

Missouri Public Service Commission



NOV 1 7 2015

" Mitters"				
Judge or Division:	Appellate	Number:	Ser	Nissouri Public 3 vice Commission
Appellant:	Missouri I	Public Service Commiss	ion File Number:	
Midwest Energy Consumers' Group				
,	ER-2014-	0370		
Respondent:	vs.			
Missouri Public Service Commission				
Missouri Fublic Service Commission				(Date File Stamp)
		e of Appeal		
Notice is given that Midwest Ener	gy Consumers' Gro	oup	appeals to the Misso	uri Court of
Nov. 17, 2015	Southern District.	Quent	nall	
Date Notice of Appeal Filed (to be filled in by Secretary of Comm	ission)	Signature of Atto	rney or Appellant	
The notice of appeal shall include the subsection 4 of section 386,420, a concicommission proceeding, and any other is and (2) two copies and pay the docket fee by law. Please make checks or money serve a copy of the Notice of Appeal on represented by an attorney.	se statement of the is information specified be required by court r orders payable to the attorneys of record of	sues being appealed, a f by the rules of the court rule to the Secretary of the Missouri Court of A of all parties other than a	ull and complete list. The appellant(s) muse Commission withing peals. At the same	of the parties to the ust file the original n the time specified time, Appellant must
	CASE IN	FORMATION		
Appellant Name / Bar Number:	Appellant Name / Bar Number: Respondent's Attorney / Bar Number:			
David Woodsmall - MBN 40747		Shelley Brueggeman	nn - MBN 52173	
Address:		Address:		
Woodsmall Law Office 308 E. High Street, Suite 204 Jefferson City, MO 65101		Missouri Public Serv P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 6		
	[?] ax: 636-6007	Telephone: 573-751-2690	57	Fax: 73-751-9285
Date of Commission Decision:	Date of Application	for Rehearing Filed:	Date Application for	or Rehearing Ruled On:
September 16, 2015	September 30, 201	15	October 22, 2015	
A copy of the notice of appeal and to ordered by the court of appeals, the comthe case to the court of appeals.	he docket fee shall b			
000 S M S		ificate of Service		
I certify that on November 17, 20 following address(es), by the method of	(date), I served service indicated.	a copy of the notice of a	ppeal on the followin	ng parties, at the
See Attached Service List				
		Aldri	Lincell	
		A	ppellant or Attorney for	r Appellant

ATTACHMENT 1 SERVICE LIST

Service List for Missouri Public Service Commission Case No. ER-2014-0370

Missouri Public Service Commission

Office General Counsel 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360

Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone: 573-751-2690 Fax: 573-751-9285

staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

Missouri Public Service Commission

Nathan Williams 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Nathan.Williams@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel

Dustin Allison 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230

Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone: 573-751-5318 Fax: 573-751-5562 opcservice@ded.mo.gov

Sierra Club

Sunil Bector 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-3441 Phone: 415-977-5759-Ext: sunil.bector@sierraclub.org

Sierra Club

Thomas Cmar 1101 Lake Street, Ste. 405B Oak Park, IL 60301 Phone: 312-257-9338-Ext: Fax: 212-918-1556 tcmar@earthjustice.org

Sierra Club

Henry B Robertson 319 N. Fourth St., Suite 800 St. Louis, MO 63102 Phone: 314-231-4181-Ext: Fax: 314-231-4184 hrobertson@greatriverslaw.org

Sierra Club

Lisa K Perfetto 48 Wall Street, 19th Floor New York, NY 10005 Phone: 212-845-7388-Ext: Iperfetto@earthjustice.org

Union Electric Company

lowery@smithlewis.com

James B Lowery 111 South Ninth St., Suite 200 P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 Phone: 573-443-3141-Ext: Fax: 573-448-6686

Union Electric Company

Wendy Tatro 1901 Chouteau Avenue St. Louis, MO 63103-6149 Phone: 314-554-3484-Ext: Fax: 314-554-4014 AmerenMOService@ameren.com

Robert E Sauls 625 East 26th St Kansas City, MO 64108 Phone: 816-435-8002-Ext: Fax: 816-435-4884

United States Department of Energy United States Department of Energy Brightergy, LLC

Sean Tshikororo 1000 Independence Ave SW Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-6918-Ext: Fax: nul-I -

