

SEP 2 9 2005

Misseuri Public

Exhibit No.: Issues: Witness: Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff Case No .: Date Testimony Prepared:

Tariff Issues William L. McDuffey Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony ER-2006-0315 June 30, 2006

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

Jefferson City, Missouri June 2006

Exhibit No._ Case No(s) Aptr

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the matter of The Empire District Company of) Joplin, Missouri for authority to file tariffs) increasing rates for electric service provided to) customers in Missouri service area of the Company.)

Case No. ER-2006-0315

AFFIDAVIT OF WILLIAM L. MCDUFFEY

STATE OF MISSOURI)	
)	SS.
COUNTY OF COLE)	

William L. McDuffey, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of <u>6</u> pages to be presented in the above case; that the answers in the foregoing Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true and correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

<u> Zhilliam Z</u> William L.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29^{+1} day of June 2006. Notary Public expires

TABLE OF CONTENTS

•

1

1

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY

THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY

CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

EXPERIMENTAL GREEN POWER SCHEDULE	2
STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CHARGE	3
MISCELLANEOUS TARIFF ISSUES	4

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY						
1 2 3 4 5 6	OF						
4 5	WILLIAM L. McDUFFEY						
	THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY						
7 8 9	CASE NO. ER-2006-0315						
10 11							
12	Q. Please state your name and business address.						
13	A. William "Mack" L. McDuffey, 200 Madison Street, Jefferson City,						
14	Missouri 65101.						
15	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?						
16	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)						
17	as a Rate & Tariff Examiner in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations Division.						
18	Q. How long have you been employed by the Commission?						
19	A. I have been employed by the Commission since October, 1978.						
20	Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?						
21	A. Yes, I have filed expert testimony in sixteen cases as shown on Schedule						
22	1. In addition, I have been responsible for preparing Staff recommendations in						
23	memorandum form in numerous tariff filings and tariff cases.						
24	Q. Please summarize your direct testimony in this case.						
25	A. In my direct testimony I address The Empire District Electric Company's						
26	("Empire" or "Company") proposed removal of its Experimental Green Power Schedule,						
27	its addition of a Street Lighting Service Charge and Miscellaneous Tariff Issues						
28	applicable to the electric operations of Empire.						
29	Q. Please describe your experience, education and qualifications.						
	1						

•

.

i

į

Ţ

ļ

1	A. I have over 27 years of experience working with electric, gas, and steam
2	utility tariff issues. I review filed tariffs for technical and clerical changes, work with
3	regulated electric and steam utilities on the revision of rules and regulations, address
4	customer complaints, compile statistical data, respond to document requests, prepare
5	records for microfilming, update various internal Commission records and maps, verify
6	service area descriptions in territorial agreement cases and present testimony in formal
7	proceedings before the Commission.
8	In 1971, I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration from
9	Southwestern State College of Weatherford, Oklahoma. Upon graduation, I worked one
10	year for Caddo Electric Cooperative of Binger, Oklahoma, in the Engineering
11	Department. I assumed an Engineering Technician position with Oklahoma Gas and
12	Electric Company of Oklahoma City, for five years prior to my employment with the
13	Commission.
14	EXPERIMENTAL GREEN POWER SCHEDULE
15	Q. Please describe the Company's proposed Experimental Green Power
16	Schedule, Rider EGP removal.
17	A. The Company is proposing to remove the rider and leave the tariff sheets
18	(P.S.C. Mo. No. 5, Section 4, Sheet No. 2 and Sheet No. 3) blank.
19	Q. What is the Staff's position relating to this tariff proposal?
20	A. The Staff agrees with the Company's proposed elimination of the rider.
21	Staff has reviewed the rider's Terms and Conditions (paragraph 4) which state:
22 23 24 25	In order for Empire to provide service in accordance with this program, a minimum of 1,000 blocks of Green Power must be requested, in the form of customers signing the above-mentioned application and agreement by April 1, 2005. Prior to this date,

2

1 information will be provided to customers about Green Power. If 2 there is less than the 1,000 block minimum participation, this tariff 3 will be discontinued on May 1, 2005. If minimum participation is 4 exceeded, the two year experimental program will begin June 1. 5 2005, contingent on the availability of renewable resources, but in 6 no case will the program begin after December 31, 2005. 7 8 Staff's investigation and review of this issue revealed that the minimum level of 9 participation was not reached and the program by tariff was discontinued as of 10 May 1, 2005. The elimination of this tariff provision is designed to remove the tariff 11 sheet that details a service that has expired and is no longer valid. 12 STREET LIGHTING SERVICE CHARGE 13 **Q**. Please describe the Company's proposed Street Lighting Service Charge. 14 Α. In its direct testimony, the Company proposed the Street Lighting Service Charge on nine rate schedules ranging from residential to transmission service due to 15 16 alleged competition from electric cooperatives within several service areas of the 17 Company. This tariff would allow Empire to offer to the cities within its Missouri 18 jurisdiction the option of continuing to be billed directly for street lighting or allocating 19 the cost of the street lighting to customers within the city and allowing Empire to bill the

20 customers through an adder on each customer's monthly electric bills.

Q. Has the Company changed its position concerning the Street Lighting
Service Charge since its filing?

A. Yes, the Staff has received an email from Jayna Long of Empire on April 26, 2006, indicating that it is no longer seeking to add this proposed service to its tariff. Ms. Long's e-mail states in part; "As we discussed on the phone, we have been able to resolve some of our immediate problems concerning the street lighting. We

•

i

-

p.

