FILED August 25, 2021 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 38

Spire – Exhibit 38 Julie Trachsel Surrebuttal Testimony File No. GR-2021-0108

| Exhibit No: | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Issue: | Limited-Income |
| | Customer Programs |
| | Medically Vulnerable |
| | Customer Programs |
| | Late Fees and |
| | Disconnections |
| | COVID Response |
| Witness: | Julie Trachsel |
| Type of Exhibit: | Surrebuttal |
| | Testimony |
| Sponsoring Party: | Spire Missouri Inc. |
| Case No.: | GR-2021-0108 |
| Date Testimony Prepared: | July 14, 2021 |

SPIRE MISSOURI INC.

CASE NO. GR-2021-0108

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JULIE TRACHSEL

JULY 14, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JULIE TRACHSEL | 1 |
|---|---|
| I. INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | 1 |
| IV. CONCLUSION | 1 |

| 1 | | SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JULIE TRACHSEL |
|----|----|---|
| 2 | | I. INTRODUCTION |
| 3 | Q. | PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. |
| 4 | A. | My name is Julie Trachsel and my business address is 800 Market Street, St. Louis, |
| 5 | | Missouri 63101. |
| 6 | Q. | WHAT IS YOUR PRESENT POSITION? |
| 7 | A. | I am employed by Spire Missouri Inc. ("Spire" or "Company") as the Manager of |
| 8 | | Customer Experience Operations. |
| 9 | Q. | ARE YOU THE SAME JULIE TRACHSEL THAT PREVIOUSLY FILED |
| 10 | | REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING? |
| 11 | A. | Yes, I am. |
| 12 | | II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY |
| 13 | Q. | WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY? |
| 14 | A. | The purpose of my Surrebuttal Testimony is to respond to the rebuttal testimony filed |
| 15 | | by witnesses Geoff Marke on behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC"), Kory |
| 16 | | Boustead on behalf of the Commission Staff ("Staff") and Annika Brindel on behalf of |
| 17 | | the National Housing Trust ("NHT"). |
| 18 | Q. | WHAT SCHEDULES ARE YOU ATTACHING TO YOUR TESTIMONY? |
| 19 | A. | No schedules are being attached to my testimony. |
| 20 | | III. LIMITED-INCOME PROGRAMS |
| 21 | Q. | OPC WITNESS GEOFF MARKE STATES AT P. 15 OF HIS REBUTTAL |
| 22 | | TESTIMONY THAT OPC SUPPORTS SPIRE'S LOW-INCOME PROGRAM |

1

2

RECOMMENDATIONS WITH FOUR MODIFICATIONS. DOES SPIRE AGREE WITH THE MODIFICATIONS PROPOSED BY OPC?

A. Spire does not agree with all of the proposed modifications for the reasons discussed
below. For simplicity's sake, I will discuss each of OPC's proposed modifications in
order.

Q. OPC'S FIRST RECOMMENDATION IS THAT SPIRE SHOULD BE
REQUIRED TO MEET WITH INTERESTED STAKEHOLDERS FROM THIS
CASE TWICE ANNUALLY TO REPORT ON PROGRESS CONCERING
ENROLLMENT, HISTORIC PARTICIPATION, AND CURRENT AND
PROJECTED BUDGET LEVELS. (Marke Rebuttal, pg. 15.) HOW DOES
SPIRE RESPOND?

12 Spire supports this recommendation. Spire already has a practice of meeting with A. 13 interested stakeholders on a regular basis to discuss its low or limited-income programs. 14 Prior to the pandemic, Spire met with Staff, OPC, AARP, various community action 15 agencies, and the Urban League of Metropolitan St. Louis (informally and collectively 16 referred to as the "Low-Income Collaborative"), approximately two times per year to 17 discuss Spire's limited-income programs, program enrollment, and program 18 participation, as well as other related issues. Certainly, with the pandemic, the 19 frequency of these meetings was diminished, but it is Spire's intent to begin ramping 20 up these collaborative sessions now that the impacts of the pandemic are somewhat 21 lessened.

22 Q.

WHAT IS OPC'S SECOND PROPOSED MODIFICATION?

