



Missouri Public Service Commission

MAIDA J. COLEMAN
Commissioner

VACANT
Commissioner

SCOTT T. RUPP
Chairman

POST OFFICE BOX 360
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102
573-751-3234
573-751-1847 (Fax Number)
<http://psc.mo.gov>

JASON R. HOLSMAN
Commissioner

GLEN KOLKMEYER
Commissioner

February 3, 2023

Lindsay VanGerpen
Office of the Public Counsel
200 Madison St., 6th Floor
Jefferson City, MO 65101
Lindsay.VanGerpen@opc.mo.gov

RE: In the Matter of Missouri-American Water Company's Request for Authority to Implement General Rate Increase for Water and Sewer Service Provided in Missouri Service Areas, WR-2022-0303

Dear Ms. VanGerpen:

In accordance with 20 CSR 4240-2.090(2)(D) and the procedural schedule in this case, the Staff of the Missouri Public Service Commission notifies Public Counsel of Staff's objections to the following DRs:

DR 323. Has Staff performed any studies of the impact of Missouri American Water Company's current line extension policy on current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories, and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it has not performed any studies of the impact of MAWC's current line extension policy on current customers. However, Staff used MAWC's data in response to Staff's

DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the attached Excel file.

DR 324: Has Staff performed any analysis to determine if the current line extension policy is cost effective for current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories, and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Furthermore, the phrase "cost effective" is vague. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it used MAWC's data in response to Staff's DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the Excel file attached to Staff's response to OPC DR 323.

DR 325: Has Staff performed any studies of the impact of MAWC's proposed line extension policy on current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories, and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it has not performed any studies of the impact of MAWC's proposed line extension policy on current customers. However, Staff used MAWC's data in response to Staff's DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the Excel file attached to Staff's response to OPC DR 323.

DR 326: Has Staff performed any analysis to determine if MAWC's proposed line extension policy is cost effective for current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories, and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Furthermore, the phrase "cost effective" is vague. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it used MAWC's data in response to Staff's DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the Excel file attached to Staff's response to OPC DR 323.

DR 327: Has Staff performed any studies of the impact of Staff's proposed line extension policy on current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories,

and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it has not performed any studies of the impact of Staff's proposed line extension policy on current customers. However, Staff used MAWC's data in response to Staff's DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the Excel file attached to Staff's response to OPC DR 323.

DR 328: Has Staff performed any analysis to determine if its own proposed line extension policy is cost effective for current customers? (a) If so, please provide this study. (b) If not, please explain why Staff has not done so.

Objection: Staff objects to this DR because it calls for privileged work product, consisting of attorney mental impressions, conclusions, opinions, and/or legal theories, and because it calls for a legal conclusion. Furthermore, the phrase "cost effective" is vague. Without waiving these objections, Staff states that it used MAWC's data in response to Staff's DR 264 and Schedule JTK-1, attached to Jeff Kaiser's direct testimony, to prepare the Excel file attached to Staff's response to OPC DR 323.

Please let me know if you have questions.

Very truly yours,
/s/ Karen E. Bretz
Karen E. Bretz
Deputy Counsel
Karen.Bretz@psc.mo.gov

cc. Counsel for all other parties and OPC.