Andrew Zellers 1712 Main Street, 6th Floor Kansas City, MO 64108 Phone: 816-332-0174-Ext: andyzellers@brightergy.com

City of Kansas City, Missouri

Mark W Comley 601 Monroe Street., Suite 301 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0537 Phone: 573-634-2266-Ext: 301 Fax: 573-636-3306 comleym@ncrpc.com

Consumers Council of Missouri

John B Coffman 871 Tuxedo Bívd. St. Louis, MO 63119-2044 Phone: 573-424-6779-Ext: Fax: nul-l john@johncoffman.net

Federal Executive Agencies

Robert E Sauls 625 East 26th St Kansas City, MO 64108 Phone: 816-435-8002-Ext: Fax: 816-435-4884

Federal Executive Agencles

Sean Tshikororo 1000 Independence Ave SW Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-6918-Ext:

IBEW Local Union 1464

Michael E Amash 753 State Ave, Suite 475 Kansas City, KS 66101 Phone: 913-321-8884-Ext:

IBEW Local Union 1613

Michael E Amash 753 State Ave, Suite 475 Kansas City, KS 66101 Phone: 913-321-8884-Ext: Fax: nul-l -

Fax: 913-321-2396 mea@blake-uhlig.com Fax: 913-321-2396 mea@blake-uhlig.com

IBEW Local Union 412 Michael E Amash 753 State Ave, Suite 475

Kansas City, KS 66101 Phone: 913-321-8884-Ext: Fax: 913-321-2396 mea@blake-uhlig.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Lisa A Gilbreath 4520 Main, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 Phone: 816-460-2655-Ext: Fax: 816-531-7545 lisa.gilbreath@dentons.com Company
James M Fischer
101 Madison Street, Suite 400
Jefferson City, MO 35101

Kansas City Power & Light

Phone: 573-636-6758-Ext: Fax: 573-636-0383 ifischerpc@aol.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Robert Hack
1200 Main, 16th Floor
P.O. Box 418679
Kansas City, MO 64141-9679
Phone: 816-556-2791-Ext:
Fax: 816-556-2787
rob.hack@kcpl.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Karl Zobrist 4520 Main Street, Suite 1100 Kansas City, MO 64111 Phone: 816-460-2545-Ext: Fax: 816-531-7545 karl.zobrist@dentons.com

Kansas City Power & Light Company

Roger W Steiner 1200 Main Street, 16th Floor P.O. Box 418679 Kansas City, MO 64105-9679 Phone: 816-556-2314-Ext: Fax: 816-556-2787 roger.steiner@kcpl.com

Midwest Energy Consumers Group

David Woodsmall 807 Winston Court Jefferson City, MO 65101 Phone: 573-797-0005-Ext: Fax: 573-635-7523

david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

Missouri Division of Energy

Alexander Antal

301 West High St. P.O. Box 1157 Jefferson City, MO 65102 Phone: 573-522-3304-Ext: Alexander.Antal@ded.mo.gov

Missouri Gas Energy (Laclede)

Rick E Zucker 700 Market Street, 6th Floor St. Louis, MO 63101 Phone: 314-342-0533-Ext: Fax: 314-421-1979 rick.zucker@thelacledegroup.com

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC) Edward F Downey

Edward F Downey 221 Bolivar Street, Suite 101 Jefferson City, MO 65101 Phone: 573-556-6622-Ext: efdowney@bryancave.com

Missouri Industrial Energy Consumers (MIEC)

Diana M Vuylsteke 211 N. Broadway, Suite 3600 St. Louis, MO 63102 Phone: 314-259-2543-Ext: Fax: 314-259-2020

dmvuylsteke@bryancave.com

ATTACHMENT 2 CONCISE STATEMENT OF ISSUES TO BE APPEALED

Concise Statement of the Issues Being Appealed

- 1. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it fails to provide adequate time to review the KCPL compliance tariff sheets.
- 2. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets fails to make adequate findings of fact for a reviewing court to determine that KCPL's tariff sheets are actually in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order.
- 3. The finding in the Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets (that the KCPL tariff sheets are in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order) is not based upon competent and substantial evidence.
- 4. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it violates Section 536.070(12) by failing to provide MECG an opportunity to cross-examine on Staff's affidavit.
- 5. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets rejected MECG's Motion for Hearing at which MECG sought to provide competent and substantial evidence that KCPL's tariffs failed to comply with the Commission's Report and Order.
- 6. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it expedited the effective date of KCPL's compliance tariffs without good cause shown in contravention of Section 393.140(11).
- 7. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets was based upon improper process and procedure in that, during its deliberations, the Commission went beyond the competent and substantial evidence on the record and relied upon conversations with Staff members.
- 8. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is in contravention of 4 CSR 240-20.090(1)(I) in that it approves KCPL's fuel adjustment clause prior to the first day of the calendar month.

