Exhibit No.:

Issues:

Collection Activities

Witne

Witness: David Hendershot

Sponsoring Party: Missouri Gas Energy

Case No.: GR-2001-292

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2001-292

FILED MAY 2 2 2001



REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID HENDERSHOT

Jefferson City, Missouri

May 22, 2001

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF DAVID HENDERSHOT

CASE NO. GR.-2001-292

MAY 22, 2001

1	Q.	WOULD	YOU	PLEASE	STATE	YOUR	NAME	AND	BUSINESS

2 ADDRESS?

3 A. Yes. My name is David Hendershot, and my business address is Missouri Gas

4 Energy, 3420 Broadway, Kansas City, Missouri, 64111.

5

6 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

7 A. I am employed by Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE" or "Company") as Manager, Credit and Collections. My responsibilities include oversight of the range of 8 9 collection activities undertaken by the Company. This includes responsibilities 10 such as development of deposit policies, collection and/or disconnect notice policies, field collection policies and, unfortunately, sometimes disconnecting 11 customers' service. Prior to my employment with MGE, I was employed by 12 TransUnion, a national credit reporting agency, for more than 15 years in a variety 13 of positions, where my responsibilities included management functions pertaining 14 to credit reporting. I have worked in the Credit and Collections industry for over 15 20 years. 16

17

18

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.				
2	A.	I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from Cleveland State				
3		University. I have also done post-graduate level work in Economics at Cleveland				
4		State University and Management at Baker University.				
5						
6	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?				
7	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address the direct testimony of Public Counsel				
8		witness Roger Colton (at pages 12-19) related to the collection activities of MGE.				
9						
10	Q.	PUBLIC COUNSEL WITNESS COLTON LEVELS HEAVY CRITICISM				
11		AT THE COMPANY'S COLLECITON ACTIVITIES. DO YOU AGREE?				
12	A.	No. Although MGE is always engaged in efforts to continuously improve its				
13		collection activities (which, by definition, means that some of its current activities				
14		are less than perfect), the tone of Mr. Colton's direct testimony (pages 12-19)				
15		would leave the reader with the impression that MGE has placed no emphasis on				
16		collection activities or in developing ways to improve those activities. This is				
17		categorically untrue.				
18						
19						
20						

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE WHY YOU BELIEVE MR. COLTON'S TESTIMONY
2		LEAVES THE READER WITH A FALSE IMPRESSION WITH RESPECT
3		TO MGE'S COLLECTION ACTIVITIES.
4	A.	As a credit and collection professional with more than twenty (20) years in the
5		business, it is my opinion that:
6		1. MGE maintains reasonable procedures to collect its receivables.
7		2. MGE makes reasonable attempts to work proactively with
8		customers in collecting receivables.
9		3. MGE is actively pursuing a wide range of credit and collection
10		procedures and tools to protect receivables.
11		4. MGE works closely with community agencies to assist customers in
12		need.
13		5. MGE's overall objective is to maximize the collection of
14		receivables while minimizing instances of disconnection. Although
15		disconnection of service is necessary at times for collection
16		purposes, MGE does not generally derive revenues from customers
17		whose service is disconnected, so disconnection is used only as a
18		last resort.
19		
20		
21		

- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE MORE SPECIFICALLY WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN
 YOU SAY THAT MGE MAINTAINS REASONABLE PROCEDURES TO
 COLLECT ITS RECEIVABLES.
- MGE makes use of separate guidelines for collection of commercial and residential 4 A. accounts that vary depending on the time of year and the condition of receivables. 5 We also make use of collection letters and early intervention via outbound 6 telephone messages in an effort to contact customers prior to field collections. Our 7 field collectors are generally given authority to use their judgment and do what is 8 appropriate under the circumstances apparent to them, instead of being required to 9 10 adhere to rigid collection criteria. MGE makes use of collection agencies and credit reporting agencies and makes flexible payment options and terms available 11 under appropriate circumstances. 12

13

14

15

- Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT MGE MAKES REASONABLE ATTEMPTS TO PROACTIVELY WORK WITH CUSTOMERS.
- 17 A. This past winter provides a good example. In December 2000, when the weather
 18 turned bitterly cold, MGE publicly requested those without gas service to call for
 19 service re-connection. In many cases, service was initiated for little more than the
 20 customer's good faith promise to pay, and would not have otherwise qualified for
 21 service initiation. In this fashion, service was initiated for approximately 700
 22 customers at terms considerably more favorable to the customer than those
 23 required under the Commission's "cold weather rule." In addition, throughout this

winter as a result of the unprecedented high bills caused by the combination of cold weather and high gas prices, MGE permitted customers to enroll in the ABC ("average bill calculation") plan, which is MGE's version of the level pay plan, without requiring customers to become current prior to enrollment. While this liberal application of the ABC plan caused MGE to carry a significantly greater amount of deferred ABC settlement balances, our belief is that this action was reasonable to help prevent customers from being overwhelmed by the level of their gas bills and to help keep them in the habit of paying on a monthly basis. These are just two examples. MGE also has many paystations located throughout its service territory for the convenience of those customers who desire to pay in person.

A.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY THAT MGE
IS ACTIVELY PURSUING A WIDE RANGE OF CREDIT AND
COLLECTION PROCEDURES AND TOOLS TO PROTECT
RECEIVABLES.

MGE just hired approximately ten (10) new field employees to undertake field collection activities this summer. Upon their completion of collection activities, these employees will also be trained to work in the Installation & Service ("I&S") department. We have recently contracted with a third party vendor, Utility Services NA, Inc., to perform field collections work and supplement our internal field operations group. These resources will assist us in managing the increase in collection activity necessitated by the winter of 2000-2001.

