Exhibit No.: Issue(s): Witness: Sponsoring Party: Type of Exhibit: Case No.: Date Testimony Prepared:

Cash Working Capital Antonija Nieto MoPSC Staff Surrebuttal / True-Direct Testimony GR-2024-0369 May 2, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION

AUDITING DEPARTMENT

SURREBUTTAL / TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

ANTONIJA NIETO

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CASE NO. GR-2024-0369

Jefferson City, Missouri May 2025

1		SURREBUTTAL / TRUE-UP DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		ANTONIJA NIETO
4 5		UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY, d/b/a Ameren Missouri
6		CASE NO. GR-2024-0369
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	А.	My name is Antonija Nieto. My business address is Fletcher Daniels State Office
9	Building, Room 201, 615 East 13th Street, Kansas City, MO 64106.	
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
11	А.	I am a lead senior utility regulatory auditor with the Missouri Public Service
12	Commission.	
13	Q.	Are you the same Antonija Nieto who filed direct testimony on February 28,
14	2025, in this c	ase?
15	А.	Yes, I am.
16	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal / true-up direct testimony?
17	А.	My surrebuttal / true-up direct testimony discusses Cash Working Capital -
18	Payroll Lead,	which was addressed in Ameren Missouri witness Benjamin Hasse's rebuttal
19	testimony.	
20	CASH WORKING CAPITAL – PAYROLL LEAD	
21	Q.	Ameren Missouri witness Benjamin Hasse states on page 11, lines 8-9 and
22	page 12, lines	1-7, in his rebuttal testimony that Staff recommended no change to the payroll
23	expense lag (1	0.9 days) in Case No. ER-2022-0337, but has now recommended changing the

Surrebuttal / True-Up Direct Testimony of Antonija Nieto

payroll expense lag to 12.01 days, which was Staff's position in Case No. GR-2021-0241. Did
Staff change its position from its most recent recommendation from Case No. ER-2022-0337?
A. Staff has recommended the same expense payroll lag it proposed in Case No.
GR-2021-0241 because Ameren Missouri has not changed how its executive employees are
paid since Ameren Missouri case GR-2019-0077.

6

Q.

Please explain further.

7 Ameren Corporation changed the pay dates for its management employees from A. the 15th of the month and the last day of the month to the 13th and the 28th, respectively. 8 9 However, Ameren Corporation did not also adjust the pay period for management employees in calculating the payroll expense lag, which is still set on the 15th and the last day of each 10 11 month - resulting in Ameren Missouri effectively prepaying its management employees. Staff 12 recommended in Case No. GR-2021-0241 to reset the payment lead time for management 13 employees back to zero, as it was prior to the timing change in November 2018. Therefore, it 14 was an oversight on Staff's behalf to not recommend the 12.01 payroll expense lag in Case No. 15 ER-2022-0337.

Q. Ameren Missouri witness Benjamin Hasse states on page 12, lines 16-23 and page 13, lines 1-4, of his rebuttal testimony that the Commission has accepted a negative payment lead time in the past for the calculation of the payroll. How does this negative lead-time differ from Ameren Missouri's management negative payroll expense lag?

A. The negative payment lead time that results from pay days falling on holidays and weekends where employers pay employees one or two days prior to the actual pay date is common and has up to this point never been rejected by the Commission. However, the negative payroll lead time for Ameren Missouri's management employees was deliberately adopted by Surrebuttal / True-Up Direct Testimony of Antonija Nieto

Ameren Missouri and it was not due to these circumstances.¹ Currently, Ameren Missouri has made a business decision to consistently pay their management two days earlier and chose not to reflect that in calculating the payroll expense lag. In doing so, Ameren Missouri is essentially attempting to argue that the deliberate and ongoing business decision to prepay its management is equivalent to circumstantial prepayments that are not taken into account (and traditionally not contested by the Commission, as noted in Mr. Hasse's rebuttal testimony) because they fall on weekend or holiday days.

8 The negative lead-time reflects that Ameren Missouri pays its management employees 9 before their pay day. To meet this obligation, Ameren Missouri has to acquire additional cash 10 from its investors to pay its management employees. This results in a positive effect on overall 11 cash working capital, benefiting only the investors.

12

13

Does this conclude your surrebuttal / true-up direct testimony?

A. Yes, it does.

Q.

¹ Company's response to Staff Data Request No. 0142 in GR-2019-0077 and Data Request No. 0328 in ER-2019-0335.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

)

)

)

In the Matter of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri's Tariffs to Adjust Its Revenues for Natural Gas Service

Case No. GR-2024-0369

AFFIDAVIT OF ANTONIJA NIETO

STATE OF MISSOURI SS. COUNTY OF Jackson

COMES NOW ANTONIJA NIETO and on her oath declares that she is of sound mind and lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing *Surrebuttal / True-Up Direct Testimony of Antonija Nieto*; and that the same is true and correct according to her best knowledge and belief.

Further the Affiant sayeth not.

ANTONIJA NIETO

JURAT

Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly constituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for the County of <u>factor</u>, State of Missouri, at my office in <u>Kansas Cuty</u>, on this <u> 28^{++} </u> day of <u>April</u> 2025.

Notary Public