| District | Subdivision | WBS | Total Cost of Development | Total No of Lots | 4 Times Revenue | MAW Contribution based on 4 x Rev (if credit all lots in subdivision) (E * F) | MAW % of Total Cost (If credit all lots in subdivision) (G / D) | 2021 | 2020 | 2019 | 2018 | 2017 | Total Homes Built | Actual Cost Paid by MAWC vs 5 Year Projected Payback | Actual MAWC Percent of Total Paid | Total Cost times .35 MAWC Proposal | Total Cost times .25 Staff Proposal |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---|---|-------------|-------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| St Joseph | Greystone II Part 2 | D17-0301-P-0132 | \$ 60,879.26 | 2 | \$1,709.88 | \$3,419.76 | 5.62% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | \$ 8,523.10 | 14.00% | \$ 21,307.74 | \$ 15,219.82 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0 | \$1,709.88 | \$0.00 | | \$ 1,709.88 | | | |
| Parkville | Cider Mill 6th Plat | D17-0401-P-0072 | \$ 114,339.01 | 20 | \$1,709.88 | \$34,197.60 | 29.91% | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 10 | \$ 16,007.46 | 14.00% | \$ 40,018.65 | \$ 28,584.75 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$5,129.64 | 0 | \$3,419.76 | \$8,549.40 | | \$17,098.80 | | | |
| | Gatewoods Fourth Plat | D17-0401-P-0073 | \$ 114,572.06 | 36 | \$1,709.88 | \$61,555.68 | 53.73% | 2 | 1 | 12 | 17 | 3 | 35 | \$ 16,040.09 | 14.00% | \$ 40,100.22 | \$ 28,643.02 |
| | | | | | | | | \$3,419.76 | \$1,709.88 | 20518.6 | \$29,067.96 | \$5,129.64 | | \$59,845.80 | | | |
| | Thousand Oaks 20th Plat | D17-0401-P-0074 | \$ 151,480.68 | 32 | \$1,709.88 | \$54,716.16 | 36.12% | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 19 | \$ 21,207.30 | 14.00% | \$ 53,018.24 | \$ 37,870.17 |
| | | | | | | | | \$3,419.76 | \$5,129.64 | 8549.4 | \$10,259.28 | \$5,129.64 | | \$32,487.72 | | | |
| St Charles | Bluffs at Wind Castle | D17-0901-P-0118 | \$ 210,049.05 | 40 | \$2,283.16 | \$91,326.40 | 43.48% | 3 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 37 | \$ 10,502.45 | 5.00% | \$ 73,517.17 | \$ 52,512.26 |
| | | | | | | | | \$6,849.48 | \$20,548.44 | 15982.1 | \$22,831.60 | \$18,265.28 | | \$84,476.92 | | | |
| | MacArthur Park | D17-0901-P-0115 | \$ 37,451.98 | 10 | \$2,283.16 | \$22,831.60 | 60.96% | 2 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 9 | \$ 1,872.60 | 5.00% | \$ 13,108.19 | \$ 9,363.00 |
| | | | | | | | | \$4,566.32 | \$0.00 | 2283.16 | \$11,415.80 | \$2,283.16 | | \$20,548.44 | | | |
| | Whitmoor M7 Lots | D17-0901-P-0116 | \$ 36,264.33 | 4 | \$2,283.16 | \$9,132.64 | 25.18% | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | \$ 1,813.22 | 5.00% | \$ 12,692.52 | \$ 9,066.08 |
| | | | | | | | | \$2,283.16 | \$2,283.16 | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | \$4,566.32 | | | |
| Jaxson Estates | Jaxson Estates Ph 2 | D17-4201-P-0001 | \$ 134,556.27 | 44 | \$2,283.16 | \$100,459.04 | 74.66% | 11 | 9 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 38 | \$ 8,746.16 | 6.50% | \$ 47,094.69 | \$ 33,639.07 |
| | | | | | | | | \$25,114.76 | \$20,548.44 | 13699 | \$27,397.92 | \$0.00 | | \$86,760.08 | | | |
| Joplin | Twin Hills Phase 1 | D17-1101-P-0294 | \$ 38,117.45 | 8 | \$1,633.56 | \$13,068.48 | 34.28% | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | \$ 5,336.44 | 14.00% | \$ 13,341.11 | \$ 9,529.36 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 6534.24 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | \$6,534.24 | | | |
| | Buchanan Place Phase 1 | D17-1101-P-0304 | \$ 22,797.54 | 5 | \$1,633.56 | \$8,167.80 | 35.83% | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | \$ 5,669.75 | 24.87% | \$ 7,979.14 | \$ 5,699.39 |
| | | | | | | | | \$4,900.68 | \$1,633.56 | 0 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | \$6,534.24 | | | |
| | Piper Glen | D17-1101-P-0307 | \$ 118,052.40 | 40 | \$1,633.56 | \$65,342.40 | 55.35% | 0 | 0 | 29 | 11 | 0 | 40 | \$ 16,527.34 | 14.00% | \$ 41,318.34 | \$ 29,513.10 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 47373.2 | \$17,969.16 | \$0.00 | | \$65,342.40 | | | |
| St Louis | Harmony Seven | D17-0201-P-0944 | \$ 113,224.25 | 6 | \$1,848.88 | \$11,093.28 | 9.80% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | \$ 14,945.60 | 13.20% | \$ 39,628.49 | \$ 28,306.06 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | \$11,093.28 | | \$11,093.28 | | | |
