- try to do a test year run on different variables to see what a normalized or annualized cost would be.
Q. Okay. And when you come up with a final -with these numbers using Real Time, do you generate some sort of a megawatt hour price that converts all of the -all of the different fuel mixes and purchased power into one unit that's readable --
A. Yes.
Q. -- and compares apples to apples?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Tell me what it does, in general.
A. The output of the model provides us the cost - the amount of megawatts each unit ran and the cost of that. We sum up all the costs and all the megawatts and divide the two and come up with a dollar per megawatt hour.
Q. And what were those assumptions that were in -- that are in the base rates and that are in the interim charge?
A.

I have the base, but I don't have the ceiling with me. I have that information upstairs. Sorry.
Q. What's in the base?
A. Fuel model output base is 14.43.
Q. 14.43. Is that --
A. Dollars per megawatt hour.
Q. Thank you. Dollars per megawatt hour.
A. Now, that is the output of the fuel model. As mentioned before, there are other variable costs that could be added to this by the auditors. This is just the fuel model output.
Q. Okay. What do you mean there are others that could be added? Such as?
A. I think we've talked about there's some variable transportation costs of some sort. It's not my area of expertise. I'm -- I run the fuel model and I -- and I present that.
Q. And then you hand the baton?
A. Yes. Yes, sir, I do. Sorry.
Q. This baton is an elusive thing sometimes.

Okay. You don't remember what the amount was on the interim energy charge?
A. No, I don't have that information with me, sir.
Q. But there was an amount that was calculated --
A. It --
Q. -- by the model?
A. Yeah. I would need the sheet for that, which 4 I don't have in front of me, but yeah. It all boils down to 5 you add up all the costs and all the megawatts that were 6 generated and you divide the two and you come up with one 7 number that's dollars per megawatt hour.

9 Mr. Elliott, because it may be somebody else I need to ask, 10 but if we're doing a true-up on this at some later point in time, what will be the process of the true-up in making the calculation as to what amount should be refunded, if any? Will it be based upon these base dollars per megawatt hour prices as the bottom line or will it be something else more complicated than that?
A. I'm not sure I can answer that question.
Q. Yeah. I understand. Somebody else may be able to do that though. And I'm not sure who that is, but Staff will have to provide somebody. I see at least one nodding head in the back of the room.

Mr. Elliott, if you have a moment and you get a break and you have time to run up and get that, would you do that for me?
A. As soon as I get off here, I'll run up and do that, sir.
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Q. So all of the assumptions in Real Time then, do they look -- do you put in inputs that look at some history on things from a utility or how --
A. Yes.
Q. -- how are the inputs derived?
A. One of the inputs is maintenance hours on the turbines. For that, we look at historical --
Q. Okay.
A. -- actual outages and develop a - a normalized or average number of hours for each unit out. We look at force-- the maintenance outages and forced outages. We also look at historical information to determine how often it's forced off.
Q. You put in peak information, base load history or what do you -- are all -- what numbers -- what other kinds of things go in the model?
A. Well, we usually -- the model is usually -- we look at each individual unit. For instance, a unit may have -- it may be a coal-fired unit and it may have a heat rate associated with it, how much --
Q. All right.
A. $\quad$ how much - and also the forced outage of
that unit, the maintenance outages for that unit, things like ramp rate, how fast it can go up and down to meet load.
Q. But when you're making - does a model make
any -- does it just assume, based upon the load that a particular utility has out there, how often a generator should run?
A. It - it tries to --
Q. Or is that based -.. is that information provided in the inputs?
A. It - the model is designed to run to generate at lowest cost -- lowest cost. So it has - -
Q. It makes the calculation itself?
A. Right. We put -- we put the load that -- the hourly load that it needs to meet and then it decides what to do to meet that load at the lowest cost, least cost.
Q. Based upon available resources?
A. Yes. And fuel cost and so forth.

CHAIR GAW: Okay. I'm going to see if anybody else has a question for Mr. Elliott, Judge.

JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Murray, do you have questions?

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: What are your issues? THE WITNESS: Fuel.

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: No questions.
JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Clayton?

COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: No questions.
CHAIR GAW: If Mr. Elliott has a moment to run
25 upstairs --

```
THE WITNESS: Yes, I'll go get that. JUDGE JONES: You may step down, Mr. Elliot. Does someone else have the answer to that
question?
THE WITNESS: Do you have the 5.14?
JUDGE JONES: Just so you all know where we're
headed, we will stop at noon and reconvene at a quarter after 1:00.
I'll also note for the record that Mr. Comley is here representing the city of Kansas City.
MR. COMLEY: I want to thank you and the Commission and the parties for allowing me to delay getting here today. Fortunately, the conflict was shorter than anticipated.
CHAIR GAW: I think, Judge, the Staff has somebody else to provide me some more information.
MR. WILLIAMS: I think Mr. Watkins maybe will help you out.
CHAIR GAW: Mr. Watkins.
JUDGE JONES: And Mr. Watkins, you'll remain under oath.
JAMES WATKINS testified as follows:
QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Goes ahead, Mr. Watkins.
A. What goes around comes around so I'm back.
``` give you the answer, which is basically we lumped all the fuel costs together, the results of the production costs run from Real Time.
Q. Right.
A. And we got a dollars per megawatt hour, cents per kilowatt number out of there. And we got two numbers. One loosely based on what we called the 3.50 gas and the other one what we called the 5.14 gas. The difference being what we included in the interim energy charge.
Q. All right.
A. And then for the purposes of the rates, those dollars per megawatt hour, you know, got converted to cents per kilowatt hour. And \(I\) believe your question is now how do we true this up.
Q. Correct.
A. And I can't give you the auditing answer exactly, which is we're going to pour it over the books and records and look at their invoices and compare how -- how it ran -- how they actually ran things to how they have in the past and what we had in our fuel run to make sure that there's -- that the costs were all prudently incurred and there haven't been any trade-offs between buy--
Q. That's your normal prudence review?
A. Well, it's normal prudence review in addition
to the normal audit.
Q. Okay.
A. Okay.
Q. All right.
A. Once that's done --
Q. Let me have you make an assumption. Let's assume that you don't find anything there.
A. okay.
Q. Okay. And I guess -- I guess then what occurs?
A. Okay. If we don't find anything there, then we basically have a lump of dollars and we also know what the sales were. The sales are going to be reported to us monthly. So over the entire two-year period --
Q. When you say "sales," you're talking about off-system sales? What are you talking about?
A. I'm sorry. Sales to native load. Customers.

Retail customers.
Q. Okay.
A. Though that includes some on-system post sale
to cities and things, but --
Q. Okay.
A. -- that's what \(I\) mean by sales. It's what -what would be the equivalent of what was inputted into the fuel run in the beginning called the net system load, be the

1 hourly loads for every hour of the year for everybody that's 2 native load.

23 were -- that are assumed in this Stipulation and comparing
24 those two things together, assuming no imprudence in the
A. We're comparing on a dollar per megawatt hour basis. And that's because the sales vary. What we've done for the updated test year is we've taken the actual sales, weather normalized them, adjusted them for customer growth, you know, etc.

And those are the -- those are the sales that precisely go with the dollars and fuel costs that we've calculated. So we divide those. And when we true it up, the weather could be anything over that two-year period. You know, they could have big growth in customers, they could lose a significant customer. Anything can happen.

So it's -- it's not reasonable to compare the totals. What you have to compare is basically the rate, which is the dollars of actual cost divided by the actual megawatt hours of sales and the true-up will be done on that basis. There is no assumption about what those sales will be. They will be what -- what they are.
Q. Right. But the rate -- what I'm after is whether or not when you do the true-up, if the only thing you look at is the rate or if you're looking at more than the rate, ignoring the prudence question -- so I'm just trying to see what the important figure is here to see whether they're under or over.
A. The rate, only the rate. It's the only thing we look at.

21 as -base?
Q. Is that the rate that's -- and, again, I did hear a while ago it was \(\$ 14.43\) per megawatt hour on the
A. Yes. Although the number David's given you is the -- is the -- I don't want to make this more complicated than it has to be.
Q. Well, he said there were adjustments.
A. That's the number for the joint dispatch to serve all of Aquila's electric load, whether it will be the Missouri Public Service division or the Light and Power division.
Q. All right.
A. That's what that number is.
Q. All right. And then --
A. Actually, we --
Q. -- that's split?
A. Actually, it's split between Missouri Public Service and Light and Power.
Q. Al1 right.
A. And we have a separate number, a separate rate
Q. Do you know what those numbers are?
A. I -- David's probably up figuring those out, but \(I\) believe that the -- I can tell you for the base. I believe that that's \(\$ 15.32\) for the MPS and \(\$ 11.745\) for the

1 Light and Power.

2

And \(I\) was wondering if there was any
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11 BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Mr. Watkins?
A. My attorney has pointed out that we have cleverly provided in Appendix A --
Q. Yes.
A. -- in paragraph 1 of \(A\) and \(B\) what the dollar amounts and the corresponding cents per kilowatt hour amounts that we've obtained.
Q. It's on page 3 ?
A. On page 1.
Q. Page 1.
A. So, for example, in 1A when we're looking at

Missouri Public Service, we're talking a fuel run that showed the variable costs for \(\$ 87,700,206\) and establishing the base, which was -- this is in cents per kilowatt hour.
Q. Yes. Right.
A. The -- and the -- then there was a -- the total is found down toward the end, the \(\$ 103,800,206\) and the corresponding cents per kilowatt hour.

And the difference between those two is the other number, the amount to be included in the IEC, which is \(\$ 16,100,000\), which is the .3 cents. And \(B\) has the corresponding values for the Light and Power division.
Q. Unfortunately, I don't know that \(I\) have Appendix \(B\) with me.

CHAIR GAW: Do you have Appendix B, Judge?
MR. SWEARENGEN: Those are the tariffs, Judge.

CHAIR GAW: Oh, that's what I've got here.
BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Okay. So, Mr. Watkins, when you do the true-up, these will be the figures that you use on

Appendix A -- in what you've cited in Appendix A in order to determine whether or not they have -- there's any refunds that are due in addition to whatever prudence review you do?
A. That's correct.
Q. So if you assume -- if I make the assumption that their utilization of their purchases and generation burns were appropriate, then all you have to do is compare the bottom line on how much the company actually paid on a cents per kilowatt hour basis?
A. That's correct.

CHAIR GAW: okay. Okay. The company want to help me out with this a little bit? You got any light to shed on that differently than what I've hearing from this witness?

MR. SWEARENGEN: I don't have any light, but I have a couple of gentlemen here that probably can help out on it.

CHAIR GAW: I just want to make sure everybody's on the same page. So we might put them on briefly just to say that here in just a second.

MR. SWEARENGEN: That would be fine.
CHAIR GAW: I think that's all I have,
Mr. Watkins.

JUDGE JONES: Thank you, Mr. Watkins. You may step down.

I know Mr. Elliott stepped out for a moment to retrieve some information. Has he returned? It doesn't look like it.

CHAIR GAW: I guess the question is whether there's more information than what's already in here or not. But go ahead, if you want to, Mr. Swearengen.

MR. SWEARENGEN: Call Mr. Clemens at this time.
(Witness sworn.)

Page 1915 JUDGE JONES: Thank you. Please be stated and state your full name for the court reporter, please. THE WITNESS: Gary L. Clemens, \(C-1-e-m-e-n-s\). GARY CLEMENS testified as follows: QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. Mr. Clemens, what do you?
A. I'm the regulatory manager for electric for Missouri.
Q. Okay. Have you been in the hearing room since we started this morning?
A. Yes. For the duration.
Q. Do you agree with what Staff's witnesses have said in regard to the calculation of the interim energy charge?
A. Yes. It is on a cents per kilowatt hour basis on Appendix A.
Q. All right. What is your understanding of the true-up process that will occur afterwards and how that will be handled?
A. We will measure the actual costs for fuel over the two-year period and divide that by the sales to get a cents per kilowatt hour basis. For example, for MPS, if the costs per kilowatt hour basis is above the 19 -- or one dollars and -- excuse me, 1.9712 cents per kilowatt hour basis, if it's above that, then we would just eat that
amount.

If that calculation came up to, say,
1.8 cents, then that differential would be refunded to the customer. So it's purely on a cents per kilowatt hour basis.
Q. All right. And so there will be -- you'll look at the historical usage?
A. Yeah. Each month we will provide the usage and the fuel cost to the Staff. So we'll be able to track that each month.
Q. Okay. So you all will have an idea about how you're tracking then as you're going along, but there won't be any true-up that occurs until after -- the end of the --
A. That's correct.
Q. -- term here that we're talking about under this agreement?
A. That's correct.
Q. Are you in agreement in regard to how these numbers were derived originally or does that -- is that even really relevant as far as Aquila is concerned, the numbers that -- other than this is what you're agreeing to, Staff's calculation and how they arrive at those numbers?
A. Right. We were in agreement --
Q. Do you see those as fair and --
A. We were on agreement on the base cost and then
we were also in agreement, of course, on the variable costs the 3.50 and the 5.14 differential. The same - there was no dispute for that.
Q. Okay. I had a little bit of a conflicting -I thought conflicting information earlier, maybe it wasn't, about what was in the variable cost calculation and whether or not any coal transportation costs are in there or not. Do you know the answer to that?
A. There's no coal - coal cost in the variable. It's just --
Q. All of that's in base?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. Same with oil?
A. Yes.
Q. The only thing we're dealing with then is the gas costs that exceed those that are in the base?
A. And the purchased power energy associated with that -- those gas costs.
Q. Tell me what you mean by that. The --
A. The 3.50 gas price assumed a certain level of purchased power energy cost.
Q. Yes.
A. And that's in the base. The difference - and at 5.14, a higher level of purchased power energy. And that portion is really built into that \(\$ 16\) million difference.

1 The difference between the 87 million and 103 is made up of

12 will be or that you can get it in the bilateral agreements, 13 isn't it?

I mean, it's not -- it is impacted by the cost of gas, but you're not talking about that when you go buy it, that it's -- that there's any selectivity in regard to what -- what generators you're buying it from?
A. That's correct.
Q. Yeah. Did you hear anything this morning that you disagreed with from the Staff's witnesses that you recall?
A. No. I think it's all been corrected now.
Q. Okay.
A. I think the rates on this Appendix \(A\) is the base cost --

22 referred to the first page of Appendix A in regard to
23 certain numbers on assumptions that were being made on cents 24 per kilowatt hour costs. And I guess what \(I\) need to know is 25 whether or not there is more information or different

1 information that you have other than what I have been 2 referred to. And so -- go ahead.
A. I believe these numbers come -- are allocated from my numbers.
Q. All right. Your numbers would not necessarily be the same as these numbers; is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that's because there may be some other additions or subtractions, things that happen after they leave you?
A. Possibly, yes.
Q. Okay. Well, what numbers do you have in general there and do they vary from these numbers that are on page 1?
A. They vary for reasons that they've been allocated, to my understanding, to Missouri retail sales. My model runs whatever the native -- whatever the native load is, but it's not necessarily only Missouri.
Q. I see. So someone else would have broken those figures down into Missouri figures after they left you?
A. I believe so, yes. CHAIR GAW: Okay. Is that true from Staff's counsel? Is that accurate? MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.

CHAIR GAW: Okay. All right. I don't -- I don't know that I need your numbers now after you did all that work.

THE WITNESS: No problem.
CHAIR GAW: Thank you very much, Mr. Elliott.
Could we, Judge, if you don't mind, I want --
we can do this after lunch if you want. I don't think I have anything else other than something that would require us to be in-camera.

JUDGE JONES: Okay. We will do that -- in that case, we will adjourn and reconvene at .-

MS. WOODS: Judge, if I might.
JUDGE JONES: Yes.
MS. WOODS: I have to go to Franklin County
Circuit Court this afternoon, but Mr. Comley has graciously offered to do what I was going to do for him this morning. JUDGE JONES: You are excused to go to Franklin County.

MS. WOODS: Thank you.
JUDGE JONES: And we will reconvene at 1:15.
With that, we'll go off.
(A recess was taken.)
JUDGE JONES: Okay. We're back on the record with Case No. ER-2004-0034 and this is the on-the-record presentation of Stipulation and Agreement.
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JUDGE JONES: Just so you all know, we are broadcasting again. So if more highly confidential
information is elicited, please let me know so we can go
in-camera.

CHAIR GAW: Were the last group of comments -JUDGE JONES: Just the last portion.

CHAIR GAW: Judge, if you would, have the parties let us know how much of that that's been in \(H C\) can be declassified. I mean, not now, but just -ROBERT SCHALLENBERG testified as follows:

QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW:
Q. So, Mr. Schallenberg, from the standpoint of moving forward then, staff will be working in the IRP process then to try to -- to try to deal with what you believe are the needs that Aquila has in generation construction with these new -- with these agreements that are contained in the stip to provide -- for Aquila to provide additional information?
\begin{tabular}{cl} 
A. Yes. & All right. \\
& CHAIR GAW: I think that's all I have, Judge. \\
& JUDGE JONES: Okay. Commissioner Murray? Oh, \\
& Mr. Schallenberg, I believe you may step down. \\
& CHAIR GAW: I mean, that's all I have. I
\end{tabular}
think I have one more question or two not for Mr. Schallenberg, unless he knows the answers. I thought Commissioner Murray might have some questions for him.

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Refresh my memory. What issues are you representing?

THE WITNESS: Well, the ones I was up -- I
Eirst brought up was the general questions that Commissioner Gaw had. I think I am representing your answers on depreciation from what at least the staff got was the questions that came from the on-the-record presentation for the gas stip.

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Okay. And any others?
THE WITNESS: None that \(I\) know of right now, but they may come up depending on your questions.

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: So let me see if I understand Chairman Gaw. Are you wanting me to go ahead and ask Mr. Schallenberg questions \(I\) have on other issues?

CHAIR GAW: If you had some. I thought since he was up here.

COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Okay. Thank you.
QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
Q. Well, let's go to depreciation then if you are the Staff witness supporting that issue. The late-filed Exhibit 180, do you have that with the depreciation
illustrations?
```

A. Yes, I do.
Q. And if you can just help me understand
something. For Case No. 2 in that exhibit, which is an
expense treatment with a rate case every year --
A. Right.
Q. -- are you there?
As I look at this, it looks as if the company
gets an additional return on of about 225 with staff's method and 225 more total revenue requirement with staff's method; is that correct?
A. If you look at what you pay in rates, that's true.
Q. If you look at what you pay in rates. Okay. What else would you look at?
A. The differential in that 225 is the advanced payment or pre-payment of the $\$ 500$ in year 11 . And if the customer pays more in rates up front, that's less money that they have available to pay other expenses which a customer would put a value too.
But if you look at just their rates, their
21 rates would be less over that period of time, but you'd have
22 to look at the $\$ 500$ that the customer loses up until
23 year 11, what was their cost to them. And that would be a
24 separate item that would be looked at.
Q. That the customer loses up until year 11. Is

```

25
that what you said?
A. Right. The customer wouldn't pay in case -in the expense method in Case 2 , the customer doesn't make the \(\$ 500\) payment until year 11. If you look at Case 1 , the customers making the \(\$ 500\) payment in increments all through years 1 through 10 and then there's the time value of money consideration. So that's -- that's the thing that's not in these analyses.

When we used to do these back when -- with flow through versus normalization and construction in rate base, what you normally used to demonstrate the value of these revenue requirements to put them down to the current dollar level. And that -- that key thing is depending on what you discount it at.

If you discount it at about the same rate of return, you're usually indifferent. If you discount it at a rate lower than the rate of return, then usually you want pre-payment. And if you discount at a rate higher than the rate of return, then you're using against pre-payment.
Q. And when you say "pre-payment," you're speaking of --
A. I would --
Q. -- are you speaking of the traditional method?
A. When \(I\) use pre-payment, what \(I\) mean is paying the money in advance of the expenditure actually being paid
to a third party.
Q. And the way it has been treated up until -- or the way most states treat it. Is that what you're referring to as pre-payment?
A. Pre-payment -- if you're talking about cost removal and salvage --
Q. Yes.
A. -- most states to our studies show that they include it in their depreciation rate. And \(I\)-- we've seen no evidence to see that it isn't the old traditional method that we saw here, which would be payment in advance for future retirements.
Q. And that's what you're referring to as pre-payment? I'm trying to clarify. Is that what you just called --
A. Well, I called it pre-payment because in these studies there's a pre-payment feature in these studies, but --
Q. But we're referring to the same thing? That's what I'm trying to get at.
A. Yes. You could apply that pre-payment answer to both cases.
Q. In Case No. 4, which is the expense treatment assuming a rate case in the first year and then every third year --

1

2
A. Yes.
Q. -- it looks like there the company gets an additional 270 return on the asset through the staff's method, but the total revenue requirement is 30 less, which results in about 98.63 percent of the revenue requirement if you use the company's method. But, there again, you're talking about the difference being in the time value of money and when the customer actually pays; is that right?
A. That would be two -- two -- this doesn't present value and recognize the time value of money and putting them in a common -- a common dollar value. This one recognizes --
Q. Go ahead.
A. This one -- this study here recognizes that there can be changes in a cost item in between rate cases and what the customer pays is not -- doesn't reflect that cost change.

That's how \(I\) took case 3 and 4 is recognition of the fact that rates don't change uniformly with every cost change. And that's what caused the difference between the 21.90 revenue requirement in Case 3 in nominal dollars and the 21.60 in Case 4 in nominal dollars.
Q. Okay. Because the rates are not changing yearly in this example?
A. Yes. The customers don't see the -- a change
in the revenue requirement in the rates. There's not -- if this was an automatic adjustment clause, it wouldn't -these cases wouldn't be relevant.
Q. Okay. The bottom line here with the -- and this is kind of a hybrid approach, I believe, between Staff's original recommendation and the company's original recommendation; is that right? This is the hybrid situation that's being adopted here?
A. That's reflected in the settlement?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes. The settlement uses a tracker
methodology. There was discussion earlier this morning, the tracker was used in pensions and the tracker was used in this case for cost of -- or net -- or I call it net cost of removal.
Q. And this treatment during the pendency of the time that these rates will be in effect allows for full recovery; is that right?
A. I would answer your question conceptually. The reason \(I\) hesitated in full recovery is the money -- the differential will be in the depreciation reserve. And we do not have an accepted method that's traditionally used to relieve reserve excesses and deficiencies, but the return will be adjusted.
Q. Just one second.

Page 1946 The parties agree that this methodology will represent full recovery of all of the company's annual net cost of removal expenditures. This methodology will be reviewed in Aquila's next general rate case in which its retail electric rates are under review to determine whether the methodology will be continued.

And I'm just trying to understand what you just said about the pendency -- the years during which these rates are in effect --
A. Yes.
Q. -- will allow full recovery of the annual net cost of removal expenditures; is that right?
A. Right. As I understand what this sentence is meant to represent is that there will not be a claim during this period. That if you take one of the numbers like the \(1,471,339\) for the MoPub Electric, if that -- if there has to be actual cost of removal of \(\$ 2\) million, there will not be a claim in the next case for the 530 mill-- excuse me, \(\$ 530,000\) excess of that.

There won't be a claim in the next case that we didn't recover that, but the \(\$ 530,000\) will be reducing the depreciation reserve in the next case. We do not have a mechanism in place to move that \(\$ 530,000\) reduction to the depreciation reserve to amortize that or get that out into

1 cost of service right now.

2
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9 did not have any set issues in the rate case.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Q. Are you personally knowledgeable of all the 1948 \\
\hline 2 & settlement points in this case? \\
\hline 3 & A. I would -- I would say \(I\) was aware of almost \\
\hline 4 & all of the settlement negotiations. \\
\hline 5 & Q. Did you participate in the development of the \\
\hline 6 & Staff position on each of the issues in this settlement? \\
\hline 7 & A. Some more than others. \\
\hline 8 & Q. Well, but you're knowledgeable and did \\
\hline 9 & participate in all the issues? \\
\hline 10 & A. Yes. In terms -- especially in terms of the \\
\hline 11 & total settlement package, that part I would be very \\
\hline 12 & knowledgeable about of when all the pieces were presented to \\
\hline 13 & the staff to form an opinion as to whether we would accept \\
\hline 14 & or support that. \\
\hline 15 & Q. Okay. Can you identify for me in this type of \\
\hline 16 & settlement where Staff and Staff's position - where you did \\
\hline 17 & not get the most favorable treatment or where you lost or \\
\hline 18 & where you gave up the most? Do you know? \\
\hline 19 & A. The answer is I would know where the Staff \\
\hline 20 & would have been the farthest away from what was reflected in \\
\hline 21 & the settlement versus the elements of the Staff's position \\
\hline 22 & that's adopted in the settlement. \\
\hline 23 & Q. Well, what items would that have included? \\
\hline 24 & What issues? \\
\hline 25 & A. The biggest difference would have been \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 probably in the settlement regarding the rate increase.
```

Q. What was staff's position in terms of the increase or the revenue requirement? I assume you're talking about the revenue requirement.
A. Right. The Staff's rate increase at the time of the settlement $I$ believe was close to about an $\$ 8$ million rate increase.
Q. In total?
A. In total for all three pieces at the high rate of return. And, as $I$ recall, the settlement -- we settled that about 18.5 or about $\$ 10$ million more than that.
Q. Okay.
A. And $I$ answered in aggregate. We treated all three pieces separately in the settlement.

```
Q. Okay. Are there any other issues where staff gave up its position in exchange for getting -- forgive the term, getting its way on the pension issue on depreciation? What other areas of concern did staff have that it was not able to achieve its position in the settlement?
A. Well, I would say we -- we entexed into an earlier settlement on rate design that preceded our agreement on the interim energy charge. So the staff did not -- I would say the staff did not use what it would have preferred to have been the rate design of the interim energy charge. And that's one of the differences between this case
and the Empire case.
You would have seen - the thing that
Commissioner Murray asked me about earlier, the tracker, that was an item that came up at the end that the staff's position would have been just expensing, no tracker for that.
Q. On the pension issue?
A. This was on depreciation.
Q. Depreciation. I apologize. I'm not sure - I didn't get the term "tracker" --
A. I'm sorry.
Q. -- I didn't get down here in time to --
A. We would not have used the tracker for the amount of money that was in cost of removal, but that was a concession. Those were the ones that -- that come to mind as being the -- the more hotly contested or discussed items as the Staff approached the settlement.
Q. I'm not sure whether you can answer this question or not. When staff approaches a possible settlement in a case with its various employees, with various areas of expertise, how does the staff as an entity approach settlement? Who has authority to negotiate and how is the overall position of staff formulated?
A. Generally speaking -- and there's a unique feature in this case, but I'll answer it generically and
tell you about the unique feature.
In the -- prior to the hearing, the staff will pull the -- the Staff members that worked on the case and it will fashion from that meeting a settlement offer to settle the entire case. Now, sometimes that is in response to an offer we received from the company, sometimes it's not. We will then convey that to the company.

We attempt and we -- we're not quite there yet, we try to make that as close to where our bottom line is, but sometimes staff members get new information and change, but we make at least that one offer.

If that doesn't work, then we go into a mode to try to settle issues to try to get the case down to the core issues that we ceally -- really do need to litigate and remove the issues that their outcome were not that significant to the total decision before the Commission.

Sometimes the company isn't interested in doing that and so we don't get any of those settled. We will then go through and then if that doesn't work, then we prepare for litigation and try the case.

In this case, because of the litigation from the st. Joe merger and its fall-outs in this case and the stops and the starts and the stays, there were -- that caused a lot of discussions between the parties that were not necessarily the staff because we weren't involved in any

1 of that litigation at the circuit court level.

And in all the settlements that then came, one of the key features was how do we resolve that litigation and get a stipulation and Agreement to the Commission, which the Staff played a secondary role in.

And in this case, the rate increase that was negotiated was negotiated by the parties with only bringing us in on the sideline. And then when they got to the IEC piece, the interim increase, the staff played probably a bigger role in help-- facilitating the parties, but not a dominant role as to the dollar amount.
Q. Okay. As simple as possible, the positions that staff fought for on issues that would be generic in the sense that they would come up in any utility's case, whether it be pension expense or depreciation, are these consistent with the positions -- are the positions that staff has taken in the various rate cases that have come about recently, are the positions consistent being -- the position on pension and depreciation are the first two that come to mind. Obviously it would be different with specific issues that come up in a rate case.
A. The pension issue is consistent with the evolution of where we have been going with the use of a tracker and minimum ERISA and --
Q. This would be a track-- the controlled agreement?
negotiation of the terms and conditions of the contract.
The Staff did play a role with the Office of Public Counsel
in the provision that would -- I call it a hold harmless for
the other customers so that in future rate cases, that
contract will be treated as if they paid the tariff rate so
there wouldn't be a make whole on the remaining customers to
pay for whatever discounts AGP received.
Q. Is it an accurate statement the way I read this that the increase -- the increase in steam revenues of \(\$ 1.3\) million that was agreed to by the parties should actually be reduced by the \(\$ 35,000\) per month concession that will be given to AGP, which would make the increase somewhere around \(\$ 900,000\) ? Is that an accurate statement?
A. That's -- that would be true. The 1.3 million is in the tariff rate, but the tariff rate won't be collected on all customers instantly.

COMMISSIONER CLAYTON: Okay. Thank you very much.

Thank you, Judge.
JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Murray?
FURTHER QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER MURRAY:
Q. I'm sorry, Mr. Schallenberg. I have a couple more questions?
A. Sure.
Q. And I'm back to that Exhibit 180, late-filed exhibit. And if you'd just look at Cases 1 and 2.
A. Yes, I have them.
Q. At year \(11, \$ 500\) is actually spent on cost of removal; is that right? In both of those cases?
A. \(\quad \$ 500\) is spent on cost removal or net cost removal, I'm not sure from the example.
Q. Okay. And in Case No. 1, which is treated -given rate base treatment, the ratepayers in that first 11 years pay for that cost of removal, do they not?
A. Yes. They make a contribution towards that \$500. I believe it's in \(\$ 50\) increments they make each year 1 through 10.
Q. And that was -- the cost of removal was being paid while the asset was being used; is that right?
A. In this example, that would be true, yes.
Q. And then on Case No. 2 in year 11, \(\$ 500\) was spent for cost of removal again; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the ratepayers for that first 11 years while that asset was in place did not pay any of that cost of removal; is that right?
A. In the 10 years. I think they just put the 11 th at the beginning of the 11 th year, but for 10 years they paid no money toward the cost of removal of that asset.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 1 & Q. Even though that asset was used during those 1956 \\
\hline 2 & 10 years? \\
\hline 3 & A. That would be correct. \\
\hline 4 & Q. And so for years 11 through 15, the ratepayers \\
\hline 5 & in those years are the ones who paid for that cost of \\
\hline 6 & removal; is that right? \\
\hline 7 & A. Yes. This would treat it similar to \\
\hline 8 & maintenance. \\
\hline 9 & COMMISSIONER MURRAY: Thank you. \\
\hline 10 & JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Gaw, did you have \\
\hline 11 & other questions of Mr. Schallenberg? \\
\hline 12 & FURTHER QUESTIONS BY CHAIR GAW: \\
\hline 13 & Q. You may have answered this question. \\
\hline 14 & Mr. Schallenberg, when I'm looking at -- earlier you were \\
\hline 15 & talking to Commissioner clayton about the difference in the \\
\hline 16 & position of Staff in the case and in this settlement. Did \\
\hline & the figures that we have somewhere in this document include \\
\hline 18 & the interim energy charge when you were referring to the \\
\hline 19 & difference in the positions? Did you include it or exclude \\
\hline 20 & it from -- \\
\hline 21 & A. I didn't include that in my discussion with \\
\hline 22 & Commissioner Clayton because the Staff from early on in the \\
\hline & case was supportive of using an Empire type approach to \\
\hline & address Aquila's gas volatility and its reliance on gas. \\
\hline 25 & Q. Okay. And when I include the interim energy \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

1 charge, if I were to include it in the total amount of money
2 that we would be talking about, I mean -- well, let me ask
3 you this. You say you're supportive of it. Did you file
A. We did in --
Q. -- in this case?
A. Not -- it wasn't in our direct case. After seeing the rebuttal --
Q. Yes.
A. -- in the case, the Staff came to the conclusion that a point estimate for natural gas was not likely to result in a just and reasonable rate. There was significant probability that the point estimate for natural gas was either going to be exceeded or it would be below.

And so the Staff, in consultation with the general counsel's office, took the opinion that an IEC type approach was needed to set just and reasonable rates in this case.

Now, there were some discussion as to what the top parameter would be for gas. I think we had discussed the price as high as 5.64. And the parties negotiated -- to minimize the rate impact, they negotiated that down to \(I\) think it's 5.14 or 5.16 .
Q. I think 5.14 sounds familiar to me.
A. Yes.
Q. And the reason staff is supportive of the concept of interim energy charge in this case then is because of what in this case?
A. The reason the staff holds the view that it is the best approach for this case is that to use a single point estimate, and I think it was mentioned today, the Staff was looking at supporting a \(\$ 4\) per MCF price of gas, there is a significant probability that gas would not be \(\$ 4\).
Q. It would be higher than that or lower? Which?
A. If we get -- if we get warm winters again, it will be lower.
Q. I see.
A. I mean -- and it only takes one and the price will drop. So -- and \(I\) can tell you, as we talked earlier, as these coal units start coming on or start being actually built -- not discussed, but actually being built, you'll see downward pressure on the price of gas to industrials and to utilities for -- for their summer peaking.

But given the \(\$ 4\), we -- we believe that there was significant probability that it was going to be on either side. And if we had to set a base rate with \(\$ 4\) in it, well, the company could come in -- if it was, in essence, deficient again, it wasn't likely that it -- it would take us a lot longer to get that reduced and get the rates adjusted if it were treated down into the low \(\$ 3\).

2 of this case as well as reasonable protection and

4
incentives -- by reducing it to 3.50 , the resultant fuel and
purchased power expense now gives the company the incentive
to try to manage its fuel and purchased power below that
level and it can make money as KCP\&L and Ameren do today.
So those were features that caused the Staff to be
supportive of an IEC for Aquila.
Q. The only part that is not so transparent to consumers initially is that they're going to be paying the 5.14 level subject to refund. Wouldn't that be accurate? I mean, they're actually paying -- they're paying more than the \(\$ 3.50\). They're paying as though it were 5.14?
A. That's -- that's correct.
Q. With the concept that there will be a true-up at some point. Right?
A. There will be a true-up at the end of the two-year period.
Q. All right.
A. And they also have the rate stability of not having it set and then have the rate increase hit them later at a less opportune time. I mean, the money is -- they will pay no more than the upper region -- the upper cap of the IEC for the two-year period.
Q. I want to make sure I'm not missing something.

