FILED March 27, 2025 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

# Exhibit No. 222

Staff – Exhibit 222 Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen Cross-Rebuttal/Surrebuttal File No. WR-2024-0320

Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.:

Date Testimony Prepared:

Revenue Requirement; Tax Gross-Up; Tank Painting Amanda C. McMellen MoPSC Staff Cross Rebuttal-Surrebuttal Testimony WR-2024-0320 January 10, 2025

# **MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

## FINANCIAL & BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

## **AUDITING DEPARTMENT**

## **CROSS REBUTTAL-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

## OF

## AMANDA C. MCMELLEN

## MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2024-0320

Jefferson City, Missouri January 2025

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
2	<b>CROSS REBUTTAL-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF</b>
3	AMANDA C. MCMELLEN
4	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY
5	CASE NO. WR-2024-0320
6	Executive Summary1
7	Revenue Requirement
8	Tax Gross-Up on Revenue Requirement
9	Tank Painting Maintenance Expense4

1		CROSS REBUTTAL-SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2		OF		
3		AMANDA C. MCMELLEN		
4		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY		
5		CASE NO. WR-2024-0320		
6	Q.	Please state your name and business address.		
7	А.	My name is Amanda C. McMellen. My business address is 200 Madison Street,		
8	Suite 440, Jefferson City, MO 65101.			
9	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?		
10	А.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as		
11	a Utility Regulatory Audit Unit Supervisor.			
12	Q.	Are you the same Amanda C. McMellen who filed revenue requirement		
13	direct/rebuttal testimony filed December 6, 2024, in this case?			
14	А.	Yes, I am.		
15	<b>EXECUTIV</b>	E SUMMARY		
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your cross rebuttal-surrebuttal testimony?		
17	А.	My cross rebuttal-surrebuttal testimony will update the Commission regarding		
18	a change in S	Staff's revenue requirement position from direct/rebuttal after inclusion of some		
19	error correct	ions. Staff's cross rebuttal-surrebuttal Accounting Schedules are being filed		
20	concurrently	with this testimony. I will also be responding to the direct/rebuttal testimony of		
21	the Office of	Public Counsel ("OPC") witnesses John S. Riley and Angela Schaben regarding		
22	the tax gro	oss-up on overall revenue requirement and tank painting maintenance		
23	expense, resp	pectively.		

Cross Rebuttal-Surrebuttal Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

1

3

## **REVENUE REQUIREMENT**

2

Q. What was Staff's revenue requirement for Missouri-American Water Company ("MAWC") water and sewer operations in direct/rebuttal testimony?

A. Staff's revenue requirement was \$68,825,560 for water and \$5,316,504 for
sewer for a total revenue requirement of \$74,142,064 for MAWC consolidated. Staff's cross
rebuttal-surrebuttal accounting schedules now reflect a revenue requirement of \$65,721,166 for
water and \$4,789,373 for sewer district, for a total consolidated revenue requirement increase
of \$70,510,539. Staff's rebuttal revenue requirement represents an overall decrease of
\$3,631,525 for MAWC from Staff's direct/rebuttal position.

Q. Please summarize the error corrections and updates Staff has made to its
direct/rebuttal filed position.

A. Listed below are the corrections and updates to Staff's position that have been reflected in Staff's cross rebuttal-surrebuttal accounting schedules. The Staff witness and items listed below are explained further in the listed witness' cross rebuttal-surrebuttal testimony. The exceptions to this are the first two items listed below. Alexis Branson will not file cross rebuttal-surrebuttal testimony regarding plant in service and maintenance supplies and services, as the error was simply Staff not using the most accurate data provided by MAWC.