- A. OPC suggests that Spire's Fixed Charge Assistance Program ("FCAP") be set at \$35
 for households at or below 135% of the Federal Poverty Level ("FPL"), and \$25 for
 households at or below 200% of the FPL. (Marke Rebuttal, pg. 25.)
- 4 Q. DOES SPIRE CONCUR WITH THIS RECOMMENDATION?
- A. No. Although Dr. Marke is correct that one of Spire's goals is to expand the overall amount and eligibility of its limited-income programs, Spire maintains that keeping the budget amounts for the FCAP consistent at \$35 is reasonable. Spire has already proposed to increase the eligibility for its limited-income programs from 185% to 200% of the FPL, and this increased eligibility will permit Spire to assist more of its customers. In addition, keeping a single budget amount makes implementation of the program more streamlined and reduces confusion for the implementer.
- 12 Q. DR. MARKE ALSO RECOMMENDS THAT THE OVERALL BUDGET FOR
 13 SPIRE'S LIMITED-INCOME PROGRAMS BE INCREASED BY \$350,000 FOR
 14 A TOTAL \$2 MILLION BUDGET. (Marke Rebuttal, pg. 15.) HOW DO YOU
 15 RESPOND?
- 16 Spire believes that OPC's proposed increase is too drastic of an increase at this time. A. 17 Spire diligently monitors its limited-income program dollars to ensure that as many 18 customers as possible receive assistance through the various programs Spire offers and 19 Spire is committed to apportioning program funding appropriately throughout the year. 20 To do this, Spire must balance program participant needs against the program dollars 21 remaining at any given point in the year. For example, during the first year of Spire's 22 Limited-Income Program, dollars were overspent earlier in the program year; the 23 following year, too few dollars were spent, leaving carryover to the subsequent year. If

Spire increases the program budget by an additional \$350,000, as proposed by OPC, added to the increase in eligibility to 200% of the FPL, plus Spire's monthly bill credits and arrearage payment matching credits for on-time monthly payments, the Company believes the program budget should not be increased at this juncture until we can assess how the eligibility increase impacts program dollars.

Q. DR. MARKE'S FOURTH PROPOSED RECOMMENDATION IS FOR SPIRE SHAREHOLDERS TO CONTRIBUTE HALF OF THE TOTAL FUNDS FOR THE PAYMENT PARTNER PROGRAM¹, RESULTING IN A REDUCTION OF SPIRE'S REVENUE REQUIREMENT OF \$650,000. (Marke Rebuttal, pg. 10 15.) DOES SPIRE AGREE WITH OPC'S RECOMMENDATION?

11 Spire does not agree with this recommendation. While the Company understands A. 12 OPC's position, Spire has shown that it is committed to the community we service 13 through a variety of other means. For example, last year, Spire donated over \$1.5 14 million to Missouri not for profit organizations and paid Spire Missouri staff for 3,346 15 hours to volunteer in the community. During the COVID-19 pandemic, Spire provided 16 assistance programs to support our customers in need by using DollarHelp funds by 17 those impacted by the pandemic. In addition, in collaboration with several 18 stakeholders, Spire added to its pandemic relief efforts, spending over \$1.4 million to 19 assist our customers with bill credits and matching credits to customer bills, including 20 approximately \$700,000 coming from Spire shareholders.

¹ The current name is "Low-Income Energy Affordability Program." Spire is suggesting in this proceeding to change the name to "Payment Partner Program."

Q. DR. MARKE EXPRESSES HIS AGREEMENT WITH NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST WITNESS COLTON'S PROPOSAL TO SUSPEND LATE PAYMENT FEES UNTIL THE END OF 2022. (Marke Rebuttal, pgs. 16-17.) WHAT IS SPIRE'S RESPONSE TO THIS RECOMMENDATION?

5 A. Spire does not support the recommendation to suspend late payment fees until the end 6 of 2022. First, it should be noted that Mr. Colton recommends that Spire suspend late 7 payment fees for all residential customers (Colton Direct, pg. 27), and not merely 8 customers with limited means or income. Mr. Colton recommends, and Dr. Marke 9 endorses, the suspension of late fees based in part on the argument that late fees 10 disproportionately affect those customers already struggling financially. Spire 11 disagrees. Any Spire limited-income customer actively participating in a FCAP defers 12 payment of any arrearages into twelve monthly payments that are carefully structured 13 to reduce any hardship associated with bill payment. A customer on a FCAP does not 14 have late fees assessed at all, so the assertion that limited-income customers are 15 disproportionately impacted by the imposition of late fees is simply inaccurate. Such 16 customer can be late on their payment one month and then will have a true-up the 17 following month.

18 Q. DR. MARKE SUGGESTS THAT ONE ARGUMENT IN SUPPORT OF LATE 19 FEES IS THAT THEY THEORETICALLY ENCOURAGE TIMELY 20 PAYMENTS. (Marke Rebuttal, pg. 17.) DO YOU AGREE?

A. Not necessarily, no. The imposition of late fees does not always encourage subsequent
 timely payments, but we do find that seeing a late fee assessed on a bill motivates the
 customer to call Spire to discuss options for repayment, including Budget Billing and

other Spire programs. So while a late fee may not encourage timely payment, it
 certainly encourages customers to be proactive in managing their energy bill, and that
 often allows customers to receive assistance or clarity on their bills.