1 They will pay at 5.14 , it will just be subject to refund;

24 clearly better than the other for a company? isn't that correct?
A. That's correct. But they're not subject to any additional charges if that -- that proves to be less --
Q. Yes.
A. -- then the premium amount.
Q. If it's not something where -- if the price retrospectively after two years was \(\$ 6\), where they have to pay more on top of what they had been paying?
A. Right. Nor will they have another rate increase for the next two years. With the moratorium feature that's built into the Stipulation and Agreement, you will have rate stability in these districts for two years.
Q. Mr. Schallenberg, if Aquila had been focusing on long-term needs and building generation back several years ago like other companies in the state, a couple of other companies did, would \(I\) be talking to you about an interim energy charge today for Aquila?
A. The answer would probably be no, but we would have been discussing probably a rate increase when the -when the base load units would have come on that we didn't have.
Q. Yeah. In the long run, is one strategy
A. I believe that if -- when you look at utility
service, especially when you're talking about electric and
especially when you re talking about electric and water where you have long-term perspectives of a needed service that's not likely to get a competitive alternative to it, I believe you need to look in the long run.

I mean, like I said, as someone who went through the -- the mid-1980's, you know, those rate increases were not -- were not pleasant and they weren't good and they were very contested rate cases. But I think you see today the benefits of -- of the -- of the decisions that were made to have those units.

And even at the time, those units had issues and they still have some issues that come up, but you can see we've reached a rate level and a security in those service territories that \(I\) think we have low cost and we have a firm base of base load capacity in those areas, so you have to look in the long term.

In fact, when you talked to me earlier about the \(I R P\) process, the \(s t a f f\) always requires at least a \(20-y e a r\) study in terms of your decisions. The decision in the staff's mind are not good resource plans if they're only based on a 5-year analyzer.

CHAIR GAW: Okay. That's all I have, Mr. Schallenberg.

JUDGE JONES: Commissioner Murray?
FURTHER QUESTIONS BY COMMISSIONER MURRAY: going to want to wait until we build the overhead lines or

1 underground lines to connect whatever the current transmission, you know, and build a distribution substation. That would take years for you to have service.

So we have in our plant in service a reasonable amount of plant that is not fully used, but it's there because we have a reasonable expectation that within the upcoming years, customers will need service and can be provisioned in acceptable time periods.
Q. And the concept of intergenerational equity, is that the idea that one generational customer should not pay for assets that were only used by another generation?
A. Generally speaking, I mean, it's usually the customer should pay for the cost that it takes to provide them service and not pay for the cost of service either provided to another customer.
Q. And you mentioned that with the Staff's methodology -- and I realize, you know, Staff's methodology was not fully adopted here, but it was partially adopted to the extent that cost of removal is expensed. And you made the statement that by expensing it, you're treating it like a maintenance expense; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And maintenance is done on assets that are in service, is it not?
A. That's correct.
it does not minimize the risk of customers having to pay for the retirement of assets that those customers did not use; is that correct?
A. Yes. I mean, if you're looking at what is going to be in the depreciation reserve. And in those assets, like in those cases you showed me, we very seldom pick that 10 years and it ends up being 10 years. So all that is co-mingled in that depreciation reserve. So we're always making adjustments to adjust from what our estimate was for how long the -- the life of the asset would actually be and what it truly ends up being.
Q. Depreciation is based on estimates for the most part, is it not?
A. Actually most of the rate-making is based on estimates.
Q. Okay. Thank you.
A. Thank you.
Q. Mr. Schallenberg, are you able to answer questions about the Aries issue?
A. I can answer some questions. I - I mean, I know I'm knowledgeable about the Aries issue, but \(I\) wasn't the Staff's witness in the case on the Aries issue.
Q. Well, let me just try here. Is the issue of whether MPS should have built the Aries plant rather than entering into the purchased power agreement with Aquila's

1 affiliate settled?

2

3
4
demand cost built into the purchase supply agreement.
Q. So for treatment of the payments to MMPPH under the service agreement, was there nothing included in here or how was that treated?
A. There is no specific treatment in the Stipulation and Agreement regarding inclusion in cost of service or in rates of these -- of the capacity costs that are in the power supply agreement. The only thing in the agreement regarding Aries is on page 8.
Q. Yes. I see it. It says very little.
A. And all that was was to address the retention of information, which goes back to my earlier answer as to a person's rights to bring up the prudence issue. The information is still going to be retained in order for someone to have -- have information available to facilitate if they want to exercise that right.
Q. So the issue of disallowing the costs based on the prudence of entering into that purchased power agreement is still open to be raised in the future?
A. Well, I say it's -- it's still -- you could still raise it in the future. The purchased power -purchase supply agreement will expire in June of '05 and the moratorium will take you past that. So it's not likely that the capacity costs will be a relevant issue in the next rate case.

MR. SWEARENGEN: Let's assume that it is. COMMISSIONER MURRAY: So I'm sorry. Have we said anything we shouldn't have?

MR. SWEARENGEN: I don't think so.
JUDGE JONES: We'll go ahead and go off
camera.
(REPORTER'S NOTE: At this time, an in-camera session was held, which is contained in Volume No. 21, pages