18 Plant in Service – Alexis Branson • 19 Maintenance Supplies and Services – Alexis Branson 20 Contribution in Aid of Construction – Sherrye Lesmes • Amortization of Regulatory Assets - Angela Niemeier 21 ٠ 22 Payroll – Sherrye Lesmes • 23 Miscellaneous Expenses – Sherrye Lesmes ٠ 24 Commercial, Industrial, and Other Public Authority Revenues -• 25 Ashley Sarver

# Cross Rebuttal-Surrebuttal Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

1		Residential Usage - Revenues – Ashley Sarver				
2	Other Post-Employment Benefits – Angela Niemeier					
3	Incentive Compensation – Angela Niemeier					
4		Business Development Expenses – Ashley Sarver				
5	TAX GROSS-UP ON REVENUE REQUIREMENT					
6	Q.	What is OPC witness Mr. Riley's position regarding a tax gross-up on overall				
7	revenue requirement?					
8	А.	As stated in his direct/rebuttal testimony on page 14 lines 21 through 24:				
9		Due to the Company's NOL position, the Company is not in a situation				
10 11		where additional income taxes need to be calculated to sustain the Commission's established return on equity. In simple terms, the tax				
12		gross up does not need to be applied to the income tax calculations when				
13		determining the revenue requirement that should be ordered by				
14		the Commission.				
15	Q.	Does Staff agree with Mr. Riley's calculation for the tax gross-up factor?				
16	А.	Yes. Staff agrees with Mr. Riley's tax gross-up factor of 1.313 as shown in his				
17	direct/rebuttal testimony on page 16, lines 1 through 6 and this factor should be applied to the					
18	overall revenue requirement.					
19	Q.	What is the purpose of the tax gross-up factor?				
20	А.	The tax gross-up factor is used to calculate additional taxes needed on the overall				
21	revenue requirement (incremental increase in rates calculated in this case).					
22	Q.	What is Staff's position regarding a tax gross-up on overall				
23	revenue requirement?					
24	А.	Staff's position is that MAWC is not in a Net Operating Loss ("NOL") position,				
25	which is explained in the cross rebuttal-surrebuttal testimony of Staff witness Ashley Sarver.					
26	Therefore, a t	ax gross-up on the overall revenue requirement is appropriate.				

# Cross Rebuttal-Surrebuttal Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen

Q. Would Staff's position change if MAWC was in an NOL position?
 A. No. Even Mr. Riley, starting on page 16 line 23 through page 17 line 3, admits
 that there should be taxes included but only at the tax composite rate of 23.84%. This is an
 illogical position. If taxes are to be included in rates, there should be a tax gross-up applied to
 the overall revenue requirement.

6

## TANK PAINTING MAINTENANCE EXPENSE

Q. Do you agree with OPC witness Ms. Schaben that MAWC is currently
amortizing tank painting maintenance expense?<sup>1</sup>

A. No. MAWC is currently expensing tank painting maintenance expense,
however, in this current rate case MAWC has proposed to capitalize future tank painting
expense. If these expenses are not capitalized as proposed by MAWC, MAWC is
recommending to include a normalized ongoing level in this rate case based on an adjusted test
year with an inflation factor added.<sup>2</sup>

Q. What is Staff's position regarding tank painting maintenance expense?

A. Staff used a five-year average ending December 31, 2023, for tank painting
maintenance expense.<sup>3</sup>

Q. Does this conclude your Cross-Surrebuttal testimony?

18

17

14

A. Yes it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Direct/Rebuttal testimony of OPC witness Angela Schaben on page 17 lines 11 through 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Direct testimony of MAWC witness Jennifer M.B. Grisham on page 11 lines 5 through 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Direct/Rebuttal testimony of Staff witness Alexis Branson on page 11 lines 17 through 22.

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas

Case No. WR-2024-0320

## **AFFIDAVIT OF AMANDA C. McMELLEN**

STATE OF MISSOURI	)	
	)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE	)	

COMES NOW AMANDA C. McMELLEN and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing Cross-Rebuttal / Surrebuttal Testimony of Amanda C. McMellen; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

### JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my office in Jefferson City, on this 9th day of January 2025.

D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070

sellankin

Notary Public