FILED November 6, 2018 Data Center Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. Issue(s): CCN for High Prairie Wind Project Witness: Dr. Janet Haslerig Type of Exhibit: Written Rebuttal Testimony Sponsoring Party: Missouri Department of Conservation File No.: EA-2018-0202 Date Testimony Prepared: August 20, 2018

#### MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### FILE NO. EA-2018-0202

#### WRITTEN REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

#### OF

#### **DR. JANET HASLERIG**

#### ON

#### **BEHALF OF**

#### MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION

## \*\*\*DENOTES HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION\*\*\* \*\*DENOTES CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION\*\*

Ρ

## REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DR. JANET HASLERIG MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF CONSERVATION CASE NO. EA-2018-0202

#### 1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 Q. Please state your name, title and business address.
- A. Janet Haslerig, Ph.D., Resource Scientist, Missouri Department of

4 Conservation, P.O. Box 180, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102-0180.

5

#### Q. What are your qualifications and experience?

- A. I have a Ph.D. in Wildlife Ecology and over 15 years of professional
  experience in wildlife conservation. I have served as the Bald Eagle Recovery leader
  for the Department since October 2010 where I am responsible for the monitoring
  and recovery of bald eagle populations in the state.
- 10 Q. Have you testified previously before the Missouri Public
- 11 Service Commission?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of this testimony is to respond to the direct testimony of Ameren Missouri ("Ameren") witness Ajay Arora, specifically with respect to his testimony describing conservation of endangered species as one of the main risks associated with the High Prairie Wind Project ("Project"). (Arora Direct, 17:4-13). It is my opinion that the Project poses a risk to bald eagles and other raptors in and around the Project area and that mitigation and monitoring should be addressed by

the Commission in this case in order to ensure that the construction and operation
 of the proposed wind turbines do not adversely impact the state's interest in
 protection of these wildlife species.

4

Q.

#### Are bald eagles currently protected by federal or state law?

A. Yes, both. While bald eagles were removed from the federal
Endangered Species Act list in June 2007, they remain federally protected by the
Bald and Golden Eagle Act, 16 U.S.C. 668-668c, and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act,
16 U.S.C. 703-712. These acts generally prohibit anyone, without a permit, from
taking or disturbing bald eagles, including their parts, nests, or eggs.

The bald eagle has been also listed by the Missouri Department of 10 Conservation as a Species of Conservation Concern ("SOCC"). This state designated 11 status and rank indicate the level of concern about the species and/or natural 12 community continued existence throughout its range in Missouri. The bald eagle is 13 currently listed as "S3" within the state – which means that it is vulnerable in the 14 15 state due to a restricted range, relatively few populations or occurrences, recent and widespread declines, or other factors making it vulnerable to extirpation. As a 16 SOCC, the bald eagle in Missouri warrants routine monitoring to assess the 17 population status and to document the continual recovery of the species as well as 18 detect any eminent or pending threats to its survival. 19

20

#### Q. Can you generally describe the life history of bald eagles?

A. The bald eagle is a North American species with a historic range from
Alaska and Canada to northern Mexico. As many as 300,000 – 500,000 bald eagles

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

once made their home on the continent in the 1700s. By 1963 less than 500 nesting 1 2 pairs remained in the lower 48 states. Habitat destruction and degradation, illegal shooting, and the contamination of its food source, largely because of dichloro-3 diphenyl-trichloroethane ("DDT", decimated the eagle population. Consequently, 4 the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS" or "Service") listed the bald 5 eagle as endangered in all 48 contiguous states under the Endangered Species Act 6 7 in 1978. With the enforced federal protection, bald eagles have recovered dramatically with about 10,000 nesting pairs in the lower 48 states. In 2007, the 8 USFWS announced the recovery of our nation's symbol and removal from the list of 9 threatened and endangered species. 10

Bald eagles may live 15 to 25 years in the wild, and longer in captivity. 11 Eagles mate for life, choosing the tops of large trees to build nests, which they 12 typically use and enlarge each year. They may also have one or more alternate nests 13 within their breeding territory. Breeding bald eagles (beginning in fourth or fifth 14 15 year) typically lay one to three eggs once a year, and they hatch after about 35 days. Hatchlings usually fly within three months and typically remain in the nest area for 16 several months. The foraging area during the breeding season varies based on 17 location and the abundance of food in the area. Until the fledgling learns how to 18 hunt for food, they are dependent on the adults for food and will remain in the nest 19 area for several weeks at which time they will follow the adults to foraging sites. In 20 addition, it is well documented that fledged eagles typically return to the general 21 vicinity (100-250 miles) of their nest area to breed once they have reached sexual 22

maturity between the ages of four to five. Disease, lack of food, human disturbance,
 lead poisoning, electrocution, collision with vehicles or power lines kill many
 fledglings.