11970 through 2001 of the transcript.)
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\hline 1962:20 1963:2,7 & 1906:23 1911:25 & Aquila 1847:10,11 & 1965:18 1967:8 & 1966:9,24 1967:12 \\
\hline 1963:9,14,16,17 & 1913:18 1914:3,6 & 1847:11 1848:5 & 1968:4 & 1968:15 \\
\hline 1963:19,23 & 1916:13 1917:7 & 1849:4,4,12,17 & area 1887:25 & ask 1854:19 1855:4 \\
\hline 1964:11,15,16,24 & 1918:16 1940:12 & 1864:3,4,4,10 & 1901:16 1912:1 & 1855:6 1858:12 \\
\hline 1965:5,7,11 & 1947:9,9,25 & 1866:10 1876:19 & areas 1949:18 & 1859:8 1868:5 \\
\hline 1966:4,20 1967:6 & 1949:15 1951:18 & 1878:23 1884:7,13 & 1950:21 1961:15 & 1873:3 1877:7 \\
\hline 1967:11,22 & 1951:25 1952:14 & 1884:19 1899:19 & aren't 1851:15 & 1895:11 1897:2 \\
\hline 1968:15,16,22 & 1953:6 1955:21 & 1916:20 1939:15 & argue 1966:5 1968:3 & 1902:9 1940:17 \\
\hline annual 1891:9 & 1960:4 1964:12 & 1939:17 1959:8 & Aries 1912:6 & 1957:2 1962:6 \\
\hline 1895:23 1946:3,12 & 1968:7 & 1960:14,18 & 1965:19,21,22,24 & asked 1882:16 \\
\hline annualized 1900:9 & anybody 1867:20 & Aquila's 1854:11,25 & 1966:8 1967:9 & 1896:24 1950:3 \\
\hline another 1853:1 & 1904:15 & 1878:21 1882:22 & 1968:5,7 & asking 1854:22 \\
\hline 1855:15 1856:7 & anyone 1851:15 & 1885:21 1910:9 & arises 1883:14 & 1861:25 1865:5 \\
\hline 1877:20 1881:6 & anyone's 1966:5 & 1946:4 1956:24 & 1884:11 & 1869:11 1880:9,16 \\
\hline 1953:24 1960:10 & anything 1855:18 & 1965:25 1966:6 & around 1878:14 & 1887:11 1895:14 \\
\hline 1963:11,15 & 1857:18 1866:1 & are 1850:24 1851:1 & 1889:25 1905:25 & asset 1889:2,17 \\
\hline answer 1856:8 & 1871:8,10 1896:19 & 1851:5,22 1853:1 & 1905:25 1918:5 & 1890:13,13 \\
\hline 1858:21 1866:12 & 1907:7,11 1909:9 & 1853:2,3,4,14,21 & 1954:14 & 1891:16 1944:3 \\
\hline 1866:13,16 & 1909:11 1918:19 & 1853:24 1855:10 & arrangement & 1955:15,21,25 \\
\hline 1867:17 1868:2,14 & 1921:8 1968:20 & 1855:20,25 & 1884:23 & 1956:1 1962:9 \\
\hline 1882:20 1883:4 & apologize 1868:22 & 1856:19,24,24,25 & arrive 1916:22 & 1964:2,8 1965:10 \\
\hline 1902:16 1905:3 & 1947:19 1950:9 & 1857:4,6,7,7,8 & arrived 1853:5 & assets 1890:2 1891:6 \\
\hline 1906:2,17 1912:3 & appearance 1849:11 & 1858:6,11,12,14 & as 1849:4 1852:19 & 1893:16 1953:12 \\
\hline 1912:31917:8 & 1849:14 1850:25 & 1858:18,19 & 1853:6,15,17 & 1963:11,23 1964:4 \\
\hline 1943:21 1945:19 & 1868:21 & 1859:11,12,19,23 & 1854:3 1855:23 & 1965:2,6 \\
\hline 1948:19 1950:18 & appearing 1849:16 & 1860:25 1861:7,17 & 1859:16 1864:20 & assignment 1964:12 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1966:24 & 1880:10,22 & B 1849:24 1861:6 & 1966:24 1967:17 & 1920:6,8 1921:9 \\
\hline assistant 1848:13 & 1881:12 1882:25 & 1888:24 1889:3 & basic 1862:4 & 1922:4 1939:9,13 \\
\hline 1850:13 & 1883:5,6 1892:10 & 1890:13 1891:12 & 1898:17 1899:20 & 1941:21,23,24 \\
\hline Assn 1848:12 & 1892:17 1902:10 & 1891:19 1892:5 & basically 1852:25 & 1943:11 1944:9,15 \\
\hline Associate 1848:20 & 1902:19 1903:2,7 & 1893:4,6,8,12,13 & 1854:1 1859:21 & 1945:3,17,21,24 \\
\hline associated 1853:20 & 1903:11,12,18 & 1893:14,21 1894:2 & 1867:1 1869:21 & 1946:4,7,15,18,18 \\
\hline 1857:9 1903:20 & 1904:8,12 1905:7 & 1894:5,7,8,10 & 1870:9 1872:17 & 1946:21,22 \\
\hline 1917:17 & 1905:7 1906:19 & 1895:23 1912:16 & 1892:11 1895:1 & 1947:12 1948:11 \\
\hline Association 1850:3,9 & 1909:20,20,25 & 1913:7,10,11 & 1906:2 1907:12 & 1952:13,15,20,25 \\
\hline assume 1870:2 & 1911:21 1912:22 & back 1855:9 1867:21 & 1908:8,18 1909:13 & 1954:6,7,12,13,15 \\
\hline 1871:7 1904:1 & 1914:23 1916:7,22 & 1868:1 1869:11 & basis 1853:11,22 & 1954:16 1955:16 \\
\hline 1907:7 1913:21 & 1917:24 1921:11 & 1871:10 1881:20 & 1857:61872:10 & 1956:3 1957:2,14 \\
\hline 1949:3 1964:17,18 & 1921:20 1922:9 & 1882:111886:23 & 1873:15 1886:2 & 1957:14,20 1958:8 \\
\hline 1964:19 1968:18 & 1940:9 1941:7,11 & 1889:21 1891:12 & 1895:23 1900:2 & 1958:9,11,20 \\
\hline assumed 1857:25 & 1941:13,14,20,22 & 1891:15 1892:7,9 & 1909:2,16 1913:25 & 1959:7,10,11,15 \\
\hline 1858:3,16 1860:2 & 1941:24 1942:4,14 & 1894:4 1902:20 & 1915:15,22,23,25 & 1959:17 1960:1,4 \\
\hline 1860:5 1870:22 & 1942:15,16,18 & 1905:25 1908:16 & 1916:5 & 1960:17,19 \\
\hline 1871:5 1875:11 & 1943:20 1949:5,9 & 1911:24 1918:7 & baton 1901:18,20 & 1962:12,17 1963:7 \\
\hline 1883:8 1908:23 & 1950:4 1951:11 & 1919:4 1921:23 & be 1850:20 1852:11 & 1964:23 1965:5,11 \\
\hline 1917:20 & 1952:1 1953:6 & 1942:9 1955:1 & 1852:17 1853:19 & 1966:23,25 \\
\hline assumes 1875 & 1955:2,4,24 & 1960:15 1967:12 & 1855:7,15,21 & 1967:14,19,24 \\
\hline assuming 1871:21 & 1956:14 1958:7 & backwards 1893:2 & 1856:7,8 1857:23 & 1968:6,13,14 \\
\hline 1872:9 1896:5 & 1959:16,17,22 & bankruptcy 1885:13 & 1858:24,24 & because 1863:15,20 \\
\hline 1908:24 1943:24 & 1960:1,25 1961:11 & 1885:14 & 1859:17 1860:19 & 1867:13 1871:14 \\
\hline assumption 1859:16 & 1961:18 1962:4,8 & bar 1881:16 & 1864:2 1865:6,21 & 1874:22 1877:12 \\
\hline 1860:2,23,24 & 1964:6 1965:4 & base 1857:20 1858:1 & 1866:7 1867:5 & 1878:10 1881:15 \\
\hline 1867:6,10 1871:4 & 1968:24 & 1858:11,14 1859:9 & 1868:13 1872:13 & 1882:7 1883:5,14 \\
\hline 1873:6,7 1875:7 & attempt 1951:8 & 1859:11,12 1867:7 & 1872:16,25 1875:8 & 1891:22 1902:9 \\
\hline 1875:11,15 & attention 1851:2 & 1867:12,13 & 1877:9,20,25 & 1908:19 1909:2 \\
\hline 1879:13 1882:21 & 1881:15 & 1869:15,17 1870:2 & 1878:8,24 1879:7 & 1920:8 1943:16 \\
\hline 1883:8,14 1890:18 & attorney 1848:2,2,6 & 1870:17 1872:10 & 1879:12,15 & 1944:23 1947:7 \\
\hline 1891:1,2,4,17 & 1848:9,13 1850:13 & 1873:24 1874:1,2 & 1880:14,15,19,21 & 1951:21,25 \\
\hline 1892:2 1898:17 & 1877:8 1878:10 & 1874:8,8,17,25 & 1880:22 1881:21 & 1956:22 1958:3 \\
\hline 1907:6 1909:16 & 1912:13 & 1875:3,9,17 & 1882:10,11,21 & 1962:20 1963:6 \\
\hline 1913:21 1964:22 & attorneys 1850:24 & 1876:14,24,25 & 1883:8,16,19 & 1966:23 \\
\hline assumptions & audit 1872:19 & 1877:4,18,24 & 1884:16,24 188 & become 1886:15 \\
\hline 1867:11 1870:7 & 1878:20 1907: & 1879:14 1880:6 & 1885:3,11,12,13 & becomes 1884:13 \\
\hline 1871:17 1881:8,10 & audited 1872:16 & 1898:5,22 1900:24 & 1885:20,23,24 & been 1851:3,7,13,14 \\
\hline 1887:4 1892:17 & 1879:15 & 1901:1,3,4 & 1886:10,13,15,23 & 1853:16 1864:17 \\
\hline 1896:17 1897:21 & auditing 1906:17 & 1902:13 1903:1 & 1886:24 1887:8,24 & 1864:18,18 \\
\hline 1897:24 1898:22 & auditors 1901:10 & 1910:3,24 1911:4 & 1889:17,21 1890:5 & 1886:22 1889:19 \\
\hline 1900:23 1903:1 & authority 1950:22 & 1911:5 1912:25 & 1890:7,19 1891:19 & 1890:1 1891:15 \\
\hline 1918:10 1919:23 & automatic 1945:2 & 1916:25 1917:11 & 1892:3,6,21,24 & 1892:7 1893:24 \\
\hline assurance 1884:2 & available 1868:17 & 1917:16,23 & 1893:10 1894:10 & 1894:12 1896:14 \\
\hline 1885:11 1886:13 & 1882:25 1885:11 & 1918:25 1942:11 & 1896:21 1897:8 & 1906:23 1911:21 \\
\hline 1886:15 & 1904:13 1941:18 & 1947:4 1955:9 & 1898:5,11,12,24 & 1915:9 1918:22 \\
\hline at \(1848: 2,2,6,9\) & 1967:15 & 1958:21 1960:21 & 1900:9 1901:10,13 & 1919:13 1920:1,15 \\
\hline 1849:11 1851:4 & Avenue 1848:3 & 1961:15,15 & 1902:9,11,12,13 & 1939:8 1943:2 \\
\hline 1852:2 1853:5 & 1849:16 & based 1857:11 & 1902:14,17 & 1948:20,25 \\
\hline 1855:23 1858:7,7 & average 1863:9,12 & 1860:12 1864:9 & 1903:19 1907:13 & 1949:24 1950:5 \\
\hline 1860:12,14 & 1863:23 1903:10 & 1865:6 1870:17 & 1907:24,25 1909:9 & 1952:23 1953:12 \\
\hline 1862:19 1863:7 & aware 1889:25 & 1872:13 1873:18 & 1909:15,17,17 & 1960:9,14,20 \\
\hline 1864:11 1865:6,21 & 1948:3 & 1879:13 1881:10 & 1910:6,9 1911:9 & 1966:3 \\
\hline 1866:10 1869:24 & away 1861:21 & 1892:22 1902:13 & 1911:21,22,23 & before 1847:1,16 \\
\hline 1869:25 1870:23 & 1948:20 & 1904:1,5,13 & 1912:3 1913:6,16 & 1862:12 1871:11 \\
\hline 1871:19 1872:23 & awhile 1874:13 & 1906:8 1908:9,13 & 1914:12 1915:1,19 & 1871:12 1874:20 \\
\hline 1877:9,21 1878:3 & & 1961:21 1965:12 & 1916:3,6,9,13 & 1901:9 1908:12,17 \\
\hline 1879:8,10 1880:3 & B & 1965:14 1966:20 & 1918:12 1919:5 & 1922:2 1951:16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline beginning 1849:12 & 1944:15,20 1945:5 & burns 1878:25 & 1893:24 1894:2 & 1886:4 1888:8 \\
\hline 1854:6 1895:2 & 1949:25 1951:24 & 1913:23 & 1897:14 1901:10 & 1890:14,19 1891:3 \\
\hline 1907:25 1955:24 & Beyond 1912:9 & bus 1881:16 & 1902:2 1905:23 & 1896:3,8 1902:16 \\
\hline behalf 1849:17,25 & big 1875:14 1909:10 & business 1849:4 & 1907:23 1909:14 & 1903:24 1906:1 \\
\hline 1850:14 & bigger 1952:10 & but 1851:10,12 & 1912:11 1913:14 & 1909:11 1910:24 \\
\hline behind 1887:9 & biggest 1948:25 & 1852:2 1854:7 & 1915:5,21 1917:19 & 1912:2,5 1914:7 \\
\hline being 1856:11 & bilateral 1918:12 & 1855:4,21 1857:18 & 1918:9,14 1919:20 & 1918:12 1921:7 \\
\hline 1877:12,18 & bit 1859:2 1872:5 & 1858:7,19 1859:25 & 1939:11 1940:21 & 1939:3,8 1941:2 \\
\hline 1881:12 1906:9 & 1914:3 1917:4 & 1860:1,7,9,18 & 1947:9,18 1952:7 & 1944:15 1948:15 \\
\hline 1919:23 1942:25 & boils 1902:4 & 1864:16 1865:11 & 1953:8 1954:11,12 & 1950:18 1958:14 \\
\hline 1944:7 1945:8 & bond 1883:22 & 1865:18 1867:16 & 1954:22 1956:12 & 1959:6 1961:12 \\
\hline 1950:16 1952:18 & 1884:15,20 1885:8 & 1872:5,17 1873:18 & 1959:3 1961:25 & 1963:7 1965:20 \\
\hline 1955;14,15 & 1885:18 & 1874:23 1875:9 & 1963:11,20 2002:2 & cannot 1883:6 \\
\hline 1958:15,16 1962:2 & booked 1854:14 & 1879:14 1880:6 & 2002:4,4,6,7,9,10 & 1891:14 \\
\hline 1964:8 1965:7,11 & books 1906:18 & 1882:4,6,24 & 2002:12,12,13,13 & can't 1882:16 \\
\hline believe 1852:11 & 1908:4 & 1884:5,19 1885:5 & 2002:14,14,15,15 & 1906:17 \\
\hline 1855:5,20,23 & borrow 1883:3,6 & 1885:22 1886:10 & 2002:16,17,19 & cap 1959:23 \\
\hline 1856:5,6 1858:8 & borrowing 1882:22 & 1886:16,21 & & capacity 1853:19,24 \\
\hline 1858:15,17 1859:4 & both 1867:2,13 & 1888:18 1891:14 & C & 1947:22 1961:15 \\
\hline 1860:7 1861:3,17 & 1872:8 1882:1 & 1891:24 1894:11 & C 1848:1,2 1849:1 & 1967:7,24 \\
\hline 1864:25 1868:8 & 1943:22 1955:5 & 1895:20 1897:24 & 1849:14 1852:15 & Capitol 1848:3 \\
\hline 1882:19 1906:14 & 1964:5 & 1898:21 1901:1,25 & 2002:2 & 1849:16 \\
\hline 1910:24,25 1920:3 & bottom 1902:14 & 1902:4,10,18 & calculate 1860:3 & captured 1953:5 \\
\hline 1920:22 1922:1 & 1908:21 1913:24 & 1903:25 1907:21 & 1862:111863:9 & carried 1861:21 \\
\hline 1939:15,24 1945:5 & 1945:4 1951:9 & 1909:18 1910:24 & 1864:111882:12 & cars 1855:23 \\
\hline 1949:6 1955:12 & bought 1968:14 & 1914:6,22 1916:12 & calculated 1853:8 & case 1847:10 1849:3 \\
\hline 1958:19 1960:25 & Box 1848:14,18,21 & 1918:6,7,15 & 1865:6 1901:25 & 1854:3,6,9 \\
\hline 1961:4 & 1849:20,25 & 1920:181921:15 & 1908:9,12,14 & 1862:15,16 \\
\hline believing 1853:7 & 1850:15 & 1939:9 1940:14 & 1909:8 & 1864:15,16,21,22 \\
\hline below 1871:9,11 & break 1902:22 & 1941:20,21 & calculating 1887:5 & 1864:23 1865:7,18 \\
\hline 1908:16 1957:14 & breakdown 1860:5 & 1943:18,19 1944:4 & calculation 1853:6 & 1865:19 1868:13 \\
\hline 1959:5 & briefly 1914:11 & 1944:6 1945:23 & 1856:18 1865:12 & 1870:22 1874:2,8 \\
\hline Bender 1875:25 & 1962:6 & 1946:22 1947:4 & 1865:14 1871:15 & 1874:9,17 1875:9 \\
\hline 1876:4 & bring 1967:13 & 1948:8 1950:14,25 & 1876:12 1881:20 & 1875:19 1878:7 \\
\hline benefit 1870:23 & bringing 1855:14 & 1951:10,11 & 1891:2 1902:12 & 1883:17 1886:18 \\
\hline 1871:12 & 1952:7 & 1952:10 1953:6 & 1904:9 1908:19,20 & 1889:5,11,11,13 \\
\hline benefits 1890:17,21 & broadcasting 1939:2 & 1954:16 1955:24 & 1915:13 1916:2,22 & 1889:18,20,21 \\
\hline 1961:9 & Broadway 1848:10 & 1958:16,19 1960:3 & 1917:6 1966:22,23 & 1892:22,25 1893:2 \\
\hline besides 1855:18 & 1850:10 & 1960:19 1961:8,12 & calculations 1853:11 & 1893:4,5 1894:13 \\
\hline best 1868:13 & broken 1860:9,10 & 1963:5,18 1964:7 & 1871:21 & 1894:17,22 1895:2 \\
\hline 1888:14 1893:25 & 1920:19 & 1964:19,25 & call 1881:16 1914:23 & 1895:9,13,24 \\
\hline 1958:5 & brought 1851:2 & 1965:21 1966:13 & 1945:14 1954:4 & 1896:17,19 \\
\hline better 1852:9 1856:8 & 1940:7 & 1968:13 & called 1861:19 & 1897:17 1898:8 \\
\hline 1872:16 1893:9 & Brydon 1848:3 & buy 1853:22 1854:1 & 1893:19 1899:6 & 1921:11,24 1941:3 \\
\hline 1960:24 & 1849:15 & 1880:12 1900:5 & 1906:8,9 1907:25 & 1941:4 1942:2,3,4 \\
\hline between 1860:13 & Budget 1911:22 & 1906:23 1918:15 & 1943:15,16 & 1943:23,24 \\
\hline 1861:13 1862:21 & build 1962:14,25 & buying 1899:25 & came 1916:2 & 1944:18,21,22 \\
\hline 1867:11 1869:16 & 1963:2 1968:5,7 & 1918:17 & 1940:10 1950:4 & 1945:14 1946:5,19 \\
\hline 1869:21,24 1870:2 & building 1960:15 & by 1847:21 1850:19 & 1952:2 1957:10 & 1946:21,23 \\
\hline 1870:10,17 1873:8 & built 1859:10 1867:7 & 1850:20 1851:12 & camera 1968:23 & 1947:13,25 1948:2 \\
\hline 1875:14 1876:13 & 1869:15 1876:22 & 1852:20 1854:11 & can 1850:20 1852:9 & 1949:25 1950:1,20 \\
\hline 1888:13 1889:3,9 & 1883:18,19 & 1855:14 1858:20 & 1854:18,20 1856:3 & 1950:25 1951:3,5 \\
\hline 1889:12 1891:21 & 1897:17,24 & 1860:19 1862:9 & 1859:1 1862:1,25 & 1951:13,20,21,22 \\
\hline 1892:24 1893:13 & 1917:25 1953:3,12 & 1869:8,22 1875:15 & 1863:1,5 1864:22 & 1952:6,14,21 \\
\hline 1893:17 1895:23 & 1958:16,16 & 1881:7 1882:15 & 1866:161868:14 & 1953:10,22 1955:8 \\
\hline 1896:12,13 & 1960:12 1965:24 & 1885:2,13 1887:2 & 1873:2,14 1875:8 & 1955:17 1956:16 \\
\hline 1906:23 1910:17 & 1967:1 1968:2 & 1888:7 1889:10 & 1876:7 1877:12 & 1956:23 1957:6,7 \\
\hline 1913:5 1918:1,2 & buried 1874:8 & 1890:2,17 1891:14 & 1882:6,6 1884:7 & 1957:10,18 1958:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1958:3,5 1959:2 & 1920:23 1921:1,5 & claim 1946:15,19,21 & 1952:14,17,19,21 & 1953:6 1958:22 \\
\hline 1965:22 1966:5,8 & 1922:3,7 1939:5,7 & clarification 1861:9 & 1958:22 1960:21 & 1959:4 1960:24 \\
\hline 1966:12 1967:25 & 1939:11,21,25 & clarify 1865:20 & 1961:12 1962:13 & 1964:25 \\
\hline 1968:3,13 & 1940:18 1956:12 & 1898:18 1943:14 & comes 1905:25 & company's 1875:11 \\
\hline cases 1862:13 & 1961:22 2002:2,4 & class 1861:11,13 & coming 1868:19 & 1944:6 1945:6 \\
\hline 1865:15 1888:11 & 2002:6,7,9,10,12 & 1862:9,21 1863:9 & 1947:19 1958:15 & 1946:3 \\
\hline 1888:11 1894:15 & 2002:12,14,16,17 & 1863:10 1864:12 & Comley 1848:6,6 & comparable 1911:8 \\
\hline 1894:17 1896:6 & Chairman 1852:3 & 1864:19 & 1850:17 1905:9,11 & compare 1862:8 \\
\hline 1943:22 1944:15 & 1869:4 1922:1 & classes 1862:22 & 1921:15 & 1906:19 1909:12 \\
\hline 1945:3 1952:17 & 1940:16 & 1863:10,13,19,20 & comment 1881:3 & 1909:13 1913:23 \\
\hline 1954:5 1955:2,5 & change 1888:23 & 1863:25 1864:1,3 & comments 1939:5 & compares 1900:16 \\
\hline 1961:8 1965:6 & 1892:1 1898:17 & 1864:4 & commercial 1863:19 & comparing 1908:23 \\
\hline categories 1861:5 & 1944:17,19,20,25 & clause 1880:20 & Commission 1847:1 & 1909:1 \\
\hline category 1853:19 & 1951:11 & 1945:2 & 1848:22 1849:19 & comparison 1862:18 \\
\hline caused 1944:20 & changed 1897:25 & Clayton 1847:18 & 1868:17 1893:25 & compensated 1892:6 \\
\hline 1951:24 1959:7 & changes 1880:15 & 1849:10 1868:10 & 1894:2 1905:12 & competitive 1961:3 \\
\hline CCR 1847:22 & 1944:15 & 1888:5,6,7 & 1911:21 1912:1 & completely 1876:5 \\
\hline ceiling 1875:10 & changing 1944 & 1896:20,24 & 1951:16 1952:4 & complicated 1862:15 \\
\hline 1898:6 1901:1 & characteristic & 1904:22,23 & Commissioner & 1902:15 1910:5 \\
\hline 1908:13 & 1863:23 & 1947:17,18 & 1849:10 1868:7,8 & component 1893:13 \\
\hline cents 1862:20 & characterize 188 & 1954:18 1956:15 & 1868:10 1880:24 & components 1890:16 \\
\hline 1881:10 1906:6,13 & characterizing & 1956:22 2002:4,13 & 1881:24 1887:13 & compromised \\
\hline 1908:6,8,22 & 1882:2 & clearly 1960:24 & 1887:15,18,22 & 1853:9 1964:24 \\
\hline 1912:17,25 1913:4 & charge 1852:8,24 & Clemens 1914:23 & 1888:3,5,6,7 & concept 1859:9 \\
\hline 1913:7,25 1915:15 & 1859:13 1860:3,15 & 1915:3,4,6 2002:8 & 1896:20,21,23 & 1866:25 1871:14 \\
\hline 1915:22,24 1916:3 & 1865:6,12,14,16 & cleverly 1912:14 & 1897:12 1904:17 & 1958:2 1959:15 \\
\hline 1916:4 1919:23 & 1866:20 1870:7,16 & close 1949:6 1951:9 & 1904:19,21,22,23 & 1962:7 1963:9 \\
\hline certain 1853:7,14 & 1871:3,5,18 & closed 1922:4,8 & 1939:22 1940:3,4 & conceptually \\
\hline 1855:16 1859:18 & 1873:22 1874:4 & coal 1855:14,17,19 & 1940:7,12,15,20 & 1945:19 \\
\hline 1861:5 1871:21 & 1876:3 1877:9,16 & 1858:4,5,12,13,14 & 1940:21 1947:14 & concern 1879:7 \\
\hline 1883:20,21 & 1877:19 1879:14 & 1871:22 1872:8 & 1947:17,18 1950:3 & 1881:5,14 1889:23 \\
\hline 1917:20 1918:3,10 & 1880:7,22 1883:1 & 1873:6,7,16,21,23 & 1954:18,21,22 & 1949:18 \\
\hline 1919:23 1953:3 & 1883:11 1884:21 & 1874:3,7,24 & 1956:9,10,15,22 & concerned 1916:20 \\
\hline 1962:11 & 1900:25 1901:22 & 1876:20,25 1877:1 & 1961:24,25 1962:3 & concerning 1878:20 \\
\hline certainly 1881:25 & 1906:10 1915:14 & 1877:3,11,17,17 & 1968:19 2002:4,13 & 1896:22 1912:6 \\
\hline 1890:4 1892:16,19 & 1949:22,25 & 1877:25 1880:12 & 2002:13,14,15,15 & concerns 1851:6 \\
\hline 1895:16 & 1956:18 1957:1 & 1887:6 1898:13 & 2002:19 & concession 1950:15 \\
\hline Chair 1847:17 & 1958:2 1960:18 & 1917:7,9,9 & Commissioners & 1953:21 1954:12 \\
\hline 1852:4,20 1868:3 & charged 1864:2 & 1958:15 & 1847:19 1849:9 & conclusion 1957:11 \\
\hline 1869:6,8 1877:7 & charges 1853:20,25 & coal's 1855:22 & 1851:18 & concur 1881:2 \\
\hline 1878:1,13,17,23 & 1863:18 1960:4 & coal-fired 1903:19 & Committee 1911:22 & condition 1883:5 \\
\hline 1879:2,5,17 & charge's 1859:18 & Coffman 1848:17 & common 1944:11,11 & 1884:6 \\
\hline 1880:9 1881:1,19 & cheaper 1877:21,25 & 1849:24,24 1879:6 & companies 1894:3 & conditions 1866:11 \\
\hline 1882:3,9,15 & choice 1855:1 & 1879:19 1880:18 & 1960:16,17 & 1953:18 1954:2 \\
\hline 1883:25 1884:3,7 & 1878:6 & 1883:23,24 1884:1 & company 1851:12 & confer 1878:9 \\
\hline 1884:18 1885:1,4 & choices 1855:1 & 1884:4 1886:12,18 & 1864:18 1869:23 & confess 1881:25 \\
\hline 1885:10,15,22 & 1899:21 & 1911:18,19,20 & 1871:7,12 1873:8 & confidential 1939:2 \\
\hline 1886:7,17,19 & choose 1877:11 & 1912:8,10 & 1873:8 1876:1 & 1968:17 \\
\hline 1887:2,11,17 & circuit 1921:15 & Coffman's 1881:3 & 1877:10 1880:11 & conflict 1905:13 \\
\hline 1896:23 1897:3,14 & 1952:1 & collect 1884:21 & 1882:1 1883:5,19 & conflicting 1917:4,5 \\
\hline 1904:15,24 & cited 1913:17 & collected 1954:17 & 1884:4,12 1885:12 & Congress 1896:16 \\
\hline 1905:15,19,23 & cities 1907:21 & collecting 1885:23 & 1889:3,4,10 & conjunction 1871:22 \\
\hline 1911:17,19 & City 1847:7 1848:4,7 & come 1853:10,10 & 1890:9 1891:13 & 1964:4 \\
\hline 1912:11 1913:11 & 1848:8,8,11,14,18 & 1855:8 1857:16 & 1892:6,9,19 & connect 1963:1 \\
\hline 1913:13,14 1914:2 & 1848:21 1849:16 & 1869:19 1899:5 & 1893:5,7 1894:13 & CONNIE 1847:18 \\
\hline 1914:9,13,20 & 1849:21 1850:1,10 & 1900:10,22 1902:6 & 1913:24 1914:2 & Conrad 1848:9,10 \\
\hline 1915:5 1919:8,13 & 1850:15,18,18 & 1911:24 1920:3 & 1941:7 1944:2 & 1850:4,7,8,9 \\
\hline 1919:18,20 & 1905:10,10 & 1940:14 1950:15 & 1951:6,7,17 & 1868:19,23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 880:24 1881:1,2 & 1898:9 1899:1 & 1873:23 1874:7,8 & cumulative 1860:11 & Department 1848:15 \\
\hline 1881:24 1882:4,14 & 1906:16 1913:20 & 1874:15,17 1875:6 & current 1854:11,25 & 1850:11,14 \\
\hline consideration & 1914:1 1916:14,17 & 1875:6 1877:3 & 1856:25 1866:11 & depend 1853:25 \\
\hline 1942:7 1953:5 & 1918:18 1920:6,7 & 1878:21 1879:13 & 1882:22 1892:20 & depending 1853:22 \\
\hline considered 1855:21 & 1941:10 1956:3 & 1879:15 1885:6 & 1894:13 1895:24 & 1940:14 1942:13 \\
\hline 1890:20 1892:21 & 1959:14 1960:2,3 & 1888:23 1890:16 & 1942:12 1963:1 & depends 1964:9 \\
\hline considering 1895:20 & 1962:23 1963:22 & 1891:3,7,10,10,13 & customer 1909:4,11 & depreciation 1912:7 \\
\hline consistent 1879:3 & 1963:25 1965:3 & 1892:7,11,13 & 1916:4 1941:17,18 & 1940:9,22,24 \\
\hline 1894:10 1952:15 & corrected 1918:22 & 1900:21 1901:9,15 & 1941:22,25 1942:2 & 1943:9 1945:21 \\
\hline 1952:18,22 & correcting 1895:8 & 1902:5 1906:3,3 & 1942:3 1944:8,16 & 1946:23,25 \\
\hline construction & 1895:13 & 1906:22 1908:5,1 & 1963:10,13,15 & 1947:21,23,24 \\
\hline 1939:16 1942:10 & corresponding & 1908:22 1909:7 & customers 1869:23 & 1949:17 1950:8,9 \\
\hline 1964:4,13 & 1912:17 1913:4,8 & 1911:11 1912:24 & 1882:21 1889:21 & 1952:15,19 \\
\hline consultation & cost 1855:12,13,16 & 1915:20,23 1917:1 & 1891:13 1907:17 & 953:20 1965:5,8 \\
\hline 1957:15 & 1863:12 1864:20 & 1917:7,16,18 & 1907:18 1909:10 & 1965:12 \\
\hline consumer 1870:25 & 1869:17 1870:22 & 1919:24 1966:24 & 1942:5 1944:25 & derived 1903:5 \\
\hline 1871:10 & 1872:8,8,10 & 1967:7,17,24 & 1953:7 1954:5,7 & 916:19 \\
\hline consumers 18 & 1873:13,21,24 & 1968:4 & 1954:17 1962:13 & describe 1888:16,24 \\
\hline 1860:19,24 & 1874:18 1875:7, & could 1852:6 & 1962:14,17,18,20 & design 1862:14 \\
\hline 1863:25 1870 & 1875:12,15,18 & 1861:19 1862:4,10 & 1963:7 1965:1,2 & 1863:22 1864:10 \\
\hline 1959:10 & 1876:1 1877:4 & 1865:14,17 1868:2 & cut 1878:13 & 1864:22 1865:3 \\
\hline contained 192 & 1878:3 1881:16 & 1878:12 1883:2 & C-l-e-m-e-n-s 1915:3 & 1949:21,24 \\
\hline 1939:17 1968:25 & 1890:15,18 1891 & 1884:17 1890:5, & & esigned 1862:2,5,7 \\
\hline contested 1950:16 & 1891:4,15,21 & 1892:24 1897:5 & D & 904:7 \\
\hline 1961:8 & 1892:2,3,9,10 & 1901:9,13 1908: & D 1849:1 2002 & despite 187 \\
\hline context 187 & 1897:19 1900:9, & 1909:9,10,11 & daily 1886:1 & detail 1856:6 \\
\hline continually 1892 & 1900:20 1904:8,8 & 1921:6 1943:2 & data 1864:19 & 899:21 \\
\hline continue 1879:18 & 1904:12,12,14 & 1958:22 1967:20 & date 1889:3,5, & details 1867:19,22 \\
\hline continued 1878:7 & 1909:14 1916:9,2 & 1968:14 & dates 1893:6 & 887:1 \\
\hline 1946:7 & 1917:6,9,21 & counsel 1848: & Dave 1918:6,6 & determination \\
\hline contract 187 & 1918:9,11,14,2 & 1848:19,20 & David 1897:10,13 & 1878:20 1966:24 \\
\hline 1953:24 1954:1,2 & 1941:23 1943:5 & 1849:23,25 1876:6 & 908:11 1919: & determine 1857:22 \\
\hline 1954:6 & 1944:15,17,20 & 1879:5 1920:24 & 2002:5,10,18 & 1864:12 1872:22 \\
\hline contribute 1 & 1945:14,14 1946:3 & 1954:3 & David's 1910:4, & 1893:14 1903:12 \\
\hline 1889:19 & 1946:13,18 1947:1 & counsel's 19 & dead 1850:4 & 1913:18 1946:6 \\
\hline contribution 1894:1 & 1947:5 1950:14 & country 1889:25 & deal 1939:14 & determined 1886:21 \\
\hline 1894:15 1955:11 & 1953:3 1955:4,6,6 & County 1921:14, & dealing 1886:20 & determines 1898:23 \\
\hline contributions & 1955:10,14,18,21 & couple 1914:7 & 917:15 & 1898:24 \\
\hline 1889:10 1890:6 & 1955:25 1956:5 & 1954:23 1960: & decide 1898 & determining \\
\hline 1892:14,20,23 & 1961:14 1963:13 & 1962:1 & decides 1904:1 & 1872:12 188 \\
\hline 1896:18 & 1963:14,19 1964:1 & course 1859:17 & decision 1900:2 & develop 1899:4 \\
\hline cont & 1964:3,7,12,13 & 1860:10 1864 & 951:16 1961:1 & 1903:9 \\
\hline controlled 1952:25 & 1966:6,18,25 & 1917 & 966:2,3,7 1968 & developed \\
\hline converted 1906:13 & 1967:1,6 & court 189 & 1968:6 & developing 1864:18 \\
\hline converts 1900:12 & costs 1853:1,2,3,5,8 & 1921:15 1952:1 & decisions 1900 & 1864:18 \\
\hline convey 1951:7 & 1853:12,15,17 & cover 1891:7 & 961:9,1 & development 1948:5 \\
\hline core 1951:14 & 1853:23 1854:1,4 & covered 1887:1 & declassified 1939 & 1962:24 \\
\hline correct 1850:21 & 1854:7,15 1855:10 & co-mingled 1965:8 & deficiencies 1945:23 & develops 1899:2 \\
\hline 1856:20,22,23 & 1855:11,13,19,21 & create 1877:10,19 & deficient 1958:23 & did 1856:13 1857:15 \\
\hline 1857:14 1858:2 & 1855:25 1856:2,17 & 778:5 1881:23 & delay 1905:12 & 858:4 1866:1 \\
\hline 1859:14,15,20 & 1856:19,24 1857:4 & 1883:10 1964:20 & delayed 1890:6 & 869:19 1883:22 \\
\hline 1860:3,4,16,20 & 1857:5,23 1858:11 & created 1918:5 & delivered 1855:17 & 1887:3 1894:16,16 \\
\hline 1866:8,19 1868:20 & 1858:12,13,18,19 & creates 1878:2 & 1858:5 & 1896:22 1897:2,21 \\
\hline 1870:4,5,19 & 1859:11 1862:8,10 & 1881:23 1964:1 & demand 1853:20 & 1897:23,25 1898:7 \\
\hline 1871:6,7,11 & 1863:8 1864:11 & creating 1877:8 & 1966:17 1967:1 & 1898:17 1910:1 \\
\hline 1874:14 1875:1 & 1866:19 1867:3,4 & cross-examination & demands 1877:24 & 1918:19 1921:2 \\
\hline 1876:4,5 1880:18 & 1867:16 1869:14 & 851:11 & demonstrate & 1947:23,25 1948:5 \\
\hline 1888:15 1893:11 & 1870:8 1872:10 & CSR 1847:22 & 1942:11 & 1948:8,16 1949:18 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1949:22,23 & 1956:21 1957:19 & 1871:25 1876:19 & 1921:1,2,6,7 & effect 1862:19 \\
\hline 1953:23 1954:3 & discussions 1951:24 & 1877:19 1878:5 & 1944:19,25 & 1865:15 1881:6 \\
\hline 1955:21 1956:10 & dispatch 1857:8 & 1883:10 1886:13 & 1947:23 1951:18 & 1890:6 1892:8 \\
\hline 1956:16,19 1957:3 & 1910:8 & 1892:8 1896:16,19 & 1962:3,13,13 & 1895:18 1945:17 \\
\hline 1957:5 1960:17 & dispatcher 1900:3 & 1899:22 1900:18 & 1964:19 1968:12 & 1946:10 \\
\hline 1965:2 & dispute 1854:3 & 1903:25 1904:1 & 1968:16,21 & effective 1889:10 \\
