

FILED  
March 27, 2025  
Data Center  
Missouri Public  
Service Commission

# Exhibit No. 319

OPC – Exhibit 319  
OPC Position Statement, p. 20  
OPC Position  
File No. WR-2024-0320

**If so, how should the RSM be structured in terms of:**

**i. Authorized revenues for purpose of the RSM**

OPC Position: The Commission should not approve an RSM for MAWC. However, if it does, the Commission should set the authorized revenues at the amount of revenue for each class calculated as the normalized billing units multiplied by final rates.

**ii. included customer classes and the treatment of each class,**

OPC Position: The Commission should not approve an RSM for MAWC. However, if it does, OPC has no position on what classes should be included. The Commission should order the RSM rate be different for each class and the revenue target for each class be the authorized revenue for that class. There should be no class subsidization.

**iii. the calculation of surcharge credits or surcharges,**

OPC Position: The Commission should not approve an RSM for MAWC. However, if it does, the Commission should order charges/credits be calculated as the difference between each class's authorized and billed revenues and divide that difference by the expected usage of the class in the recovery period.

**iv. the return of over-collections to customers,**

OPC Position: The Commission should not approve an RSM for MAWC. However, if it does, the Commission should order credits be calculated as the difference between each class's authorized and billed revenues and divide that difference by the expected usage of the class in the recovery period.