| St Louis | Arbors at Kiefer Creek | D17-0201-P-0952 | \$ 48,398.55 | 17 | \$1,848.88 | \$31,430.96 | 64.94% | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 17 | \$ 2,419.93 | 5.00% | \$ 16,939.49 | \$ 12,099.64 |
| | | | | | | | | \$1,848.88 | \$3,697.76 | 5546.64 | \$11,093.28 | \$9,244.40 | | \$31,430.96 | | | |
| St Louis | Arbors at Wilmas Farm | D17-0201-P-0949 | \$ 332,740.25 | 47 | \$1,848.88 | \$86,897.36 | 26.12% | 3 | 6 | 15 | 21 | 2 | 47 | \$ 16,637.01 | 5.00% | \$ 116,459.09 | \$ 83,185.06 |
| | | | | | | | | \$5,546.64 | \$11,093.28 | 27733.2 | \$38,826.48 | \$3,697.76 | | \$86,897.36 | | | |
| St Louis | Laurel Oak Manor | D17-0201-P-0964 | \$ 33,787.63 | 8 | \$1,848.88 | \$14,791.04 | 43.78% | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 8 | \$ 1,689.38 | 5.00% | \$ 11,825.67 | \$ 8,446.91 |
| | | | | | | | | \$1,848.88 | \$1,848.88 | 3697.76 | \$5,546.64 | \$1,848.88 | | \$14,791.04 | | | |
| St Louis | Maryland Oaks | D17-0201-P-0970 | \$ 280,183.11 | 102 | \$1,848.88 | \$188,585.76 | 67.31% | 5 | 5 | 7 | 71 | 14 | 102 | \$ 14,009.16 | 5.00% | \$ 98,064.09 | \$ 70,045.78 |
| | | | | | | | | \$9,244.40 | \$9,244.40 | 12942.2 | \$131,270.48 | \$25,884.32 | | \$188,585.76 | | | |
| St Louis | Stonemill | D17-0201-P-0972 | \$ 51,549.62 | 12 | \$1,848.88 | \$22,186.56 | 43.04% | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 12 | \$ 2,577.48 | 5.00% | \$ 18,042.37 | \$ 12,887.41 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 1848.88 | \$11,093.28 | \$9,244.40 | | \$22,186.56 | | | |
| St Louis | Rich Keen Court | D17-0201-P-0974 | \$ 44,502.04 | 18 | \$1,848.88 | \$33,279.84 | 74.78% | 0 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 0 | 18 | \$ 2,225.10 | 5.00% | \$ 15,575.71 | \$ 11,125.51 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$3,697.76 | 11093.3 | \$18,488.80 | \$0.00 | | \$33,279.84 | | | |
| St Louis | Residence Jennings Pl Ph 2 | D17-0201-P-0965 | \$ 94,784.64 | 2 | \$1,848.88 | \$3,697.76 | 3.90% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | \$ 4,739.23 | 5.00% | \$ 33,174.62 | \$ 23,696.16 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 0 | \$0.00 | \$3,697.76 | | \$3,697.76 | | | |
| St Louis | Warwick on White Road | D17-0201-P-0969 | \$ 114,274.05 | 10 | \$1,848.88 | \$18,488.80 | 16.18% | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 10 | \$ 5,713.70 | 5.00% | \$ 39,995.92 | \$ 28,568.51 |
| | | | | | | | | \$1,848.88 | \$1,848.88 | 5546.64 | \$9,244.40 | \$0.00 | | \$18,488.80 | | | |
| St Louis | Hartford Glen | D17-0201-P-0973 | \$ 141,854.80 | 32 | \$1,848.88 | \$59,164.16 | 41.71% | 2 | 8 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 32 | \$ 21,022.88 | 14.82% | \$ 49,649.18 | \$ 35,463.70 |
| | | | | | | | | \$3,697.76 | \$14,791.04 | 16639.9 | \$11,093.28 | \$12,942.16 | | \$59,164.16 | | | |
| St Louis | Oak Ridge Place Phase 2 | D17-0201-P-0960 | \$ 47,381.57 | 15 | \$1,848.88 | \$27,733.20 | 58.53% | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 13 | \$ 2,369.08 | 5.00% | \$ 16,583.55 | \$ 11,845.39 |
| | | | | | | | | \$3,697.76 | \$5,546.64 | 5546.64 | \$9,244.40 | \$0.00 | | \$24,035.44 | | | |
| St Louis | Main Street Crossing Ph 1 | D17-0201-P-0978 | \$ 131,972.71 | 23 | \$1,848.88 | \$42,524.24 | 32.22% | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 23 | \$ 6,598.64 | 5.00% | \$ 46,190.45 | \$ 32,993.18 |
| | | | | | | | | \$7,395.52 | \$3,697.76 | 3697.76 | \$14,791.04 | \$12,942.16 | | \$42,524.24 | | | |
| St Louis | Wildhorse Ridge | D17-0201-P-0943 | \$ 42,325.54 | 7 | \$1,848.88 | \$12,942.16 | 30.58% | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | \$ 2,116.28 | 5.00% | \$ 14,813.94 | \$ 10,581.39 |
| | | | | | | | | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | 5546.64 | \$7,395.52 | \$0.00 | | \$12,942.16 | | | |
| St Louis | Main Street Crossing Ph 2 | D17-0201-P-0994 | \$ 179,173.88 | 13 | \$1,848.88 | \$24,035.44 | 13.41% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 13 | \$ 8,958.69 | 5.00% | \$ 62,710.86 | \$ 44,793.47 |
| | | | | | | | | \$3,697.76 | \$3,697.76 | 3697.76 | \$7,395.52 | \$5,546.64 | | \$24,035.44 | | | |
| | | | \$ 1,656,152.64 | 553 | | \$1,041,068.12 | 62.86% | 45 | 59 | 120 | 213 | 72 | 509 | \$ 218,268.06 | | \$ 943,149.43 | \$ 673,678.17 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | \$959,057.64 | | | |