•

	of William L. McDuffey					
1	are still working thru some issues that may need to be addressed in future rate					
2	cases. However, we do not feel the proposed street lighting tariff changes are					
3	necessary for the current rate case. We would like to cancel the conference call					
4	scheduled fo	or this Friday. I appreciate all of the help you have provided in this				
5	case."					
6	Q.	What is Staff's position on this tariff?				
7	А.	The Staff is in agreement that there are issues that still need to be worked				
8	out and agree	es with removing the proposed tariff from this case.				
9		MISCELLANEOUS TARIFF ISSUES				
10	Q.	What miscellaneous tariff issues will you address?				
11	А.	I will address the following miscellaneous tariff issues:				
12		1. Service Specifications – Tariff Section 5, Sheet Nos. 12 – 17				
13		2. Service Specifications – Tariff Section 5, Sheet No. 17a				
14		3. Tariff sheets header presentation – All sheets				
15	Service Spec	cifications – Tariff Section 5, Sheet Nos. 12 – 17				
16	Q.	Please describe the service specification changes to Sheet Nos. $12 - 17$.				
17	А.	The Company is proposing to omit the electric distribution policy on				
18	Tariff Sheet	Nos. 12 through 17.				
19	Q.	Why is the Company proposing to eliminate these tariff provisions?				
20	А.	The Company is eliminating these tariff provisions because they are no				
21	longer effec	tive as of January 31, 2003, and have been superseded with the electric				
22	distribution policy provisions on Tariff Sheet Nos. 17a through 17f.					
23	Q.	What is the Staff's position relating to this tariff proposal?				
		4				

Direct Testimony of William L. McDuffey The Staff is in agreement with these changes. 1 Α. 2 Service Specifications - Tariff Section 5, Sheet No. 17a 3 Q. Please describe the service specification change to Sheet No. 17a? A. The Company is proposing to omit the following paragraph on Sheet No. 4 5 17a.: 6 The following provisions on Section 5, Sheets 17a through 17f, will become effective at 12:01 a.m. Joplin time on February 1, 7 8 2003. They will supersede the provisions on Section 5, Sheets 12 through 17 which cease to be effective at midnight on January 31, 9 2003. 10 11 12 **Q**. What is the Staff's position relating to this tariff proposal? 13 The Staff is in agreement with this proposed tariff language deletion. This Α. 14 paragraph should be removed because it is no longer valid. 15 Tariff sheets header presentation – All sheets 0. In the Company's tariff could the Company improve the organization of 16 17 information in the header? Yes, it would be helpful to the user if the Company header was consistent 18 Α. 19 with those of the other Missouri jurisdictional utilities. The header alignment on all 20 sheets is confusing because the present sheet number is too close to the canceled sheet 21 P.S.C. Mo. No. on the right side of the header as shown on Schedule 2, Present Tariff 22 Sheet Header. 23 Q. Does the Staff have a solution? Yes, the Staff recommends that the Company place the canceled sheet 24 Α. 25 P.S.C. Mo. No. to the left of the Section number so only the sheet number appears on the 26 right margin of the header as shown on Schedule 2, Proposed Tariff Sheet Header.

5

1 Revenue Requirement Impact

- Q. Will the implementation of these tariff recommendations have any effect
- 3 on Staff's revenue requirement recommendation?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 6

2

÷

i

i

- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

PREVIOUS TESTIMONY OF William L. McDuffey Case No. ER-2006-0315

CASE **NUMBER TYPE OF FILING** COMPANY ER-80-120 Direct The Empire District Electric Company ER-80-313 Direct Missouri Edison Company ER-82-180 Direct Missouri Power & Light Company HR-82-179 ER-83-20 Direct Sho-Me Power Corporation ER-83-80 Direct Sho-Me Power Corporation EA-86-144 The Empire District Electric Company Territory EA-87-85 Direct Consolidated Electric Service Company EA-87-123 Union Electric Company EC-87-148 Direct Howard Electric Cooperative vs. Union Electric Company EC-96-38 Rebuttal Union Electric Company ET-98-110 Direct, Rebuttal Union Electric Company ET-99-126 Surrebuttal Missouri Public Service ER-99-247 Direct, Surrebuttal St. Joseph Light & Power Company EC-98-573 ER-2001-299 Direct The Empire District Electric Company ER-2001-672 Direct UtiliCorp United, Inc. d/b/a Missouri Public Service ER-2004-0034 Direct, Rebuttal, Aquila, Inc. d/b/a Aquila Networks L&P HR-2004-0024 Surrebuttal and Aquila Networks MPS ER-2004-0570 Direct, Surrebuttal The Empire District Electric Company

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM L. MCDUFFEY THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY CASE NO. ER-2006-0315

ļ

ł

į

i.

÷

;

Present Tariff Sheet Header							
STATE OF MISSOURI, PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTIC COMPANY				P.S.C.	Mo. No.	5	
	Sec.	Α	21st	Revised	Sheet No.	1	
		·····	Canceling	P.S.C.	Mo. No.	5	
For ALL TERRITORY							
No supplement to this tariff will be issued except	Sec.	A	20th	Revised	Sheet No.	1	
for the purpose of canceling this tariff.				Which w	as issued	03-17-05	

Proposed Tariff Sheet Header							
THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTIC COMPANY							
P.S.C. Mo. No.	5	Sec	<u>A</u>	21st Revised	Sheet No.	1	
Cancelling P.S.C. Mo. No.	5	Sec	<u>A</u>	20th Revised	Sheet No.	1	
For ALL TERRITORY							