ATTACHMENT 3 CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

FORM 1. CIVIL CASE INFORMATION FORM SUPPLEMENT

MISSOURI COURT OF APPEALS WESTERN DISTRICT

	No. WD
[Please type or neatly print the information req Appeal (form 8-A) with the Circuit Clerk.]	uested. This form must be filed with the Notice of
Modurat Energy Consumers Group Plaintiff	Attorney's Name 301 E tran street, suite JOH Street Address Effection City, Mo 65101 City Zip Code
Missouri Public Service Commission Defendant	Attorney's Name P.O. LOX 3160 Street Address City Zip Code
Date Notice filed in Circuit Court	City Zip Code
The Record on Appeal will consist of a: Legal File Only or include records filed pursuant to Rules 81.13 ar	Transcript and Legal File. (This will nd 81.16)
FACTUAL BACKGROUND: (Events Giving Sec Attached	Rise to Cause of Action)
ISSUE(S): (Anticipated to be Presented by the Appeal; Ap	opellant is Not Bound by this Designation)
[Two (2) typewritten pages maximum] (Added June 25, 1987, effective Dec. 1, 1987.	Amended effective June 23, 1988)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On September 2, 2015, the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission") issued its Report and Order in Case No. ER-2014-0370. In that Report and Order, the Commission rejected KCPL's original tariff sheets filed on October 30, 2014. Moreover, relevant to the immediate case, the Commission authorized KCPL to file compliance tariff sheets "sufficient to recover revenues approved in compliance with this order."

On September 8, 11 and 15, KCPL filed tariff sheets that it alleged were in compliance with the Commission's September 2, 2015 Report and Order. 15 hours after KCPL filed its last compliance tariff sheet, the Commission issued its Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets. Demonstrating the errors caused by the Commission's rush to approve compliance tariff sheets, KCPL was forced to file a corrected tariff sheet on November 6, 2015.

Appellant contends that, in its rush to expedite the approval of KCPL's compliance tariff sheets, the Commission violated numerous statutory and regulatory provisions.

Concise Statement of the Issues Being Appealed

- 1. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it fails to provide adequate time to review the KCPL compliance tariff sheets.
- 2. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets fails to make adequate findings of fact for a reviewing court to determine that KCPL's tariff sheets are actually in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order.
- 3. The finding in the Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets (that the KCPL tariff sheets are in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order) is not based upon competent and substantial evidence.
- 4. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it violates Section 536.070(12) by failing to provide MECG an opportunity to cross-examine on Staff's affidavit.
- 5. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets rejected MECG's Motion for Hearing at which MECG sought to provide competent and substantial evidence that KCPL's tariffs failed to comply with the Commission's Report and Order.
- 6. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is unlawful in that it expedited the effective date of KCPL's compliance tariffs without good cause shown in contravention of Section 393.140(11).
- 7. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets was based upon improper process and procedure in that, during its deliberations, the Commission went beyond the competent and substantial evidence on the record and relied upon conversations with Staff members.
- 8. The Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets is in contravention of 4 CSR 240-20.090(1)(I) in that it approves KCPL's fuel adjustment clause prior to the first day of the calendar month.

ATTACHMENT 4 COMMISSION ORDER

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 16th day of September, 2015.

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a YE-2016-0077 General Rate Increase for Electric Service

File No. ER-2014-0370

YE-2016-0078

ORDER REGARDING COMPLIANCE TARIFF SHEETS

Issue Date: September 16, 2015 Effective Date: September 29, 2015

On September 2, 2015, the Commission issued a report and order that rejected Kansas City Power & Light Company's ("KCPL") tariffs to increase the company's annual revenues for its provision of electric service. However, the Commission authorized KCPL to file new tariff sheets sufficient to recover revenues as determined in that report and order. KCPL filed its compliance tariff sheets on September 8, 2015, to become effective on October 8, 2015. KCPL filed substitute tariff sheets on September 11, 2015.