% of Lots Built Out 92.04%
 % Paid if Built out 62.86%

| A | B | C | D | E | F | G |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Total Cost of Project 2017 | Actual % Paid in Refund | Total Cost times Actual % (A * B) | 5 year Per Lot Refund Total (2017-2021) | Total that would have been paid by MAWC if all lots connected | Total Cost times .35 MAWC Proposed (.35 * A) | Total Cost times .25 Staff Proposal (.25 * A) |
| \$ 60,879.26 | 14.00% | \$8,523.10 | \$1,709.88 | \$ 3,419.76 | \$21,307.74 | \$15,219.82 |
| \$ 114,339.01 | 14.00% | \$16,007.46 | \$17,098.80 | \$ 34,197.60 | \$40,018.65 | \$28,584.75 |
| \$ 114,572.06 | 14.00% | \$16,040.09 | \$59,845.80 | \$ 61,555.68 | \$40,100.22 | \$28,643.02 |
| \$ 151,480.68 | 14.00% | \$21,207.30 | \$32,487.72 | \$ 54,716.16 | \$53,018.24 | \$37,870.17 |
| \$ 210,049.05 | 5.00% | \$10,502.45 | \$84,476.92 | \$ 91,326.40 | \$73,517.17 | \$52,512.26 |
| \$ 37,451.98 | 5.00% | \$1,872.60 | \$20,548.44 | \$ 22,831.60 | \$13,108.19 | \$9,363.00 |
| \$ 36,264.33 | 5.00% | \$1,813.22 | \$4,566.32 | \$ 9,132.64 | \$12,692.52 | \$9,066.08 |
| \$ 134,556.27 | 6.50% | \$8,746.15 | \$86,760.08 | \$ 100,459.04 | \$47,094.69 | \$33,639.07 |
| \$ 38,117.45 | 14.00% | \$5,336.44 | \$6,534.24 | \$ 13,068.48 | \$13,341.11 | \$9,529.36 |
| \$ 22,797.54 | 24.87% | \$5,670.79 | \$6,534.24 | \$ 8,167.80 | \$7,979.14 | \$5,699.39 |
| \$ 118,052.40 | 14.00% | \$16,527.34 | \$65,342.40 | \$ 65,342.40 | \$41,318.34 | \$29,513.10 |
| \$ 113,224.25 | 13.20% | \$14,949.02 | \$11,093.28 | \$ 11,093.28 | \$39,628.49 | \$28,306.06 |
| \$ 48,398.55 | 5.00% | \$2,419.93 | \$31,430.96 | \$ 31,430.96 | \$16,939.49 | \$12,099.64 |
| \$ 332,740.25 | 5.00% | \$16,637.01 | \$86,897.36 | \$ 86,897.36 | \$116,459.09 | \$83,185.06 |
| \$ 33,787.63 | 5.00% | \$1,689.38 | \$14,791.04 | \$ 14,791.04 | \$11,825.67 | \$8,446.91 |
| \$ 280,183.11 | 5.00% | \$14,009.16 | \$188,585.76 | \$ 188,585.76 | \$98,064.09 | \$70,045.78 |
| \$ 51,549.62 | 5.00% | \$2,577.48 | \$22,186.56 | \$ 22,186.56 | \$18,042.37 | \$12,887.41 |
| \$ 44,502.04 | 5.00% | \$2,225.10 | \$33,279.84 | \$ 33,279.84 | \$15,575.71 | \$11,125.51 |
| \$ 94,784.64 | 5.00% | \$4,739.23 | \$3,697.76 | \$ 3,697.76 | \$33,174.62 | \$23,696.16 |
| \$ 114,274.05 | 5.00% | \$5,713.70 | \$18,488.80 | \$ 18,488.80 | \$39,995.92 | \$28,568.51 |
| \$ 141,854.80 | 14.82% | \$21,024.45 | \$59,164.16 | \$ 59,164.16 | \$49,649.18 | \$35,463.70 |
| \$ 47,381.57 | 5.00% | \$2,369.08 | \$24,035.44 | \$ 27,733.20 | \$16,583.55 | \$11,845.39 |
| \$ 131,972.71 | 5.00% | \$6,598.64 | \$42,524.24 | \$ 42,524.24 | \$46,190.45 | \$32,993.18 |
| \$ 42,325.54 | 5.00% | \$2,116.28 | \$12,942.16 | \$ 12,942.16 | \$14,813.94 | \$10,581.39 |
| \$ 179,173.88 | 5.00% | \$8,958.69 | \$24,035.44 | \$ 24,035.44 | \$62,710.86 | \$44,793.47 |
| | | | | | | |
| \$2,694,712.67 | | \$218,274.09 | \$959,057.64 | \$1,041,068.12 | \$943,149.43 | \$673,678.17 |