# 4 Q. What are the Department's efforts in restoring, managing and 5 protecting bald eagles?

A. The Department has invested and will continue to invest considerable
resources in the restoration, management and protection of bald eagles. From 1981
to 1990, the Department, in cooperation with USFWS and the Dickerson Park Zoo
in Springfield, released 74 young bald eagles in Missouri to reestablish them as
nesters. The eaglets were obtained from captive breeding facilities or healthy wild
populations and released in good nesting habitat at Mingo National Wildlife Refuge
and Schell-Osage Conservation Area.

Since 1990, the Department has opportunistically monitored the population 13 of nesting bald eagles in the state. After the USFWS delisted the bald eagles, we 14 15 have systematically surveyed nesting bald eagles under the USFWS post-delisting monitoring plan. This plan calls for states nationwide to monitor the status of bald 16 eagles for a 20-year period. In 2006 (prior to the official delisting of the bald eagle 17 under the ESA), the Department participated in the pilot study to test the 18 effectiveness of the post-delisting monitoring protocol. Since then, the Department 19 conducted statewide aerial and ground surveys in 2011, 2016, 2017 and 2018. The 20 vearly estimated monetary cost of conducting aerial surveys is approximately 21 \$10,189 (helicopter only), not including staff hours. 22

1	Initiated in the spring of 2018, the Missouri Eagle Watch Program allows		
2	volunteers to contribute to "real" science by collecting critical monitoring		
3	information necessary for the conservation and protection of bald eagles in the		
4	state. The Eagle Watch Program is a standardized and comprehensive eagle nest		
5	monitoring program using citizen scientists to monitor bald eagle populations and		
6	their productive status. In just the first year, we had over 35 citizens participate in		
7	this program and we expect that number to grow rapidly.		
8	Q. Are you concerned about the impact of the High Prairie		
9	Project ("Project") upon bald eagles? And if so, why?		
10	A. Yes, I am concerned about the impact of the Project on bald eagles.		
11	Based upon a Raptor Nest Study conducted by Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.		
12	("Stantec) in early 2018 and provided by Ameren in response to MDC Data Request		
13	No. 7, there are *** *** bald eagle nests within the Project boundary, ***		
14	*** The inactive nests likely serve as		
15	alternative nests, as described above. Moreover, there are *** *** eagle nests		
16	within 10-miles of the Project, and *** *** outside of the 10-mile buffer, with		
17	*** *** of these nests considered to be active. It is my understanding from project		
18	discussions with Terra-Gen representative Mark Casper in June 2018 that there is		
19	at least ***		
20	*** The Department has requested Shapefiles regarding		
21	turbine and eagle/raptor nest locations that would help us determine how far the		
22	other eagle nests are around the Project area and distance from the wind turbines.		

 $\mathbf{5}$ 

Р

1	According to the National Audubon Society, wind turbines and their		
2	associated infrastructure kill an estimated 140,000 to 328,000 birds each year in		
3	North America. <sup>1</sup> However, at best these are very rough estimates that are highly		
4	variable due in part of the lack of published and comparable studies or the general		
5	lack of rigorous monitoring and reporting of eagle mortalities. <sup>2</sup> It is my		
6	understanding that wind energy projects are among the fastest growing energy		
7	sectors in the world, and one of the most concerning threats to birds and bats in the		
8	United States. <sup>3</sup> At the end of 2016, there were more than 52,000 operating,		
9	commercial-scale wind turbines in the United States and many more currently		
10	under construction. <sup>4</sup>		
11	Increasingly, estimates of raptor mortality at wind farms is the subject of		
12	intense effort and study. <sup>5</sup> Reportedly, diurnal raptors like bald eagles are relatively		
13	vulnerable to collision with wind turbines. <sup>6</sup> Because these groups are far less		
14	abundant than song birds, there is concern that the potential relatively high fatality		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Bryce, E. 2016. Will Wind Turbines Ever Be Safe For Birds. National Audubon Society.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> American Wind Wildlife Institute (AWWI). 2018. Wind Turbine Interactions with Wildlife and Their Habitats: A Summary of Research Results and Priority Questions. Washington, DC; Pagel, Joel, K. Kritz, B. Millsap, R. Murphy, E. Kershner and S. Covington. 2013. Bald Eagle and Golden Eagle Mortalities at Wind Energy Facilities in the Contiguous United States. J. Raptor Res. 47(3):311-315