The report and order directed the Commission's Staff to file a recommendation regarding KCPL's compliance tariff sheets by September 14, 2015 and directed any other party wishing to file a recommendation regarding the compliance tariff sheets to do so no later than September 14, 2015. The Commission's Staff filed its timely recommendation, which concluded the compliance tariff sheets do not comply with the Commission's report and order concerning two provisions of the fuel adjustment clause (FAC) and advised the Commission to reject or suspend those tariff sheets. The Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC") concurred with Staff's recommendation. No other party filed a response or objection to the compliance tariff sheets.

On September 8, 2015, KCPL filed a motion for clarification or reconsideration regarding those two provisions in its FAC tariff. KCPL argued that in the definition of off-system sales revenues, the phrase "revenue sufficiency" should be replaced with other language to be consistent with the Southwest Power Pool tariff. KCPL also urged the Commission to adopt its definition of the "J" component instead of Staff's definition in order to allocate FAC costs and revenues consistently with the allocation methodology used to set permanent rates in this proceeding. In its response to that motion, Staff agreed with KCPL that some modifications to the two FAC provisions should be made.

Those parties have agreed that in the definition of off-system sales revenues, the parenthetical phrase "(such as make whole payments, and out-of-merit payments and distributions)," should be added after the phrase "revenue sufficiency". Those parties also agreed that the "J" component in the FAC tariff should be modified so that the it matches the energy allocator used to allocate KCPL's costs between Kansas and Missouri, which is better expressed by the following formula: "J = Missouri Retail Energy Ratio = (MO Retail kWh sales + MO Losses) / (MO Retail kWh Sales + MO Losses + KS Retail kWh Sales + KS Losses + Sales for Resale, Municipals kWh Sales [includes border customers] + Sales for Resale, Municipals Losses). MO Losses = 6.121%; KS Losses = 6.298%; Sales for Resale, Municipals Losses = 21.50%." After reaching that agreement on September 15, 2015, KCPL withdrew its FAC tariff sheets and filed revised FAC compliance tariff sheets with both the modifications described above and other agreed-upon changes. Staff and KCPL filed a verified joint motion stating that the revised tariff sheets comply with the Commission's report and order and requesting that the Commission approve the compliance tariffs, as revised. Because the Commission agrees that these modifications are appropriate, the Commission will grant KCPL's motion for clarification or reconsideration consistent with the modifications agreed to by KCPL and Staff and the joint motion to approve the revised FAC tariff sheets.

On September 8, 2015, KCPL filed a motion for expedited treatment requesting that the compliance tariff sheets become effective on or before September 29, 2015. Staff did not state any objections to granting expedited treatment. OPC filed a response stating that it opposes an order approving compliance tariff sheets with a September 15 effective date. MECG filed an Objection to Tariffs, Objection to Affidavits and Request for Hearing on September 15, 2015. The Commission will address that filing in a subsequent order.

The Commission has reviewed the proposed tariff sheets and the filings by KCPL, Staff and OPC. The Commission independently finds and concludes that the substituted compliance tariff sheets, as revised on September 15, 2015, are consistent with the Commission's report and order as modified in this order, so the Commission will approve KCPL's substituted and revised tariff sheets. The original tariff sheets filed by KCPL were suspended until September 29, 2015. Since no party objected to making the compliance tariff sheets effective on that date, KCPL's motion for expedited treatment will be granted, and the tariffs sheets will become effective for service rendered on and after September 29, 2015.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- Kansas City Power & Light Company's Motion for Expedited Treatment and Approval of Tariff Sheets Filed in Compliance with Commission's Report and Order on Less Than Thirty Days' Notice, is granted.
- 2. Kansas City Power & Light Company's Motion for Clarification or Reconsideration, filed on September 8, 2015, and the Joint Motion for Approval of Compliance Tariff Sheets, filed on September 15, 2015, are granted. The Report and Order

issued by the Commission on September 2, 2015 is amended consistent with the modifications agreed to by Kansas City Power & Light Company and Staff as described in the body of this order and attached to the Joint Motion for Approval of Compliance Tariff Sheets.