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| This is 8.1% of the total in A | This is 35.6% of the total in A | This is 38.6% of the total in A |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|

Missouri Public Service Commission

Respond Data Request

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Data Request No. | 0322 |
| Company Name | MO PSC Staff-(All) |
| Case/Tracking No. | WR-2022-0303 |
| Date Requested | 1/31/2023 |
| Issue | Rate Design - Class Cost of Service |
| Requested From | Karen Bretz |
| Requested By | John Robinett |
| Brief Description | Daronn A. Williams' Class Cost of Service Rebuttal testimony |
| Description | Please refer to Staff Witness Daronn A. Williams' Class Cost of Service Rebuttal testimony At page 5 lines 6-7, Mr. Williams states: "Staff does not object to MAWC's efforts to simplify the refund process while remaining competitive and incentivizing residential developments in its service areas." Please provide a complete list of whom Mr. Williams considers to be MAWC's competitors as described in this statement and indicate why they are in competition with MAWC. |
| Response | Staff is referring to the other providers who could offer incentives to developers to build homes in certain service areas outside of the MAWC service areas. Please see attached. Data Request Response provided by Daronn Williams (daronn.williams@psc.mo.gov). |
| Objections | NA |

The attached information provided to **Missouri Public Service Commission** Staff in response to the above data information request is accurate and complete, and contains no material misrepresentations or omissions, based upon present facts of which the undersigned has knowledge, information or belief. The undersigned agrees to immediately inform the **Missouri Public Service Commission** if, during the pendency of Case No. **WR-2022-0303** before the Commission, any matters are discovered which would materially affect the accuracy or completeness of the attached information. If these data are voluminous, please (1) identify the relevant documents and their location (2) make arrangements with requestor to have documents available for inspection in the **MO PSC Staff-(All)** office, or other location mutually agreeable. Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (e.g. book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title number, author, date of publication and publisher, addresses, date written, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in this data request the term "document(s)" includes publication of any format, workpapers, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, computer analyses, test results, studies or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind in your possession, custody or control or within your knowledge. The pronoun "you" or "your" refers to **MO PSC Staff-(All)** and its employees, contractors, agents or others employed by or acting in its behalf.

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Security : | Public |
| Rationale : | NA |