4 Q. WHAT ARE THE PITFALLS ASSOCIATED WITH THE 5 RECOMMENDATION THAT SPIRE SUSPEND LATE FEES FOR ANOTHER 6 YEAR AND A HALF FOR ALL RESIDENTIAL CUSTOMERS, NOT JUST 7 LOW-INCOME CUSTOMERS?

A. Suspending late fees for all residential customers simply creates a new potential
problem by encouraging customers to become more lackadaisical in payment of their
bills. If a customer who is financially able to pay their bill in a timely fashion elects
not to do so, with the knowledge that they will not incur late fees, this could be a
cascading issue for collection of properly billed and assessed charges.

Q. OPC SUPPORTS LEGAL SERVICES OF EASTERN MISSOURI'S
 RECOMMENDATION THAT SPIRE CREATE A THREE-YEAR PILOT
 PROGRAM MODELED AFTER THE MARYLAND CRITICAL NEEDS
 PROGRAM INITIATED BY BALTIMORE GAS & ELECTRIC COMPANY.
 (Marke Rebuttal, pgs. 21-22). DO YOU BELIEVE SPIRE NEEDS SUCH A
 PROGRAM?

A. I do not. As explained in my Rebuttal Testimony, Spire already has programs in place
 to assist its customers who have chronic or serious medical conditions. Spire's
 Registered Customer Program, which is applicable to any customer that is disabled
 and/or over 65 years of age, provides extra disconnection protection during the months
 of November to March. Customers complete an application, which then puts their

1 account in a status that provides for extra notification prior to disconnection during the 2 months of November to March. Customers enrolled in the program can designate a 3 third party that will be contacted prior to disconnecting service for nonpayment. They are also mailed a renewal application in September. Spire also has a Medical 4 5 Emergency Certification program in place to stop a disconnection when a household is 6 experiencing a medical crisis certified by a doctor. This program allows the customer 7 to submit an application, which, when granted, provides the customer with a 21-day 8 extension before payment is due. Spire also offers the Extended Due Date Program, 9 which allows a longer period of time to make a payment before a late fee is assessed.

10 STAFF WITNESS KORY BOUSTEAD RECOMMENDS Q. THAT THE 11 COMMISSION REJECT SPIRE'S PROPOSED CHANGES TO ITS LOW-12 **INCOME ENERGY AFFORDABILITY PROGRAM (Boustead Rebuttal, pgs.** 13 2-5.), IN PART DUE TO STAFF'S SUGGESTION THAT SPIRE IS NOT **COMPLYING WITH WHAT WAS AGREED TO IN A PRIOR STIPULATION** 14 15 AND AGREEMENT AND THAT SPIRE IS NOT FOLLOWING ITS 16 APPROVED TARIFFS. IS SPIRE IN COMPLIANCE WITH ITS TARIFF AND 17 **THE PRIOR AGREEMENT?**

A. Yes, I believe Spire is compliance. It is also not entirely clear from the testimony
why Staff believes Spire is not following its Tariff Sheets R-31 through R-33 for the
Low-Income Energy Affordability Program. Staff appears to imply that Spire is in
violation of its tariff terms due to its proposal to combine the program budgets for Spire
East and Spire West if the Commission approves combining Spire's territories into one.
(Boustead Rebuttal, pg. 4.) Given that the Commission has not approved combining

Spire's territories at this time, the budgets remain separate and Spire maintains that it
 administers its limited-income program in compliance with the tariff sheets approved
 by the Commission.

4 Q. HOW DOES STAFF ALLEGE THAT SPIRE IS NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH 5 THE PARTIAL STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT REGARDING LOW 6 INCOME ENERGY AFFORDABILITY PROGRAM IN SPIRE'S PRIOR RATE 7 CASE GR-2017-0216?

A. That is also unclear from Ms. Boustead's testimony. On page three of her testimony,
Staff witness Boustead quotes from the stipulation and agreement that "representatives
of the parties, in consultation with the CAAs, will meet beginning no later than 120
days after the effective date of new tariffs in these cases to discuss the process for
evaluating the effectiveness of the current Program as well as potential enhancement
to the parameter and structure of the program for potential implementation in the
future."

15

Q. HAS SPIRE MET PURSUANT TO THAT STIPULATION?

16 A. Yes. Spire met with those entities within the timeframe required by the Partial 17 Stipulation and Agreement. In addition, while the tariff only required one meeting, 18 Spire held additional meetings in order to keep communication open on the success of 19 the program and challenges of the program. During those meetings, the Low-Income 20 Collaborative that I referenced earlier in my testimony wanted us to communicate more 21 with the customers about the program, so Spire implemented the use of letters to be 22 included at each stage of the program – enrollment, cancellation and graduation. Those 23 meetings were beneficial, in addition to meeting the tariff requirement.