\hline didn't 1946:22 & 1888:12 1917:3 & 1905:3 1911:9 & doors 1859:2 & efficient 1898:20 \\
\hline 1950:10,12 & distant 1865:22 & 1916:19 1950:21 & DOUGLAS 1848:17 & either 1850:4 \\
\hline 1956:21 1960:21 & distinction 1881:18 & 1964:20 1965:1 & down 1860:9,10 & 1884:13,14,22 \\
\hline differed 1867:11 & distribution 1963:2 & doesn't 1855:22 & 1868:11 1897:1 & 1886:22 1893:18 \\
\hline difference 1860:13 & districts 1960:13 & 1861:4 1865:1] & 1902:4 1903:24 & 1896:15 1957:14 \\
\hline 1862:18 1870:10 & dive 1869:9 & 1871:10 1879:15 & 1905:2 1913:3 & 1958:21 1963:14 \\
\hline 1870:17 1875:14 & divide 1900:22 & 1881:23 1882:22 & 1914:16 1919:11 & 1968:14 \\
\hline 1876:13 1889:12 & 1902:6 1908:6 & 1882:24 1883:11 & 1920:20 1939:24 & electric 1894:14 \\
\hline 1890:8 1891:20,20 & 1909:8 1915:21 & 1892:1 1896:4 & 1942:12 1950:12 & 1910:9 1915:7 \\
\hline 1893:10,13,17 & divided 1909:14 & 1914:18 1942:3 & 1951:13 1957:22 & 1946:5,17 1961:1 \\
\hline 1895:22 1896:11 & division 1893:7 & 1944:9,16 1951:12 & 1958:25 & electricity 1847:12 \\
\hline 1896:11 1906:9 & 1910:10,11 1913:8 & 1951:19 & downward 1958:17 & 1849:6 1911:11 \\
\hline 1913:5 1917:23,25 & do 1852:10 1853:17 & doing 1849:4 & drive 1891:3 & element 1962:17 \\
\hline 1918:1,2 1944:7 & 1853:25 1854:14 & 1856:18 1892:12 & driven 1875:15 & elements 1871:16 \\
\hline 1944:20 1948:25 & 1854:20 1858:4 & 1902:10 1951:18 & drop 1958:14 & 1872:2,7 1948:21 \\
\hline 1956:15,19 & 1859:23 1861:1,15 & dollar 1900:22 & drops 1871:9 & Eleven 1911:2 \\
\hline differences 1896:8 & 1862:5,7 1866:1 & 1908:21 1909:1 & due 1913:19 & elicited 1939:3 \\
\hline 1949:25 & 1867:10,24 & 1912:16 1942:13 & duration 1915:1 & Elliott 1868:1 \\
\hline different 1854:20,22 & 1868:15 1869:10 & 1944:11 1952:11 & during 1851:10 & 1875:8 1876:5 \\
\hline 1861:13,25 & 1871:19,20 1873:3 & 1953:3 1966:16 & 1855:2 1892:8 & 1887:8 1897:2,5 \\
\hline 1862:22 1864:1 & 1873:11,15 & dollars 1875:22,24 & 1911:18 1945:16 & 1897:10,13,15 \\
\hline 1871:16 1874:12 & 1875:16 1876:7 & 1901:6,7 1902:7 & 1946:9,15 1956:1 & 1902:9,21 1904:16 \\
\hline 1874:22 1876:8,8 & 1882:1 1884:14,17 & 1902:13 1906:6,13 & dynamics 1877:14 & 1904:24 1905:2 \\
\hline 1876:9 1877:23,23 & 1884:22 1885:5,12 & 1907:12 1909:7,14 & d/b/a 1847:10 & 1908:12 1914:17 \\
\hline 1899:16,18 1900:8 & 1885:16 1886:8,10 & 1911:2 1915:24 & & 1918:6 1919:12,13 \\
\hline 1900:13 1919:25 & 1887:13 1895:22 & 1918:5 1944:21,22 & E & 1919:19,21 1921:5 \\
\hline 1952:20 & 1897:16 1899:3,4 & 1966:19 & E 1848:1,1,17 & 2002:5,10 \\
\hline differential 1916:3 & 1899:5,16,23 & dominant 1952 & 1849:1,1 1861:12 & else 1855:15,18 \\
\hline 1917:2 1941:15 & 1900:8,11 1901:12 & done 1861:14 & 1861:13,19 2002:1 & 1868:5,6 1887:12 \\
\hline 1945:21 & 1901:19 1902:18 & 1862:24 1872:10 & each 1855:12 1862:9 & 1902:9,14,17 \\
\hline differently 1914:4 & 1902:23,24 1903:2 & 1874:20 1878:24 & 1862:21 1863:10 & 1904:16 1905:3,16 \\
\hline difficult 1895:25 & 1903:2,15 1904:12 & 1881:21 1886:24 & 1864:12 1873:15 & 1911:13,14,23 \\
\hline difficulty 1862:3 & 1904:17 1905:5 & 1887:11 1897:16 & 1900:20 1903:10 & 1920:19 1921:8 \\
\hline dig 1964:10 & 1906:15 1908:18 & 1907:5 1909:2,15 & 1903:18 1916:8,10 & 1941:14 1968:2,3 \\
\hline direct 1895:4 1957:7 & 1909:19 1910:22 & 1963:23 1964:4 & 1948:6 1955:12 & elusive 1901:20 \\
\hline disagreed 1918:20 & 1913:11,15,19,23 & don't 1851:10 & earlier 1865:4 & Empire 1862:15,19 \\
\hline disagreement & 1915:6,12 1916:24 & 1852:1,5 1854:2 & 1869:10,19 & 1862:20 1894:14 \\
\hline 1858:8 1873:8 & 1917:8 1920:12,13 & 1854:18,22 1855:4 & 1879:20 1882:17 & 1895:13,18 1950:1 \\
\hline disagreements & 1921:7,10,16,16 & 1855:20 1856:5 & 1897:4,16 1898:2 & 1956:23 \\
\hline 1882:12 & 1940:24 1941:1 & 1858:8 1859:21,21 & 1917:5 1945:12 & employees 1890:17 \\
\hline disallowing 1967:17 & 1942:9 1945:21 & 1864:25 1866:12 & 1949:21 1950:3 & 1890:23 1950:20 \\
\hline 1968:8 & 1946:23 1947:3,4 & 1866:15 1867:20 & 1953:1 1956:14 & encourage 1886:7 \\
\hline discount 1942:14,15 & 1948:18 1951:14 & 1868:8 1869:9 & 1958:14 1961:17 & end 1863:12 1869:25 \\
\hline 1942:16,18 & 1952:3 1955:10 & 1871:15 1872:11 & 1967:12 1968:15 & 1869:25 1879:8 \\
\hline discounts 1954:8 & 1959:6 & 1874:23 1882:5,5 & early 1894:4 & 1890:14 1892:1 \\
\hline discuss 1851:6 & docket 1864:10 & 1883:13 1885:6 & 1956:22 & 1913:3 1916:13 \\
\hline discussed 1879:11 & document 1879:4 & 1886:2 1895:17 & earned 1890:17,21 & 1950:4 1959:17 \\
\hline 1950:16 1957:20 & 1956:17 & 1898:15,18 1901:1 & 1893:18 & ended 1895:20 \\
\hline 1958:16 & does 1851:15 & 1901:21,23 1902:4 & earnings 1891:5,9,9 & ends 1965:7,11 \\
\hline discussing 1960:20 & 1853:18 1854:10 & 1907:7,11 1910:5 & East 1848:3 1849:16 & energy 1848:12 \\
\hline discussion 1882:7 & 1858:25 1864:15 & 1912:91913:9 & easy 1896:4 & 1850:2,8 1852:7 \\
\hline 1887:25 1945:12 & 1866:10,24 & 1914:61919:14 & eat 1915:25 & 1852:24,25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1853:21 1859:13 & essentially 1857:8 & exhibits \(1851: 3,5,6,8\) & farther 1886:20 & 1854:17,18 \\
\hline 1859:18 1860:15 & establishing 1912:24 & 1851:14 & farthest 1948:20 & 1855:10,21,23 \\
\hline 1863:10,13,18,24 & estimate 1864:19 & exist 1877:14 & FAS 1888:13,24 & 1883:11,12 \\
\hline 1865:6,12,14,16 & 1957:11,13 1958:6 & existence 1859:18 & 1889:3 1890:13 & flow 1942:10 \\
\hline 1866:20 1870:7,16 & 1965:9 & existing 1889:2 & 1891:12,19 1892:5 & flowed 1889:21 \\
\hline 1871:3,3 1873:21 & estimated 1893:13 & 1962:16 & 1893:4,6,8,12,13 & 1891:12,15 1892:7 \\
\hline 1874:4 1875:6,7 & estimates 1965:12 & expansion 1947:22 & 1893:14,21 1894:2 & 1892:9 \\
\hline 1875:10,12 1876:3 & 1965:15 & expect 1911:10 & 1894:5,7,8,10 & focuses 1881:15,16 \\
\hline 1877:9,16,19 & estimation 1896:1 & expectation 1963 & 1895:23 1953:13 & focusing 1881:7 \\
\hline 1880:7,22 1883:1 & etc 1909:5 & expected 1892:15 & 1953:16 & 1960:14 \\
\hline 1883:11 1884:21 & even 1851:8 1863:11 & 1893:15,17 & fashion 1884:14 & following 1862:3 \\
\hline 1885:24 1901:22 & 1877:20 1891:13 & expenditure 1942:25 & 1951:4 & 1877:61885:18 \\
\hline 1906:10 1915:13 & 1892:24 1916:19 & expenditures 1946:4 & fast 1903:24 & follows 1852:19 \\
\hline 1917:17,21,24 & 1956:1 1961:11 & 1946:13 & favorable 1948:17 & 1869:7 1897:13 \\
\hline 1918:4,6 1949:22 & event 1884:10,12 & expense 1888:12 & feature 1943:17 & 1905:22 1915:4 \\
\hline 1949:24 1956:18 & 1885:12 1886:15 & 1893:24 1895:22 & 1950:25 1951:1 & 1919:19 1939:10 \\
\hline 1956:25 1958:2 & every 1863:12 & 1941:4 1942:3 & 1960:12 & for 1848:5,8,12,15 \\
\hline 1960:18 & 1908:1 1941:4 & 1943:23 1952:15 & features 1952:3 & 1848:19,22 1849:3 \\
\hline England 1848:3 & 1943:24 1944:19 & 1959:4 1963:21 & 1959:7 & 1850:8 1851:19 \\
\hline 1849:15 & everybody 1863:1 & expensed 1963:19 & few 1869:12 & 1852:14 1854:14 \\
\hline enough 1891 & 1894:4 1908:1 & expenses 1941:18 & figure 1869:18,19 & 1855:13 1856:4,15 \\
\hline 1953:19 & everybody's 1914 & expensing 1950:5 & 1909:22 1911:8 & 1857:6,9 1858:9 \\
\hline ensure 1883:19 & everyone 1854:5 & 1963:20 & figured 1856:19 & 1860:6,14 1861:9 \\
\hline 1884:17 1886:2,9 & 1882:10 & experience 1864:9 & figures 1896:12 & 1861:9 1862:15,21 \\
\hline 1889:12 & Everything & expert 1890:4 & 1897:19 1913:16 & 1863:9,18,19,22 \\
\hline enter 1850:25 & everything's 1919:3 & expertise 1901: & 1920:20,20 & 1863:24,25 1864:3 \\
\hline 1884:23 & evidence 1943:10 & 1950:21 & 1956:17 & 1864:12,21,22 \\
\hline entered 1949 & evident 1854:4 & expire 1967 & figuring 1910: & 1866:1,16 1867:5 \\
\hline entering 1884:15 & evolution 1952:23 & explain 1852:8 & file 1957:3 & 1867:14,23 1868:9 \\
\hline 1965:25 1967:18 & exact 1918:4 & 1888:19 1889:6 & filed 1870:22 1898:2 & 1869:1,4,23 \\
\hline entire 1907:14 & exactly \(1884: 5\) & explanation 1852:7 & filter 1869:11 & 1871:8,17,20 \\
\hline 1951:5 & 1888:16 1906:18 & explicit 1881:9 & final 1895:21 1896:2 & 1872:16,17,25 \\
\hline entirely 1 & example 1855 & expressing 1881:14 & 1900:10 & 1873:6,7,9,14,20 \\
\hline entity 1950:21 & 1862:15 1894:18 & extent 1889:15,15 & financial 1883: & 1873:23 1874:2,4 \\
\hline entries 1849:1 & 1912:22 1915:22 & 1953:7 1963:19 & find 1867:23 & 1874:7,15,20 \\
\hline entry 1868:21 & 1944:24 1955:7,16 & 1966:11 1968:2 & 1895:25 1907:7,11 & 1875:10,11 1876:1 \\
\hline equalize 1891:22 & 1964:10 & E-I-I-i-o-t-t 1897:11 & findings 1865:7 & 1876:6 1877:9,13 \\
\hline equate 1882:22 & examples 1895:12 & & fine 1914:12 1962:5 & 1877:23 1878:2,21 \\
\hline equation 1882:8 & exceed 1891:9 & F & finished 1856:10 & 1881:6 1882:21 \\
\hline equity 1890:2 & 1917:16 & facilitate 1967:15 & Finnegan 1848:10 & 1883:14,15,16 \\
\hline 1962:7 1963:9 & exceeded 1957 & facilitating 1952:10 & 1850:9 & 1885:24 1887:12 \\
\hline equivalent 1907:24 & exceeding 1871: & fact 1870:23 1879:2 & firm 1850:9 1961:15 & 1887:21 1888:9,18 \\
\hline 1908:3 & except 1857:9 & 1880:14 1882:20 & first 1851:1,21 & 1888:23 1889:2,4 \\
\hline ERISA 1888:13,18 & 1874:4 1898:22 & 1889:24 1891:3 & 1852:7,23 1854:23 & 1889:13,14,23 \\
\hline 1888:25 1889:9,14 & exception 1895:3 & 1944:19 1947:11 & 1856:111869:15 & 1890:11 1891:4,8 \\
\hline 1890:6 1892:6 & excess 1891:14 & 1961:17 & 1872:1 1889:3,4 & 1892:3,6,11,21 \\
\hline 1894:1,5,14 & 1946:20 & factor 1863:24 & 1890:20 1891:19 & 1893:4,7,7,8,13 \\
\hline 1952:24 1953:11 & excesses 1945:23 & factors 1863:11,14 & 1893:22,23 & 1894:3,17 1895:18 \\
\hline 1953:18,19 & excessive 1968:4 & fair 1873:17 1880:7 & 1919:22 1940:7 & 1896:5,6 1897:9 \\
\hline ER-2004-0034 & exchange 1949:16 & 1883:9,16 1888:14 & 1943:24 1947:2 & 1897:19,20,24 \\
\hline 1847:11 1849:3 & exclude 1956:19 & 1916:24 & 1952:19 1955:9,20 & 1898:6,22 1899:11 \\
\hline 1921:24 & excuse 1864:5 & fairly 1865:22 & fit 1864:15 & 1900:5 1902:3,23 \\
\hline escrow 1884:15,23 & 1915:24 1946:1 & 1962:21 & five 1889:18 & 1903:7,10,18,23 \\
\hline 1885:5,8,9,20 & excused 1921:17 & falls 1908:10 & five-year 1889:22 & 1904:16 1905:9,12 \\
\hline especially \(1948: 10\) & exercise 1967:16 & fall-outs 1951:22 & 1893:20 & 1906:12 1908:1,1 \\
\hline 1961:1 & exhibit 1851:11 & familiar 1880:20 & fixed 1853:2,5,8,12 & 1908:12,15,16 \\
\hline essence 1947:5 & 1940:24 1941:3 & 1957:24 & 1853:15,17,18,21 & 1909:3,4 1910:8 \\
\hline 1958:23 & 1955:1,2 & far 1874:24 1916:20 & 1853:24 1854:4,16 & 1910:24,25,25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1911:6,151912:2 & 1889:10 1891:18 & 1892:13,18 & 1912:11 1913:11 & 1950:10,12 \\
\hline 1912:2,22,24 & 1892:5,24 1895:1 & 1943:12 1953:5 & 1913:13,14 1914:2 & 1951:10,13,18 \\
\hline 1913:8 1914:17 & 1898:7 1903:3 & 1954:5 1966:5 & 1914:9,13,20 & 1952:4 1958:10,10 \\
\hline 1915:2,7,7,11,20 & 1906:4 1908:4 & 1967:19,21 1968:7 & 1915:5 1919:8,13 & 1958:24,24 1961:3 \\
\hline 1915:22,22 1917:3 & 1914:4 1918:17,20 & 1968:8 & 1919:18,20 & gets 1872:6 1941:8 \\
\hline 1920:15 1921:16 & 1920:4,13,23 & & 1920:23 1921:1,5 & 1944:2 \\
\hline 1939:17 1940:1,3 & 1939:12 1940:9,10 & G & 1922:1,3,7 1939:5 & getting 1905:12 \\
\hline 1940:10 1941:3 & 1948:20 1951:4,6 & G 1849:1 & 1939:7,11,21,25 & 1949:16,17 \\
\hline 1943:11 1945:14 & 1951:21 1953:4,12 & GAAP 1891:21 & 1940:8,16,18 & give 1852:23 1862:4 \\
\hline 1945:17 1946:17 & 1955:7 1956:20,22 & gain 1893:19 & 1956:10,12 & 1862:25 1863:1,4 \\
\hline 1946:19 1947:9,15 & 1964:2 1965:9 & Gary 1915:3,4 & 1961:22 2002:2,4 & 1863:5 1864:6 \\
\hline 1947:19,20 & 1966:14 & 2002:8 & 2002:6,7,9,10,12 & 1869:14 1876:8,8 \\
\hline 1948:15 1949:9,16 & front 1861:2 1902:4 & gas 1853:23,25 & 2002:12,14,16,17 & 1906:2,17 1908:16 \\
\hline 1950:5,13 1951:20 & 1919:15 1941:17 & 1855:15,17 1857:9 & general 1847:11 & 1964:10 \\
\hline 1952:13 1953:5,6 & fuel 1854:2,15 & 1857:11,20,22 & 1848:13,20 1849:5 & given 1890:17 \\
\hline 1953:10,15 1954:4 & 1857:5,7,11 & 1858:9,9 1859:23 & 1850:14 1862:1 & 1910:4 1954:13 \\
\hline 1954:8 1955:10,18 & 1858:13,20 1860:9 & 1860:12,14 & 1872:21 1873:1 & 1955:9 1958:19 \\
\hline 1955:20,24 1956:4 & 1863:8 1864:11 & 1867:15,16 & 1888:1 1891:17 & gives 1959:4 \\
\hline 1956:5 1957:11,13 & 1867:1,3,4,22 & 1869:15,17,24 & 1896:7 1900:18 & giving 1899:11 \\
\hline 1957:20 1958:5,18 & 1871:16 1872:25 & 1870:8 1871:4,9 & 1920:13 1940:7 & go 1851:19 1855:8 \\
\hline 1958:18 1959:8,24 & 1873:10,21,23 & 1871:12,22 1874:6 & 1946:5 1947:12 & 1868:5,13 1869:10 \\
\hline 1960:11,13,18,24 & 1874:17 1876:11 & 1874:15 1875:6,6 & 1953:14 1957:16 & 1870:2,15,20 \\
\hline 1962:8,9,10,14 & 1877:15,20,20,25 & 1875:15 1876:12 & generally 1865:15 & 1871:11,16 \\
\hline 1963:3,11,13,14 & 1878:3,6,22 & 1876:20 1877:11 & 1871:25 1873:18 & 1873:15 1878:17 \\
\hline 1964:7,19 1965:1 & 1879:7,12,15 & 1877:15 1879:14 & 1888:13 1950:24 & 1881:1 1883:2,2 \\
\hline 1965:10,12 1966:5 & 1880:20 1881:6 & 1880:3,4,13 & 1963:12 & 1892:15 1895:11 \\
\hline 1967:2,14 1968:7 & 1882:2 1885:24 & 1887:6 1894:14 & generate 1900:11 & 1899:9 1903:16,24 \\
\hline force 1903:11 & 1887:5 1897:17,18 & 1897:25 1898:2,3 & 1904:7 & 1905:1 1909:7 \\
\hline forced 1903:11,13 & 1897:19,22 & 1898:3,12,12 & generated 1902:6 & 1914:22 1918:15 \\
\hline 1903:22 & 1898:19 1900:13 & 1899:24 1906:8,9 & generating 1855:17 & 1919:2 1920:2 \\
\hline forgive 1949:16 & 1901:4,8,10,16 & 1908:13,15,16 & 1873:16 & 1921:14,17,21 \\
\hline form 1948:13 & 1904:14,20 1906:3 & 1917:16,18,20 & generation 1854:12 & 1922:8 1939:3 \\
\hline formula 1861:17 & 1906:21 1907:25 & 1918:2,2,9,15 & 1855:1,2 1857:7 & 1940:16,22 \\
\hline 1862:3,12,18 & 1908:5 1909:7 & 1940:11 1956:24 & 1867:2 1872:8,9 & 1944:13 1951:12 \\
\hline formulas 1862:2,5 & 1912:23 1915:20 & 1956:24 1957:11 & 1876:19 1878:25 & 1951:19 1968:22 \\
\hline 1862:13 & 1916:9 1959:3,5 & 1957:14,20 1958:7 & 1879:25 1880:12 & 1968:22 \\
\hline formulated 1950:23 & fuels 1855:12,16 & 1958:8,17 & 1880:12 1897:19 & goal 1882:9 \\
\hline forth 1904:14 & 1858:6 1877:23 & gather 1852:9 & 1897:22 1908:25 & goes 1881:20 \\
\hline Fortunately 1905:13 & full 1890:8 1915:2 & gave 1860:13 & 1913:22 1939:15 & 1905:24,25 \\
\hline forward 1865:21 & 1945:17,20 1946:2 & 1895:12 1908:12 & 1960:15 1963:11 & 1967:12 \\
\hline 1897:6 1919:17 & 1946:12 1947:3 & 1948:18 1949:16 & generational & going 1852:8,10 \\
\hline 1939:13 & fully 1962:16 1963:5 & Gaw 1847:17 1852:3 & 1963:10 & 1856:9 1859:1,19 \\
\hline fought 1952:13 & 1963:18 & 1852:4,20 1868:3 & generator 1899:22 & 1859:23 1860:25 \\
\hline found 1913:3 & fund 1889:14 1890:3 & 1869:4,6,8 1877:7 & 1904:2 & 1862:17 1864:2 \\
\hline four 1890:7 & 1890:10 1891:5,6 & 1878:1,13,17,23 & generators 1918:17 & 1865:21 1868:3 \\
\hline frame 1892:4,24 & 1891:9 & 1879:2,5,17 & generic 1952:13 & 1872:16 1873:2 \\
\hline frames 1962:18 & funded 1891:6 & 1880:9 1881:1,19 & generically 1950:25 & 1877:24,24 1878:9 \\
\hline Franklin 1921:14,18 & 1893:16 & 1882:3,9,15 & gentlemen 1914:7 & 1878:14 1880:21 \\
\hline frankly 1882:5 & funding 1890:10 & 1883:25 1884:3,7 & get 1852:6 1871:10 & 1880:22 1883:2 \\
\hline freeze 1855:22 & 1891:14,21 & 1884:18 1885:1,4 & 1872:4 1873:14 & 1884:3,5,19 \\
\hline freight 1874:8 & funds 1885:11 & 1885:15,22 1886:7 & 1874:19,20 & 1885:1,5,6 \\
\hline fringes 1873:3 & 1889:25 1890:1 & 1886:17,19 1887:2 & 1878:14 1881:21 & 1886:20 1888:17 \\
\hline from 1852:10 1854:5 & funny 1852:2 & 1887:11,17 & 1884:19 1891:14 & 1888:21 1892:1 \\
\hline 1854:25 1857:16 & Further 1954:22 & 1896:21,23 1897:3 & 1899:20 1902:21 & 1893:2 1899:21 \\
\hline 1862:4,23 1869:19 & 1956:12 1961:25 & 1897:12,14 & 1902:22,24 1905:1 & 1904:15 1906:18 \\
\hline 1871:12 1874:12 & 2002:14,14,15,15 & 1904:15,24 & 1908:6 1915:21 & 1907:13 1911:12 \\
\hline 1877:22 1882:6 & 2002:16 & 1905:15,19,23 & 1918:12 1943:20 & 1916:12 1921:16 \\
\hline 1888:23,24 & future 1865:10,22 & 1911:17,19 & 1946:25 1948:17 & 1952:23 1957:14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1958:20 1959:10 & 1905:15 1910:6 & 1955:3 1956:10,13 & 1944:14 1945:4,8 & 1853:5,22,25 \\
\hline 1962:25 1964:6 & 1912:13 1913:7 & 1956:17 1959:20 & 1947:20 1950:12 & 1858:3 1862:18 \\
\hline 1965:5 1967:14 & 1914:18 1918:9 & 1959:21 1960:8,10 & 1963:18 1964:15 & 1864:15 1866:24 \\
\hline 1968:13 & 1919:12 1921:15 & 1960:13,20,21,22 & 1964:20 1965:23 & 1871:15,19,20,25 \\
\hline gone 1885:25 & 1939:15 1943:2 & 1961:2,10,12,14 & 1967:4 & 1872:5,18,22 \\
\hline good 1852:21,22 & 1946:17 1950:22 & 1961:15,16,22 & hesitated 1945:20 & 1875:3,21 1881:20 \\
\hline 1961:8,20 & 1952:16 1966:4 & 1962:1,3,11 & he's 1868:2,19 & 1881:22 1882:12 \\
\hline got 1850:4 1871:15 & hate 1857:17 1918:6 & 1963:3,4,6 & 1887:9 & 1884:3 1885:4,7,8 \\
\hline 1891:24,25 1896:2 & have 1851:3,7,10,14 & 1964:10 1965:24 & hiding 1887:9 & 1885:16 1887:6,6 \\
\hline 1900:1 1906:6,7 & 1852:5,6,8 & 1967:15,15 & high 1863:10,11,24 & 1894:22 1898:18 \\
\hline 1906:13 1913:13 & 1853:10,16 & 1968:19,20 & 1863:24 1869:25 & 1898:20,21 1899:5 \\
\hline 1914:3 1940:9 & 1858:25 1860:6 & haven't 1882:4 & 1919:2 1949:9 & 1903:3,5,12,20,22 \\
\hline 1952:8 & 1861:1,3,4,16,19 & 1884:24 1906:23 & 1957:21 & 1903:24 1904:2 \\
\hline gotten 1861:21 & 1861:21,24 & 1919:13 & higher 1863:19 & 1906:14,19,19,20 \\
\hline graciously 1921:15 & 1862:12 1863:11 & having 1862:3 & 1867:15,16 & 1906:20 1913:24 \\
\hline great 1856:6 & 1863:13 1864:6,6 & 1882:11 1959:21 & 1892:24 1917:24 & 1915:18 1916:11 \\
\hline 1880:23 & 1864:20 1865:11 & 1964:25 1965:1 & 1942:18 1958:9 & 1916:18,22 1939:8 \\
\hline group 1939:5 & 1865:11,13,14,17 & HC 1939:8 & highly 1939:2 & 1944:18 1950:21 \\
\hline growth 1909:4,10 & 1866:1,10 1868:8 & he 1850:18 1855:8 & him 1850:19 1855:9 & 1950:22 1952:3 \\
\hline guarantee 1884:2,20 & 1868:21 1871:16 & 1858:24,24,25 & 1858:23 1859:8 & 1964:12 1965:10 \\
\hline 1966:12 & 1872:11 1873:14 & 1859:4 1860:7 & 1868:5 1874:12 & 1967:4 \\
\hline guess 1850:4 1865:5 & 1873:15 1876:19 & 1868:14 1874:5,14 & 1918:7 1921:16 & hybrid 1945:5,7 \\
\hline 1871:9 1873:20 & 1876:21 1877:24 & 1874:14,16 1876:7 & 1940:3 & \\
\hline 1877:13 1880:25 & 1883:15,19,21 & 1887:91897:3 & his 1858:23 1900:5 & I \\
\hline 1882:24 1883:10 & 1884:16 1885:10 & 1908:12 1910:7 & historical 1898:25 & i 1849:1,7 1850:4,4 \\
\hline 1885:25 1907:9,9 & 1886:22,25 1887:3 & 1914:18 1940:2,19 & 1903:7,12 1916:7 & 1850:17,18 1851:4 \\
\hline 1914:20 1919:24 & 1887:13 1888:3,12 & head 1858:23 & history 1903:3,14 & 1851:7,9,11,17,24 \\
\hline 1953:18 1966:22 & 1888:12 1889:13 & 1867:21 1902:20 & hit 1959:21 & 1852:1,5,6,6,8,9 \\
\hline & 1889:16 1890:1 & headed 1905:7 & hold 1867:25 1954:4 & 1852:11 1854:13 \\
\hline H & 1891:12 1892:7 & heading 1861:10 & holds 1958:4 & 1854:19,20 1855:4 \\
\hline H 2002:18 & 1893:23 1896:8,22 & hear 1910:2 1918:19 & hole 1964:11 & 1855:7,20,21,23 \\
\hline had 1867:16 & 1897:16,21 1901:1 & heard 1869:18 & home 1962:24 & 1856:5,6 1857:17 \\
\hline 1868:20 1869:4,10 & 1901:1,2,23 & 1874:12 & Honor 1850:22 & 1857:17 1858:8,12 \\
\hline 1882:17 1883:2 & 1902:4,19,21,22 & hearing 1847:5 & hood 1882:5 & 1858:15,17,23 \\
\hline 1888:12 1892:9,19 & 1903:19,19 & 1874:22 1911:22 & hope 1864:22 & 1859:1,4,20,21,21 \\
\hline 1892:21 1898:8 & 1904:17 1905:3,5 & 1914:4 1915:9 & hotly 1950:16 & 1859:21 1860:7,7 \\
\hline 1906:21 1917:4 & 1906:20 1907:6,12 & 1951:2 & hour 1853:22 & 1860:8 1861:3,3,5 \\
\hline 1940:8,18 1957:20 & 1908:3,5,11 & heat 1898:19 & 1862:21 1863:12 & 1861:7,17,19,21 \\
\hline 1958:21 1960:9,14 & 1909:10,13 & 1903:19 & 1875:18,19,22 & 1861:23 1862:17 \\
\hline 1961:11 1966:18 & 1910:20 1911:12 & held 1922:10 & 1876:2 1881:11 & 1862:23,25,25 \\
\hline half 1911:3 & 1912:2,3,13 & 1968:25 & 1900:12,22 1901:6 & 1863:1,2,5 1864:6 \\
\hline hand 1901:18 & 1913:9,11,18,23 & Hello 1850:7 & 1901:7 1902:7,13 & 1864:6,25 1865:5 \\
\hline handled 1915:19 & 1914:6,7,13 & help 1862:6 1871: 14 & 1906:6,13,14 & 1866:12,15 \\
\hline happen 1871:3 & 1915:9,12 1916:11 & 1877:13 1881:22 & 1908:1,7,9,21,22 & 1867:11,15,20 \\
\hline 1909:11 1920:9 & 1919:8,15 1920:1 & 1905:18 1914:3,7 & 1908:22 1909:1 & 1868:1,3,8,8,16,20 \\
\hline happens 1870:7,9 & 1920:1,12,19 & 1941:2 1952:10 & 1910:2 1912:17,25 & 1868:20,21,22 \\
\hline 1886:18 & 1921:8,14 1922:3 & helpful 1912:10 & 1913:4,25 1915:15 & 1869:9,10,11,18 \\
\hline harmless 1954:4 & 1922:4 1939:7,21 & here 1851:16,22 & 1915:22,23,24 & 1870:6 1871:2,9 \\
\hline has 1851:13 1853:10 & 1939:25 1940:1,3 & 1855:8 1859:2 & 1916:4 1919:24 & 1871:14 1872:18 \\
\hline 1854:5 1859:4 & 1940:17,24 & 1870:14 1873:3 & hourly 1863:8,9 & 1872:20 1873:3,20 \\
\hline 1863:23 1864:3,18 & 1941:18,21 & 1882:9 1883:21 & 1864:11,12,19 & 1874:12,16,18,20 \\
\hline 1870:25 1871:11 & 1945:22 1946:23 & 1887:9 1888:17 & 1900:2 1904:11 & 1874:23 1875:5,8 \\
\hline 1873:16 1877:10 & 1947:3,4,15,25 & 1902:24 1905:10 & 1908:1 & 1875:19,22 1876:2 \\
\hline 1884:4 1885:7 & 1948:20,23,25 & 1905:13 1909:22 & hours 1903:6,10 & 1876:4,11 1877:5 \\
\hline 1886:24 1887:7 & 1949:18,23,24 & 1911:23 1913:13 & 1909:15 & 1877:6,6,13,22 \\
\hline 1891:15 1893:24 & 1950:2,5,13 & 1914:7,11,21 & house 1962:24 & 1878:1,10,12,14 \\
\hline 1896:14,15 1904:2 & 1952:17,23 & 1916:15 1919:13 & housing 1962:24 & 1878:15 1879:6,7 \\
\hline 1904:8,16,24 & 1953:12 1954:23 & 1940:19 1943:11 & how 1852:7,23 & 1879:10,13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1880:18,19,24 & 1955:12,23 & 1907:11 1908:14 & 1863:12 1864:13 & 1918:12,16 \\
\hline 1881:2,6,15,19,21 & 1956:21,25 1957:1 & 1908:14,15,18 & 1864:14,23 1865:2 & 1919:15,15,22 \\
\hline 1881:24 1882:4,6 & 1957:2,20,22,24 & 1909:19,20 & 1865:7,10,18,19 & 1920:12 1921:10 \\
\hline 1882:16,16,19,19 & 1958:6,12,13,14 & 1911:25 1912:2 & 1865:22,23,25 & 1922:4,8,10 \\
\hline 1882:24 1883:7,10 & 1959:11,22,25 & 1913:21,21 & 1866:18,19 1867:4 & 1939:8,13,15,17 \\
\hline 1883:13,21,21,22 & 1960:17,25 1961:4 & 1914:22 1915:22 & 1867:12,12,16 & 1941:3,11,13,15 \\
\hline 1884:10,19 1885:6 & 1961:5,5,8,14,22 & 1915:25 1916:2 & 1868:1,13 1869:22 & 1941:16,17 1942:2 \\
\hline 1885:8,15,16,18 & 1962:1,6,11,23 & 1919:2 1921:6,7 & 1870:13,21 & 1942:3,3,5,7,10,25 \\
\hline 1885:22,23,25 & 1963:12,17 1964:5 & 1921:12 1939:2,7 & 1871:16,17,19,22 & 1943:9,11,16,17 \\
\hline 1886:2,6,7,10,19 & 1964:14,19 1965:4 & 1940:15,18,22 & 1872:4,10,12,18 & 1943:23,24 1944:5 \\
\hline 1887:11,24 1888:3 & 1965:20,20,20,20 & 1941:2,7,11,13,16 & 1872:22 1873:1,12 & 1944:7,11,15,15 \\
\hline 1889:61890:19 & 1965:21 1966:2,17 & 1941:20 1942:4,15 & 1873:21 1874:2,3 & 1944:21,21,22,22 \\
\hline 1891:5 1893:6 & 1966:22 1967:10 & 1942:16,18 1943:5 & 1874:8,8,25 & 1944:24 1945:1,1 \\
\hline 1894:12,19,24 & 1967:20 1968:12 & 1944:5 1945:1 & 1875:3,4,16,19 & 1945:9,13,13,17 \\
\hline 1895:17,19 1896:3 & 1968:15,16,21 & 1946:16,17,17 & 1876:3,12,24,25 & 1945:20,21 1946:4 \\
\hline 1896:8,23 1897:4 & 2002:1 & 1951:12,19 1954:6 & 1877:4 1878:6,7 & 1946:5,10,19,21 \\
\hline 1897:16,17 1898:2 & idea 1869:14 1885:7 & 1955:2 1957:1 & 1878:10,11,15,19 & 1946:23,24 1947:5 \\
\hline 1898:14,14,16 & 1896:7 1916:11 & 1958:10,10,21,22 & 1878:19 1879:14 & 1947:7,11,12,19 \\
\hline 1899:3,7 1901:1,1 & 1963:10 & 1958:25 1960:4,7 & 1880:5,10,15,21 & 1947:25 1948:2,5 \\
\hline 1901:2,14,16,16 & identical 1857:9 & 1960:7,14,25 & 1880:23 1881:6,9 & 1948:6,9,10,10,15 \\
\hline 1901:17,19,23 & 1861:18 1894:7 & 1961:20 1962:23 & 1881:12 1883:4,18 & 1948:20,22 1949:1 \\
\hline 1902:3,4,9,16,17 & identified 1853:15 & 1964:17,22 1965:4 & 1883:19,19,21,22 & 1949:2,8,9,13,14 \\
\hline 1902:19,24 & 1856:1,4,6 1860:7 & 1967:16 1968:14 & 1884:10,12,13,14 & 1949:16,19 \\
\hline 1905:11,15,17 & identify 1853:17 & 1968:16 & 1885:12 1886:9,15 & 1950:12,14,20,25 \\
\hline 1906:1,1,1,14,17 & 1948:15 & ignoring 1909:21 & 1886:18,20,22 & 1951:2,5,17,21,22 \\
\hline 1907:9,9,23 & IEC 1861:11,12 & ii 1861:7 & 1887:5 1888:17,17 & 1951:25 1952:2,5 \\
\hline 1910:1,5,23,24,24 & 1862:9,20 1863:23 & iii 1847:18 1861:7,10 & 1888:20 1889:4,5 & 1952:6,8,10,13,14 \\
\hline 1910:24 1911:15 & 1866:6 1869:22 & illustrations 1940:25 & 1889:8,11,12,17 & 1952:17,21 \\
\hline 1911:20,25 1912:2 & 1870:25 1875:11 & immediately & 1889:18,20,21 & 1953:10,21,21 \\
\hline 1912:5,9 1913:9,9 & 1876:13 1878:20 & 1885:11 & 1890:2,6,17 & 1954:4,5,10,16 \\
\hline 1913:21 1914:6,6 & 1881:9 1897:25 & impact 1865:11,11 & 1891:8,10 1892:7 & 1955:5,8,9,12,16 \\
\hline 1914:9,13,13,17 & 1898:6 1913:6 & 1865:13,17 & 1892:7,8,13,18,22 & 1955:17,21,23 \\
\hline 1914:20 1917:4,5 & 1952:8 1957:16 & 1872:12 1875:5 & 1893:2,7,10,23 & 1956:5,15,16,16 \\
\hline 1918:4,6,14,22,24 & 1959:8,24 & 1890:8 1957:22 & 1894:3,4,8,13,16 & 1956:17,19,21,22 \\
\hline 1919:8,12,14,21 & if 1851:9,18,22 & impacted 1918:9,14 & 1894:16,20,25 & 1957:1,4,5,6,7,10 \\
\hline 1919:24,24 1920:1 & 1852:6 1854:18,19 & implement 1847:11 & 1895:4,9,17,20,22 & 1957:12,15,17 \\
\hline 1920:3,19,22 & 1854:20 1860:21 & 1849:5 & 1896:8,16,17,19 & 1958:2,3,21,22,22 \\
