RICHARD S. BROWNLEE III
MICHAEL A. DALLMEYER
DUAME E. SCHREIMANN
DOUGLAS I. VAN CAMP
MICHAEL G. BERRY
JOHN W. KUEBLER
SUSAN M. TURNER
CHRISTOPHER P. RACKERS
SARA C. MICHAEL
BRIAN E. FRANCKA
SHANE I. FARROW
THOMAS G. PIRMANTGEN
KEITH A. WENZEL
ANDREW B. BLUNT
HEATHER D. RICHENBERGER

## HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW

RIVERVIEW OFFICE CENTER
221 BOLIVAR STREET, SUITE 300
P.O. BOX 1069
JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI 65102

www.hendrenandrae.com

(573) 636-8135 (573) 636-5226 (FAX) RODNEY D. GRAY OF COUNSEL

HENRY ANDRAE (Retired)

JOHN H. HENDREN (1907-1988) CHARLES H. HOWARD (1925-1978) JOHN E. BURRUSS, JR. (1933-1985) GERALD E. ROARK (1956-1995)

E-MAIL

richardb@hendrenandrae.com

September 16, 2002

Mr. Dale Hardy Roberts
Executive Secretary
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street, PO Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0360



RE: In the Matter of the Tariff Filing Of Fidelity Natural Gas - Case No. GT-2003-0036
Tariff No. JG-2003-0045

Dear Mr. Roberts:

Enclosed please find for filing the original plus eight (8) copies of the Statement of Position to be filed on behalf of Missouri School Boards' Association in the above-captioned matter.

If you should have any questions concerning the enclosed filing, please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you.

Very truly yours,

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C.

Richard S. Brownlee, III

RSB\s Enclosures All Counsel of Record Melissa Randol Louie R. Ervin

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

#### OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Tariff	)	, e -	South Public
Filing Of Fidelity Natural	)	Case No. GT-2003-0036	"Nission
Gas	)	Tariff No. JG-2003-0045	.07

# MISSOURI SCHOOL BOARDS' ASSOCIATION'S POSITION STATEMENT

COMES NOW, Missouri School Boards' Association (hereafter "MSBA") by and through its Counsel, Hendren and Andrae, L.L.C., Richard S. Brownlee, III, and for its Position Statement respectfully submits as follows:

A. Do the tariffs filed by Fidelity provide for the aggregate purchasing of natural gas supplies and pipeline transportation service on behalf of eligible school entities in accordance with aggregate purchasing contracts negotiated by and through a not-for-profit school association as required by Section 393.310.4(1) RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA notes that Section 393.310 RSMo
Supp. 2002 defines the term "aggregate," and provides for
pooling or aggregating of natural gas purchases by eligible
school entities. The statute also addresses purchases of
natural gas. The proposed experimental tariff filing for the
eligible school entities allows aggregation at multiple meter
locations, as required by the statute. MSBA believes that

aggregation is adequately defined in the Fidelity proposed tariffs sheets and accordingly complies with Section 394.310.4(1).

B. Do the tariffs filed by Fidelity provide for the resale of such natural gas supplies, including related transportation service costs, to the eligible school entities at the gas corporation's cost of purchasing such gas supplies and transportation, plus all applicable distribution costs, plus an aggregation and balancing fee to be determined by the Commission, not to exceed four-tenths of one cent per therm delivered during the first year as required by Section 393.310.4(2) RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA believes that the tariffs filed by Fidelity do comply with Section 393.310.4(2) RSMo Supp. 2002. The Company is compensated for aggregating and balancing gas by charging a fee per therm of natural gas sales delivered to an eligible school entity's various locations as allowed by the statute. The statute allows a \$.004 cent per therm charge to be assessed on gas delivered to each eligible school entity. Fidelity has broken the \$.004 per therm charge down into two components (\$.001 per Ccf for aggregation and \$.003 per Ccf for balancing). Fidelity's proposed aggregation and balancing fees do not exceed the maximum charge set by statute.

c. Do the Fidelity tariffs not require telemetry or special metering, except for individual school meters over one hundred thousand therms annually as required by Section 393.310.4(3) RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA believes that Fidelity's proposed experimental tariff sheets comply with 393.310.4(3) RSMo Supp. 2002.

D. Is there sufficient evidence for the Commission to find that implementation of the aggregation program set forth in the Fidelity tariffs will not have any negative financial impact on Fidelity as required by Section 393.310.5 RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA is not aware of any detriment to Fidelity caused by its proposed aggregation tariffs. However, MSBA believes that the \$.004 per therm fee allowed for aggregation and balancing services in the first year may not be sufficient for Fidelity to recover all of its incremental costs. Fidelity can be allowed to charge more, up to its actual incremental cost of providing aggregation and balancing services in subsequent years.

E. Is there sufficient evidence for the Commission to find that implementation of the aggregation program set forth in the Fidelity tariffs will not have any negative financial impact on Fidelity's other customers as required by Section 393.310.5 RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA is not aware of any detriment to the other customers of Fidelity. However, the other customers of Fidelity could face a potential detriment if Fidelity is not able to recover all of its costs related to the Experimental School Transportation Program. It is conceivable that Fidelity would attempt to pass any un-recovered gas costs on to other customers through the Actual Cost Adjustment (ACA) process.

F. Is there sufficient evidence for the Commission to find that implementation of the aggregation program set forth in the Fidelity tariffs will not have any negative financial impact on local taxing authorities as required by Section 393.310.5 RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: Yes. MSBA believes that the method of calculation, collection and remittance of franchise taxes as stated in the testimony of witness Ervin will minimize any detriment, provided that Fidelity implements the methods described. The amount of franchise taxes will vary from heating season to heating season, reflecting the volume of gas sold and the price of natural gas.

G. Is there sufficient evidence for the Commission to find that the aggregation charge is sufficient to generate revenue at least equal to all incremental costs caused by the experimental aggregation program as required by 393.310.5 RSMo Supp. 2002?

MSBA'S POSITION: MSBA believes that the aggregation and

balancing charge of \$.004 per therm may be insufficient. There could also be some unforeseen matters in this new program. Staff has proposed and set forth a true-up mechanism that would allow Staff to audit the program after the first year and after the second year and any over or under recovery of the program costs can be dealt with in the second and third years.

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, MSBA respectfully requests that the Commission accept MSBA's Position Statement.

Respectfully submitted,

HENDREN AND ANDRAE, L.L.C.

Richard S. Brownlee, III, #22422

221 Bolivar Street, Suite 300

P.O. Box 1069

Jefferson City, MO 65102

573) 636-8135

573) 636-4905 (Facsimile)

ATTORNEYS FOR MSBA

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing has been mailed or and-delivered to the following on this  $16^{\rm th}$  day of September, 2002:

James M. Fischer 101 Madison Street Suite 400 Jefferson City, MO 65101

Doug Michael Office of Public Counsel P.O. Box 7800 Jefferson City MO 65102

Dana K. Joyce General Counsel MO Public Service Commission P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City MO 65102

Richard S. Brownlee, III