Q. ON BEHALF OF THE NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST, WITNESS ANNIKA BRINDEL STATES THAT SPIRE'S PROPOSED RATE INCREASE WILL UNDULY BURDEN THOSE MISSOURI HOUSEHOLDS LIVING AT 200% FPL. (Brindel Rebuttal, pgs. 5-6.) HOW DO YOU RESPOND?

5 Spire is consistent in its commitment to provide guidance and assistance to its A. 6 customers with limited means. Spire is seeking to increase its limited-income program 7 income qualification to 200% FPL to include families that are over income for LIHEAP 8 yet still struggling. Spire works with its customers to find the appropriate programs for 9 each customer's situation. In addition to Spire's Registered Customer Program and the Medical Emergency Certification Program, Spire also offers the Extended Due Date 10 11 Program, which allows a longer period of time to make a payment before a late fee is 12 assessed. Along with these programs, Spire assists customers using the Limited-13 Income Program funds, DollarHelp funds, and the Cold Weather Payment 14 Arrangement. There are other proactive measures that Spire completes for our Limited-15 Income customers, including outbound calls to reconnect services using DollarHelp 16 funds, reaching out to agencies to pledge crisis funds on accounts that are in threat of 17 disconnection, and offering assistance with filling out LIHEAP applications.

Q. NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST WITNESS BRINDEL ALSO SUPPORTS TESTIMONY SUBMITTED BY CONSUMERS COUNCIL WITNESS HUTCHINSON RECOMMENDING THAT SPIRE BE ORDERED TO TRACK AND REPORT ENERGY BURDEN DATA, NUMBER OF CUTOFFS, COLLECTION ACTIONS AND OTHER DATA. (Brindel Rebuttal, pg. 19-20.) DO YOU BELIEVE THIS IS NECESSARY?

A No, I do not. The State of Missouri already tracks energy burden data and demographic
 data in its administration of LIHEAP, so it would be inefficient for Spire to do the same.
 Moreover, agencies that administer LIHEAP also track the number of customers who
 register for energy assistance.

5 Q. MS. BRINDEL RECOMMENDS THAT SPIRE SHOULD SEEK TO 6 ALLEVIATE THE ENERGY BURDEN IN LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS 7 WHILE INCENTIVIZING SAVINGS BEHAVIOR. (Brindel Rebuttal, pgs. 8 20-21.) DO YOU BELIEVE THIS IS NECESSARY?

9 A. I do not think Ms. Brindel recommends anything new for Spire to implement with this 10 recommendation. Spire already provides incentives for customers to maximize energy 11 savings behavior through our matching arrearage payments and budget billing 12 In addition, Spire continually monitors all of its programs and arrangements. 13 implements adjustments, as needed, to assist more customers. Income-eligible LIHEAP 14 customers are automatically enrolled in Spire's FCAP if they have \$300.00 or more in 15 arrearages after the LIHEAP pledge. LIHEAP agencies also refer customers for 16 enrollment when they are over income for LIHEAP, but meet the income limits for 17 FCAP. As we continue to monitor the Limited-Income Program, we may adjust to 18 \$100.00 or more in arrearages after the LIHEAP pledge is made. We also completed 19 enhancements so that FCAP can be initiated through the Spire Pledge Portal. We meet 20 with our Community Action Agencies and LIHEAP partners one to two times a year 21 to review Spire programs and the Spire Pledge Portal. In these meetings we review the 22 following Spire plans and programs: Extended Payment Date Program; Medical 23 Emergency Certification; Registered Customer; Fixed Charge Assistance Program;

| 1 | | Furnace Repair Program; Pandemic Assistance; and LIHEAP account monitoring. |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | Therefore, Spire does not believe additional incentives are necessary. In addition to |
| 3 | | these programs, Spire also provides a furnace repair program for limited income |
| 4 | | households and contributes to weatherization needs. |
| 5 | | IV. CONCLUSION |
| 6 | Q. | DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY? |
| 7 | A. | Yes. |

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Spire Missouri Inc.'s d/b/a Spire Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Natural Gas Service Provided in the Company's Missouri Service Areas

Case No. GR-2021-0108

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF MISSOURI)) SS. CITY OF ST. LOUIS

Julie Trachsel, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

- 1. My name is Julie Trachsel. I am Manager of Customer Experience Operations at Spire Missouri Inc. My business address is 800 Market St., St. Louis, Missouri, 63101.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my rebuttal testimony on behalf of Spire Missouri Inc.
- 3. Under penalty of perjury, I declare that my answers to the questions contained in the foregoing surrebuttal testimony are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Alli hachril Trachsel 1/14/21

Date