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Colleen Martin, E. Arnett, and M. Wallace. 2013. Evaluating Bird and Bat Post-Construction Impacts at the Sheffield Wind Facility, Vermont. 2012 Annual Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Hutchins, Michael. 2017. Wind Energy and Birds FAQ- Part 1: Understand the Threats Wind Energy Poses to Birds. American Bird Conservancy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Watson, R.T., P.S. Kolar, M. Ferrer, T. Nygard, N. Johnston, W.G. Hunt, H.A. Smit-Robinson, C.J. Farmer, M. Huso and T. E. Katzner. 2018. Raptor Interactions with Wind Energy: Case Studies From Around the World. J. Raptor Res. 52(1):1-18.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> American Wind Wildlife Institute (AWWI). 2018. Wind Turbine Interactions with Wildlife and Their Habitats: A Summary of Research Results and Priority Questions. Washington, DC; Mojica, E.K., B. Watts, and C. L. Turrin. 2016. Utilization Probability Map for Migrating Bald Eagles in Northeastern North America. A Tool for Sitting Wind Energy Facilities and Other Flight Hazards. PLoS ONE 11(6):e0157807.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0157807.

rates are reflective of a high vulnerability to collision.<sup>7</sup> The high vulnerability of
birds of prey is especially problematic as many species are slow to reproduce. Thus,
a loss of breeding adults from fatal collisions has a greater effect on the population
than on many other avian species.<sup>8</sup> Significant losses to raptors are exacerbated by
wind energy projects located in or near major migratory routes, stopover sites, or
key breeding or foraging areas.<sup>9</sup>

Disturbance, displacement from suitable habitat, or demographic effects due
to fragmentation of habitat from pre-construction, construction, or operation and
maintenance activities might result in loss of productivity at nearby nests.<sup>10</sup>
Serious disturbance or mortality effects could result in the permanent or long-term
loss of a nesting territory and disturbances near important eagle use areas or
migration concentration sites might stress eagles so much that they suffer
reproductive failure or mortality elsewhere.

# Q. Ameren has suggested that it plans to develop an Eagle Conservation Plan in consultation with the USFWS (Ameren Response to MDC Data Request 05), which will permit the incidental take of eagles under certain circumstances. Are you familiar with the ECP? A. I am familiar with Eagle Conservation Plans ("ECP"), but I have not

19

yet seen a draft copy of the plan described by Ameren. An ECP documents how the

<sup>8</sup> Beston, Julie A., J. Diffendorfer, Scott R. Loss, and D.H. Johnson. 2016. Prioritizing Avian Species For Tier Risk of Population-Level Consequences from Wind Energy Development. PLoS One 11(3):e0150813.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0150813.
<sup>9</sup>Mojica, *supra* n. 6.
<sup>10</sup>AWWI, supra n. 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Pagel, *supra* n. 2.

project developer or operator intends to comply with the regulatory requirements 1 2 for programmatic permits and the associated federal National Environmental Policy Act process by avoiding and minimizing the risk of taking eagles up-front, and 3 formally evaluating possible alternatives in (ideally) siting, configuration, and 4 operation of wind projects.<sup>11</sup> Post-construction monitoring (i.e., disturbance and 5 fatality monitoring) may be required by USFWS as a condition of an eagle 6 7 programmatic take permit and will be required for wind-energy projects that may potentially take eagles. 8

9

10

### Q. Does Ameren obtaining an ECP and an incidental take permit from the USFWS eliminate your concerns about eagles in Missouri?