\hline 1921:1,1,2,6,7,7 & 1860:23,24 & implemented & 1897:17,22,22 & 1959:1 1960:13,16 \\
\hline 1921:12,14,16 & 1861:14 1862:4 & 1863:22 & 1898:3,3,5,8,8,10 & 1960:23 1961:4,13 \\
\hline 1922:1,3,7 1939:9 & 1863:9,111866:13 & important 1879:17 & 1898:14,18,19,22 & 1961:15,16,17,19 \\
\hline 1939:21,21,24,25 & 1867:22,22 & 1886:19 1909:22 & 1898:23 1900:3,18 & 1961:19 1962:10 \\
\hline 1939:25,25 1940:1 & 1868:14 1869:9 & imprudence 1908:24 & 1900:24,24,24 & 1962:11,11,17,24 \\
\hline 1940:2,6,6,8,8,13 & 1870:6,24 1871:4 & in 1847:10,12 1849:3 & 1901:3 1902:4,10 & 1962:24 1963:4,4 \\
\hline 1940:15,17,18 & 1871:9 1873:2 & 1849:5 1851:11,22 & 1902:11,20 1903:1 & 1963:8,23 1964:4 \\
\hline 1941:1,7 1942:22 & 1874:1 1876:5,9 & 1853:1,3,4,8,14 & 1903:2,14,16 & 1964:11,15,24 \\
\hline 1942:24,24 1943:9 & 1877:16 1880:2 & 1854:1,3,4,20,22 & 1904:6 1906:10,20 & 1965:5,5,6,8,22 \\
\hline 1943:16 1944:18 & 1881:17 1882:19 & 1854:24 1855:1,10 & 1906:21,25 & 1966:2,4,5,7,8,12 \\
\hline 1945:5,14,19,20 & 1883:2,2,17 & 1855:14,21,23 & 1907:25 1908:11 & 1966:13,25 1967:3 \\
\hline 1946:14 1947:2,11 & 1884:4,23 1885:25 & 1856:1,6,6,19,24 & 1908:18,21,23,24 & 1967:5,6,7,8,8,14 \\
\hline 1947:14,14,19,22 & 1886:9,25 1888:1 & 1856:25,25 1857:2 & 1909:10 1911:9,10 & 1967:19,21,22,24 \\
\hline 1947:23,23,23,23 & 1888:19 1889:19 & 1857:6,10,22,23 & 1911:10,23 & 1968:3,4,13,25 \\
\hline 1947:24 1948:3,3 & 1891:5,25 1892:4 & 1857:25 1858:6,11 & 1912:14,16,22,25 & Inc 1847:10 1848:12 \\
\hline 1948:3,11,19 & 1893:15 1894:8 & 1858:14,18,19,19 & 1913:6,17,17,17 & 1849:17 \\
\hline 1949:3,6,10,13,20 & 1895:12,25 1896:4 & 1859:2,2,7,10,12 & 1913:19 1914:11 & incentive 1878:3 \\
\hline 1949:23 1950:9,9 & 1896:4,8 1897:3 & 1859:13,18,19,20 & 1914:21 1915:9,13 & 1880:19 1881:5,13 \\
\hline 1950:12 1953:8,14 & 1899:23 1902:10 & 1860:14 1861:2,19 & 1916:18,18,23 & 1883:10,14 1959:4 \\
\hline 1953:15,18 1954:4 & 1902:12,21 & 1862:1,12,15,15 & 1917:1,6,7,9,11,16 & incentives 1877:14 \\
\hline 1954:9,23 1955:3 & 1904:15,24 & 1862:18,19,20 & 1917:23 1918:11 & 1877:19 1881:23 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1959:3 & inputs 1881:17 & invoices 1906:19 & 1894:25,25,25 & 1953:6 1960:2 \\
\hline include 1854:10 & 1899:7 1903:2,5,6 & involved 1878:10 & 1895:3,13,14,17 & issue 1853:12,16 \\
\hline 1855:11 1883:20 & 1904:6 & 1888:12 1894:20 & 1896:11 1897:10 & 1858:6 1879:25 \\
\hline 1943:9 1956:17,19 & inputted 1907:24 & 1951:25 & 1897:24 1898:20 & 1940:23 1949:17 \\
\hline 1956:21,25 1957:1 & inquiring 1881:8 & in-camera 1921:9 & 1899:12,15,25 & 1950:7 1952:22 \\
\hline included 1857:10,23 & inside 1918:7 & 1922:9 1939:4 & 1900:7 1901:4,5,8 & 1965:19,21,22,23 \\
\hline 1858:14 1859:12 & insolvent 1884:13 & 1968:24 2002:12 & 1901:10,20 & 1966:7,9,15,16,18 \\
\hline 1866:18,19,22 & 1886:16 & 2002:15,16,17,19 & 1902:18 1903:6,17 & 1966:21 1967:13 \\
\hline 1867:4,13 1868:22 & instance 1903:18 & IRP 1939:13 & 1904:5,5,7 & 1967:17,24 1968:1 \\
\hline 1877:3 1889:1 & instantly 1954:17 & 1961:18 & 1905:10 1906:2,14 & issues 1868:18 \\
\hline 1895:4 1898:13 & instead 1881:10 & is \(1849: 2,7,9,15\) & 1906:18 1908:14 & 1887:16,19,20 \\
\hline 1906:10 1913:6 & intended 1869:22 & 1850:7,21,22 & 1908:20,21 1909:3 & 1904:19 1912:6 \\
\hline 1948:23 1967:3 & interchange 1867:5 & 1851:14 1852:8,10 & 1909:13,14,16,18 & 1940:5,17 1947:21 \\
\hline includes 1907:20 & interest 1860:17 & 1852:25 1853:5,6 & 1909:20,22 1910:1 & 1947:25 1948:6,9 \\
\hline including 1890:1 & 1865:25 1866:1,7 & 1853:7,9,21 & 1910:4,5,13 & 1948:24 1949:15 \\
\hline inclusion 1967:6 & 1866:8,10 1882:17 & 1854:12,24 1855:6 & 1911:8 1912:25 & 1951:13,14,15 \\
\hline income 1887:25 & 1883:15 1890:18 & 1855:8 1856:12,20 & 1913:3,5,6,7,23 & 1952:13,20 \\
\hline Incorporated & 1891:1,10 & 1857:25 1858:3,10 & 1914:20 1915:15 & 1961:11,12 \\
\hline 1849:4 & interested 1951:17 & 1859:1,9,16,17,25 & 1915:17,23 & it 1850:6,7,22 \\
\hline increase 1847:12 & intergenerational & 1860:1,5,10,18,23 & 1916:19,20,21 & 1851:1,141853:8 \\
\hline 1849:5 1949:1,3,5 & 1962:71963:9 & 1860:24 1861:9,10 & 1917:12,15,25 & 1853:9 1854:22 \\
\hline 1949:7 1952:6,9 & 1964:18 & 1861:12,13 1862:7 & 1918:1,9,10,14,24 & 1855:22 1856:12 \\
\hline 1954:10,10,13 & interim 1852 & 1862:14,23,25 & 1919:24,25 1920:6 & 1856:16 1857:17 \\
\hline 1959:21 1960:11 & 1853:2 1857:6 & 1863:5,24 1864:10 & 1920:18,23,24 & 1857:17,18,18 \\
\hline 1960:20 & 1858:19 1859:13 & 1864:23,25 1865:3 & 1921:24 1922:10 & 1860:18 1861:4,10 \\
\hline increases 1953:6 & 1859:17 1860:15 & 1865:5 1866:8,18 & 1939:3 1941:3,10 & 1861:12 1864:25 \\
\hline 1961:7 & 1865:6,12,13,16 & 1866:19,21,22 & 1941:15,25 & 1865:9,11,13,14 \\
\hline increments & 1866:20 1867:13 & 1867:5,18 1869:2 & 1942:13,24 1943:3 & 1865:25 1866:7,21 \\
\hline 1955:12 & 1870:6,16 1871:3 & 1869:19,24 1870:1 & 1943:14,23 1944:4 & 1866:22 1868:13 \\
\hline incur 1885:6 & 1871:18 1873:21 & 1870:5,9,10,17,17 & 1944:8,16,18 & 1871:11 1873:3 \\
\hline incurred 1854:8 & 1874:3 1876:3 & 1870:23,24 1871:4 & 1945:5,7,7,18,20 & 1874:18,20 1875:4 \\
\hline 1862:10 1878:21 & 1877:9,15,19 & 1871:4,5,15 & 1946:13,14,15 & 1875:8 1876:22,23 \\
\hline 1906:22 1908:10 & 1880:7,22 1883:1 & 1872:9,16,16,25 & 1947:10 1948:19 & 1877:8,18 1878:4 \\
\hline independent & 1883:11 1884:21 & 1873:20,21 & 1950:23 1951:5,10 & 1878:7,19 1879:17 \\
\hline 1885:20 & 1900:24 1901:22 & 1874:20,24 1875:3 & 1952:22 1953:2,2 & 1881:6,10,15,20 \\
\hline indicates 1858: & 1906:10 1915:13 & 1875:4,16 1876:3 & 1953:7,19,24 & 1881:22,23 \\
\hline indicating 1947:8 & 1949:22,24 1952:9 & 1876:24 1877:13 & 1954:9,14,16 & 1882:11,12,24 \\
\hline indifferent 1942:16 & 1956:18,25 1958:2 & 1877:15,24,25 & 1955:4,5,6,8,15,18 & 1883:3,8,10,10,11 \\
\hline individual 1903:18 & 1960:18 & 1878:2,3,5,5,7,20 & 1955:22 1956:6 & 1883:13 1885:8,16 \\
\hline Industrial 1848:12 & interrupt 1870:14 & 1878:23 1879:13 & 1958:1,2,4,5,8 & 1885:21 1886:1,1 \\
\hline 1850:2,8 & into 1851:4 1856:19 & 1879:14,17,21,23 & 1959:9,10,22 & 1886:3,12,16 \\
\hline industrials 1958:17 & 1859:10 1860:9 & 1879:24,25 1880:1 & 1960:23 1962:9,9 & 1887:1,1 1888:17 \\
\hline industry 1899:15 & 1864:15 1867:7 & 1880:5,5,6,7,10,11 & 1962:10,15,21 & 1888:20 1891:20 \\
\hline inequity 1964:18,21 & 1869:10,15 1870:6 & 1880:18,21,21,25 & 1963:5,10,19,21 & 1892:8,10,18,21 \\
\hline inform 1911:20 & 1876:22 1877:15 & 1881:6,9,9,10,16 & 1963:23,24 1964:1 & 1895:3 1896:4,19 \\
\hline information 1860:6 & 1877:18,18 & 1882:9,21,23,25 & 1964:2,3,7,8,12,15 & 1898:18,20,21 \\
\hline 1876:8 1882:18 & 1884:15,23 & 1882:25 1883:11 & 1964:16 1965:3,4 & 1900:18 1902:1,4 \\
\hline 1887:4 1890:5 & 1885:13 1891:18 & 1883:13 1884:2,3 & 1965:8,12,13,14 & 1902:9,13,14 \\
\hline 1901:2,23 1903:12 & 1892:14 1899:21 & 1884:10,19 1886:1 & 1965:23 1966:4,7 & 1903:19,19,20,24 \\
\hline 1903:14 1904:5 & 1900:13 1907:24 & 1886:3,4,9,21 & 1966:10,23 1967:5 & 1904:1,4,4,7,8,9 \\
\hline 1905:16 1914:18 & 1917:25 1920:20 & 1887:9 1888:13,15 & 1967:9,14,19 & 1904:11,11 \\
\hline 1914:21 1917:5 & 1946:25 1949:20 & 1888:17,18,20,22 & 1968:1,2,3,8,11,12 & 1906:18,19 \\
\hline 1919:18,25 1920:1 & 1951:12 1953:3 & 1889:1,2,5,8,14,24 & 1968:13,16,16,18 & 1908:16 1909:8 \\
\hline 1939:3,18 1951:10 & 1958:25 1960:12 & 1890:5,15 1891:1 & 1968:25 & 1910:2,6,9 \\
\hline 1967:12,14,15 & 1962:23 1965:25 & 1891:3,4,4,6,6,18 & isn't 1857:18 & 1911:22 1914:8,18 \\
\hline infrastructure & 1967:1,18 & 1892:2,8,11,13,14 & 1883:11 1892:15 & 1914:19 1915:15 \\
\hline 1962:14 & introduction & 1893:8,19,19,22 & 1892:18 1918:13 & 1917:5,12 1918:4 \\
\hline initially 1959:10 & 1953:20 & 1893:23 1894:7,9 & 1943:10 1951:17 & 1918:7,9,9,12,13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1918:14,16,17 & 1916:4 1917:10 & J & 1954:21 1956:10 & 1869:9 1871:15 \\
\hline 1941:7 1942:14,15 & 1918:7,9,14,16,22 & James 1848:2 & 1961:24 1968:22 & 1872:19 1873:11 \\
\hline 1942:16 1943:2,3 & 1919:4 1920:18 & 1849:14 1852:11 & jump 1870:6 & 1874:20,23,24 \\
\hline 1943:9,10,16 & 1947:7 1951:6 & 1852:15,19 & June 1967:22 & 1875:16 1879:22 \\
\hline 1944:2 1945:2,14 & 1955:12 1957:23 & 1905:22 2002:2,7 & just 1854:7,16,18 & 1883:15 1885:7 \\
\hline 1947:5,11 1949:18 & 1960:7 1963:5,12 & Janet 1848:2 & 1856:3 1859:10 & 1886:2,10 1894:3 \\
\hline 1949:23 1950:25 & 1967:20,20,23 & 1849:14 & 1861:9,14,23 & 1895:19 1898:15 \\
\hline 1951:3 1952:15,20 & I'd 1851:21 1869:12 & Jefferson 1847:7 & 1862:1,3,4 1864:5 & 1902:8 1905:6 \\
\hline 1953:19 1954:4,9 & 1888:20 1896:7 & 1848:4,7,14,18,21 & 1867:24 1868:20 & 1906:13 1907:12 \\
\hline 1956:7,19,20 & 1897:4 1964:9 & 1849:16,21 1850:1 & 1871:25 1872:5 & 1909:5,10 1910:22 \\
\hline 1957:1,3,4,7,14 & I'll 1849:11 1855:8 & 1850:15 & 1874:23 1878:7 & 1911:14 1912:9 \\
\hline 1958:4,6,9,10,13 & 1859:8 1861:16 & job 1900:5 & 1879:11,20 1880:6 & 1913:9 1914:17 \\
\hline 1958:20,22,22,23 & 1867:24,24 1868:5 & Joe 1951:22 & 1880:14 1883:15 & 1917:8 1919:15,24 \\
\hline 1958:23,23,25 & 1872:4 1876:6 & John 1848:17 & 1886:1,7,11 & 1921:2 1939:1,3,8 \\
\hline 1959:3,6,13,21 & 1884:9 1887:1 & 1849:24 & 1888:9 1890:20 & 1940:13 1948:18 \\
\hline 1960:1 1961:4 & 1889:6 1895:11 & join 1849:9 1851:18 & 1891:5 1894:22 & 1948:19 1961:6 \\
\hline 1963:13,18,20,20 & 1902:24 1905:1,9 & joint 1910:8 & 1896:7,21 1897:15 & 1963:2,17 1964:19 \\
\hline 1963:24 1964:8,9 & 1950:25 & Jones 1847:16 & 1898:17 1899:20 & 1965:21 1968:12 \\
\hline 1965:1,7,11,13 & I'm 1854:13,16,24 & 1849:2,7,18,22 & 1900:1 1901:10 & 1968:16 \\
\hline 1966:10,12,13 & 1855:4 1856:12 & 1850:2,6,11,17,23 & 1904:1 1905:6 & knowledge 1888:14 \\
\hline 1967:10,10,21 & 1858:10,12 & 1851:13,21 1852:1 & 1909:21 1911:20 & 1893:25 \\
\hline 1968:13,15,18 & 1861:13,15,25 & 1852:13,17 1868:7 & 1914:9,11,11 & knowledgeable \\
\hline item 1941:24 & 1862:3 1865:5,20 & 1868:10,23,25 & 1915:25 1917:10 & 1948:1,8,12 \\
\hline 1944:15 1950:4 & 1865:24 1866:16 & \(1869: 31887: 13\) & 1918:10 1939:1,6 & 1965:21 \\
\hline items 1891:5 1895:7 & 1867:16,23 1868:3 & 1888:5 1896:21,25 & 1939:9 1941:2,20 & knows 1858:21 \\
\hline 1908:17 1948:23 & 1870:20 1872:5 & 1897:5,8,12 & 1943:14 1945:25 & 1897:3 1940:2 \\
\hline 1950:16 & 1873:20 1874:22 & 1904:17,22 1905:2 & 1946:8,9 1947:20 & \\
\hline iterative 1882:7 & 1877:13 1878:1,4 & 1905:6,20 1912:5 & 1947:23 1950:5 & L \\
\hline its 1855:1,1 1866:11 & 1878:9,13 1880:9 & 1912:9 1914:15 & 1955:2,23 1957:12 & L 1847:16,22 1911:6 \\
\hline 1880:12,12 1881:9 & 1880:16,24 1881:3 & 1915:1 1919:10,17 & 1957:17 1960:1 & 1915:3 \\
\hline 1883:5 1890:9 & 1881:18,25 & 1921:10,13,17,20 & 1962:6 1964:18 & lack 1893:8 \\
\hline 1896:15,15 1946: & 1882:13,24 1884:4 & 1921:23 1922:5,8 & 1965:23 1966:10 & Laclede 1894:14,22 \\
\hline 1949:16,17,19 & 1884:18,18 1885:4 & \[
1939: 1,6,22
\] & & 1895:13,17 \\
\hline 1950:20 1951:22 & 1885:9,18,18,25 & 1947:17 1954:21 & K & language 1894:25 \\
\hline 1956:24 1959:5 & 1886:17,20,25 & 1956:10 1961:24 & Kansas 1848:8,11 & large 1853:19 \\
\hline itself 1857:5 1904:9 & 1887:11,20 & 1968:22 & 1850:10,18 & 1863:19,20 \\
\hline 1946:1 1964:7 & 1889:24 1893:6 & judge 1847:17 & 1905:10 & last 1878:18 1884:1 \\
\hline it's 1850:6 1856:22 & 1895:14,18,21,25 & 1849:2,8,13,18,22 & KCP\&L 1959:6 & 1888:11 1889:8 \\
\hline 1858:6 1860:8,16 & 1896:5 1899:6,7 & 1850:2,6,11,17,23 & Kennard 1847:16 & 1893:2,4 1896:14 \\
\hline 1861:15 1862:25 & 1899:11 1901:16 & 1851:7,13,21,24 & 1849:7 & 1939:5,6 \\
\hline 1864:16,16,16,17 & 1902:16,18 & 1852:1,5,13,17 & key 1942:13 1952:3 & late 1947:20 \\
\hline 1865:2 1867:1,22 & 1904:15 1905:25 & 1868:4,7,9,10,19 & kilowatt 1862:21 & later 1855:9 1885:2 \\
\hline 1867:22 1869:21 & 1907:17 1909:18 & 1868:23,25 1869:3 & 1906:7,14 1908:6 & 1886:9,21 1902:10 \\
\hline 1871:4 1874:23 & 1909:21 1911:12 & 1884:9 1886:3 & 1908:9,22 1912:17 & 1959:21 \\
\hline 1876:9 1877:12 & 1915:7 1918:4 & 1887:13 1888:5 & 1912:25 1913:4,25 & late-filed 1940:23 \\
\hline 1879:10 1880:4,22 & 1939:23 1943:14 & 1896:21,25 1897:5 & 1915:15,22,23,24 & 1955:1 \\
\hline 1882:4,7,7 1883:4 & 1943:20 1946:8 & 1897:8,12 1904:16 & 1916:4 1919:24 & law 1847:17 1848:2 \\
\hline 1884:19 1885:8,16 & 1947:7,20 1950:9 & 1904:17,22 1905:2 & kind 1869:11 1882:8 & 1848:2,6,9 1850:9 \\
\hline 1886:4 1889:23 & 1950:11,18 & 1905:6,15,20 & 1889:23 1945:5 & 1889:16 1891:14 \\
\hline 1891:8 1892:17,22 & 1954:23 1955:1,7 & \[
1911: 201912: 5,9
\] & 1947:9 & least 1872:10 \\
\hline 1894:12 1895:25 & 1956:14 1959:25 & 1913:11,12 & kinds 1853:17 & 1877:10 1878:3 \\
\hline 1896:3,4 1901:15 & 1965:21 1968:10 & 1914:15 1915:1 & 1899:16 1903:16 & 1880:22 1881:12 \\
\hline 1903:13 1906:25 & 1968:12,19 & 1919:10,17 1921:6 & knew 1947:23 & 1902:19 1904:12 \\
\hline 1907:23 1908:14 & i's 1862:2 1908:17 & 1921:10,12,13,17 & know 1851:21 & 1940:9 1951:11 \\
\hline 1908:15,18,19 & I've 1890:5 1892:23 & 1921:20,23 1922:3 & 1854:18 1859:23 & 1961:18 \\
\hline 1909:12,12,24 & 1896:2 1911:21 & 1922:5,8 1939:1,6 & 1860:8 1866:12,13 & leave 1887:1 \\
\hline 1910:171911:3
\(1912 \cdot 191915: 25\) & 1913:13 1914:4 & 1939:7,21,22 & \[
1866: 14,15
\] & 1920:10 \\
\hline 1912:19 1915:25 & & 1947:17 1954:20 & 1867:10,11,15 & leaves 1859:2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline leaving 1876:11 & 1872:5 1908:17 & 1904:8,8,12 & 1849:3,8 1851:3 & 1959:12,22 1961:5 \\
\hline left 1849:9 1861:24 & 1914:3 1917:4 & lump 1907:12 & 1891:25 & 1962:23 1963:12 \\
\hline 1920:20 1922:1 & 1967:10 & lumped 1906:2 & maximum 1870:18 & 1964:5 1965:4,20 \\
\hline legislation 1894:6 & load 1863:11,13,24 & lunch 1921:7 1922:2 & 1871:5 & meant 1946:15 \\
\hline 1896:15 & 1867:3 1877:23,24 & L\&P 1847:11 & may 1849:9 1852:17 & 1953:8 \\
\hline LENA 2002:17 & 1897:20,20 & 1849:5 & 1861:21 1868:11 & measure 1915:20 \\
\hline less 1863:13 1889:19 & 1898:19 1903:14 & & 1877:20 1896:25 & mechanism 1878:2 \\
\hline 1941:17,21 1944:4 & 1903:24 1904:1,10 & M & 1897:8 1902:8,9 & 1881:22 1889:24 \\
\hline 1953:7 1959:22 & 1904:11,12 & M 1847:18 1869:2 & 1902:17 1903:18 & 1895:4,8,13,15,16 \\
\hline 1960:4 1964:25 & 1907:17,25 1908:2 & made 1855:5 & 1903:19,19 1905:2 & 1946:24 1947:5 \\
\hline let 1849:13 1852:5 & 1910:9 1920:18 & 1861:24 1878:20 & 1911:15,22 & 1953:1 1964:17 \\
\hline 1857:2 1867:24 & 1960:21 1961:15 & 1879:19 1886:13 & 1914:15 1919:10 & mechanisms \\
\hline 1868:20 1870:14 & loads 1863:9 & 1887:4 1889:10 & 1920:8 1939:24 & 1885:17 \\
\hline 1876:6 1877:7 & 1864:12,19 1908:] & 1918:1,10 1919:23 & 1940:14 1956:13 & meet 1903:24 \\
\hline 1898:20 1907:6 & lock 1859:1 & 1953:21 1961:10 & 1962:21,21 1968:1 & 1904:11,12 \\
\hline 1939:3,8 1940:15 & logic 1862:4 & 1963:19 & 1968:3 & meeting 1951:4 \\
\hline 1957:2 1965:23 & long 1865:16 1896:4 & main 1882:9 & maybe 1852:5 & megawatt 1853:22 \\
\hline letters 1861:22 & 1953:15 1960:23 & maintenance 1903:6 & 1856:3 1858:22,22 & 1875:18,19,22 \\
\hline let's 1849:7 1893:5 & 1961:4,16 1965:10 & 1903:11,23 1956:8 & 1868:4 1874:23 & 1876:1 1881:11 \\
\hline 1894:17 1895:10 & longer 1885:21 & 1963:21,23 & 1884:7 1885:7 & 1900:12,22 1901:6 \\
\hline 1907:6 1940:22 & 1886:24 1958:24 & major 1873:16 & 1887:12 1905:17 & 1901:7 1902:7,13 \\
\hline 1968:18 & Iong-term 1960:15 & make 1851:23 & 1912:2 1917:5 & 1906:6,13 1908:21 \\
\hline level 1917:20,24 & 1961:2 & 1861:23 1865:23 & MCF 1870:22 & 1908:22 1909:1,15 \\
\hline 1918:3 1942:13 & look 1863:7 1864:11 & 1871:17,21 1877:6 & 1958:7 & 1910:2 \\
\hline 1952:1 1959:6,11 & 1879:10 1887:1 & 1881:18 1882:9 & me 1852:5,9,23 & megawatts 1900:20 \\
\hline 1961:13 & 1903:2,2,7,11,12 & 1883:15 1884:12 & 1854:19 1855:11 & 1900:21 1902:5 \\
\hline levels 1880:3 & 1903:18 1906:19 & 1886:7 1890:7,9 & 1856:3 1857:2 & members 1951:3,10 \\
\hline liability 1889:20 & 1909:20,25 & 1892:19 1900:4 & 1861:10 1862:1,4 & memory 1940:4 \\
\hline 1890:18,20,24 & 1914:19 1916:7 & 1903:25 1906:21 & 1863:4,5 1864:5 & mentioned 1874:16 \\
\hline 1891:23 & 1941:7,11,13,14 & 1907:6 1910:5 & 1866:16,24 & 1891:5 1898:1 \\
\hline life 1900:3 1965:10 & 1941:20,22 1942:4 & 1913:21 1914:9 & 1868:20 1869:10 & 1901:9 1953:1 \\
\hline light 1884:7 1910:10 & 1955:2 1960:25 & 1942:3 1951:9,11 & 1869:14 1870:14 & 1958:6 1963:16 \\
\hline 1910:18 1911:1 & 1961:4,16 & 1954:7,13 1955:11 & 1871:14 1874:20 & 1968:15 \\
\hline 1913:8 1914:3,6 & looked 1855:23 & 1955:12 1959:6,25 & 1874:23 1876:6,8 & merger 1951:22 \\
\hline like 1850:6 1851:21 & 1882:4 1941:24 & makes 1904:9 & 1876:8,8 1877:7 & method 1893:3,20 \\
\hline 1861:6 1876:25 & looking 1871:19 & makeup 1872:21 & 1877:13 1879:17 & 1894:7 1895:23 \\
\hline 1877:1,8 1880:14 & 1873:20 1877:13 & making 1880:11 & 1881:22 1884:19 & 1941:9,10 1942:3 \\
\hline 1885:12 1893:10 & 1878:2 1896:1 & 1900:1 1902:11 & 1888:16,19 & 1942:23 1943:10 \\
\hline 1896:7 1897:4 & 1899:11 1909:20 & 1903:25 1942:5 & 1899:11 1900:18 & 1944:4,6 1945:22 \\
\hline 1903:24 1914:19 & 1912:22 1956:14 & 1965:9 & 1901:2,23 1902:4 & methodology \\
\hline 1944:2 1946:16 & 1958:7 1962:8 & manage 1959:5 & 1902:23 1905:12 & 1945:12 1946:2,4 \\
\hline 1960:16 1961:5 & 1965:4 & manager 1915:7 & 1905:16 1907:6 & 1946:6 1947:9,12 \\
\hline 1963:20 1965:6 & looks 1861:6 1941:7 & mandatory 1896:18 & 1912:2 1913:10 & 1963:17,17 \\
\hline likely 1859:17 & 1944:2 & MANTLE 2002:17 & 1914:3 1915:24 & 1964:15,17,20 \\
\hline 1892:22 1911:23 & loosely 1906:8 & many 1882:12 & 1917:19 1939:3 & MICHEEL 1848:17 \\
\hline 1957:12 1958:23 & lose 1909:11 & MARK 1848:6 & 1940:15,16 1941:2 & middle 1908:18 \\
\hline 1961:3 1967:23 & loses 1941:22,25 & marked 1851:8,12 & 1946:19 1948:15 & MIDWEST 1847:22 \\
\hline line 1902:14 1908:21 & loss 1893:19 & market 1880:13 & 1950:3 1957:2,24 & mid-1980's 1961:6 \\
\hline 1913:24 1945:4 & lost 1948:17 & 1899:25 1918:11 & 1961:17 1964:19 & might 1854:19 \\
\hline 1951:9 & lot 1871:16 1897:24 & marketers 1900:1 & 1965:6,23 & 1860:19 1865:11 \\
\hline lines 1962:25 1963:1 & 1951:24 1958:24 & marketplace & mean 1853:18 & 1880:19 1881:5 \\
\hline list 1864:17 & Iow 1860:23,24 & 1918:11 & 1879:13,15 & 1897:15 1911:10 \\
\hline litigate 1951:14 & 1869:24 1958:25 & match 1863:8 & 1885:23 1889:6 & 1912:2 1914:10 \\
\hline litigation 1847:22 & 1961:14 & 1896:9 & 1898:14 1901:12 & 1921:12 1940:3 \\
\hline 1951:20,21 1952:1 & lower 1863:12,13,24 & material 1852:10 & 1907:23 1917:19 & mill 1946:19 \\
\hline 1952:3 & 1870:24 1871:12 & mathematically & 1918:14 1939:9,25 & million 1896:10 \\
\hline little 1859:2 1861:7 & 1942:17 1958:9,11 & 1854:7 & 1942:24 1953:14 & 1917:25 1918:1,5 \\
\hline 1861:7 1862:3 & lowest 1881:15 & matter 1847:10 & 1957:2 1958:13 & 1946:18 1949:6,11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1954:11,15 & Monroe 1848:7 & 1887:3,8,12,14 & 1876:7 & 1964:11,13 \\
\hline 1966:18,19 & month 1916:8,10 & 1888:8 1896:25 & & NEWMAN 1848:6 \\
\hline mind 1921:6 & 1954:12 & 1897:2,5,15 & N & next 1864:17 \\
\hline 1950:15 1952:19 & monthly 1886:3,4 & 1902:9,21 1904:16 & N 1848:1 1849:1 & 1889:11,18,21 \\
\hline 1961:20 & 1907:14 & 1904:24 1905:2,9 & 2002:1 & 1890:7 1892:25 \\
\hline minimize 1957:22 & MoPub 1946:17 & 1905:11,17,17,19 & name 1849:7 & 1946:5,19,21,23 \\
\hline 1965:1 & moratorium & 1905:20,24 & 1852:13 1868:25 & 1947:12 1960:11 \\
\hline minimum 1888:18 & 1960:11 1967:23 & 1911:15,15,18,19 & 1869:2 1882:1 & 1966:12 1967:24 \\
\hline 1888:25 1889:10 & more 1860:25 & 1911:20 1912:8,10 & 1897:9,10 1915:2 & 1968:13 \\
\hline 1894:1,14 1952:24 & 1862:14 1870:25 & 1912:12 1913:12 & Nathan 1848:20 & no 1847:10 1849:3 \\
\hline 1953:11,18,18 & 1872:22,25 1875:8 & 1913:15 1914:6,12 & 1849:20 & 1852:4,5 1856:21 \\
\hline minimums 1888:13 & 1889:16 1896:1 & 1914:14,15,17,22 & native 1867:2 & 1859:2 1860:24 \\
\hline minus 1893:18 & 1902:14 1905:16 & 1914:23,23 1915:6 & 1897:20 1907:17 & 1862:14 1865:9,19 \\
\hline minuses 1953:4 & 1909:20 1910:5 & 1919:12,13,21 & 1908:2 1920:17,17 & 1865:21 1873:7,23 \\
\hline minute 1911:16 & 1914:21 1919:25 & 1920:25 1921:5,15 & natural 1848:15 & 1874:3 1876:18 \\
\hline missing 1861:15 & 1939:2 1940:1 & 1939:12,24 1940:2 & 1850:12,15 1858:9 & 1879:21,24 \\
\hline 1959:25 & 1941:9,17 1948:7 & 1940:17 1947:15 & 1869:14 1870:8 & 1885:21 1886:24 \\
\hline Missouri 1847:2,7 & 1949:11 1950:16 & 1947:19 1954:23 & 1879:14 1880:3 & 1888:3 1891:4 \\
\hline 1848:4,7,11,14,15 & 1954:24 1959:12 & 1956:11,14 & 1957:11,13 & 1893:8,9,9 \\
\hline 1848:18,21,22 & 1959:23 1960:9 & 1960:14 1961:23 & nature 1884:14 & 1894:19,21,24,24 \\
\hline 1849:16,21 1850:1 & 1962:1 & 1962:1 1965:18 & near 1880:23 & 1896:19 1901:23 \\
\hline 1850:10,14,16 & morning 1850:18 & 1968:18,21 & necessarily 1879:22 & 1904:21,23 \\
\hline 1895:19 1910:10 & 1852:21,22 & Ms 1850:13,20,21,22 & 1920:5,18 1951:25 & 1908:15,24 \\
\hline 1910:17 1912:23 & 1915:10 1918:19 & 1921:12,14,19 & 1953:6 & 1909:16 1917:3,9 \\
\hline 1915:8 1920:16,18 & 1921:16 1945:12 & much 1853:22,25 & necessary 1889:7 & 1918:22 1921:4,24 \\
\hline 1920:20 & most 1856:15 1894:8 & 1856:9 1858:3 & need 1851:6 1854:19 & 1922:10 1941:3 \\
\hline mix 1854:12 1855:1 & 1943:3,8 1948:17 & 1875:3,21 1882:13 & 1872:20 1878:9 & 1943:10,23 1950:5 \\
\hline 1879:7,25 1887:5 & 1948:18 1962:11 & 1887:6,6 1898:18 & 1886:8 1902:3,9 & 1954:1 1955:8,17 \\
\hline 1897:22 & 1964:5 1965:13,14 & 1898:21 1899:21 & 1919:18,24 1921:2 & 1955:25 1959:23 \\
\hline mixes 1887:5 & 1966:22,23 & 1903:20,22 & 1951:14 1953:19 & 1960:19 1966:2,7 \\
\hline 1900:13 & move 1852:2 & 1913:24 1919:9 & 1961:4 1962:21,21 & 1966:12 1967:5 \\
\hline MMPPH 1967:2 & 1946:24 1953:11 & 1921:5 1939:8 & 1963:7 & 1968:25 \\
\hline mode 1951:12 & 1962:23 & 1954:19 1964:12 & needed 1957:17 & nodding 1867:20 \\
\hline model 1857:8,22 & moving 1939:13 & Murray 1847:18 & 1961:2 & 1902:20 \\
\hline 1873:10 1897:17 & MPS 1847:11 & 1868:7,8 1887:13 & needs 1874:19 & nominal 1944:21,22 \\
\hline 1897:18,24 1898:3 & 1893:7 1910:25 & 1887:15,18,22 & 1886:24 1904:11 & none 1851:1 1856:18 \\
\hline 1898:3,8,14,20,23 & 1915:22 1965:24 & 1888:3 1904:17,19 & 1939:15 1960:15 & 1877:18 1940:13 \\
\hline 1898:24 1899:2,4 & Mr 1849:13,18,20 & 1904:21 1939:22 & negative 1890:14 & 1954:1 \\
\hline 1899:5,6,8 1900:7 & 1849:24 1850:4,7 & 1940:3,4,12,15,20 & 1891:3,10,13,15 & non-recovery \\
\hline 1900:19 1901:4,8 & 1850:17 1851:6,7 & 1940:21 1947:14 & 1892:2,3,7,9 & 1964:25 \\
\hline 1901:11,16 1902:2 & 1851:24 1852:11 & 1950:3 1954:21,22 & negatively 1890:1 & noon 1905:7 \\
\hline 1903:16,17,25 & 1852:18,21 1856:7 & 1956:9 1961:24,25 & negotiate 1950:22 & Nor 1960:10 \\
\hline 1904:7 1918:7 & 1856:9 1858:22 & 1968:19 2002:13 & negotiated 1952:7,7 & normal 1906:24,25 \\
\hline 1920:17 & 1860:6 1867:18 & 2002:14,15,15,19 & 1957:21,22 & 1907:1 \\
\hline moment 1851:19 & 1868:1,12,14,15 & my 1849:7,9 1851:2 & negotiation 1954:2 & normalization \\
\hline 1887:12 1902:21 & 1868:16,17,19,23 & 1858:21 1861:16 & negotiations & 1942:10 \\
\hline 1904:24 1914:17 & 1869:5,9 1872:17 & 1863:3,3,5 & 1878:11 1948:4 & normalized 1900:9 \\
\hline money 1882:25 & 1872:20 1875:8,25 & 1865:24 1868:21 & net 1897:20 1907:25 & 1903:10 1909:4 \\
\hline 1883:17,20 & 1876:4,5 1877:22 & 1869:2 1870:6 & 1945:14,14 1946:3 & normally \(1874: 7\) \\
\hline 1885:20,22,23,24 & 1878:9,12,15,18 & 1872:24 1879:20 & 1946:12 1955:6 & 1880:15 1942:11 \\
\hline 1886:10,20,23 & 1879:1,3,6,19 & 1880:4,23 1881:17 & Networks 1847:11 & not 1851:3,12,14,24 \\
\hline 1891:2 1941:17 & 1880:18,24 1881:1 & 1881:20 1882:9 & 1847:11 1849:5 & 1853:25 1854:4,5 \\
\hline 1942:6,25 1944:8 & 1881:2,3,24 & 1886:4 1897:3,10 & neutralize 1881:13 & 1854:6,13,14 \\
\hline 1944:10 1945:20 & 1882:2,4,14,16 & 1901:15 1912:2,13 & never 1851:8 & 1855:4,10 1856:22 \\
\hline 1950:14 1955:25 & 1883:23,24 1884:1 & 1919:16 1920:4,16 & 1853:12,16 1854:3 & 1856:23 1858:6,12 \\
\hline 1957:1 1959:6,22 & 1884:4,9,22 & 1920:17 1940:4 & new 1939:16 & 1858:19 1859:20 \\
\hline monies 1860:19 & 1885:3,10,19 & 1956:21 1967:12 & 1951:10 1962:14 & 1860:9,10,18,25 \\
\hline 1886:14 & 1886:3,4,6,12,18 & myself 1856:12 & 1962:18,24 1964:4 & 1864:5 1865:5,9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1865:13,18,19 & 1919:18 1921:2 & 1865:23,25,25 & 1942:23,25 1944:5 & 1887:6 1917:13 \\
\hline 1866:18,19 1867:5 & 1939:9 1940:13 & 1866:8 1867:3,6 & 1944:7,10,19 & okay 1854:10 1855:8 \\
\hline 1867:16 1872:11 & 1947:1,6 1951:5 & 1867:14 1868:1,21 & 1945:5,14,14,16 & 1855:18,25 \\
\hline 1876:5 1878:2,3 & 1957:19 1959:4 & 1869:11,14,15,17 & 1946:3,3,3,12,13 & 1856:17,24 1857:3 \\
\hline 1878:10,13 & 1968:1 & 1869:22,24 1870:1 & 1946:16,18,18,20 & 1858:15 1859:6,8 \\
\hline 1879:15 1880:4,11 & number 1857:15,15 & 1870:18,22 & 1947:1,5,9 1948:1 & 1859:16 1860:9 \\
\hline 1880:21,22,24 & 1857:19 1860:10 & 1871:16,20,22 & 1948:3,4,5,6,10,12 & 1861:5,19 1862:1 \\
\hline 1881:9 1882:17,18 & 1860:11 1891:11 & 1872:8,8,12,15,18 & 1948:15,21 1949:2 & 1863:6 1864:8 \\
