FILED
May 05, 2023
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No. 134

Staff – Exhibit 134 Sherrye Lesmes Surrebuttal Testimony File No. WR-2022-0303

Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Dues and Donations,

Materials and Supplies

Witness: Sherrye Lesmes

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Case No.: WR-2022-0303

Date Testimony Prepared: February 8, 2023

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FINANCIAL AND BUSINESS ANALYSIS DIVISION AUDITING DEPARTMENT

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

SHERRYE LESMES

MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

CASE NO. WR-2022-0303

Jefferson City, Missouri February 2023

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF		
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
3	OF		
4	SHERRYE LESMES		
5	MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY		
6	CASE NO. WR-2022-0303		
7	DUES AND DONATIONS1		
8	MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES4		

1			
2	SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
3		OF	
4		SHERRYE LESMES	
5		MISSOURI-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY	
6		CASE NO. WR-2022-0303	
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.	
8	A.	My name is Sherrye Lesmes and my business address is 200 Madison Street,	
9	Jefferson City	y, Missouri 65101.	
10	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
11	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") as	
12	a Utility Regulatory Auditor.		
13	Q.	Are you the same Sherrye Lesmes that previously filed direct testimony on	
14	November 22, 2022, and rebuttal testimony on January 18, 2023, in this rate case?		
15	A.	Yes.	
16	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
17	Q.	What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony in this proceeding?	
18	A.	In this testimony, I will respond to Missouri-American Water Company	
19	("MAWC") witnesses Matthew S. Mason's rebuttal testimony that addresses dues and		
20	donations expenses and miscellaneous expenses, and Jennifer M. Grisham's rebuttal testimony		
21	that addresses materials and supplies as part of other rate base items.		
22	DUES AND	<u>DONATIONS</u>	
23	a) <u>C</u>	haritable contributions	

- Q. What is MAWC's position on charitable contributions?
- A. According to page 19, line 16 to page 20, line 3 of Mr. Mason's rebuttal testimony, MAWC proposes to include in rates charitable contributions, safety, educational, and environmental quality expenses. These include such costs associated with: Firefighter grant programs, Hydration safety grant program, Missouri River relief, and various community engagement-based charitable contribution expenses. MAWC is seeking \$124,038 for charitable contributions in rate recovery.
- Q. Has Mr. Mason's rebuttal testimony changed Staff's position on these types of charitable contributions?
- A. No. Staff relied on the Commission's historical position. The Commission has historically disallowed charitable contributions, because they do not provide a direct benefit to ratepayers in that they do not contribute to providing safe and adequate service. Although the programs and organizations that MAWC contributed to (such as the Firefighter grant program, the Hydration grant program, Missouri River relief, and others) may be worthy causes and deserving of community support; they do not contribute to MAWC's ability to provide safe and adequate service to customers at reasonable rates. Therefore, Staff's position is still that all charitable contribution expenses should be excluded, totaling \$200,288.

b) Membership Dues

- Q. What is MAWC's position on membership dues?
- A. According to page 20, line 4 through page 21, line 20 of Mr. Mason's rebuttal testimony, MAWC seeks to include all expenses classified as membership dues for all Chambers of Commerce, (both local and state organizations), company sponsorship of Chamber of Commerce events, and all amounts paid for dues to all organizations, including

- those portions of dues paid that are used for lobbying and or political activities by those organizations.
 - Q. Mr. Mason states on page 20, lines 13 through 14 of his rebuttal testimony: "Staff removed Chamber of Commerce dues and delineated lobbying activities that are done by professional organizations in which MAWC pays membership dues." In your calculation of dues and donations adjustments, did you exclude all of these expenses?
 - A. No. On pages 2 through 4 of my direct testimony, I explained what costs were excluded. I included some dues and membership costs MAWC incurred to join organizations and pay for various subscriptions. However, as explained further below, I excluded the part of dues and membership related to lobbying or political activities.
 - Q. Can you explain what types of dues and membership costs were included and how they were determined?
 - A. Yes. Costs for such organizations as local Chambers of Commerce and state and national water utility organizations (such as American Water Works Association AWWA and National Association of Water Companies NAWC) were included. In addition, costs were included for several professional organizations that provide utility employees the benefits of maintaining their professional certifications. Staff also included membership costs in organizations that allow utility employees to receive valuable industry information that can be applied to current water treatment practices, improving the service and quality of the water MAWC delivers and thus, providing a direct benefit to ratepayers.
 - Q. Did you previously explain why the membership dues paid for certain organizations are delineated with portions disallowed?