MGE has also just recently begun to experiment with pursuing the full range of collection activities on Fridays. In the past, we severely restricted collection work on Fridays in recognition of limited resource availability to perform re-connect work on Saturdays. Although we must continue to manage this effort carefully to ensure that we have sufficient resources available on Saturdays to perform any reconnect work, early results of this have been favorable.

We have also discussed with the Commission's Staff the possibility of increasing, on an experimental basis, permissible hours of field collection during daylight savings time.

These are just a few examples of changes, which are either under way or are being considered.

Q.

- PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY MGE WORKS

 CLOSELY WITH THE COMMUNITY AND VARIOUS COMMUITY

 AGENCIES IN PROVIDING ASSISTNACE TO THOSE IN NEED.
- MGE works closely with a number of different low-income programs including
 ECIP, LIHEAP, Neighbors Helping Neighbors, Salvation Army, and many others.
 MGE provides many support services to the agencies and the customers being
 served by them through the use of Customer Advisors. Those services include
 attending and assisting in community-based meetings and programs for low-

income customers. MGE's Customer Advisors assist elderly, disabled and low-income customers by providing information and they act as a liaison between customers in need and the social service organizations. Five full time employees are dedicated to providing these support services. Additionally, MGE made a charitable donation of \$250,000 to the Mid-America Assistance Coalition for the purpose of providing energy assistance to low-income customers during the winter of 2000.

A.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY MGE'S OVERALL STRATEGY IS TO MAXIMIZE COLLECTION OF RECEIVABLES, BUT THAT DISCONNECTION IS USED ONLY AS A LAST RESORT.

I certainly agree with Public Counsel witness Colton that field collection is expensive work. It is even more expensive when service is disconnected and, in some cases, immediately thereafter the customer pays and MGE then re-dispatches a service person to re-connect service. In conjunction with the fact that disconnected customers generate no revenues, this is the primary reason why MGE views service disconnection as a last resort tool.

Our collection letters and early intervention via outbound telephone messages are all designed to spur customer payment prior to the more formal and expensive collection process (e.g., field visit, collection agencies, etc.) being undertaken.

Even when field collection of an account becomes necessary, experience shows that MGE does not operate on a "disconnect or else" policy. For example, in calendar year 2000 only 43% of all field collections worked resulted in disconnection of service. MGE left notes on 36% of those field collections and actually collected payments on 20% of them.

A.

Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMENT WITH RESPECT TO THE DIRECT TESTIMONY OF PUBLIC COUNSEL WITNESS COLTON?

Yes. At numerous points throughout pages 12-19 of his direct testimony Mr. Colton misconstrues or misinterprets information he received from MGE. For example, on page 15, lines 3-6, Mr. Colton portrays a series of numbers as if they represent the experience of the total Company for the time period involved. In fact, these numbers represent only field collections worked by third party contractors and exclude field collections worked by Company employees. They represent only a small portion of the overall field collection activity during this period of time. Had Mr. Colton or Public Counsel ever discussed this matter with MGE prior to the filing of direct testimony, MGE would have been more than happy to explain this data.

Another example is found on page 16 of his direct testimony, lines 9-16, where Mr. Colton asserts that over 90% of the field collection payments collected are used by the company as an expense to collect these receivables. This in fact is not true and the information in OPC-DR-5143 (E) is being misread and misinterpreted.

These dollars spent include such items as the cost for paystations, Intell-A-Check payments (signatureless checks from our contact center), and others. Had Mr. Colton or Public Counsel ever discussed this matter with MGE prior to the filing of direct testimony, MGE would have been more than happy to explain this.

Yet another example is found on page 18 of his direct testimony, lines 10 - 16 where Mr. Colton asserts that MGE significantly lags behind other natural gas utility companies in certain credit and collection measurements. Again this information is being misread and misinterpreted. The study being referred to at lines 11-15 on page 18 of Mr. Colton's direct testimony (TB & A Benchmarking) is based on 1998 data and includes electric and gas utility industry respondents. Our belief is that electric utility companies as a whole have lower levels of delinquency and bad debt which would make a direct comparison of MGE to the TB & A Benchmarking misleading and inaccurate.

He also indicates in the testimony that "net MGE write-offs reached 1.46% compared to an industry average standard of 1.07%." Again this is very misleading as indicated by the following actual MGE net charge-offs and percent of revenue.

6	Fiscal Year	% of Revenue
7	FY 99	1.2%
8	FY 00	1.0%

As can be clearly seen, significant improvements have been realized over the last three years, yet Mr. Colton's direct testimony would leave the reader with the false impression that MGE has made no improvements.

Other examples can be found in Mr. Colton's direct testimony.

Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

17 A. Yes, it does.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase for Gas Service in the Company's I Service Area.	Rates)	Case No. GR-2001-292			
AFFIDA	AVIT OF DAVID C. HENI	DERSHOT			
STATE OF MISSOURI)					
COUNTY OF JACKSON)	SS.				
David C. Hendershot, of lawful age of the foregoing Rebuttal Testimon case; that the answers in the foreg knowledge of the matters set forth the best of his knowledge and believed.	y in question and answer oing Rebuttal Testimony in such answers; and tha	r form, to be presented in the abo were given by him; that he has	ect to		
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of May 2001. Notary Public					
My Commission Expires:		, Lelle-shown	American Carlotta		
KIM W. HENZI Notary Public - Notary Se State of Missouri Jackson County My Commission Expires Feb	5				