\hline 1882:25 1884:4,18 & 1902:7 1903:10 & 1872:21,22 1873:3 & 1949:6,10,18,24 & 1865:10 1866:16 \\
\hline 1884:19 1885:4,9 & 1906:7 1908:3 & 1873:9,12,21,21 & 1949:25 1950:14 & 1867:24 1868:10 \\
\hline 1885:18 1886:14 & 1910:4,8,13,20 & 1873:23 1874:6,17 & 1950:14,21,23 & 1870:6,15,20 \\
\hline 1886:17,21 1890:8 & 1913:6 & 1874:24 1875:3,3 & 1951:18,21,24 & 1871:2 1872:14 \\
\hline 1894:19,20,24 & numbers 1854:6 & 1875:4 1877:2,9 & 1952:1,3,23,23 & 1873:2,4,17 \\
\hline 1895:4,18,20,21 & 1861:4 1876:5 & 1877:14,17,18,23 & 1953:3,13,20,24 & 1874:3,19,24 \\
\hline 1895:21 1896:19 & 1900:11 1903:15 & 1878:16,19,20,24 & 1954:2,2,3,10 & 1875:13,16 \\
\hline 1896:23 1898:7,14 & 1906:7 1910:22 & 1878:24,24 1879:7 & 1955:4,5,10,14,18 & 1876:19 1877:1,7 \\
\hline 1898:17,25 1900:5 & 1916:19,20,22 & 1879:8,20 1880:10 & 1955:21,22,24,25 & 1878:23 1879:17 \\
\hline 1901:15 1902:8,16 & 1919:23 1920:3,4 & 1880:11,13 1881:4 & 1955:25 1956:5,11 & 1885:4,4,7 \\
\hline 1902:18 1909:12 & 1920:5,6,12,13 & 1881:8,10,25 & 1956:16,23 1957:1 & 1888:16 1890:25 \\
\hline 1909:19 1913:18 & 1921:2 1946:16 & 1882:1,5,8,11,13 & 1957:3,4 1958:1,2 & 1893:22 1895:6 \\
\hline 1914:21 1917:7,7 & & 1883:5,14,25 & 1958:3,7,17 & 1896:14,20 \\
\hline 1918:4,14,15 & 0 & 1884:12,14,24 & 1959:2,8,17,20,23 & 1897:21 1898:10 \\
\hline 1919:25 1920:5,18 & O 1849:1 & 1886:22 1887:5,14 & 1960:9,16 1961:2 & 1899:2,4,11,12,20 \\
\hline 1939:9 1940:1 & oath 1905:21 & 1887:15,18,25 & 1961:9,9,9,15,19 & 1900:10,18 \\
\hline 1942:7 1944:16,23 & objections 1851:5 & 1888:14,22 & 1962:2,2,3,7,11,16 & 1901:12,21 1902:8 \\
\hline 1945:1,22 1946:15 & observed 1864:3 & 1889:11,23 1890:2 & 1963:5,9,14,19 & 1903:8 1904:15 \\
\hline 1946:18,23 1947:3 & obtained 1912:18 & 1890:3,6,16,23,24 & 1964:1,3,4,5,7,12 & 1907:2,3,8,9,11,19 \\
\hline 1947:4,8,20,25 & Obviously 1952:20 & 1891:2,14 1892:21 & 1964:12,13,25,25 & 1907:22 1911:2,4 \\
\hline 1948:17 1949:18 & 1953:16 & 1893:8,10,13,15 & 1965:1,2,10,14,23 & 1912:8 1913:15 \\
\hline 1949:23,23 1950:9 & occur 1915:18 & 1893:17,21,24,24 & 1966:2,3,3,6,7,8 & 1914:2,2 1915:9 \\
\hline 1950:13,18,19 & occurs 1889:6 & 1893:25 1894:2,6 & 1966:16,18,22,23 & 1916:11 1917:4 \\
\hline 1951:6,8,15,25 & 1890:15 1907:10 & 1894:8,15 1895:1 & 1966:24,25,25 & 1918:23 1920:12 \\
\hline 1952:10 1955:7,10 & 1916:13 & 1895:2,3,4 1896:1 & 1967:2,6,7,7,12,17 & 1920:23 1921:1,10 \\
\hline 1955:21 1957:7,11 & of 1847:2,4,10 & 1896:2,7,17,24 & 1967:18,22 1968:8 & 1921:23 1922:5 \\
\hline 1958:8,16 1959:9 & 1848:8,15,19,22 & 1897:2,18,24 & 1969:1 & 1939:22 1940:12 \\
\hline 1959:20,25 1960:3 & 1849:3,4,11,14,17 & 1898:4,13,18 & off 1851:19,20 & 1940:20 1941:13 \\
\hline 1960:7 1961:3,7,7 & 1849:19,22,25,25 & 1899:16,18 1900:7 & 1863:17,21 1867:5 & 1944:23 1945:4 \\
\hline 1961:20 1962:24 & 1850:9,12,14,14 & 1900:12,12,13,19 & 1867:25 1878:14 & 1947:7 1948:15 \\
\hline 1963:5,10,14,18 & 1850:18,19 1851:3 & 1900:20,21 1901:8 & 1885:1 1886:25 & 1949:12,15 \\
\hline 1963:24 1964:8 & 1851:21,23 1852:7 & 1901:15,16 1902:4 & 1900:4 1902:24 & 1952:12 1953:9,23 \\
\hline 1965:1,2,13 & 1852:7,9,23,23,25 & 1902:11,20 1903:1 & 1903:13 1911:12 & 1954:18 1955:8 \\
\hline 1967:23 1968:4,6 & 1853:2,3,6,6,7,11 & 1903:6,10,16,22 & 1921:21 1922:1 & 1956:25 1961:22 \\
\hline note 1856:12 1905:9 & 1853:17,24 1854:1 & 1905:10 1906:3,7 & 1968:22 & 1965:16 \\
\hline 1922:9 1968:24 & 1854:2,6,10,15 & 1906:12 1907:12 & offer 1951:4,6,11 & old 1943:10 \\
\hline nothing 1880:15 & 1855:1,2,12,12,13 & 1907:24 1908:1,5 & offered 1851:8,12,13 & omitted 1868:20 \\
\hline 1966:4,9,13 & 1855:15,16 1856:4 & 1908:25 1909:14 & 1851:15 1921:16 & on 1849:16,24 \\
\hline 1967:3 & 1856:11,17,18 & 1909:15 1910:9 & office 1848:19 & 1850:14 1852:2 \\
\hline noticed 1919:12 & 1857:4,5,7,7,9,10 & 1912:1,16 1913:22 & 1849:22,25 & 1853:10,21,22,25 \\
\hline now 1854:16 & 1857:11,18,22,23 & 1914:7 1915:13,17 & 1850:15 1912:2 & 1854:14,16,17,18 \\
\hline 1866:12 1868:4 & 1858:5,5,6,8,10,11 & 1916:13 1917:1,4 & 1954:3 1957:16 & 1855:8,19 1857:11 \\
\hline 1870:22 1871:14 & 1858:13,17,18 & 1917:11,20,24 & off-system 1907:16 & 1857:20 1858:8 \\
\hline 1872:15 1886:14 & 1859:4,17,22,22 & 1918:1,3,9,11,15 & often 1903:13 & 1859:22 1860:12 \\
\hline 1886:18 1890:12 & 1860:10 1861:1,2 & 1919:8,14,15,22 & 1904:2 & 1861:1,4 1863:16 \\
\hline 1891:12 1892:17 & 1861:9,11,12,24 & 1921:25 1922:11 & Oh 1887:9,17,20 & 1863:21 1864:9,17 \\
\hline 1892:24 1895:8,18 & 1862:5,7,8,9,14 & 1939:5,8,12 & 1913:13 1939:22 & 1865:11,13,15,17 \\
\hline 1896:23 1901:8 & 1863:15,15,16,20 & 1940:13 1941:8,16 & oil 1855:17 1872:8 & 1865:24 1866:6 \\
\hline 1902:8 1906:14 & 1863:21 1864:2,10 & 1941:21 1942:6,11 & 1876:21,22,22 & 1867:5,25 1868:5 \\
\hline 1912:3 1918:22 & 1864:20,20,21,22 & 1942:15,17,19,21 & 1877:2,3,17 & 1868:14,17,21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1869:16 1870:23 & 1900:13 1902:6,19 & 1914:21 1915:23 & 1899:19 1903:10 & 1859:22 1863:4 \\
\hline 1870:24 1871:3 & 1903:6 1906:8,9 & 1916:19 1917:7,7 & 1904:2 1905:18 & 1873:21,23 \\
\hline 1872:4,10 1873:3 & 1915:23 1940:1 & 1918:5,12 1919:16 & 1906:7 1910:23 & 1874:17 1879:7 \\
\hline 1873:9,15,18 & 1944:11,14 & 1919:25,25 1920:9 & 1912:13 1914:3,7 & 1882:13 1884:8 \\
\hline 1874:15 1875:5,25 & 1945:25 1946:16 & 1940:1 1941:16 & 1914:17 1919:21 & 1885:9,16 1890:24 \\
\hline 1878:9 1879:13 & 1949:25 1951:11 & 1943:2 1945:14,14 & 1946:25 1947:22 & 1895:4 1948:11 \\
\hline 1880:2,13,25 & 1952:2 1953:23 & 1946:25 1947:21 & 1959:1 & 1953:24 1959:9 \\
\hline 1881:10,15,16,21 & 1958:13 1960:23 & 1947:21 1948:14 & outage 1903:22 & 1962:16 1965:13 \\
\hline 1882:13,18 1884:1 & 1962:2,4 1963:10 & 1948:17,17 1949:3 & outages 1903:9,11 & partially 1963:18 \\
\hline 1884:2,8 1885:2,7 & 1968:3 & 1949:11 1950:16 & 1903:11,23 & participant 1894:21 \\
\hline 1885:8 1886:1,9 & ones 1940:6 1950:15 & 1950:19 1952:15 & outcome 1951:15 & participate 1894:16 \\
\hline 1887:1 1888:12,17 & 1956:5 & 1953:1,23 1954:1 & output 1900:19 & 1948:5,9 \\
\hline 1888:22 1890:12 & only 1854:6 1867:4 & 1955:6 1956:19 & 1901:4,8,11 & particular 1851:11 \\
\hline 1890:18 1891:6,9 & 1877:15 1909:19 & 1957:14,23 1958:9 & outstanding 1966:7 & 1851:22 1871:1 \\
\hline 1892:22 1893:15 & 1909:24,24 & 1958:15 1962:25 & 1966:18 & 1879:24 1880:1 \\
\hline 1894:4,14 1895:23 & 1917:15 1920:18 & 1964:1 1967:4,7 & over 1849:8 1859:11 & 1904:2 1912:1 \\
\hline 1899:4,21,24,24 & 1952:7 1958:13 & 1968:2,10 & 1859:17,23 1863:8 & Particularly \\
\hline 1899:25 1900:2,4 & 1959:9 1961:20 & order 1864:13 & 1871:4 1877:11,20 & 1878:18 \\
\hline 1900:4,8 1901:22 & 1963:11 1967:8 & 1913:17 1953:2 & 1889:18,21 1890:7 & parties 1851:22 \\
\hline 1902:10 1903:3,6 & on-system 1907:20 & 1959:1 1967:14 & 1891:22 1892:3,3 & 1853:10 1856:15 \\
\hline 1906:8 1908:9,13 & on-the-record & original 1894:4 & 1906:18 1907:14 & 1857:19 1859:22 \\
\hline 1909:1,15 1910:2 & 1849:2 1921:24 & 1945:6,6 & 1908:4,10 1909:9 & 1864:21 1869:16 \\
\hline 1911:12 1912:19 & 1940:10 & originally 1898:2,14 & 1909:23 1915:20 & 1869:22 1872:18 \\
\hline 1912:20 1913:16 & open 1967:19 & 1916:19 & 1941:21 1964:25 & 1883:19 1884:16 \\
\hline 1913:24,24 1914:4 & opening 1851:23 & other 1849:9 & overall 1872:12 & 1884:25 1885:2 \\
\hline 1914:8,10,10 & operations 1897:18 & 1850:24 1855:21 & 1879:23 1880:7,8 & 1889:9 1905:12 \\
\hline 1915:15,16 1916:4 & opinion 1880:23 & 1858:8 1861:19 & 1896:11 1950:23 & 1939:8 1946:2 \\
\hline 1916:25,25 1917:1 & 1948:13 1957:16 & 1862:12 1863:4,25 & overhead 1962:25 & 1947:8 1951:24 \\
\hline 1918:5,6,11,24 & opportune 1959:22 & 1864:21,23 1865:7 & overview 1852:23 & 1952:7,10 1954:11 \\
\hline 1919:23,23 & opposed 1898:13 & 1868:17 1872:2,7 & 1862:7 & 1957:21 \\
\hline 1920:14 1921:23 & 1899:25 & 1876:11,12,19,20 & over-colle & party 1885:19,21 \\
\hline 1940:8,14,17 & or 1850:5 1851:6 & 1877:20 1884:23 & 1886:9 & 1943:1 \\
\hline 1941:8 1942:13 & 1853:9,20 1854:4 & 1885:17 1887:6 & owed 1860:19 & past 1864:9 1906:21 \\
\hline 1944:3 1946:1 & 1854:5,11 1856:3 & 1895:7,12 1898:23 & & 1967:23 \\
\hline 1947:22,24,24 & 1856:22 1859:20 & 1901:9 1903:15 & P & pattern 1898:25 \\
\hline 1948:6 1949:17,17 & 1861:14 1865:5 & 1906:9 1911:8,10 & P 1848:1,1 1849:1 & patterns 1864:2 \\
\hline 1949:21,22 1950:7 & 1869:10 1874:8 & 1913:6 1916:21 & 1911:6 & pause 1911:18 \\
\hline 1950:8 1951:3 & 1876:4,20 1878:2 & 1920:1,8 1921:8 & package 1948:11 & pawn 1911:12 \\
\hline 1952:8,13,18 & 1878:6 1879:22,25 & 1940:17 1941:18 & packages 1899:16 & pay 1859:19 1860:25 \\
\hline 1953:24 1954:7,17 & 1880:11,13,22 & 1949:15,18 1954:1 & page 1861:1,4 & 1863:11 1941:11 \\
\hline 1955:4,6,17 & 1881:14,23 & 1954:5 1956:11 & 1884:2 1912:19,20 & 1941:13,18 1942:2 \\
\hline 1956:22,24 & 1882:17,18,25 & 1960:16,17,24 & 1912:21 1914:10 & 1954:8 1955:10,21 \\
\hline 1958:15,17,20 & 1883:22,22 1884:2 & others 1901:12 & 1919:22 1920:14 & 1959:23 1960:1,9 \\
\hline 1960:9,15,21 & 1884:13,15,15,22 & 1940:12 1948:7 & 1946:1 1967:9 & 1962:9,10 1963:11 \\
\hline 1961:21 1962:13 & 1884:23 1886:22 & our 1849:15 1861:22 & pages 1883:24 & 1963:13,14 1965:1 \\
\hline 1963:23 1964:6,9 & 1890:7 1892:14 & 1864:9 1875:25 & 1922:10 1968:25 & paying 1942:24 \\
\hline 1965:12,14,22 & 1893:9,18,19 & 1881:12 1886:12 & paid 1862:9 1913:24 & 1959:10,12,12,13 \\
\hline 1966:17,20,24 & 1894:16 1895:20 & 1892:20 1893:20 & 1942:25 1954:6 & 1960:9 1962:8 \\
\hline 1967:9,17 & 1895:21 1896:6,15 & 1895:4 1906:21 & 1955:15,25 1956:5 & payment 1941:16 \\
\hline Once 1907:5 & 1898:14 1899:15 & 1943:8 1949:21 & paragraph 1861:6,6 & 1942:4,5 1943:11 \\
\hline one 1850:4 1851:11 & 1899:25 1900:1,5 & 1951:9 1957:7 & 1865:23,24 & payments 1853:19 \\
\hline 1852:4 1855:4,15 & 1900:9 1902:14 & 1962:11 1963:4 & 1878:16 1879:11 & 1967:2 \\
\hline 1859:2 1862:25 & 1903:3,10,15 & 1965:9 1968:16 & 1879:11 1884:1 & pays 1941:17 1944:8 \\
\hline 1866:13 1868:2 & 1904:5 1908:13,22 & out 1852:9 1857:17 & 1888:20 1893:23 & 1944:16 \\
\hline 1877:20 1879:24 & 1908:22 1909:19 & 1861:24 1864:17 & 1894:25 1912:16 & peak 1863:16,17,21 \\
\hline 1881:6 1886:20 & 1909:20,23 & 1871:14 1874:20 & parameter 1957:20 & 1863:21 1903:14 \\
\hline 1888:22 1891:3 & 1910:10 1912:1,2 & 1876:6,11 1891:14 & part 1856:15 & peaking 1958:18 \\
\hline 1895:17,20 & 1912:3 1913:18 & 1897:19 1899:16 & 1858:10,11,13 & pendency 1945:16 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1946:9 & pick 1965:7 & 1968:11,13 & pressure 1958:17 & 1868:21 \\
\hline pension 1868:18 & piece 1952:9 & positions 1896:3,13 & presumably 1865:14 & produce 1862:2 \\
\hline 1888:12,23 1889:2 & pieces 1948:12 & 1952:12,16,16,18 & presumption & producing 1871:17 \\
\hline 1889:25 1890:1,10 & 1949:9,14 & 1956:19 & 1859:22 & 1871:18 \\
\hline 1890:15,16 1891:3 & pipe 1964:11,11 & possibility 1884:24 & previous 1860:14 & production 1906:3 \\
\hline 1891:4,16,23 & pipeline 1874:15 & possible 1891:8 & pre-payment & program 1882:1 \\
\hline 1892:2,11,14,20 & place 1946:24 & 1950:19 1952:12 & 1941:16 1942:18 & proper 1879:7 \\
\hline 1892:23 1893:24 & 1955:21 & Possibly 1920:11 & 1942:19,20,24 & properly 1881:8 \\
\hline 1894:8 1896:17,18 & placed 1877:18 & post 1850:15 & 1943:4,5,14,16,17 & proportion 1863:15 \\
\hline 1949:17 1950:7 & plan 1872:19 & 1883:22 1907:20 & 1943:21 & 1863:16,17 \\
\hline 1952:15,18,22 & 1890:11,14 1891:8 & posting 1884:15 & price 1855:15 & proposal 1871:1 \\
\hline 1953:12 & 1891:14,21,25 & postponed 1966:10 & 1857:9,10,11,20 & proposed 1856:25 \\
\hline pensions 1887:25 & plans 1892:14 & 1966:11 & 1857:21 1858:5,5 & protect 1869:23 \\
\hline 1888:8,22 1896:22 & 1961:20 & potential 1877:8 & 1863:12,24 & 1893:1 \\
\hline 1945:13 1953:10 & plant 1898:13 & 1879:8 & 1867:14 1870:24 & protection 1870:25 \\
\hline per 1862:20 1872:25 & 1912:6 1962:12,16 & potentially 1877:10 & 1871:13 1879:14 & 1885:17 1959:2 \\
\hline 1875:18,19,22 & 1962:17,21 1963:4 & pour 1906:18 & 1880:1,3,4,6 & protections 1883:18 \\
\hline 1880:6 1881:11 & 1963:5 1965:24 & power 1853:20 & 1898:1 1900:12 & 1886:8 \\
\hline 1900:22 1901:6,7 & plants 1855:17 & 1857:10 1863:20 & 1917:20 1918:2 & proves 1960:4 \\
\hline 1902:7,13 1906:6 & 1897:19 & 1866:18 1867:3,7 & 1919:2 1957:21 & provide 1885:17 \\
\hline 1906:7,13,14 & play 1954:3 & 1867:15 1871:23 & 1958:7,13,17 & 1902:19 1905:16 \\
\hline 1908:6,9,22,22 & played 1952:5,9 & 1875:3,6,7,10,11 & 1960:7 & 1916:8 1939:17,18 \\
\hline 1909:1 1910:2 & pleasant 1961:7 & 1878:22 1879:12 & priced 1853:21 & 1959:1 1962:12 \\
\hline 1912:17,25 1913:4 & please 1852:6,13,14 & 1880:13 1897:20 & prices 1858:7,8 & 1963:13 \\
\hline 1913:25 1915:15 & 1868:25 1873:3 & 1898:1,4,11 & 1859:23 1860:12 & provided 1883:16 \\
\hline 1915:22,23,24 & 1897:5,9 1915:1,2 & 1900:13 1908:25 & 1860:14 1867:15 & 1886:15 1904:6 \\
\hline 1916:4 1919:24 & 1939:3 & 1910:10,18 1911:1 & 1869:24 1872:4 & 1912:14 1963:15 \\
\hline 1954:12 1958:7 & plus 1855:12 & 1913:8 1917:17,21 & 1898:19 1902:14 & provides 1900:19 \\
\hline percent 1944:5 & 1893:18 1897:19 & 1917:24 1918:3,8 & pricing 1898:1,4 & provision 1883:9 \\
\hline percentage 1863:21 & 1908:5 & 1918:11 1959:4,5 & primarily 1855:12 & 1889:15 1954:4 \\
\hline 1898:11,12,18 & pluses 1953:4 & 1965:25 1966:17 & 1877:25 1890:16 & provisioned 1963:8 \\
\hline percentages 1898:10 & PO 1849:20,25 & 1967:8,18,21 & 1966:20 & prudence 1878:6,7 \\
\hline 1898:24 & point 1851:4 1865: & 1968:8,14 & primary 1863:20 & 1878:24 1879:8,18 \\
\hline period 1859:24 & 1865:21 1883:5 & practical 1891:24 & 1894:9 & 1879:22 1880:10 \\
\hline 1889:22 1907:14 & 1892:13,17 & preceded 1949:21 & prime 1866:8,10 & 1880:16 1906:24 \\
\hline 1908:5,5,10 & 1902:10 1957:11 & preceding 1879:11 & 1883:6 & 1906:25 1909:21 \\
\hline 1909:9 1915:21 & 1957:13 1958:6 & precisely 1864:12 & prior 1892:7 1894:2 & 1913:19 1966:3,7 \\
\hline 1941:21 1946:16 & 1959:16 & 1909:7 & 1951:2 1953:13 & 1966:20 1967:13 \\
\hline 1959:18,24 & pointed 1912: & preclude 1 & privy 1872:18 & 1967:18 \\
\hline periods 1963:8 & points 1948:2 & prefer 1869:12 & probability 1957:13 & prudent 1855:3 \\
\hline permanent 1853:1,3 & pole 1887:10 & preference 1947:9 & 1958:8,20 & 1880:6 \\
\hline 1853:4 1857:6,11 & policy 1862:23 & preferred 1949:24 & probably 1851:2 & prudently 1854:8 \\
\hline 1857:23 1858:18 & 1964:10 & premium 1960:6 & 1855:4,7 1858:25 & 1878:21 1906:22 \\
\hline 1864:14 1865:15 & pondering 1895:12 & prepaid 1889:2 & 1859:1 1868:2,13 & 1908:10 \\
\hline 1865:18 1892:3 & portion 1850:19 & 1891:16 1953:12 & 1869:12 1886:5 & Public 1847:1 \\
\hline 1908:11 & 1852:25 1853:1,3 & prepare 1856:13 & 1910:23 1914:7 & 1848:17,17,19,19 \\
\hline person 1855:6 & 1854:14 1862:8 & 1951:20 & 1949:1 1952:9 & 1848:22 1849:22 \\
\hline 1867:16,20 & 1867:4,6 1877:9 & prepared 1856:14 & 1960:19,20 & 1849:25 1879:5 \\
\hline 1966:13 & 1882:11 1917:25 & 1856:15 1912:3 & problem 1851:10,16 & 1910:10,17 \\
\hline personally 1948:1 & 1939:6 & preponderance & 1921:4 & 1912:23 1954:3 \\
\hline person's 1967:13 & posing 1897:4 & 1853:24 1857:4 & procedure 1953:14 & pull 1951:3 \\
\hline perspective 1870:13 & position 1873:9 & present 1850:24 & proceeding 1884:17 & pulled 1857:17 \\
\hline 1870:21 1891:18 & 1883:20 1895:1 & 1880:21 1901:17 & PROCEEDINGS & purchase 1899:13 \\
\hline perspectives 1961:2 & 1898:8 1948:6,16 & 1911:21 1944:10 & 1847:4 & 1967:1,22 \\
\hline perverse 1880:19 & 1948:21 1949:2,16 & presentation 1849:3 & process 1882:7 & purchased 1853:20 \\
\hline 1881:5,13 & 1949:19 1950:5,23 & 1921:25 1940:10 & 1902:11 1915:18 & 1857:10 1866:18 \\
\hline Peterson 1848:10 & 1952:18 1953:23 & presented 1948:12 & 1939:14 1961:18 & 1867:3,7,15 \\
\hline 1850:10 & 1956:16 1964:24 & presiding 1849:8 & Processing 1848:12 & 1871:22 1875:2,6 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Hearing, Vol. 20 4/5/2004
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1875:7,10,11 & 1871:14,25 1872:4 & 1953:23 1954:9,23 & R & 1889:13 1891:15 \\
\hline 1878:22 1879:12 & 1872:14,20,24 & 1955:1,4,8,14,17 & R 1848:1 1849:1 & 1892:7,10,21,25 \\
\hline 1897:20 1898:1,4 & 1873:2,6,11,13,17 & 1955:20 1956:1,4 & rail 1855:14 1874:16 & 1898:20 1900:24 \\
\hline 1898:11 1899:7 & 1873:20,25 1874:3 & 1956:13,25 1957:6 & raise 1966:12,13 & 1906:12 1908:11 \\
\hline 1900:13 1908:25 & 1874:10,12,16,19 & 1957:9,24 1958:1 & 1967:21 & 1918:24 1941:11 \\
\hline 1917:17,21,24 & 1874:22 1875:2,13 & 1958:9,12 1959:9 & raised 1967:19 & 1941:13,17,20,21 \\
\hline 1918:3,8 1959:4,5 & 1875:16,21,24 & 1959:15,19,25 & raising 1966:14 & 1944:19,23 1945:1 \\
\hline 1965:25 1966:17 & 1876:3,6,11,15,17 & 1960:5,7,14,23 & ramp 1903:24 & 1945:17 1946:5,10 \\
\hline 1967:18,21 1968:3 & 1876:19,22,24 & 1962:1,6,20 & ran 1898:4 1900:20 & 1957:17 1958:25 \\
\hline 1968:8,15 & 1877:1,5 1882:16 & 1963:9,16,23 & 1906:20,20 & 1966:8 1967:7 \\
\hline purchases 1867:2,5 & 1882:24 1883:7,10 & 1964:1,7,15,24 & range 1869:22,24 & rate-making \\
\hline 1885:24 1913:22 & 1883:18 1887:3,9 & 1965:12,16,18,23 & 1870:1 & 1888:23 1889:4 \\
\hline purely 1916:4 & 1888:8,11,16 & 1966:9,16,20 & rate 1847:12 1849:5 & 1891:18 1953:5 \\
\hline purpose 1968:8 & 1890:19,23,25 & 1967:2,10,17 & 1862:14,20 & 1965:14 \\
\hline purposes 1856:4 & 1892:13,17 1893:2 & 1968:6,11 & 1863:22 1864:10 & rather 1857:1 \\
\hline 1861:9 1864:21 & 1893:8,22 1894:16 & quarter 1905:7 & 1864:21,22 1865:3 & 1876:7 1881:7,17 \\
\hline 1871:20 1873:9 & 1894:20,22,25 & question 1854:13,20 & 1865:18,18,19,25 & 1965:24 \\
\hline 1888:24 1889:4 & 1895:6,8,11,22,25 & 1855:6 1856:7 & 1866:1,7,8 & re 1964:1 \\
\hline 1892:21 1906:12 & 1896:4,7,11,14 & 1858:21 1859:8 & 1872:11 1874:25 & reached 1961:13 \\
\hline put 1859:10 1864:20 & 1897:15,21 1898:7 & 1872:16 1876:16 & 1875:17 1880:6 & read 1883:21,22 \\
\hline 1870:13,21 & 1898:10,23 1899:2 & 1881:4,20 1882:19 & 1882:20,21,22 & 1890:5 1892:23 \\
\hline 1871:15 1872:6 & 1899:4,9,11,15,20 & 1882:20 1883:4 & 1883:1,6,15,16 & 1954:9 \\
\hline 1877:15 1885:12 & 1900:6,10,16,18 & 1884:11 1890:12 & 1888:11 1889:11 & readable 1900:14 \\
\hline 1885:20 1886:1,8 & 1900:23 1901:3,5 & 1896:14 1902:16 & 1889:18,21 & ready 1962:12,17 \\
\hline 1892:10 1898:2,18 & 1901:7,12,18,20 & 1904:16 1905:4 & 1892:25 1893:2,15 & real 1870:14 1899:6 \\
\hline 1898:19 1903:2,14 & 1901:25 1902:2,8 & 1906:1,14 1909:21 & 1893:17 1898:22 & 1899:12,19,23 \\
\hline 1904:10,10 & 1902:17 1903:1,5 & 1914:20 1940:1 & 1903:20,24 & 1900:3,11 1903:1 \\
\hline 1914:10 1941:19 & 1903:8,14,21,25 & 1945:19 1947:2 & 1908:16 1909:13 & 1906:4 \\
\hline 1942:12 1955:23 & 1904:5,9,13 & 1950:19 1956:13 & 1909:18,20,21,24 & realize 1963:17 \\
\hline 1964:111966:25 & 1905:24 1906:5,11 & 1964:14 1966:3 & 1909:24 1910:1,20 & really \(1857: 18\) \\
\hline putting 1944:11 & 1906:16,24 1907:2 & questions 1850:19 & 1941:4 1942:10,15 & 1877:15 1878:4 \\
\hline P. \(O\) 1848:14,18,21 & 1907:4,6,9,15,19 & 1852:2,5,20 & 1942:17,17,18,19 & 1880:9 1892:10 \\
\hline & 1907:22 1908:20 & 1868:5,9,15 & 1943:9,24 1944:15 & 1895:14 1916:20 \\
\hline & 1909:18 1910:1,7 & 1869:4,8,10,13 & 1946:5 1947:4,12 & 1917:25 1918:10 \\
\hline Q 1852:21,23 1853:5 & 1910:12,14,16,19 & 1872:24 1887:12 & 1947:25 1949:1,5 & 1951:14,14 \\
\hline 1853:13,16 1854:3 & 1910:22 1911:2,4 & 1887:14,24 1888:1 & 1949:7,9,21,24 & reason 1861:25 \\
\hline 1854:10,16,18,24 & 1911:6,8,14 & 1888:3,7 1896:22 & 1952:6,17,21 & 1882:13 1889:23 \\
\hline 1855:6,8,15,18,25 & 1912:12,15,19,21 & 1897:2,4,14 & \[
1954: 5,6,16,16
\] & 1890:11,15 1894:9 \\
\hline 1856:3,9,12,17,22 & 1913:1,9,15,21 & 1904:18,21,23 & 1955:9 1957:12,22 & 1945:20 1958:1,4 \\
\hline 1856:24 1857:3,13 & 1915:6,9,12,17 & 1905:23 1912:1 & 1958:21 1959:1,20 & 1962:10 \\
\hline 1857:15,20,25 & 1916:6,11,15,18 & 1915:5 1919:16,20 & 1959:21 1960:10 & reasonable 1879:23 \\
\hline 1858:3,10,16,21 & 1916:24 1917:4,11 & 1922:3 1939:11 & 1960:13,20 1961:6 & 1909:12 1957:12 \\
\hline 1858:24 1859:1,6 & 1917:13,15,19,22 & 1940:3,7,10,14,17 & 1961:8,13 1966:5 & 1957:17 1959:1,2 \\
\hline 1859:8,15,25 & 1918:8,19,23 & 1940:21 1947:18 & 1966:12 1967:24 & 1962:18 1963:5,6 \\
\hline 1860:2,5,9,16,18 & 1919:1,4,7,21 & 1947:24 1954:22 & ratepayer 1883:9,17 & reasonableness \\
\hline 1860:21,23 1861:1 & 1920:5,8,12,19 & 1954:24 1956:11 & ratepayers 1955:9 & 1879:22 \\
\hline 1861:5,9,19,23 & 1939:12,20 & 1956:12 1961:25 & 1955:20 1956:4 & reasons 1920:15 \\
\hline 1862:12,17,23 & 1940:22 1941:2,6 & 1962:2,3 1965:19 & 1962:8 & rebuttal 1957:8 \\
\hline 1863:2,4,6 1864:1 & 1941:13,25 & 1965:20 2002:2,4 & rates 1853:1,2,3,4 & recall 1851:11 \\
\hline 1864:8,15,23 & 1942:20,23 1943:2 & 2002:4,6,7,9,10,12 & 1856:19,25,25 & 1875:20,23 1876:2 \\
\hline 1865:1,5,10,17,20 & 1943:7,13,19,23 & 2002:12,13,13,14 & 1857:7,11,24 & 1880:2 1895:22 \\
\hline 1865:23 1866:4,6 & 1944:2,13,23 & 2002:14,15,15,16 & 1858:1,11,14 & 1918:21 1949:10 \\
\hline 1866:10,13,16,22 & 1945:4,10,16,25 & 2002:17,19 & 1859:10,11,12 & received 1951:6 \\
\hline 1866:24 1867:6,10 & 1946:12 1947:7,19 & quick 1870:14 & \[
1864: 141865: 15
\] & 1954:8 \\
\hline 1867:18,20,24 & 1948:1,5,8,15,23 & quickly 1868:20 & 1867:8,12,12 & ecent 1894:15 \\
\hline 1869:9,14,18 & 1949:2,8,12,15 & 1962:21 & 1869:15 1870:2 & recently 1952:17 \\
\hline 1870:1,5,12,14,16 & 1950:7,9,12,18 & quite 1869:12 & 1877:18 1882:17 & recess 1921:22 \\
\hline 1870:20 1871:2,9 & 1952:12,25 1953:9 & 1951:8 & 1888:17 1889:11 & recitation 1895:1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline recognition 1944:18 & refunds 1884:12 & removed 1964:8 & 1957:12 & 1944:8 1945:7,18 \\
\hline recognize 1944:10 & 1908:15 1913:18 & removing 1964:2 & resultant 1959:3 & 1946:13,14 1947:1 \\
\hline recognized 1947:5 & regard 1855:2,10 & reorganizes 1884:13 & resulting 1891:10 & 1947:6,10 1949:5 \\
\hline recognizes 1944:12 & 1870:25 1872:2,3 & replace 1968:14 & results 1857:7 & 1953:2 1955:5,15 \\
\hline 1944:14 & 1872:7,7 1878:6 & reported 1847:21 & 1859:4,18 1893:14 & 1955:18,22 1956:6 \\
\hline recommend 1864:13 & 1880:10,16,20 & 1907:13 & 1893:14 1906:3 & 1959:16,19 \\
\hline recommendation & 1883:9 1887:23,24 & reporter 1897:9 & 1944:5 1964:24 & 1960:10 1962:9,20 \\
\hline 1945:6,7 & 1888:22 1889:15 & 1915:2 & retail 1907:18 & 1962:22 1963:21 \\
\hline recommendations & 1890:12 1894:12 & REPORTER'S & 1920:16 1946:5 & 1964:2 1967:16 \\
\hline 1880:2 & 1895:17,24 & 1922:9 1968:24 & retained 1967:14 & rights 1966:5 \\
\hline recommending & 1897:22 1898:11 & represent 1946:2,15 & retention 1967:11 & 1967:13 \\
\hline 1880:4 & 1908:21 1915:13 & representative & retirement 1965:2 & risk 1953:6 1964:25 \\
\hline reconciliation & 1916:18 1918:16 & 1864:10 & retirements 1943:12 & 1965:1 \\
\hline 1888:1 1896:2 & 1919:22 & representing & retrieve 1914:18 & Robert 1847:18 \\
\hline reconvene 1905:7 & regarding 1850:19 & 1905:10 1940:5,8 & retrospect 1871:20 & 1849:10 1939:10 \\
\hline 1921:11,20 & 1865:3 1888:8 & represents 1850:18 & retrospectively & 2002:11 \\
\hline record 1849:14 & 1949:1 1966:6,8 & 1876:13 1890:13 & 1960:8 & role 1952:5,10,11 \\
\hline 1851:4,19,20 & 1967:6,9 & 1891:16,20 & return 1893:15,18 & 1954:3 \\
\hline 1852:14 1869:1 & regards 1894:11 & request 1849:4 & 1893:18 1941:8 & room 1868:2 \\
\hline 1905:9 1921:23 & region 1959:23 & 1962:19 & 1942:16,17,19 & 1902:20 1915:9 \\
\hline recorded 1853:14 & regular 1856:19 & requested 1911:21 & 1944:3 1945:23 & ROONEY 2002:18 \\
\hline records 1906:19 & regulations 1889:14 & require 1886:13,23 & 1949:10 & roughly 1966:19 \\
\hline 1908:4 & regulatory 1847:17 & 1921:8 & returned 1866:6 & run 1857:7,11,22 \\
\hline recover 187 & 1889:17,20 1915:7 & required 1889:14,16 & 1914:18 1919:12 & 1860:12,14 1867:1 \\
\hline 1946:22 & relate 1872:24 & 1890:10 1892:14 & 1953:7 & 1867:4,16,22 \\
\hline recovered 1877:12 & 1911:9 & 1894:6 & revenue 1874:1,2 & 1882:2 1897:17 \\
\hline recovers 1890:9 & related 1874:6 & requirement 1888: & 1888:1,18 1941:9 & 1898:19,21,21 \\
\hline recovery 1945:18,20 & 1875:6 1877:3 & 1888:18 1941:9 & 1942:12 1944:4,5 & 1899:22 1900:8 \\
\hline 1946:3,12 1947:3 & relating 1879:25 & 1944:4,5,21 & 1944:21 1945:1 & 1901:16 1902:22 \\
\hline reduced 1954:12 & 1896:17 & 1945:1 1949:3 & 1949:3,4 & 1902:24 1904:3,7 \\
\hline 1958:24 & relation 1968:4 & requirements & revenues 1954:10 & 1904:24 1906:3,21 \\
\hline reducing 1946:22 & relationship 1867 & 1874:1,2 1942:1 & review 1878:6,7,24 & 1907:25 1912:23 \\
\hline 1959:3 & relative 1863:16 & requires 1892:6 & 1879:8,18 1880:16 & 1960:23 1961:4 \\
\hline reduction 1890:2 & 1908:11 & 1961:18 & 1906:24,25 & running 1872:9 \\
\hline 1946:24 & relatively 1863:10 & resale 1867:5 & 1913:19 1946:6 & 1873:10 \\
\hline referenced 1894:23 & relevant 1879:10 & research 1864:19 & reviewed 1879:16 & runs 1857:8 1859:5 \\