- 3. The proposed electric service tariff sheets submitted by Kansas City Power & Light Company under Tariff Tracking Nos. YE-2016-0077 and YE-2016-0078, as substituted on September 11, 2015 and revised on September 15, 2015, are approved effective on and after September 29, 2015.
 - 4. This order shall become effective on September 29, 2015.

BY THE COMMISSION

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Hall, Chm., Stoll, Kenney, Rupp, and Coleman, CC., concur.

Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

ATTACHMENT 5 APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Kansas City)	
Power & Light Company's Request)	Case No. ER-2014-0370
for Authority to Implement a General)	
Rate Increase for Electric Service)	

APPLICATION FOR REHEARING

COMES NOW the Midwest Energy Consumers Group ("MECG") and, for its Application for Rehearing from the Commission's September 29, 2015 *Order Denying MECG's Objections and Request for Hearing* ("Order"), respectfully state as follows:

- 1. On September 15, 2015, MECG filed its Objection to Tariffs, Objection to Affidavits and Request for Hearing. On September 16, 2015, the Commission issued its *Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets*. The practical effect of that September 16 Order was to deny MECG's September 15, 2015 filing. Given this implicit rejection of its pleading, MECG filed its Application for Rehearing regarding the Commission's September 16, 2015 *Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets* on September 28, 2015.
- 2. On September 29, 2015, the Commission issued its *Order Denying MECG's Objections and Request for Hearing*. In that Order, the Commission explicitly rejected MECG's September 15 filing. Out of an abundance of caution and in order to preserve all of its appeal rights, MECG's hereby files another Application for Rehearing of the Commission's September 29, 2015 *Order Denying MECG's Objections and Request for Hearing*.
- 3. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and is based upon improper process and procedure in that it fails to allow parties

adequate time to review the KCPL compliance tariffs. Most egregious, for certain tariff sheets, the notice period was reduced to less than a single day.

- 4. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and is based upon improper process and procedure in that it fails to make adequate findings of fact for a reviewing court to determine that the KCPL tariffs are actually in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order. Instead, the Commission simply makes a conclusory finding that the tariff sheets comply with the Report and Order.
- 5. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and is based upon improper process and procedure in that its finding that the KCPL tariffs are in compliance with the September 2, 2015 Report and Order is not based upon competent and substantial evidence.
- 6. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and is based upon improper process and procedure in that it violates Section 536.070(12) by overruling MECG's September 15, 2015 Objection to Staff's affidavits and refusing to provide MECG an opportunity to cross examine the Staff affiants on the substance of their affidavits.
- 6. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and is based upon improper process and procedure in that it refused to grant MECG's September 15, 2015 Motion for Hearing at which MECG sought to provide the competent and substantial evidence necessary to show that the KCPL tariffs failed to comply with the Commission's Report and Order and applicable statutory provisions.

- 7. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and based upon improper process and procedure in that it expedited the effective date of the KCPL compliance tariffs without good cause shown in contravention of Section 393.140(11).
- 8. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and based upon improper process and procedure in that, during its deliberations regarding KCPL's compliance tariffs, certain Commissioners specifically relied upon communications with Staff counsel, a party to this proceeding. The content of such communications are contrary to the Commission's ex-parte rule and not considered competent and substantial evidence.
- 9. The Commission's Order is unlawful, unreasonable, an abuse of discretion and based upon improper process and procedure in that it provided for an effective date for KCPL's fuel adjustment clause compliance tariffs in contravention of 4 CSR 240-20.090(1)(I).

WHEREFORE, MECG respectfully requests that the Commission grant this Application for Rehearing.

Respectfully submitted,

David L. Woodsmall (MBE #40747) 308 E. High Street, Suite 204 Jefferson City, MO 65101 (573) 636-6006 voice (573) 636-6007 facsimile david.woodsmall@woodsmalllaw.com

ATTORNEY FOR THE MIDWEST ENERGY CONSUMERS' GROUP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this day served the foregoing pleading by email, facsimile or First Class United States Mail to all parties by their attorneys of record as provided by the Secretary of the Commission.

David L. Woodsmall

Dated: September 30, 2015

ATTACHMENT 6 ORDER DENYING REHEARING

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 22nd day of October, 2015.

In the Matter of Kansas City Power & Light Company's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service

File No. ER-2014-0370 et al.