- A. Yes. I addressed that on page 3, line 18 through page 4, line 7 of my direct testimony. Only the portion of dues paid that go directly towards lobbying or political activities by certain organizations (such as AWWA and NAWC) and membership dues for lobbying groups were excluded. Since lobbyists are hired by companies, individuals, or corporations to represent their interests, and the lobbyists' purpose is to try to influence legislation, regulation, or other government decisions, actions, or policies on behalf of the people, organizations, or companies who hired them, it is appropriate in this case to remove that portion. While it is theoretically possible for the ratepayers to receive some ancillary benefit from lobbying activities, a company lobbyist's primary responsibility is to benefit the company or industry it represents.
- Q. Can you please explain why the costs for certain Chamber of Commerce membership dues were excluded and how the excluded amount was determined?
- A. As with prior rate cases, Staff's position on the inclusion of Chamber of Commerce is that membership dues in either the local Chambers (aggregated) or the State of Missouri Chamber may be included in rates, not both. In fact, in total, MAWC's membership dues for the local Chambers of Commerce exceed that of its State Chamber membership; therefore, Staff allowed the greater expense.

Additionally, among the many expenses classified as Chamber of Commerce "Membership Dues", the copies of invoices MAWC provided in its response to Staff Data Request ("DR") No. 0099 showed that numerous test year expenses were not for membership dues but rather for sponsorship of dinners, breakfasts, and various events (including participation in several local golf tournaments), and MAWC advertising for other community events. As these activities do not constitute membership dues of an organization, these expenses

were re-classified as sponsorship and advertising, which do not contribute a benefit to ratepayers by providing safe and adequate water and sewer services. Furthermore, sponsorships (similar to charitable contributions) represent an involuntary contribution by ratepayers and, therefore, should not be permitted recovery in rates. Thus, these re-classified expenses were excluded.

MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES

- Q. What is MAWC's position on materials and supplies?
 - A. According to page 4, lines 9 through 20 of Ms. Grisham's rebuttal testimony, MAWC seeks to use the ending test year balance for materials and supplies rather than a 13-month average. Utilizing the ending test year balance would increase this category by approximately \$1.8 million.
 - Q. Has Ms. Grisham's rebuttal testimony changed Staff's opinion on the calculation of materials and supplies for rate base?
 - A. No. As shown in MAWC's response to Staff DR No. 0096, the monthly ending balances for the test year did not reflect a steady trend due to fluctuations between August and September 2021, October and November 2021, and, as noted in Ms. Grisham's rebuttal testimony, March and April 2022. For this reason, Staff determined that a 13-month normalization to account for those fluctuations is more accurate.
 - Q. Will Staff reexamine materials and supplies as part of its true-up audit?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Does this conclude your surrebuttal testimony?
- A. Yes it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas) Case No. WR-2022-0303
AFFIDAVIT OF SH	HERRYE LESMES
STATE OF MISSOURI) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)	
,	
lawful age; that she contributed to the foregoing that the same is true and correct according to her	
Further the Affiant sayeth not.	
SHI	5 Lesmes ERRYE LESMES
JUR	RAT
Subscribed and sworn before me, a duly con-	stituted and authorized Notary Public, in and for
the County of Cole, State of Missouri, at my off	ice in Jefferson City, on this3🖼 day
of February 2023.	a de la companya de l
D. SUZIE MANKIN Notary Public - Notary Seal State of Missouri Commissioned for Cole County My Commission Expires: April 04, 2025 Commission Number: 12412070	Musiellankin ary Public