\hline referred 1864:23 & 1916:20 1945:3 & reservation 1853:25 & 1880:14 1946:4 & 1920:17 \\
\hline 1865:2,8 1874:7 & 1967:24 & reserve 1945:21,23 & 1947:12 & RUTH 1848:6 \\
\hline 1895:9 1919:22 & reliance 1956 & 1946:23,25 1965:5 & right 1851:17 & \\
\hline 1920:2 & relieve 1945:23 & 1965:8 & 1853:13 1855:6,25 & S \\
\hline referring 1861:15 & rely 1854:14 & residential 1861:11 & 1856:13 1857:25 & S 1848:1 1849:1 \\
\hline 1874:5 1943:3,13 & 1899:24 & 1861:12 1863:18 & 1858:3 1859:9 & said 1890:20 1910:7 \\
\hline 1943:19 1956:18 & remain 1886 & 1864:3,5 & 1865:23 1868:3,4 & 1915:13 1942:1 \\
\hline reflect 1944:16 & 1905:20 & resolve 1952:3 & 1868:14 1869:18 & 1946:9 1961:5 \\
\hline reflected 1945:9 & remained 1898:22 & resource 1961:20 & 1870:20 1871:2 & 1968:20 \\
\hline 1948:20 & remaining 1851:3,5 & resources 1848:15 & 1873:11,25 1875:2 & sale 1907:20 \\
\hline reflective 1864:2 & 1851:18 1954:7 & 1850:12,15 & 1877:5 1887:17 & sales 1907:13,13,15 \\
\hline Refresh 1940:4 & remember 1882:16 & 1854:25 1904 & 1890:19 1892:17 & 1907:16,17,23 \\
\hline refund 1853:2 & 1893:6 1901:21 & respectively \(1881: 2\) & 1895:18 1900:6 & 1909:2,3,6,15,16 \\
\hline 1860:17 1862:11 & removal 1943:6 & respects 1894:8 & 1903:21 1904:10 & 1915:21 1920:16 \\
\hline 1870:10 1872:11 & 1945:15 1946:3,13 & response 1951:5 & 1906:5,11 1907:4 & salvage 1943:6 \\
\hline 1872:12 1883:12 & 1946:18 1950:14 & responsibility & 1909:18 1910:12 & same 1862:20 \\
\hline 1883:16,20 & 1955:5,6,7,10,14 & 1871:8 & 1910:14,19 1913:1 & 1863:11,23 1866:8 \\
\hline 1959:11 1960:1 & 1955:18,22,25 & responsible 1887:21 & 1915:17 1916:6,23 & 1891:23 1898:22 \\
\hline refunded 1886:23 & 1956:6 1963:19 & restate 1869:13 & 1918:8 1919:7 & 1914:10 1917:2,13 \\
\hline 1902:12 1916:3 & 1964:1,3,7,12 & restates 1892:11 & 1920:5 1921:1 & 1920:6 1942:15 \\
\hline refunding 1882:21 & remove 1951:15 & result 1853:6 & 1939:20 1940:13 & 1943:19 1964:6,20 \\
\hline 1883:9 & 1953:17 1964:11 & 1881:16 1894:15 & 1941:5 1942:2 & saw 1943:11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline say 1853:18 1857:17 & Senate 1911:22 & sheet 1902:3 & 1862:10 1863:17 & 1874:19 1885:7 \\
\hline 1859:1 1865:17 & Senior 1848:17 & Shelley 1848:13 & 1865:10 1867:3,15 & 1886:1 1902:9,17 \\
\hline 1875:21 1880:20 & sense 1881:4 1882:6 & 1850:13 & 1870:1,1,6,12,16 & 1902:19 1905:16 \\
\hline 1887:3 1907:15 & 1952:14 & shift 1918:7 & 1871:2 1872:20 & 1911:12,14 1912:3 \\
\hline 1914:11 1916:2 & sensing 1881:14 & shorter 1905:13 & 1874:3,6,20,24 & 1966:11 \\
\hline 1942:20 1948:3 & sentence 1878:19 & should 1851:2 & 1875:16 1876:3 & someone 1852:8 \\
\hline 1949:20,23 1957:3 & 1946:14 & 1868:21 1891:19 & 1878:23 1879:20 & 1866:16 1887:12 \\
\hline 1962:15 1964:9 & separate 1864:16 & 1891:22 1897:16 & 1880:4 1881:8 & 1900:1 1905:3 \\
\hline 1966:2 1967:20 & 1865:3 1873:16 & 1898:5,18,21,24 & 1882:10,12,13 & 1911:23 1920:19 \\
\hline saying 1877:6 & 1910:20,20 & 1902:12 1904:3 & 1885:13,21 & 1961:5 1966:14 \\
\hline 1881:19 1966:10 & 1941:24 & 1954:11 1963:10 & 1886:24 1888:19 & 1967:15 \\
\hline 1968:12 & separately 1949:14 & 1963:13 1965:24 & 1890:1,9 1892:5 & something 1853:9 \\
\hline says 1861:10,12 & September 1889:5 & 1966:25 & 1896:3,8 1898:10 & 1860:18 1861:13 \\
\hline 1866:7 1946:1 & serve 1867:2 1910:9 & shouldn't 1968:20 & 1899:12 1903:1 & 1861:15,24 \\
\hline 1947:11 1967:10 & 1962:16,18 & show 1849:14 & 1904:8,14 1905:6 & 1865:10 1872:17 \\
\hline Schallenberg & serves 1864:4,4 & 1943:8 1953:18 & 1905:25 1907:14 & 1873:2 1883:22 \\
\hline 1939:10,12,24 & service 1847:1 & 1966:17 & 1908:14 1909:8,12 & 1884:14 1885:12 \\
\hline 1940:2,17 1947:15 & 1848:22 1864:20 & showed 1912:24 & 1909:21 1912:2,22 & 1886:23 1899:12 \\
\hline 1947:19 1954:23 & 1891:10 1910:10 & 1965:6 & 1913:15,21 & 1899:17,25 \\
\hline 1956:11,14 & 1910:18 1912:23 & side 1870:24 1894:8 & 1914:10 1916:4,6 & 1902:14 1921:8 \\
\hline 1960:14 1961:23 & 1947:1,6 1953:4 & 1958:21 & 1916:9,11 1920:2 & 1941:3 1953:1 \\
\hline 1962:1 1965:18 & 1961:1,3,14 & sideline 1952:8 & 1920:19,22 1939:1 & 1959:25 1960:7 \\
\hline 2002:11 & 1962:10,12,12,19 & sides 1872:8 & 1939:2,3,12 & 1968:2,2 \\
\hline schedules 1851 & 1962:21 1963:3,4 & sign 1886:25 & 1940:15 1942 & sometimes 1901:20 \\
\hline se 1880:6 & 1963:7,14,14,24 & signed 1885:1 & 1947:20,23 & 1951:5,6,10,17 \\
\hline seated 1849:9 & 1964:2 1966:6,25 & significant 1890:7 & 1949:22 1951:18 & somewhere 1954:14 \\
\hline 1852:171897 & 1967:3,7 & 1891:6 1892:20 & 1953:5,8,13 & 1956:17 \\
\hline second 1888:9 & SERVICES 1847:22 & 1909:11 1951:16 & 1954:5,6 1956:4 & soon 1902:24 \\
\hline 1914:11 1945:25 & session 1922:4,8,10 & 1957:13 1958:8,20 & 1957:15 1958:14 & sorry 1870:20 \\
\hline secondary 1952:5 & 1968:25 & significantly & 1959:1,7,9 & 1899:6,7,10 \\
\hline Secondly 1889:1 & set 1881:8,10 & 1892:15 & 1961:15 1962:15 & 1901:2,19 1907:17 \\
\hline security 1961:13 & 1892:25 1947:3,25 & similar 189 & 1963:4 1964:13 & 1939:23 1950:11 \\
\hline Sedalia 1848:12 & 1957:17 1958:21 & 1956:7 & 1965:7,8 1966:9 & 1954:23 1968:10 \\
\hline 1850:2,8 & 1959:21 & simple 1 & 1967:2,17,23 & 1968:19 \\
\hline see 1849:7 1851:15 & sets 1898:4 & simulates 1867:2 & 1968:6,19,21 & sort 1851:23 \\
\hline 1851:17 1852:6 & setting 1892:21 & 1897:18 & software 1899:12,16 & 1854:10 1876:6 \\
\hline 1858:23 1861:15 & 1966:8 & simulation 1857 & 1899:18,19,22,23 & 1900:7,12 1901:15 \\
\hline 1862:17,23 & settle 1951:4,13 & 1900:7 & some 1851:8,23 & sounds 1850:6 \\
\hline 1863:17 1867:20 & settled 1949:10 & simulations 1898:5 & 1852:61854:10 & 1957:24 \\
\hline 1868:1,14 1872:5 & 1951:18 1966:1,9 & simultaneous 1882:8 & 1855:20 1865:6,11 & source 1876:11 \\
\hline 1882:25 1893:5 & settlement 1879:21 & since 1852:4 1893:5 & 1865:21 1867:14 & 1877:25 \\
\hline 1895:10 1900:9 & 1879:23,23,24 & 1915:9 1940:18 & 1868:5,14,15,17 & speak 1856:17 \\
\hline 1902:19 1904:15 & 1880:8 1888:22 & single 1958:5 & 1869:10,14 1877:8 & 1878:12 1886:5 \\
\hline 1908:8 1909:22,22 & 1895:21 1945:9,11 & sir 1869:3 1882:3 & 1880:21 1884:2,7 & speaking 1942:21,23 \\
\hline 1916:24 1920:19 & 1948:2,4,6,11,16 & 1888:21 1894:24 & 1884:11,13,23 & 1950:24 1963:12 \\
\hline 1940:15 1943:10 & 1948:21,22 1949:1 & 1901:19,24 & 1886:13 1892:17 & speaks 1878:19 \\
\hline 1944:25 1958:12 & 1949:6,10,14,19 & 1902:25 1919:10 & 1896:24 1898:25 & special 1953:24 \\
\hline 1958:16 1961:9,13 & 1949:21 1950:17 & sit 1947:4 & 1900:11 1901:14 & specific 1856:2 \\
\hline 1967:10 1968:1 & 1950:20,22 1951:4 & sitting 1870:23 & 1901:15 1902:10 & 1872:3,22 1875:9 \\
\hline seeing 1851:1 & 1953:21 1956:16 & situation 1877:10 & 1903:2 1905:16 & 1881:17 1890:12 \\
\hline 1890:8 1957:8 & 1966:14 & 1884:111945:7 & 1907:20 1914:18 & 1894:11 1952:20 \\
\hline seem 1882 & settlements 195 & small 1863:18 & 1919:14 1920:8 & 1967:5 \\
\hline seems 1858:24,24 & several 1888:1 & so \(1852: 1,10\) & 1922:3 1940:3,18 & specifically \(1856: 5\) \\
\hline 1877:8 & 1899:16,18 & 1853:16,16 1854 & 1948:7 1957:19 & 1947:11 \\
\hline seen 1943:9 195 & 1960:15 & 1855:20,22 & 1959:16 1961:12 & specifics 1872:15 \\
\hline seldom 1965:6 & shaking 1858 & 1857:20,25 1858:6 & 1965:20 & specified 1884:5 \\
\hline selection 1880:10,11 & share 1862:9 & 1858:12,22 1859:2 & somebody 1854:19 & specify 1953:2 \\
\hline selectivity 1918:16 & shed 1884:7 1914:4 & 1859:9 1861:3,19 & 1867:23 1868:4,6 & spell 1897:9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline spent 1885:23 & 1888:14 1954:9,14 & summer 1958:18 & takes 1958:13 & 1910:6 1914:4,21 \\
\hline 1886:21,22 1955:4 & 1963:20 & supply 1967:1,8,22 & 1963:13 & 1916:21 1920:1 \\
\hline 1955:6,18 & statements 1879:19 & 1968:15 & taking 1882:13 & 1921:8 1942:17,18 \\
\hline split 1910:16,17 & states 1880:21 & support 1852:4 & talk 1872:20 1876:7 & 1948:7 1949:11 \\
\hline spoke 1850:17 & 1943:3,8 & 1948:14 1957:4 & 1888:8 1911:15 & 1958:9 1959:12,23 \\
\hline square 1919:3 & station 1873:16 & supporting 1940:23 & talked 1901:14 & 1960:24 1965:24 \\
\hline St 1951:22 & sta & 1958:7 & 1908:17 1958:1 & thank 1849:13,17,18 \\
\hline stability 1959:20 & steam 1954:10 & supportive 1956 & 1961:17 & 1850:11,23 \\
\hline 1960:13 & step 1868:11 & 1957:3 1958:1 & talking 1851:9 & 1851:24 1852:17 \\
\hline stable 1953:19 & 1886:20 1897:1,6 & 1959:8 & 1874:14 1891:23 & 1868:9,23 1869:3 \\
\hline Staff 1848:22 & 1905:2 1914:16 & supposed 1 & 1894:3 1907:15,16 & 1869:6 1882:13 \\
\hline 1849:19 1852:10 & 1919:10,17 & 1947:24 & 1912:23 1916:15 & 1887:17 1888:4,6 \\
\hline 1853:6,9 1854:11 & 1939:24 & sure 1854:13 & 1918:15 1919:14 & 1896:20,25 1897:8 \\
\hline 1854:24 1864:3 & stepped 1914:17 & 1861:23 1865:23 & 1943:5 1944:7 & 1897:12 1901:7 \\
\hline 1873:8 1875:8 & Steve 1847:17 & 1866:17 1867:23 & 1949:4 1956:15 & 1905:11 1912:10 \\
\hline 1882:1 1894:9,10 & 1855:7 1869:2,7 & 1873:5 1874:21 & 1957:2 1960:17 & 1914:15 1915:1 \\
\hline 1895:19 1898:2 & 2002:3 & 1876:10 1877:6 & 1961:1 & 1919:9,10 1921:5 \\
\hline 1902:19 1905:15 & still 1865:24 1961: & 1882:9 1883:15 & talks 1865:25 & 1921:19 1940:20 \\
\hline 1916:9 1939:13 & 1967:14,19,20,21 & 1884:4,18 1885:4 & target 1881:12 & 1947:15,16 \\
\hline 1940:9,23 1948:6 & 1968:6 & 1885:9,18 1886:8 & tariff 1954:6,16, & 1954:18,20 1956:9 \\
\hline 1948:13,16,19 & Stip 1852:5 1853:9 & 1886:25 1888:10 & tariffs 1913:12 & 1965:16,17 \\
\hline 1949:15,18,22,23 & 1856:20,25 & 1889:24 1890:9,22 & tax 1868:18 1887:25 & that \(1850: 19,21,24\) \\
\hline 1950:17,19,21,23 & 1883:25 1939:17 & 1895:18,21 & tell 1854:19 1855:11 & 1851:3,6,8,8,10,11 \\
\hline 1951:2,3,10,25 & 1940:11 1946:1 & 1896:22 1902:1 & 1856:3 1862:1 & 1851:14,16,17 \\
\hline 1952:5,9,13,16 & 1947:8 & 1902:18 1906:21 & 1896:3 1897:15 & 1852:9,10,11 \\
\hline 1953:23 1954:3 & Stipulation 1856:4,6 & 1911:17 1914:9 & 1898:20 1899:24 & 1853:1,1,6,6,7,7,7 \\
\hline 1956:16,22 & 1864:24 1865:2 & 1918:4 1947:7,20 & 1900:18 1910:24 & 1853:9,18,25 \\
\hline 1957:10,15 1958: & 1878:5,5 1879:21 & 1950:9,18 1954:25 & 1912:5 1917:19 & 1854:1,4,6,7,10,11 \\
\hline 1958:4,7 1959:7 & 1887:23 1889:8 & 1955:7 1959:25 & 1951:1 1958:14 & 1854:13,20,25 \\
\hline 1961:18 & 1897:22 1908:23 & surplus 1962:11 & temporary 1891:20 & 1855:5,6,7,10,22 \\
\hline Staff's 1858:7 & 1921:25 1952:4 & Swearengen 1848:2 & tend 1865:15 & 1855:23 1856:5,7 \\
\hline 1870:21 1873: & 1960:12 1964:16 & 1848:3 1849:13,14 & tends 1881:13 & 1856:7,7,20,24,25 \\
\hline 1875:9,10,19 & 1966:4 1967:6 & 1849:15,18 & term 1893:9 1916:15 & 1857:2,6,10,15,15 \\
\hline 1877:7 1893:20 & stop 1868:4 1890:19 & 1851:24 1878:12 & 1949:17 1950:10 & 1857:21,22,23 \\
\hline 1895:1 1897:23 & 1905:7 & 1878:15,18 1879:1 & 1961:16 & 1858:15,18,19 \\
\hline 1915:12 1916:21 & stops 1951:23 & 1879:3 1884:9,22 & terms 1865:25 & 1859:4,8,12,17,18 \\
\hline 1918:20 1920:23 & straightened & 1885:3 1886:3 & 1869:22 1872:1 & 1859:19,20,22,23 \\
\hline 1941:8,9 1944:3 & 1874:19 & 1913:12 1914:6,12 & 1872:18,22 & 1859:23 1860:5,6 \\
\hline 1945:6 1948:16,21 & strategy 1960:23 & 1914:22,23 & 1873:12 1878:19 & 1860:6,10,13,13 \\
\hline 1949:2,5 1950:4 & strictly 1874:6 & 1968:18,21 & 1890:6 1948:10,10 & 1861:2,14,14,17 \\
\hline 1961:20 1963:16 & Stuart 1848:9 & switch 1891:17 & 1949:2 1954:2 & 1861:24 1862:6,9 \\
\hline 1963:17 1964:17 & 1850:8 & 1892:5 & 1961:19 1966:2 & 1862:10,10,23,24 \\
\hline 1965:22 1968:13 & studies 1943:8, & sworn 185 & territories 1961:14 & 1863:2,5,7,10,11 \\
\hline stand 1868:20 & study 1944:14 & 1868:24 1897 & test 1850:7 1854:5 & 1863:13,17,22,23 \\
\hline 1947:24 & 1961:19 & 1914:25 & 1855:2 1892:20 & 1863:23 1864:1,2 \\
\hline standard 1899 & sub 1861:5,6 1862:2 & system 1882 & 1900:8 1909:3 & 1864:4,4,15,22,23 \\
\hline standpoint 1854:25 & subject 1853:2 & 1907:25 & testified 1852:19 & 1865:3,7,10 \\
\hline 1862:4,24 1939:12 & 1859:19 1860:16 & & 1869:7 1897:13 & 1866:1,4,7,8,12,13 \\
\hline start 1958:15,15 & 1860:18 1870:10 & T & 1905:22 1915:4 & 1866:16,19,24,24 \\
\hline started 1915:10 & 1872:11 1883:12 & take 1849:11 1851:2 & 1919:19 1939:10 & 1867:4,7,10,11,13 \\
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1888:18,18,20 & 1908:4,5,5,9,10,12 & 1946:24 1947:3,8 & 1964:5,6,7,7,9,13 & there 1850:24 \\
\hline 1889:2,3,3,4,5,8,8 & 1908:13,13,15,16 & 1947:8,12,16,24 & 1964:15,20,22,24 & 1851:1,5,7,22 \\
\hline 1889:9,9,10,10,15 & 1908:16,17,17,18 & 1947:25 1948:1,4 & 1964:24 1965:1,2 & 1852:25 1853:5 \\
\hline 1889:15,18,21,23 & 1908:19,20,20,21 & 1948:5,5,6,9,10,12 & 1965:5,10,10,10 & 1854:3 1855:20 \\
\hline 1889:24,25,25 & 1908:24 1909:2,3 & 1948:13,17,18,19 & 1965:12,14,19,21 & 1858:7,7 1859:11 \\
\hline 1890:2,2,2,3,4,7,9 & 1909:3,6,6,7,9,12 & 1948:19,20,21,21 & 1965:22,22,22,23 & 1859:16,25 1860:1 \\
\hline 1890:10,11,11,13 & 1909:13,14,14,15 & 1948:21,22,25 & 1965:24,25 1966:3 & 1860:12 1861:6,13 \\
\hline 1890:13,15,15,17 & 1909:18,19,19,20 & 1949:1,1,2,3,4,5,5 & 1966:4,6,8,11,12 & 1861:23,24 \\
\hline 1890:17,18,20,21 & 1909:21,21,22,24 & 1949:6,9,10,14,16 & 1966:16,17,20,22 & 1864:10,17 1867:6 \\
\hline 1890:23,24 1891:1 & 1909:24,24 1910:1 & 1949:17,19,22,22 & 1966:24,25 1967:1 & 1867:21 1869:12 \\
\hline 1891:2,3,3,4,5,5,6 & 1910:2,4,5,5,8,8,9 & 1949:23,24,24,25 & 1967:2,3,5,7,8,8,8 & 1869:19 1870:1 \\
\hline 1891:8,9,13,14,17 & 1910:10,24,24,25 & 1950:1,2,3,4,4,7 & 1967:11,13,13,17 & 1873:20 1877:9,23 \\
\hline 1891:20,21,21,23 & 1910:25 1911:4,5 & 1950:10,13,13,15 & 1967:17,18,19,21 & 1878:171879:11 \\
\hline 1892:5,6,9,9,13,14 & 1911:9,10,21,23 & 1950:16,16,17,17 & 1967:21,22,24,24 & 1879:13,21 1880:2 \\
\hline 1892:14,18,19,22 & 1912:1,6,16,17,24 & 1950:21,23 1951:1 & 1968:2,5,7,7,12,13 & 1880:4,5 1884:2 \\
\hline 1892:24 1893:2,4 & 1912:25 1913:2,2 & 1951:2,2,2,3,3,3,5 & 1968:14 1969:1 & 1886:9,10,24 \\
\hline 1893:6,7,13,15,18 & 1913:2,3,3,3,5,5,6 & 1951:6,7,13,13,15 & their 1850:19 1862:9 & 1889:1 1890:5,19 \\
\hline 1893:20,22,22,23 & 1913:6,7,7,8,12,15 & 1951:16,16,17,20 & 1864:20 1867:21 & 1890:20 1891:4 \\
\hline 1893:24,25 1894:1 & 1913:16,21,24,24 & 1951:21,22,22,23 & 1889:11 1892:25 & 1892:13,15 1893:8 \\
\hline 1894:2,2,3,3,4,6,6 & 1914:2,10,20 & 1951:23,24,25 & 1898:12 1906:19 & 1893:10 1895:17 \\
\hline 1894:8,9,9,9,13,13 & 1915:2,3,7,9,11,13 & 1952:1,2,3,4,5,6,7 & 1913:22,22 & 1896:14 1899:9,15 \\
\hline 1894:17,22,25 & 1915:13,17,20,21 & 1952:8,8,9,9,10,11 & 1941:20,20,23 & 1899:16,18,19 \\
\hline 1895:1,3,3,7,9,12 & 1915:21,22,23 & 1952:12,13,16,16 & 1943:9 1951:15 & 1901:9,12,25 \\
\hline 1895:12,13,14,19 & 1916:3,7,8,9,9,13 & 1952:17,18,18,19 & 1958:18 1968:4 & 1904:2 1906:7,23 \\
\hline 1895:20,22,24 & 1916:13,20,25 & 1952:22,22,23,25 & them 1855:21 & 1907:7,11 1909:16 \\
\hline 1896:2,2,7,8,11,12 & 1917:1,2,2,2,6,8,9 & 1953:3,4,5,7,7,11 & 1861:24 1868:22 & 1910:7 1911:25 \\
\hline 1896:13,16,17 & 1917:15,15,16,17 & 1953:12,13,18,19 & 1888:22 1892:10 & 1913:2 1916:6,12 \\
\hline 1897:3,9,10,17,18 & 1917:19,20,23,23 & 1953:20,20,21,24 & 1896:9,24 1909:4 & 1917:2,7 1919:25 \\
\hline 1897:18,19,19,20 & 1918:1,1,2,2,2,4,6 & 1954:1,1,2,2,3,3,4 & 1909:4 1912:3,3 & 1920:8,13 1941:6 \\
\hline 1897:20,22,23,25 & 1918:6,8,9,11,11 & 1954:5,6,7,9,10,10 & 1914:10 1941:23 & 1944:2,6,15 \\
\hline 1897:25 1898:1,3 & 1918:11,12,14,20 & 1954:11,12,13,15 & 1942:12 1944:11 & 1945:12 1946:15 \\
\hline 1898:3,3,5,5,6,6,7 & 1918:24,24 1919:2 & 1954:16,16 1955:7 & 1955:3 1959:21 & 1946:17,18,21 \\
\hline 1898:8,10,14,16 & 1919:22 1920:6,17 & 1955:9,14,15,20 & 1963:14 & 1949:15 1951:8,23 \\
\hline 1898:19,19,19,20 & 1920:17 1921:4,23 & 1955:23,23,24,24 & then 1851:15,17 & 1954:7 1957:12,19 \\
\hline 1898:21,22,22,24 & 1921:24 1922:11 & 1955:25 1956:4,5 & 1852:2 1853:21 & 1958:8,19 1959:15 \\
\hline 1898:24 1899:2,5 & 1939:5,6,7,12,13 & 1956:15,15,16,17 & 1855:8 1859:11,16 & 1959:17 1962:15 \\
\hline 1899:7,8,12,22,23 & 1939:15,17 1940:2 & 1956:18,18,19,22 & 1861:6,11,12 & 1963:6 1964:16 \\
\hline 1899:25 1900:5,12 & 1940:6,6,7,9,9,10 & 1956:22,25 1957:1 & 1863:13 1868:11 & 1966:4,7,9 1967:3 \\
\hline 1900:13,19,19,19 & 1940:11,13,23,23 & 1957:8,10,10,10 & 1870:6,7 1871:4,9 & 1967:5 \\
\hline 1900:20,20,21,21 & 1940:24 1941:7,15 & 1957:13,15,15,16 & 1872:25 1875:2 & there's 1853:7 \\
\hline 1900:22,24,24 & 1941:15,16,16,22 & 1957:19,21,21,22 & 1888:4 1889:17,19 & 1855:20 1859:9,22 \\
\hline 1901:1,1,3,8,8,10 & 1941:22,25 1942:2 & 1958:1,1,4,4,4,5,6 & 1891:2 1894:5 & 1860:2 1861:5,10 \\
\hline 1901:10,16,18,21 & 1942:3,3,4,4,5,6,7 & 1958:13,17,19,22 & 1898:20 1901:18 & 1873:7 1874:3 \\
\hline 1901:22 1902:2,3 & 1942:11,12,15,17 & 1958:24,25 1959:3 & 1903:1 1904:11 & 1875:5 1880:15 \\
\hline 1902:5,5,6,11,11 & 1942:18,23,25,25 & 1959:4,4,7,9,10,13 & 1906:12 1907:9,11 & 1883:13,20 1884:6 \\
\hline 1902:11,14,20,20 & 1943:2,3,10,19,23 & 1959:15,17,17,20 & 1908:8,20 1910:14 & 1884:11,23 \\
\hline 1903:1,5,6,6,11,16 & 1943:24 1944:2,3 & 1959:21,22,23,23 & 1913:2,23 1915:25 & 1888:19,21 1890:4 \\
\hline 1903:17,22,23 & 1944:3,4,5,6,7,7,8 & 1959:23,24 1960:6 & 1916:3,12,25 & 1892:16 1893:9,9 \\
\hline 1904:1,6,7,9,10,10 & 1944:10,16,19,20 & 1960:7,11,11,12 & 1917:15 1918:3 & 1893:12 1895:16 \\
\hline 1904:12,20 1905:1 & 1944:21,22,23,25 & 1960:16,19,20,21 & 1919:3 1922:5 & 1900:3 1901:14 \\
\hline 1905:3,5,5,9,10,11 & 1944:25 1945:1,1 & 1960:23,24 1961:4 & 1939:13,14 & 1906:22 1908:15 \\
\hline 1905:12,13,15 & 1945:4,4,6,7,9,11 & 1961:6,6,9,9,9,11 & 1940:22 1942:6,17 & 1913:18 1914:21 \\
\hline 1906:2,2,3,3,8,8,9 & 1945:12,13,16,16 & 1961:16,18,18,19 & 1942:19 1943:24 & 1917:9 1918:16 \\
\hline 1906:9,10,12,12 & 1945:20,20,20,21 & 1961:20 1962:2,6 & 1951:7,12,19,19 & 1942:6 1943:17 \\
\hline 1906:17,18,20,22 & 1945:23 1946:1,2 & 1962:8,10,14,16 & 1951:19 1952:2,8 & 1945:1 1950:24 \\
\hline 1907:1,13,13,14 & 1946:3,6,9,9,12,16 & 1962:25 1963:1,7 & 1953:5 1955:17 & 1962:17 1964:5,11 \\
\hline 1907:24,24,25,25 & 1946:16,17,19,19 & 1963:9,10,12,13 & 1958:2 1959:21 & 1966:3,12,13 \\
\hline 1907:25 1908:1,4 & 1946:21,22,23,23 & 1963:14,16,19,20 & 1960:6 & these 1862:13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1871:18 1878:24 & 1903:3,16,23 & 1896:17,19 & 1877:20 1891:13 & 1862:17,18 1863:1 \\
\hline 1878:24 1884:12 & 1906:20 1907:21 & 1897:17 1900:7 & 1902:18 1907:20 & 1863:16 1864:2,6 \\
\hline 1885:17 1887:4 & 1908:24 1919:14 & 1901:10,10,20 & 1918:8 1956:1 & 1864:13,13,19,23 \\
\hline 1890:6 1891:13 & 1920:9 & 1902:8,10 1906:15 & 1959:13 1966:8 & 1865:2,8,16,20 \\
\hline 1892:6 1900:11 & think 1851:7,24 & 1908:23 1910:5 & thought 1874:16 & 1866:1,10,12,13 \\
\hline 1902:13 1913:16 & 1855:7,21 1857:17 & 1911:18,22 1912:4 & 1877:5 1884:24 & 1867:2,14,17 \\
\hline 1916:18 1919:2,14 & 1859:21,21 1860:8 & 1912:5,25 1914:3 & 1885:19 1917:5 & 1868:3,5,5,13,14 \\
\hline 1920:3,6,13 & 1861:16,16,21 & 1914:4,23 1915:10 & 1940:2,18 & 1868:19 1869:9,10 \\
\hline 1939:16,16 1942:8 & 1864:13 1868:3,20 & 1916:16,21 & three 1888:21 & 1869:23 1870:10 \\
\hline 1942:9,12 1943:16 & 1876:4 1878:15 & 1918:19,24 1919:8 & 1890:7,15 1896:6 & 1870:13,21,25 \\
\hline 1943:17 1945:3,17 & 1879:7,10 1880:18 & 1921:7,15,16,24 & 1896:6,8 1949:9 & 1871:11,16,16 \\
\hline 1946:9 1952:15 & 1880:19 1881:7,25 & 1922:9 1941:7 & 1949:14 & 1872:2,3,4,5,7,8 \\
\hline 1958:15 1960:13 & 1882:6 1883:13,21 & 1944:9,11,14,14 & through 1861:17 & 1872:11,16,18,18 \\
\hline 1967:7 & 1884:19 1886:19 & 1944:24 1945:2,5 & 1866:24 1887:1 & 1872:19,20,20,24 \\
\hline they 1851:15 & 1887:11 1893:6 & 1945:7,12,14,16 & 1896:15,16 & 1872:24 1873:14 \\
\hline 1859:23 1863:11 & 1895:17 1896:23 & 1946:2,4,14,16 & 1922:11 1942:5,6 & 1873:15 1874:5,6 \\
\hline 1863:19 1867:14 & 1898:2,16 1901:14 & 1947:9 1948:2,6 & 1942:10 1944:3 & 1874:7,19 1875:6 \\
\hline 1872:11,19 & 1905:15,17 1906:1 & 1948:15 1949:25 & 1951:19 1955:13 & 1876:7,7 1877:3,6 \\
\hline 1874:10,11 & 1914:13 1918:22 & 1950:8,18,25 & 1956:4 1961:6 & 1877:9,9,11,11,13 \\
\hline 1876:21 1877:12 & 1918:24 1919:4 & 1951:21,22 1952:6 & 1969:1 & 1877:19,24,24 \\
\hline 1880:14 1883:1,1 & 1921:7 1922:7 & 1952:25 1953:10 & thus 1881:4 & 1878:3,6,6,9,9,12 \\
\hline 1883:2,2,21 & 1939:21 1940:1,8 & 1953:22,24 & tied 1857:18 & 1878:13,14,14 \\
\hline 1884:20 1885:13 & 1947:11,14 & 1954:10 1955:16 & 1885:13 & 1879:10,17,17,21 \\
\hline 1886:15 1889:13 & 1955:23 1957:20 & 1956:7,13,16,17 & time 1849:11 1852:2 & 1879:24,25 \\
\hline 1889:16,19 1903:2 & 1957:23,24 1958:6 & 1957:3,6,17 & 1865:16 1867:21 & 1880:10,11,12,13 \\
\hline 1906:20,20 & 1961:8,14 1962:3 & 1958:2,3,5 1959:2 & 1877:21 1878:4 & 1880:16,20,21,22 \\
\hline 1908:16 1909:10 & 1968:21 & 1964:16 1966:8,13 & 1880:10 1882:13 & 1881:3,6,8,13,18 \\
\hline 1909:10,17,17 & thinking 1918:4 & 1968:16,24 & 1891:1,20,22 & 1881:20,22,25,25 \\
\hline 1913:18 1916:22 & third 1885:19,21 & THORPE 1847:22 & 1892:3,24 1893:22 & 1882:1,6,9,11,12 \\
\hline 1920:9,13,15,20 & 1943:1,24 & those 1851:5 & 1893:23 1899:6,12 & 1882:20,21,21,22 \\
\hline 1940:14 1941:18 & Thirty-one 1875:22 & 1853:14,16,21,24 & 1899:19,23 & 1882:24,25 1883:2 \\
\hline 1943:8 1952:8,14 & 1875:24 & 1854:1,6 1855:10 & 1900:11 1902:11 & 1883:2,4,8,9,9,12 \\
\hline 1954:6 1955:10,11 & this \(1849: 2,8,11\) & 1855:11 1859:4,12 & 1902:22 1903:1 & 1883:15,16,17,19 \\
\hline 1955:12,23,25 & 1850:17 1851:4 & 1861:17 1862:2,2 & 1906:4 1914:24 & 1883:20,21 1884:3 \\
\hline 1957:22 1959:20 & 1852:2,7 1854:3 & 1862:5 1863:8,10 & 1922:9 1941:21 & 1884:5,9,10,11,12 \\
\hline 1959:22 1960:1,8 & 1856:19 1857:2 & 1863:13 1864:3,4 & 1942:6 1944:7,10 & 1884:16,17,19,20 \\
\hline 1960:9,10 1961:7 & 1861:9,17 1862:12 & 1864:9,11,13 & 1945:17 1949:5 & 1884:25 1885:1,5 \\
\hline 1961:8,12 1962:9 & 1862:15,18,21 & 1865:7,15 1868:2 & 1950:12 1953:15 & 1885:10,12,15,16 \\
\hline 1962:10,13,13,18 & 1863:23 1864:15 & 1871:21 1872:4,10 & 1959:22 1961:11 & 1885:23,25 1886:2 \\
\hline 1967:16 1968:14 & 1864:21,23 1865:5 & 1874:2,17 1876:5 & 1962:4,18 1963:8 & 1886:5,7,8,8,9,13 \\
\hline they're 1853:24 & 1865:12,18,19,21 & 1880:13 1881:10 & 1964:5,6,25 & 1886:21,21,23,24 \\
\hline 1856:4,5 1862:7 & 1867:21 1868:4,9 & 1890:19 1891:5,7 & 1968:24 & 1886:25 1887:1,1 \\
\hline 1872:11 1884:5 & 1868:13 1871:1,14 & 1891:22 1892:8,11 & to 1847:11 1849:5,9 & 1887:23,24 \\
\hline 1885:23 1909:23 & 1871:15,19 & 1892:23 1894:17 & 1850:25 1851:2,5 & 1888:14,16,19,22 \\
\hline 1959:10,12,12,13 & 1872:15 1878:2,5 & 1898:4,13,16 & 1851:6,18,21,22 & 1888:24 1889:9,11 \\
\hline 1960:3 1961:20 & 1878:5 1879:20,22 & 1900:4,23 1906:12 & 1852:2,4,6,8,8,9 & 1889:13,14,14,15 \\
\hline they've 1864:17,19 & 1880:7,10,15,25 & 1908:24 1909:6,6 & 1852:10 1853:2,10 & 1889:15,16,21 \\
\hline 1920:15 & 1881:9,22 1882:6 & 1909:8,16 1910:22 & 1854:4,19,20,24 & 1890:7,9,10,12 \\
\hline thing 1872:15 & 1882:13,25 1883:5 & 1910:23 1913:5,12 & 1855:2,4,6,9,10,17 & 1891:7,9,12,15 \\
\hline 1890:20 1901:20 & 1885:23 1886:5,13 & 1916:22,24 & 1855:21 1856:8,9 & 1892:1,3,5,9,11,15 \\
\hline 1909:19,24 & 1889:5,11,13,20 & 1917:16,18 & 1857:17,18,19,22 & 1892:19,21 1893:1 \\
\hline 1917:15 1942:7,13 & 1889:23 1890:8,8 & 1920:20 1950:15 & 1858:10,13,21,24 & 1893:6,25 1894:2 \\
\hline 1943:19 1950:2 & 1891:14,15,16,19 & 1951:18 1955:5 & 1858:24 1859:1,10 & 1894:5,8,10,10,11 \\
\hline 1967:8 & 1891:19 1892:10 & 1956:1,5 1959:7 & 1859:16,17,19,19 & 1894:12,12 1895:9 \\
\hline things 1853:16,17 & 1892:22 1893:5,5 & 1961:6,10,11,13 & 1859:23 1860:2,16 & 1895:17,24,25 \\
\hline 1861:17 1874:22 & 1893:7,10,22,23 & 1961:15 1962:20 & 1860:18,25 & 1896:17,21 1897:2 \\
\hline 1880:14 1883:21 & 1893:23 1894:11 & 1965:2,5,6 1968:4 & 1861:14,15,16,23 & 1897:3,4,22 \\
\hline 1888:21 1898:13 & 1895:2,23 1896:5 & though 1856:18 & 1862:2,5,7,8,8,9 & 1898:11,13,17,21 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1899:8,16,20,22 & 1961:3,4,4,10,16 & transaction 1872:19 & 1965:23 & 1854:13,24 \\
\hline 1899:24,24,25 & 1961:17 1962:3,6 & transcript 1847:4 & trying 1854:24 & 1858:10,13 \\
\hline 1900:4,4,5,7,8,8,8 & 1962:12,12,12,16 & 1922:11 1969:1 & 1858:10,13 & 1861:14 1863:2 \\
\hline 1900:16 1901:10 & 1962:17,18,23,25 & transition 1953:11 & 1861:14 1865:20 & 1871:2 1874:18 \\
\hline 1902:4,9,12,18,19 & 1962:25 1963:1,3 & 1953:16 & 1878:13 1881:18 & 1875:5,8 1877:14 \\
\hline 1902:22 1903:12 & 1963:13,15,18 & transmission 1963:2 & 1881:25 1882:25 & 1877:22 1878:1 \\
\hline 1903:24 1904:4,7 & 1964:10,10,10,11 & transparent 1959:9 & 1885:15,16,25 & 1879:18,20 \\
\hline 1904:7,11,12,12 & 1964:12,17 1965:1 & transportation & 1893:1,6 1898:16 & 1881:17,19,22 \\
\hline 1904:15,24 1905:3 & 1965:5,9,18 & 1853:23 1855:13 & 1909:22 1943:14 & 1885:8,15,16,18 \\
\hline 1905:11,12,16 & 1966:5,6,9,11,11 & 1855:18 1874:4,5 & 1943:20 1946:8 & 1885:22,25 \\
\hline 1906:13,18,20,21 & 1966:24 1967:2,11 & 1874:6,7,15,16 & turbine 1880:13 & 1894:12 1902:17 \\
\hline 1907:1,13,13,17 & 1967:12,12,13,14 & 1877:2 1901:15 & 1898:12 1899:24 & 1906:1 1940:16 \\