ORDER DENYING APPLICATIONS FOR REHEARING REGARDING APPROVAL OF COMPLIANCE TARIFF SHEETS

Issue Date: October 22, 2015 Effective Date: October 22, 2015

On September 16, 2015, the Missouri Public Service Commission issued an Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets, effective September 29, 2015, which granted motions for expedited treatment and reconsideration and approved tariff sheets filed by Kansas City Power & Light Company in compliance with the Commission's Report and Order issued in this matter. On September 28, 2015, Midwest Energy Consumers Group ("MECG") filed an application for rehearing. MECG filed another substantially similar application for rehearing on September 30, 2015.

Section 386.500.1, RSMo 2000, states that the Commission shall grant an application for rehearing if "in its judgment sufficient reason therefor be made to appear." In the judgment of the Commission, MECG has not shown sufficient reason to rehear the matter. The Commission will deny the applications for rehearing.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

 Midwest Energy Consumers' Group's Application for Rehearing filed on September 28, 2015, is denied.

- 2. Midwest Energy Consumers' Group's Application for Rehearing filed on September 30, 2015, is denied.
 - 3. This order shall be effective when issued.

BY THE COMMISSION

Morris L. Woodruff Secretary

Hall, Chm., Stoll, Kenney, and Rupp, CC., concur. Coleman, C., abstains.

Bushmann, Senior Regulatory Law Judge

ATTACHMENT 7 RECONCILIATION

Kansas City Power & Light MPSC Case No. ER-2014-0370 Reconciliation of issues Decided by the Commission Revenue Requirement Impact

ROE:	Revenue Requirement Change From Order
9.50% Per order 9.10% Per MIEC/MECG 10.30% Per KCP&L (Note: A 10 basis point change in ROE equates to \$2.1M in RR)	(\$8,400,218) \$16,713,621
Rate Case Expense: Per OPC Per KCP&L	(\$145,891) \$90,888
Net Operating Losses: Per MECG	(\$726,938)
Expiring KMEA Contracts: Per MECG	(\$814,083)
SPP Transmission Expense Including IPL - Forecast: Per KCP&L	\$5,000,000 (1)
CIP/Cybersecurity O&M Expense - Forecast: Per KCP&L	\$3,500,000 (2)
Property Tax Expense - Forecast: Per KCP&L	\$5,600,000 (3)

- (1) Although approval of a Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) for 95% of Southwest Power Pool (SPP) transmission expenses or a tracker for such expenses would not have impacted the level of rates set by the Report and Order, such approval would have affected future rates. Because KCP&L will record the level of SPP transmission expenses actually incurred during the period when the rates set by the Report and Order are in effect, and pending Commission decision on other aspects of this tracker/FAC issue, the impact of this aspect of the Report and Order will be available in the future.
- (2) Although approval of a tracker for Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) and Cyber-security Operations & Maintenance (O&M) expenses would not have impacted the level of rates set by the Report and Order, such approval would have affected future rates. Because KCP&L will record the level of CIP/Cyber-security O&M expenses actually incurred during the period when the rates set by the Report and Order are in effect, and pending Commission decision on other aspects of this tracker issue, the impact of this aspect of the Report and Order will be available in the future.
- (3) Although approval of a tracker for property tax expenses would not have impacted the level of rates set by the Report and Order, such approval would have affected future rates. Because KCP&L will record the level of property tax expenses actually incurred during the period when the rates set by the Report and Order are in effect, and pending Commission decision on other aspects of this tracker issue, the impact of this aspect of the Report and Order will be available in the future.

<u>Fuel Adjustment Clause</u>: Although approval of a FAC did not impact the level of rates set by the Report and Order, such approval will affect future rates. KCP&L will track and record (a) amounts billed to customers under the FAC and (b) amounts credited to customers under the FAC. The impact of this aspect of the Report and Order will therefore be available in the future.

Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets: Although the Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets did not impact the level of rates set by the Report and Order, the Commission's Order Regarding Compliance Tariff Sheets implemented an annual rate increase of \$89,671,644 (approximately \$245,676 per day on average) for service rendered on and after September 29, 2015.