A. No. At this point, we do not know if Ameren will actually apply for and 11 obtain an ECP. Even if it does, the Department has and continues to invest 12 substantial resources in the restoration, monitoring, and preservation of bald 13 eagles, as well as other raptors. The Department has an interest in knowing how 14 15 many eagles are killed by wind turbines, as well as how and where they are killed. We need greater understanding of how to protect eagles from threats from wind 16 turbines. This understanding can help us in the process described by Jennifer 17 Campbell in working with project developers on any number of projects to ensure 18 we are meeting the state's economic development goals, renewal energy stands but 19 not un-doing or ignoring conservation concerns and nullifying the significant 20 investments by our state in conservation efforts. 21

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> USFWS Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, 2013

1

2

# Q. What is the Department asking the Public Service Commission to do with respect to bald eagles and other raptors?

A. The Department is asking that the Commission ensure that the Missouri's investment in conservation of bald eagles is protected by requiring that an ECP is a condition of the Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("CCN). MDC further asks that the following conditions be imposed so that that the Department can adequately protect, monitor and determine the impacts of the Project on the area's bald eagle population:

Require Ameren to conduct post-construction monitoring of eagle
 fatality and disturbances in accordance in USFWS Guidance. Fatality
 monitoring efforts involve searching for eagle carcasses beneath turbines and
 other facilities to estimate the number of fatalities. Disturbance monitoring
 will determine post-construction territory or roost occupancy rates, nest
 success rates and productivity.<sup>12</sup>

Ensure a two-mile buffer around known (present and future) eagle
nests within the Project area where turbines cannot be constructed, or if
already constructed, cannot operate. Two miles is necessary to protect the
adjacent foraging area. This buffer should also protect any nearby alternate
nests.

 $^{12}$  Id.

1	3.	Report to the Department all eagle carcasses observed within 48 hours	
2	to the	e Department via email noting the date, turbine location (UTMs),	
3	speci	es, and sex.	
4	4.	Report observed mortalities for all raptor and bird species of	
5	conse	ervation concern ("SOCC") observed annually by December 31. Describe	
6	each	individual species, date found, and location.	
7	5.	Provide MDC copies of all quarterly/annual monitoring reports	
8	subm	litted to USFWS.	
9	6.	Provide the Public Service Commission annual reports documenting its	
10	monitoring and any raptor fatalities on the Project area.		
11	Q.	Why should the Public Service Commission consider these	
12	issues when issuing a CCN?		
13	А.	The bald eagle is a symbol of national significance. In 1782, a	
14	committee o	of the Continental Congress selected the bald eagle as our nation's	
15	symbol. At	that time, there were an estimated 100,000 nesting pairs in the United	
16	States. By	1890, bald eagles were nearly eliminated as nesters in Missouri, and by	
17	1963, the bald eagle population was reduced to only 487 nesting pairs nationwide.		
18	Through increased protected, reintroductions and education spanning decades, the		
19	bald eagle population slowly increased. The bald eagle's recovery is one of the great		
20	conservation success stories in the United States. Although bald eagle numbers		
21	have increa	sed from delisting in 2009, they are still well below historic numbers.	

Therefore, continued monitoring is critical to ensure a stable and increasing
 population.

The public, through the Department's Eagle Watch Program and "Eagle Days" events held throughout the state, are enthusiastically engaged in helping ensure that the bald eagle continues to thrive in the state. As an example, the 2018 Eagle Adventure Event at the Runge Conservation Center in Jefferson City hosted 1,500 visitors for the one-day event. The associated viewing at the nearby Marion Access hosted 436 visitors. This continues to be Runge Nature Center's largest oneday event, even though the program has been held for nearly 30 years.

10

#### **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?

11 A. Yes

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri for Permission and Approval and a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity Authorizing it to Construct a Wind Generation Facility

Case No. EA-2018-0202

#### AFFIDAVIT OF DR. JANET HASLERIG

)

STATE OF MISSOURI ) ) ss COUNTY OF COLE )

Dr. Janet Haslerig, being first duly sworn on her oath, states:

1. My name is Dr. Janet Haslerig. I work in Jefferson City, Missouri, and am employed at the Missouri Department of Conservation as a Resource Scientist.

2. Attached to this affidavit and made a part hereof for all purposes is my Written Rebuttal Testimony (testimony) on behalf of Missouri Department of Conservation. The testimony is 11 pages and has been prepared in the appropriate format to be introduced into evidence in the case above.

3. I hereby swear and affirm that my answers contained in the attached testimony to the questions promulgated therein are true and correct.

DR. JANET HASL

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 20 day of August, 2018.

My commission expires: November 24, 2021

LAURA M. STICKANN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: November 24, 2021 Commission Number: 13551367

Notary Public