\hline 1907:21 1908:6,11 & 1967:15,15,16,19 & 1917:7 & turbines 1903:7 & 1941:2 1946:8,14 \\
\hline 1908:18,21 & 1968:1,2,4,5,7,13 & Traxler 1855:7 & turn 1899:24 1900:4 & understanding \\
\hline 1909:12,13,22,22 & 1968:14 & 1856:7 1858:22 & 1900:4 & 1854:11 1862:5 \\
\hline 1910:5,6,8 1911:8 & today 1850:25 & 1860:6 1867:18 & two 1849:9 1851:18 & 1879:20 1880:5 \\
\hline 1911:9,12,12,15 & 1851:16 1905:13 & 1868:14,15 1869:2 & 1857:8 1861:17 & 1881:4 1886:4,12 \\
\hline 1911:20,21 1912:3 & 1958:6 1959:6 & 1869:5,7,9 & 1862:25 1864:6 & 1899:20 1915:17 \\
\hline 1913:6,17,19,23 & 1960:18 1961:9 & 1882:16 1887:3,12 & 1874:22 1875:14 & 1920:16 1964:14 \\
\hline 1914:2,3,9,11,17 & together 1864:20 & 1887:14 1888:8 & 1891:5,22 1896:12 & 1968:16 \\
\hline 1914:22 1915:13 & 1871:15 1872:6 & 1896:25 2002:3 & 1896:13 1898:4,5 & understands \\
\hline 1915:21 1916:2,3 & 1906:3 1908:24 & Traxier's 1856:9 & 1900:22 1902:6 & 1882:10 \\
\hline 1916:9,9,18,21 & told 1850:18 & 1868:17 & 1906:7 1908:24 & understood 1882:19 \\
\hline 1917:8 1918:6,7 & too 1860:6,23,24 & treat 1943:3 1956:7 & 1913:5 1940:1 & 1883:7 1884:10 \\
\hline 1918:16 1919:4,5 & 1872:5 1896:4 & treated 1855:22 & 1944:9,9 1952:19 & unencumbered \\
\hline 1919:22,22,24 & 1899:21 1941:19 & 1943:2 1949:13 & 1960:8,11,13 & 1886:14 \\
\hline 1920:2,16,16 & took 1897:23 & 1954:61955:8 & two-year 1879:8 & Unfortunately \\
\hline 1921:9,14,14,16 & 1944:18 1957:16 & 1958:25 1967:4 & 1907:14 1908:4,5 & \(1913: 9\) \\
\hline 1921:16,17,17 & top 1957:20 1960:9 & treating 1963:20 & 1908:10 1909:9 & uniformly 1944:19 \\
\hline 1922:4 1939:14,14 & total 1860:13 1887:5 & treatment 1893:24 & 1915:21 1959:18 & unique 1889:24 \\
\hline 1939:14,14,17,17 & 1913:3 1941:9 & 1941:4 1943:23 & 1959:24 & 1950:24 1951:1 \\
\hline 1940:16,22 & 1944:4 1948:11 & 1945:16 1947:10 & type 1860:10 1882:7 & unit 1880:12 \\
\hline 1941:18,22,23 & 1949:8,9 1951:16 & 1948:17 1955:9 & 1893:24 1948:15 & 1900:14,20 \\
\hline 1942:9,11,12,12 & 1957:1 & 1967:2,5 & 1956:23 1957:16 & 1903:10,18,18,19 \\
\hline 1943:1,4,8,10,13 & totals 1909:13 & treatments 1893:2 & types 1877:23 & 1903:23,23 1968:5 \\
\hline 1943:14,19,20,22 & toward 1913:3 & tries 1904:4 & 1899:18 & 1968:7 \\
\hline 1945:22 1946:6,8 & 1955:25 & true 1885:3 1906:1 & typically 1863:17 & units 1958:15 \\
\hline 1946:15,17,24,24 & towards 1954:1 & 1909:8 1920:23 & & 1960:21 1961:10 \\
\hline 1946:25 1947:4,5 & 1955:11 & 1941:12 1954:15 & U & 1961:11 \\
\hline 1947:5,24 1948:12 & track 1885:16 & 1955:16 1964:20 & Uh-huh 1861:8 & unit-by-unit \\
\hline 1948:13,13 1949:6 & 1889:9 1916:9 & trued-up 1861:11,12 & 1866:9 & 1873:15 \\
\hline 1949:19,24 & 1952:25 & true-up 1859:20 & under 1861:5,10,11 & unless 1886:1 1940:2 \\
\hline - 1950:12,15,22 & tracked 1886:3,4 & 1860:16,17 & 1862:2 1866:11 & unreasonable \\
\hline 1951:2,4,5,7,9,9 & tracker 1945:11,13 & 1871:20 1872:16 & 1871:51882:5 & 1892:2 \\
\hline 1951:13,13,13,13 & 1945:13 1950:3,5 & 1872:23 1879:9 & 1883:1 1884:21 & until 1889:11 1893:4 \\
\hline 1951:13,14,16 & 1950:10,13 & 1881:21 1889:5 & 1885:21 1889:14 & 1893:5 1916:13 \\
\hline 1952:4,8,11,19 & 1952:24 1953:2, & 1893:9 1902:10,11 & 1889:16 1890:13 & 1941:22,25 1942:4 \\
\hline 1953:6,7,11,21 & 1953:17,19,20 & 1909:15,19 & 1890:13 1891:12 & 1943:2 1953:18 \\
\hline 1954:7,11,13 & tracking 1884:18 & 1913:16 1915:18 & 1891:21 1892:17 & 1962:25 \\
\hline 1955:1 1956:7,15 & 1886:1 1889:24 & 1916:13 1959:15 & 1893:8,19,20 & up 1851:2 1853:10 \\
\hline 1956:18,23 1957:1 & 1890:11 1895:3,16 & 1959:17 & 1905:21 1909:23 & 1853:10 1855:23 \\
\hline 1957:10,12,14,17 & 1916:12 1964:16 & truly 1965:11 & 1916:15 1946:6 & 1863:8,12 1877:11 \\
\hline 1957:19,21,22,24 & TRACY 1847:22 & trustee 1885:14 & 1953:13 1967:3 & 1885:13 1890:7,14 \\
\hline 1958:5,17,17,20 & trade-offs 1906:23 & try 1854:20,22 & underground & 1892:1,15 1893:4 \\
\hline 1958:21,24 1959:1 & traditional 1942:23 & 1876:7 1884:9 & 1963:1 & 1893:5 1895:20 \\
\hline 1959:3,5,5,7,9,10 & 1943:10 & 1900:7,8 1939:14 & underlie 1881:9 & 1896:9 1899:5 \\
\hline 1959:11,25 1960:1 & traditionally & 1939:14 1951:9,13 & underlying 1879:22 & 1900:10,21,22 \\
\hline 1960:3,4,8,17 & 1945:22 & 1951:13,20 1959:5 & understand 1852:1 & 1902:5,6,22,24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1903:24 1906:15 & using 1895:23 & 1921:5 1948:11 & 1901:25,25 & 1891:19 1894:5 \\
\hline 1909:8 1910:23 & 1900:11 1942:19 & 1954:18 1961:8 & 1905:13 1907:24 & 1897:17,23,23,23 \\
\hline 1912:61916:2 & 1953:13 1956:23 & 1965:6 1967:10 & 1910:2 1911:25,25 & 1897:25,25,25 \\
\hline 1918:1 1940:6,7 & 1968:6 & view 1863:3,5 & 1912:25 1913:2 & 1898:2,17,17,18 \\
\hline 1940:14,19 & usually 1903:17,17 & 1881:13 1958:4 & 1917:2,6 1918:4,5 & 1898:19 1899:6,7 \\
\hline 1941:17,22,25 & 1942:16,17 & violation 1886:22 & 1919:21 1921:16 & 1900:21 1903:7,10 \\
\hline 1943:2 1948:18 & 1963:12 1964:3,3 & volatility 1869:23 & 1921:22 1922:10 & 1903:12,17,17 \\
\hline 1949:16 1950:4 & 1964:3 & 1870:1 1956:24 & 1940:6,7,9,19 & 1904:10,10 1905:7 \\
\hline 1952:14,21 & utilities 1911:9,10 & volume 1847:8 & 1941:23 1945:2,12 & 1906:2,6,7,8,9,10 \\
\hline 1953:12 1961:12 & 1958:18 1962:11 & 1871:21 1922:10 & 1945:13,13 & 1906:15,21 \\
\hline 1965:7,11 1967:13 & utility 1890:1 & 1968:25 & 1947:23 1948:3,20 & 1907:11,12,12 \\
\hline upcoming 1963:7 & 1899:23 1900:5 & & 1949:2,6,18 & 1908:3,6,8,11 \\
\hline updated 1909:3 & 1903:3 1904:2 & W & 1950:4,8,14,14 & 1909:8,8,25 \\
\hline upon 1865:7 & 1960:25 & W 1848:6,9 1850:8 & 1952:3,6,7 1953:1 & 1910:15,20 \\
\hline 1870:17 1872:13 & utility's 1952:14 & wait 1962:25 & 1953:20 1954:11 & 1911:24 1912:5,13 \\
\hline 1897:24 1902:13 & utilization 1913:22 & want 1851:22 & 1955:14,14,15,17 & 1914:10 1915:10 \\
\hline 1904:1,13 & utilize 1878:3 & 1852:6,8 1854:21 & 1955:21 1956:1,23 & 1915:20,25 1916:8 \\
\hline upper 1959:23,23 & 1880:12 1899:23 & 1869:9,10 1882:5 & 1957:11,12,14,17 & 1916:23,25 1917:1 \\
\hline upstairs 1901:2 & utilized 1878:4 & 1890:9 1896:5 & 1958:6,7,20,20,22 & 1919:14,15,18 \\
\hline 1904:25 & 1898:10,14 & 1897:2 1905:11 & 1960:8 1963:18,18 & 1921:6,7,10,11,20 \\
\hline us 1849:9 1851:18 & 1962:16 & 1910:5 1914:2,9 & 1965:10 1966:9,10 & 1922:1,5 1939:1,3 \\
\hline 1862:8 1896:16 & utilizing 1898 & 1914:22 1921:6,7 & 1966:16,20 1967:3 & 1942:9 1943:11 \\
\hline 1897:15 1900:19 & & 1942:17 1959:25 & 1967:4,11,11 & 1945:21 1946:22 \\
\hline 1907:13 1919:15 & V & 1962:6,25 1967:16 & 1968:25 & 1946:23 1947:3,4 \\
\hline 1921:9 1939:8 & value 1853:11 & wanted 1861:23 & wasn't 1858:7 & 1947:22 1948:13 \\
\hline 1952:8 1958:24 & 1870:3 1890:2 & 1877:6 1911:20 & 1861:23 1872:18 & 1949:10,13,20,20 \\
\hline usage 1854:2 & 1891:2 1941:19 & wanting 1872:5 & 1917:5 1957:7 & 1950:13 1951:6,6 \\
\hline 1863:13,16 1864:2 & 1942:6,11 1944:7 & 1885:10 1940:16 & 1958:23 1965:21 & 1951:8,8,9,11,12 \\
\hline 1871:22,22 & 1944:10,10,11 & wants 1852:4 1868:5 & water 1894:13,17 & 1951:14,18,18,19 \\
\hline 1908:25 1916:7 & 1966:16 & 1966:11 & 1895:9,19 1961:2 & 1951:25 1952:3,23 \\
\hline use 1855:1,2 & values 1867 & warm 1958:10 & Watkins 1852:12,15 & 1953:10,14,16 \\
\hline 1864:22 1877:20 & 1913:8 & was 1850:18 1851:1 & 1852:18,19,21 & 1956:17 1957:2,5 \\
\hline 1880:13 1882:1 & variable 1853:3 & 1851:12 1854:3 & 1868:12 1872:17 & 1957:20 1958:10 \\
\hline 1884:5 1893:15 & 1854:14 1855:11 & 1857:10,11,15,17 & 1872:20 1882:2 & 1958:10,14,19,19 \\
\hline 1897:22 1898:25 & 1855:13,25 1856:2 & 1857:18,22 1858:6 & 1886:4 1905:17,19 & 1958:21 1960:19 \\
\hline 1899:6 1913:16 & 1856:17,19,24 & 1860:12,14 & 1905:20,22,24 & 1960:21 1961:14 \\
\hline 1942:24 1944:6 & 1857:4,23 1858:11 & 1861:14 1862:17 & 1911:15 1912:12 & 1961:14 1962:11 \\
\hline 1949:23 1952:23 & 1858:12,18,19 & 1862:18,19,20,24 & 1913:15 1914:14 & 1962:13,13,25 \\
\hline 1953:15,17 1958:5 & 1859:11 1862:8 & 1865:4 1867:6,6 & 1914:15 2002:2,7 & 1963:4,6 1964:10 \\
\hline 1965:2 & 1866:19 1867:3 & 1867:10,12,12 & way 1854:20,22 & 1965:6 1966:18 \\
\hline used 1857:22 & 1878:21 1879:12 & 1869:11,17,18,22 & 1857:2 1861:14,16 & 1968:19,20 \\
\hline 1862:12 1876:12 & 1901:9,15 1912:24 & 1871:5 1873:6,11 & 1872:15 1884:10 & weather 1909:4,9 \\
\hline 1877:23 1880:21 & 1917:1,6,9 & 1873:20 1874:5,6 & 1896:15,16,16 & well 1851:1,17 \\
\hline 1882:21 1885:24 & variables 1900:8 & 1874:14,14 & 1943:2,3 1947:3 & 1857:21 1864:16 \\
\hline 1893:3,4,24 & varies 1862:21 & 1875:14,18,25 & 1949:17 1953:23 & 1865:2 1867:8 \\
\hline 1898:8 1942:9,11 & various 1880:3 & 1876:1,12,22,23 & 1954:9 & 1868:11 1869:16 \\
\hline 1945:13,13,22 & 1950:20,21 & 1876:24 1877:6 & we 1851:2,6 1852:2 & 1870:9 1871:24 \\
\hline 1950:13 1953:10 & 1952:17 & 1878:4,10,10,14 & 1854:13 1855:21 & 1874:5 1877:22 \\
\hline 1955:15 1956:1 & vary 1854:2 1898:7 & 1882:2,20 1883:8 & 1855:23 1861:21 & 1891:24 1892:16 \\
\hline 1962:7,9,15 & 1909:2 1920:13,15 & 1883:8 1885:19,21 & 1862:10 1864:11 & 1892:19 1895:9 \\
\hline 1963:5,11 1964:15 & version 1861:3 & 1886:12,24 1887:6 & 1864:13,21,22 & 1903:17 1906:25 \\
\hline 1968:14 & versus 1863:21 & 1887:6 1889:3,3 & 1868:15 1873:14 & 1910:7 1920:12 \\
\hline useful 1962:7,9,15 & 1942:10 1948:21 & 1892:9 1893:3,4,7 & 1874:20 1878:17 & 1940:6,22 1943:16 \\
\hline user 1863:21 & 1964:13 1966:25 & 1893:20 1894:1,4 & 1880:3 1882:11 & 1948:8,23 1949:20 \\
\hline users 1848:12 & very 1868:11 & 1894:9,19,24 & 1883:15 1884:14 & 1953:11 1957:2 \\
\hline 1850:2,9 1863:11 & 1871:25 1872:15 & 1895:4,9,19 & 1884:17,22,24 & 1958:22 1959:2 \\
\hline uses 1899:19 & 1886:19 1890:5 & 1897:4,23,25 & 1885:10 1888:8,11 & 1964:5 1965:23 \\
\hline 1945:11 & 1891:25 1919:9 & 1898:1,2 1901:21 & 1890:9 1891:12,18 & 1966:22 1967:20 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1968:12 & what 1852:9 & 1920:12 1921:16 & 1948:19 1949:15 & 1872:12 1874:1 \\
\hline well-funded 1890:14 & 1853:17,18 & 1939:14 1940:4,9 & 1951:9 1952:23 & 1879:12 1881:21 \\
\hline 1891:8,25 & 1855:11,15 & 1941:11,13,14,23 & 1960:7,8 1961:2 & 1881:22 1882:10 \\
\hline went 1891:181894:5 & 1856:24 1857:5,5 & 1942:1,11,14,24 & 1968:1 & 1883:19 1884:22 \\
\hline 1894:10 1897:25 & 1857:5 1858:3,10 & 1943:3,13,14,20 & whereas 1964:1,1 & 1886:10,14 1888:2 \\
\hline 1961:5 & 1858:10,11,13 & 1944:16,20 1946:8 & whether 1854:4,24 & 1892:4 1893:15 \\
\hline were 1851:8,8 & 1859:17,23 1860:5 & 1946:14 1948:20 & 1865:5 1878:2 & 1894:8 1902:11,13 \\
\hline 1853:5,12 1854:4 & 1862:1,5,7,17,19 & 1948:23,24 1949:2 & 1880:11 1882:17 & 1902:14,19 1905:7 \\
\hline 1854:5,6,7 1855:2 & 1863:1 1864:12 & 1949:18,23 1953:2 & 1882:25 1895:20 & 1905:17 1909:15 \\
\hline 1856:18 1859:12 & 1865:5,20 1867:10 & 1953:8 1957:19 & 1900:5 1909:19,23 & 1909:16,17 1910:9 \\
\hline 1862:9,10 1867:7 & 1867:12,12 & 1958:3 1960:9 & 1910:9 1913:18 & 1911:23 1912:6 \\
\hline 1867:14,15 & 1869:11,22 1870:7 & 1962:9,10 1965:4 & 1914:20 1917:6 & 1913:16 1915:18 \\
\hline 1869:12 1877:5 & 1870:9 1871:2 & 1965:9,11 1966:10 & 1919:15,16,25 & 1915:18,20 1916:6 \\
\hline 1880:2,3,3 1883:2 & 1872:12,24 1873:3 & 1966:16,24 & 1946:6 1948:13 & 1916:8,11 1918:12 \\
\hline 1885:10,12 1887:4 & 1873:6,11,17,20 & 1968:12,12 & 1950:18 1952:14 & 1919:17 1921:10 \\
\hline 1887:15,18 & 1874:12 1875:2,3 & whatever 1886:8,24 & 1965:24 & 1921:11,20 1922:6 \\
\hline 1894:20 1898:7,13 & 1875:3,16 1877:1 & 1913:19 1920:17 & which 1859:12 & 1939:13 1945:17 \\
\hline 1899:23 1900:23 & 1877:5,13,22 & 1920:17 1954:8 & 1865:3 1874:20 & 1945:21,24 1946:2 \\
\hline 1900:23 1902:5 & 1878:1,4,7 & 1963:1 & 1877:24,25 & 1946:4,6,12,15,18 \\
\hline 1906:22 1907:13 & 1879:12,12,14,25 & what's 1852:1 & 1879:11 1889:2,5 & 1946:22 1947:4,12 \\
\hline 1908:23 1910:7 & 1879:25 1880:5,9 & 1858:16 1860:1 & 1889:20 1891:1 & 1951:2,4,7,19 \\
\hline 1913:23 1916:19 & 1880:16 1881:5,15 & 1889:19 1901:3 & 1892:2 1893:19,19 & 1953:14,17 1954:6 \\
\hline 1916:23,25 1917:1 & 1881:15,19,23 & 1914:21 1947:21 & 1894:7 1895:4 & 1954:13 1958:11 \\
\hline 1919:14,21,23 & 1882:1,10,22,24 & Wheeler 1848:2 & 1897:24 1898:20 & 1958:14 1959:15 \\
\hline 1939:5 1948:12 & 1883:7,22 1884:5 & 1849:15 & 1902:3 1906:2,18 & 1959:17,22 1960:1 \\
\hline 1950:15 1951:15 & 1884:10,15 & when 1853:17 & 1909:14 1912:25 & 1960:1,10,13 \\
\hline 1951:23,24 & 1885:25 1887:15 & 1856:17,18 & 1913:6,7 1922:10 & 1963:7 1964:22 \\
\hline 1956:14,18 1957:1 & 1887:18 1888:16 & 1858:12 1863:7,7 & 1941:3,18 1943:11 & 1966:13 1967:22 \\
\hline 1957:19 1958:25 & 1888:20 1889:12 & 1863:7 1865:17 & 1943:23 1944:4 & 1967:23,24 \\
\hline 1959:7,13 1961:7 & 1889:13 1890:13 & 1870:2 1871:19 & 1946:5,9 1952:4 & Williams 1848:20 \\
\hline 1961:7,8,10 & 1891:16 1892:8,12 & 1877:14 1881:21 & 1953:24 1954:13 & 1849:20,20 1851:6 \\
\hline 1962:23 1963:11 & 1892:22,23,25 & 1882:10,16 & 1955:8 1958:9 & 1851:7 1852:11 \\
\hline 1964:17 & 1893:2 1895:14 & 1891:17,18,19,24 & 1967:12 1968:25 & 1868:16 1877:22 \\
\hline weren't 1951:25 & 1896:3 1897:15,21 & 1891:25 1892:5,8 & while 1864:17 & 1878:9 1885:10,19 \\
\hline 1961:7 & 1897:23,23,25 & 1892:13,24 & 1868:19 1895:11 & 1886:6 1905:17 \\
\hline we'd 1908:5 & 1898:7,11,11,23 & 1893:14 1894:9 & 1910:2 1918:9 & 1911:15 1920:25 \\
\hline we'll 1851:18 & 1898:24 1899:4,11 & 1899:22,22,24 & 1919:14,21 & winters 1958:10 \\
\hline 1867:23 1916:9 & 1899:22 1900:4,4 & 1900:10 1903:25 & 1955:15,21 & wintertime 1855:22 \\
\hline 1921:21 1922:8 & 1900:9,18,23 & 1907:15 1909:8,19 & who 1851:22 & wish 1850:25 \\
\hline 1953:17 1968:22 & 1901:12,21 & 1911:23 1912:22 & 1852:10 1854:19 & 1851:18 \\
\hline we're 1859:1 & 1902:11,12 & 1913:15 1918:15 & 1855:6 1856:13 & with 1849:12 \\
\hline 1870:23 1873:2 & 1903:15,15,15 & 1942:9,9,20,24 & 1858:21 1866:13 & 1850:17 1851:10 \\
\hline 1882:11 1890:8 & 1904:11,19 & 1944:8 1948:12 & 1887:3,7 1899:2 & 1851:16,17 \\
\hline 1891:23 1892:12 & 1905:25 1906:8,9 & 1950:19 1952:8 & 1902:18 1950:22 & 1853:20 1854:2,2 \\
\hline 1893:1 1894:3 & 1906:10,21 1907:9 & 1956:14,18,25 & 1956:5 1961:5 & 1857:11 1858:4 \\
\hline 1902:10 1905:6 & 1907:12,16,23,23 & 1960:20,21,25 & whole 1863:8 & 1860:17 1861:22 \\
\hline 1906:18 1909:1 & 1907:24,24 & 1961:1,17 1962:8 & 1871:16 1954:7 & 1862:9 1863:9,12 \\
\hline 1912:22,23 & 1908:11,12,18,20 & 1962:15 1964:10 & why \(1854: 22\) & 1866:1 1868:4 \\
\hline 1916:15 1917:15 & 1908:21 1909:2,13 & where 1853:20 & 1857:15 1861:14 & 1870:7,25 1871:3 \\
\hline 1921:23 1943:19 & 1909:16,17,17,18 & 1856:3 1857:8,15 & 1862:23,24 1863:5 & 1871:14,22 1872:2 \\
\hline 1951:8 1965:8 & 1909:22 1910:13 & 1860:19 1861:10 & 1885:15 1889:6,7 & 1872:2,7,7 1876:6 \\
\hline we've 1855:5 & 1910:22 1911:2,8 & 1861:15 1862:17 & 1894:9 1947:2 & 1877:17,17 1879:3 \\
\hline 1863:22 1884:10 & 1911:10 1912:16 & 1864:11 1869:19 & will 1851:4,17 & 1879:6 1880:20,20 \\
\hline 1889:12 1892:23 & 1913:13,17 1914:4 & 1877:10 1878:19 & 1852:2,13 1863:12 & 1880:21 1881:2 \\
\hline 1901:14 1908:9 & 1915:6,12,17 & 1884:11 1885:20 & 1864:11 1865:6,9 & 1883:9 1884:16,18 \\
\hline 1909:2,3,7 & 1916:21 1917:6,19 & 1905:6 1908:8 & 1865:13 1866:7 & 1885:5,20 1886:20 \\
\hline 1912:18 1943:9 & 1918:11,17,17 & 1911:9 1919:4 & 1868:15,25 & 1886:25 1887:4,23 \\
\hline 1961:13 & 1919:15,24 1920:1 & 1948:16,16,17,18 & 1870:24 1871:2 & 1887:24 1888:22 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1889:15 1890:12 & worked 1862:18 & X 2002:1 & 1967:10 & 1909:10,13,19,20 \\
\hline 1890:14 1892:1 & 1896:15 1951:3 & & yet 1890:8 1951:9 & 1910:4,22,24 \\
\hline 1894:11,12 1895:3 & working 1850:6,7 & Y & you 1849:13,17,18 & 1911:12 1912:5,10 \\
\hline 1895:17,24 1898:4 & 1896:15 1939:13 & yeah 1860:18 & 1850:11,23 & 1913:11,15,16,19 \\
\hline 1899:5 1900:10,11 & works 1852:24 & 1865:24 1878:13 & 1851:25 1852:13 & 1913:21,23 1914:3 \\
\hline 1900:22 1901:2,23 & 1881:22 & 887:9 1896:5 & 1852:17,17 1853:8 & 1914:15,15,22 \\
\hline 1902:6 1903:20 & worth 1896: & 1898:16 1902:3,4 & 1853:17,18,22,25 & 1915:1,6,9,12 \\
\hline 1908:17 1909:7 & would 1852:11 & 1902:17 1916:8 & 1854:18,18,20,22 & 1916:11,18,24 \\
\hline 1913:10 1914:3 & 1853:19 1855:7,1 & 1918:19 1960:23 & 1856:3,9,13,17,18 & 1917:8,19 1918:12 \\
\hline 1915:12 1917:13 & 1855:15 1856:7,7 & уear 1854:5 1855:2 & 1858:4 1859:3 & 1918:15,19,20,20 \\
\hline 1917:15,17 & 1857:23 1860:6,8 & 1863:8 1890:17 & 1861:1,2,15 & 1919:2,4,9,10,10 \\
\hline 1918:20 1919:2 & 1861:24 1862:6 & 1892:21 1900:8 & 1862:1,4,12,25 & 1919:13,17,21 \\
\hline 1921:21,24 1922:1 & 1865:21 1866:13 & 1908:1 1909:3 & 1863:1,7,7,8,9 & 1920:1,10,12,21 \\
\hline 1922:5 1939:14,16 & 1867:5 1868:13,16 & 1941:4,16,23,25 & 1864:6,13 1865:7 & 1921:2,5,6,7,17,19 \\
\hline 1939:16 1940:24 & 1871:7,12 1872:24 & 1942:4 1943:24,25 & 1865:17 1866:1,13 & 1922:1 1939:1,7 \\
\hline 1941:4,8,9 1942:9 & 1872:25 1878:8,24 & 1955:4,12,17,24 & 1867:10,23,24 & 1939:14,24 1940:5 \\
\hline 1944:19 1945:4 & 1879:6,7,15,18 & yearly 1944:24 & 1868:9,11,23,25 & 1940:16,18,20,22 \\
\hline 1950:20,20 1952:7 & 1880:14,14 1881 & years 1889:18 & 1869:3,4,6,9,10,13 & 1940:24 1941:2,6 \\
\hline 1952:16,20,22,23 & 1881:16,24 & 1890:7 1892:8,8 & 1870:2,24 1871:17 & 1941:11,11,13,13 \\
\hline 1953:17 1954:3 & 1884:14,16,24 & 1892:11 1942:6 & 1871:19,20,21 & 1941:14,20 1942:1 \\
\hline 1956:21 1957:15 & 1885:3,5,7,8,11,17 & 1946:9 1953:13 & 1872:19,22,24,25 & 1942:4,11,14,15 \\
\hline 1958:21 1959:15 & 1885:20 1886:6,15 & 1955:10,20,23,24 & 1873:2,3,11,14 & 1942:16,17,18,20 \\
\hline 1960:11 1963:16 & 1886:23 1887:3,8 & 1956:2,4,5 1960:8 & 1874:1,24 1875:16 & 1942:23 1943:14 \\
\hline 1964:4,13,14,15 & 1887:24 1888:16 & 1960:11,13,16 & 1877:5,6 1878:13 & 1943:21 1944:6 \\
\hline 1964:19 1965:25 & 1888:19 1889:17 & 1963:3,7 1965:7,7 & 1878:14 1879:20 & 1946:8,16 1947:7 \\
\hline within 1891:2 & 1889:21 1890:19 & yes 1850:22 1854:9 & 1879:21 1880:2,19 & 1947:15,15,16,20 \\
\hline 1892:20 1893:12 & 1893:10 1898:11 & 1855:16 1856:3,12 & 1881:5,17,25 & 1948:1,5,15,16,17 \\
\hline 1962:18 1963:6 & 1898:12 1900:3,9 & 1856:15 1860:12 & 1882:6,6,14,17 & 1948:18,18 1950:2 \\
\hline without 1853:11 & 1902:3,22 1907:24 & 1861:16,21 1866:3 & 1883:15,18 & 1950:18 1951:1 \\
\hline 1899:21 & 1908:3,6 1911:9 & 1866:5,21,23 & 1884:16,19,19 & 1953:2 1954:18,20 \\
\hline witness \(1852: 15\), & 1911:14 1914:12 & 1867:9 1873:1,1 & 1885:4,25 1886 & 1956:9,10,13,14 \\
\hline 1868:4,9,24 & 1915:25 1916:3 & 1874:11 1876:15 & 1886:8,8,25,25 & 1956:18,19 1957:3 \\
\hline 1869:2 1875:25 & 1920:5,19 1921:8 & 1876:17,21,23 & 1887:1,3,13,17,19 & 1957:3,3 1958:14 \\
\hline 1887:20,23 1897:7 & 1922:4 1939:7 & 1879:1,6 1880:18 & 1888:1,4,6,16,19 & 1960:12,17,25 \\
\hline 1897:10 1904:20 & 1941:14,19,21,23 & 1882:3 1883:13 & 1888:24 1890:14 & 1961:2,4,6,9,12,16 \\
\hline 1905:1,5 1914:5 & 1941:24 1942:22 & 1884:9 1887:22 & 1890:19,20 & 1961:17 1962:3,6 \\
\hline 1914:25 1915:3 & 1943:11 1944:9 & 1888:21 1890:24 & 1891:17 1892:4,5 & 1962:15,23 1963:2 \\
\hline 1919:8 1921:4 & 1945:19 1948:3,3 & 1895:16,25 & 1893:14,15,15,23 & 1963:3,16,17,19 \\
\hline 1940:6,13,23 & 1948:11,13,19,20 & 1896:13 1898:1 & 1894:3,8,16,16,20 & 1964:10,14,17,19 \\
\hline 1947:16 1965:22 & 1948:23,25 & 1898:21 1899:14 & 1894:22 1895:8,12 & 1964:22 1965:6,16 \\
\hline witnesses 1876:7 & 1949:20,23,23 & 1900:15,17 & 1895:22 1896:3,5 & 1965:17,18 \\
\hline 1915:12 1918:20 & 1950:2,5,13 & 1901:19,19 1903 & 1896:8,20,22,25 & 1967:20,23 1968:1 \\
\hline wondering 1911:25 & 1952:13,14,20, & 1904:14 1905:1 & 1896:25 1897:2,5 & 1968:6 \\
\hline won't 1882:11 & 1954:4,13,15 & 1910:4 1911:7 & 1897:8,8,12,15,15 & your 1850:22 \\
\hline 1885:22,24 & 1955:16 1956:3 & 1912:15 1913:1 & 1897:21 1898:7,8 & 1852:13 1856:18 \\
\hline 1916:12 1946:21 & 1957:2,14,20 & 1915:11,15 & 1898:10,14 1899:4 & 1857:20 1868:25 \\
\hline 1953:19 1954:16 & 1958:8,9,24 & 1917:12,14,22 & 1899:5,9,13,22,23 & 1869:13 1876:16 \\
\hline Woods 1848:13 & 1960:17,19,19,21 & 1919:1,6 1920:11 & 1899:23,24 & 1877:4 1881:4,4 \\
\hline 1850:13,13,20,21 & 1963:3 1964:14 & 1920:22,25 & 1900:10,11 1901:7 & 1882:19 1883:4 \\
\hline 1850:22 1921:12 & 1965:10 1966:2,1 & 1921:13 1939:19 & 1901:12,18,21 & 1887:15 1888:14 \\
\hline 1921:14,19 & 1966:23 1968:6 & 1941:1 1943:7,21 & 1902:5,6,6,8,21,21 & 1890:12,16 1891:9 \\
\hline words 1861:20 & wouldn't 1885:13 & 1944:1,25 1945:10 & 1902:22,22 1903:2 & 1893:25 1897:9 \\
\hline 1887:6 1898:23 & 1942:2 1945:2,3 & 1945:11 1946:11 & 1903:14,15 & 1898:7,8,23,24 \\
\hline work 1852:8 & 1954:7 1959:11 & 1947:11 1948:10 & 1904:17 1905:2,5 & 1904:19 1906:1,14 \\
\hline 1866:24 1871:25 & writing 1856:12 & 1955:3,11,16,19 & 1905:6,11,18 & 1906:24 1915:2,17 \\
\hline 1884:3 1885:8 & written 1852:9 & 1956:7 1957:9,25 & 1906:2,13,17 & 1920:5 1921:2 \\
\hline 1894:16 1921:3 & & 1960:5 1964:9,23 & 1907:6,7,15,16 & 1940:8,14 1945:19 \\
\hline 1951:12,19 & X & 1965:4 1966:23 & 1908:12,17 1909:5 & 1947:2,21 1961:19 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 1962:3 1964:14,22 & \$500 1941:16,22 & 1956 2002:14 & 1959:13 1960:1 & \\
\hline 1968:11 & 1942:4,5 1955:4,6 & 1961 2002:15 & 5.151918:2 & \\
\hline yourself 1912:1 & 1955:12,17 & 1970 1969:1 2002:15 & \(5.161957: 23\) & \\
\hline you'd 1873:14,15 & \$530,000 1946:20,22 & 1973 2002:16 & 5.64 1860:8 1957:21 & \\
\hline 1941:21 1955:2 & 1946:24 & 1978 2002:17 & 530 1946:19 1947:3 & \\
\hline you'll 1863:17 & \$6 1960:8 & 1984 2002:19 & 573-634-2266 & \\
\hline 1886:10 1905:20 & \$71876:3 & 1990's 1894:4 & 1848:8 & \\
\hline 1916:6 1958:16 & \$8 1949:6 & 1993 1889:6 & 573-635-7166 & \\
\hline you're 1851:9 & \$87,700,206 1912:24 & \(19971893: 7\) & 1848:4 & \\
\hline 1856:10 1871:19 & \$900,000 1954:14 & & 573-751-5565 & \\
\hline 1872:9 1877:8,24 & & 2 & 1848:19 & \\
\hline 1881:7,14,14,19 & 0 & 2 1941:3 1942:3 & 573-751-8702 & \\
\hline 1885:5,6,15 & \(051967: 22\) & 1955:2,17 & 1848:22 & \\
\hline 1889:25 1892:1 & & 20 1847:8 & 573-751-8795 & \\
\hline 1895:11 1898:16 & 1 & 20-year 1961:19 & 1848:15 & \\
\hline 1903:25 1907:15 & 11912:16,20,21 & 2001 1969:1 & & \\
\hline 1909:20 1916:12 & 1920:14 1942:4,6 & 2003 1889:6 & 6 & \\
\hline 1916:12,21 & 1955:2,8,13 & 2004 1847:6 & \(61861: 61918: 5\) & \\
\hline 1918:15,17 & 1A 1912:22 & 21 1922:10 1968:25 & 1946:1 & \\
\hline 1942:16,19,20 & 1,471,339 1946:17 & \(21.601944: 22\) & \(6.91966: 18\) & \\
\hline 1943:3,5,13 & 1.31954 :15 & \(21.901944: 21\) & \(6011848: 7\) & \\
\hline 1944:6 1948:8 & \(1.81916: 3\) & 2230 1848:18 & \(641111848: 11\) & \\
\hline 1949:3 1957:3 & \(1.97121915: 24\) & 1849:25 & 65102 1848:4,7,14 & \\
\hline 1961:1 1962:8,24 & 1:00 1905:8 & 225 1941:8,9,15 & 1848:18,21 & \\
\hline 1963:20 1965:4 & 1:15 1921:20 & 270 1944:3 & 1849:21 1850:1,16 & \\
\hline 1966:10 & 10 1942:6 1955:13 & & & \\
\hline you've 1871:15 & 1955:23,24 1956:2 & 3 & 7 & \\
\hline 1891:24,25 & 1965:7,7 & 31912:19 1913:7 & 7 1865:23,25 & \\
\hline 1899:25 1913:17 & \(1031918: 1\) & 1944:18,21 & 1966:19 & \\
\hline Z & \(1061894: 7\) & 3.50 1869:24 1870:2 & & \\
\hline zero 1892:10,11 & \(111941: 16,23,25\)
\(1942: 41955: 4,10\) & 1870:2,10,13,18
\(1870: 21,23\) & 81883.241 & \\
\hline & 1955:17,20 1956:4 & 1871:12 1898:3,3 & 816-753-1122 & \\
\hline \$ & 11th 1955:24,24 & 1906:8 1908:16 & 1848:11 & \\
\hline \$1.3 1954:11 & 11.74 1911:3 & 1917:2,20 1918:2 & 87 1888:13,24 & \\
\hline \$10 1949:11 & \(11.7451911: 3\) & 1959:3 & 1889:3 1890:13 & \\
\hline \$103,800,206 1913:3 & \(12091848: 10\) & 30 1944:4 & 1891:12,19 1892:5 & \\
\hline \$11.745 1910:25 & \(14.431901: 4,5\) & 30th 1889:5 & 1893:4,6,8,12,13 & \\
\hline \$14.43 1910:2 & 15 1956:4 & 301 1848:7 & 1893:14,21 1894:2 & \\
\hline \$15.32 1910:25 & 161888:20 1893:23 & 3100 1848:10 & 1894:5,9,10 & \\
\hline \$161917:25 & 1894:25 & 1850:10 & 1895:23 1918:1 & \\
\hline \$16,100,000 1913:7 & \(18.51949: 11\) & 312 1848:3 1849:15 & 1953:13,16 & \\
\hline \$2 1946:18 & 180 1940:24 1955: 1 & \(3601848: 21 \quad 1849: 20\) & 899 1848:14 1850:15 & \\
\hline \$3 1958:25 & 1852 2002:2 & & & \\
\hline \$3.50 1857:12,13,25 & 1869 2002:4 & \[
4
\] & 9 & \\
\hline 1860:14 1869:17 & \(18882002: 4\) & \(41878: 161943: 23\) & \(91883: 241884: 2\) & \\
\hline 1871:9 1959:13 & \(18972002: 6\) & 1944:18,22 & 98.63 1944:5 & \\
\hline \$31 1875:19 & \(191915: 23\) &  & & \\
\hline \$35,000 1954:12 & 1905 2002:7 & 5 & & \\
\hline \$381876:1 & 1915 2002:9 & \(51847: 61861: 1\) & & \\
\hline \$4 1870:22 1880:4 & 1919 2002:10 & 1879:11 1918:5 & & \\
\hline 1898:2 1958:7,8 & 1923 1922:11 & 5-year 1961:21 & & \\
\hline 1958:19,21 & 2002:12 & \(5.141869: 25\) & & \\
\hline \$5.14 1870:18 & 1938 1922:11 & 1870:11 1871:8 & & \\
\hline 1871:4 1877:11 & 1939 2002:12 & 1898:3 1905:5 & & \\
\hline \$5.2 1896:10 & 1940 2002:13 & 1906:9 1908:13,15 & & \\
\hline \$5.64 1860:13 & 1947 2002:13 & 1917:2,24 1957:23 & & \\
\hline \$50 1955:12 & 1954 2002:14 & 1957:24 1959:11 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}```