Kansas City Power & Light MPSC Case No. ER-2014-0370 Reconciliation of Issues Decided by the Commission Revenue Requirement Impact

Issue: ROE - 9.10% Per MIEC/MECG

Value: (\$8,400,218)	Impact			
(44),,	Amount		Percent	
LARGE POWER TOTAL	S	(1,488,394)	-0.985%	
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	(1,952,929)	-0.985%	
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	(1,138,493)	-0.986%	
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	(543,718)	-0.986%	
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	S	(3,169,639)	-0.986%	
LIGHTING TOTAL:	\$	(107,046)	-0.986%	
TOTAL	\$	(8,400,218)	-0.986%	

Issue: ROE - 10.30% Per KCP&L

Value: \$16,713,621

LARGE POWER TOTAL LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TOTAL LIGHTING TOTAL: TOTAL

Issue: OPC Rate Case Expense

Value: (\$145,891)

LARGE POWER TOTAL LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TOTAL LIGHTING TOTAL: TOTAL

Issue: KCP&L Rate Case Expense

Value: \$90,888

LARGE POWER TOTAL LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TOTAL LIGHTING TOTAL: TOTAL

Issue: MECG Net Operating Losses

Value: (\$726,938)

LARGE POWER TOTAL LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TOTAL LIGHTING TOTAL:

Impact			
	Amount	Percent	
\$	2,961,405	1.960%	
S	3,885,674	1,960%	
S	2,265,219	1,963%	
S	1,081,816	1.963%	
\$	6,306,521	1.963%	
\$	212,985	1.963%	
\$	16,713,621	1.962%	

	Impact		
	Amount	Percent	
\$	(25,850)	-0.017%	
\$	(33,918)	-0.017%	
\$	(19,773)	-0.017%	
\$	(9,443)	-0.017%	
\$	(55,049)	-0.017%	
\$	(1,859)	-0.017%	
s	(145,891)	-0.017%	

Impact			
	Amount	Percent	
\$	16,104	0.011%	
\$	21,130	0.011%	
\$	12,318	0.011%	
S	5,883	0.011%	
S	34,295	0.011%	
\$	1,158	0.011%	
\$	90.888	0.011%	

	impaci	
	Amount	Percent
\$	(128,803)	-0.085%
\$	(169,003)	-0.085%
S	(98,523)	-0.085%
S	(47,052)	-0.085%
\$	(274,294)	-0.085%
\$	(9,264)	-0.085%

Kansas City Power & Light MPSC Case No. ER-2014-0370 Reconciliation of Issues Decided by the Commission Revenue Requirement Impact

\$ (726,938) -0.085%

Issue: MECG Expiring KMEA Contracts

TOTAL

Value: (\$814,083)	Impact		
	•	Amount	Percent
LARGE POWER TOTAL	S	(144,243)	-0.095%
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	(189,262)	-0.095%
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	S	(110,334)	-0.096%
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	(52,693)	-0.096%
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	\$	(307,177)	-0.096%
LIGHTING TOTAL:	S	(10,374)	-0.096%
TOTAL	S	(814,083)	-0.096%

Issue: KCP&L SPP Transmission Expense Including IPL - FAC/Tracker/Forecast

Value: \$5,000,000

		Amount	Percent
LABOR BOURES TOTAL	- 		
LARGE POWER TOTAL	\$	885,926	0.586%
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	1,162,427	0.586%
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	677,657	0.587%
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	323,633	0.587%
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	\$	1,886,641	0.587%
LIGHTING TOTAL:	\$	63,716	0.587%
TOTAL	<u> </u>	5,000,000	0.587%

Issue: KCP&L CIP/Cybersecurity O&M Expense - Tracker/Forecast

Value: \$3,500,000 Impact

		Amount	Percent
LARGE POWER TOTAL	\$	620,148	0.410%
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	813,699	0.410%
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	474,360	0.411%
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	S	226,543	0.411%
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	\$	1,320,649	0.411%
LIGHTING TOTAL:	\$	44,601	0.411%
TOTAL	S	3,500,000	0.411%

Issue: KCP&L Property Tax Expense - Tracker/Forecast

Value: \$5,600,000 Impact

	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
	-	Amount	Percent
LARGE POWER TOTAL	\$	992,237	0.657%
LARGE GEN SVC TOTAL	S	1,301,919	0.657%
MEDIUM GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	758,975	0.658%
SMALL GEN SVC TOTAL	\$	362,469	0.658%
RESIDENTIAL TOTAL	\$	2,113,038	0.658%
LIGHTING TOTAL:	_\$	71,362	0.658%
TOTAL	S	5,600,000	0.657%