Exhibit No.:

Issue: Regulatory Commission

Expense; Injuries and Damages; Public Affairs and

Community Relations; Dues

and Donations

Witness: Sheldon Wood

Sponsoring Party: MoPSC Staff
Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony

Case No.: GR-2001-292

Date Testimony Prepared: April 19, 2001

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY SERVICES DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

SHELDON WOOD

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY, A DIVISION OF SOUTHERN UNION COMPANY

CASE NO. GR-2001-292

	Ex	hibit No	96
Date_	6-25-01	_Case No.	GZ-2001-38
Jefferson City, Missour Repor	ter <u> </u>	محد	

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS OF			
2	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF			
3	SHELDON WOOD			
4	MISSOURI GAS ENERGY,			
5	A DIVISION OF SOUTHERN UNION COMPANY			
6	CASE NO. GR-2001-292			
7	·			
8	DUES2			
9	REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE			
10	PROPERTY TAXES4			
11	INJURIES AND DAMAGES4			
12	PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS5			
13	LOBBYING7			
14	OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EXPENSES			
15	MISCELLANEOUS GENERAL EXPENSE			
16				

1	DIRECT TESTIMONY		
2		OF	
3		SHELDON WOOD	
4		MISSOURI GAS ENERGY,	
5		A DIVISION OF SOUTHERN UNION COMPANY	
6		CASE NO. GR-2001-292	
7			
8	Q.	Please state your name and your business address.	
9	A.	Sheldon Wood, Noland Plaza Office Building, Suite 110, 3675 Noland	
10	Road, Indeper	ndence, MO 64055.	
11	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?	
12	A.	I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)	
13	as a Regulator	ry Auditor.	
14	Q.	Please describe your educational background and work experience.	
15	A.	I have undergraduate degrees from the Universities of Illinois and	
16	Wisconsin wi	th a major in accounting. Prior to joining the Commission, I was employed	
17	for 25 years	as a senior auditor by the United States General Accounting Office, the	
18	investigative	arm of the U.S. Congress. I also served as a captain in the U.S. Naval	
19	Reserve, retir	ing after 31 years of active and reserve service.	
20	Q.	What has been the nature of your duties since you have been employed at	
21	the Commissi	on?	
22	A.	I have assisted with audits and examinations of the books and records of	
23	public utility	companies operating in the state of Missouri.	

1) Are not necessary for the provision of safe and adequate service;

2) Do not provide direct rate payer benefit; and

3) Including such expenditures in rates places the ratepayer in the position of being an involuntary donor to the organizations in question.

REGULATORY COMMISSION EXPENSE

- Q. Please explain adjustment S-51.1.
- A. Adjustment S-51.1 removes all test year expenses from account 928, Regulatory Commission Expense, and adjustments S-51.2 through S-51.5 add back normalized and annualized costs to account 928.
 - Q. Please explain adjustment S-51.2.
 - A. Adjustment S-51.2 annualizes the current year Commission assessment.
 - Q. Please explain adjustment S-51.3.
- A. Adjustment S-51.3 normalizes an annual level of rate case expense (\$600,000 over 2 years). The estimated cost of \$600,000 is based on Case No. GR-98-140 where the Commission found that the Company had prudently incurred \$579,565.64, and that this amount should be annualized over a two-year period. The Staff will true up the \$600,000 estimate when actual costs for this rate proceeding becomes known.
 - O. Please explain adjustment S-51.4.
- A. Adjustment S-51.4 includes a normalized level of costs for a Commission-ordered depreciation study every five years. Under Rule 4 CSR 240.040(6), gas corporations subject to the Commission's jurisdiction are required to submit a depreciation study to the Commission and the Office of Public Counsel every five years.
 - Q. Please explain adjustment S-51.5.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Adjustment S-51.5 includes costs of a voluntary contribution to the National Regulatory Research Institute. The Commission authorized these voluntary contributions in Session Order No. 00-83-116, issued February 24, 1983.

PROPERTY TAXES

Q. Please explain adjustment S-71.

A. Adjustment S-71.1 annualizes property taxes based on a three-year average of actual property taxes paid for the period 1998 through 2000. Staff developed a ratio between the property tax paid in any given year to the amount of plant in service as of January 1st of that year. The three-year average was applied to the December 31, 2000 plant in service to determine the annualized property tax included in Staff's case. Adjustment S-71.2 reduces total property tax to reflect the amount of property taxes that were deferred under and Accounting Authority Order (AAO) for MGE's service line replacement program for the 12 months ended December 2000.

INJURIES AND DAMAGES

Q. Please explain adjustment S-49.

Α. Adjustment S-49 annualizes injuries and damages based on a five-year average of workers compensation, and auto and general liability claims paid from 1996 through 2000. The adjustment reflects the elimination or reductions of certain expenses for general liability claims paid in 1999. The Staff eliminated all expenses in one age discrimination case where the jury rendered an adverse verdict against the Company. The Staff also eliminated one-half of the expenses in another eight cases filed against the Company and settled without liability being determined. These eight cases also involved alleged age discrimination or violation of the American Disabilities Act.

3 4

5

6 7

8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

Although the Staff agrees that the Company needs to defend such claims, Staff also takes the position that the ratepayer should not be responsible for 100% of expenses involved in settling these cases, and that such expenses should be shared equally between investors and shareholders. Finally, the Staff eliminated an accrual that did not represent any actual expenses in the test year.

PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND COMMUNITY RELATIONS

- Q. Please explain adjustments S-45.13, S-47.9, S-52.5, and S-44.5.
- Α. This adjustment eliminates 50% of the Public Affairs and Community Relations Department with the exception of those expenses eliminated elsewhere in the case by Staff Accounting witnesses Charles R. Hyneman and Leslie L. Lucus for meals, entertainment and advertising. The Company's Public Affairs and Community Relations Department engages in certain activities, including education and safety, that are beneficial to ratepayers. However, this department also engages in activities such as lobbying, participation in civic and charitable activities, and corporate image building that are not beneficial to ratepayers and should not be recovered in utility rates. In Case Nos. GR-96-285, GR-98-140 and current rate case, the Company sought recovery of expenses associated with the Department's lobbying, participation in civic and charitable activities, and corporate image building in its revenue requirement even though these activities did not benefit ratepayers. Typically, the Commission does not permit recovery of such expenses. Further, the Company did not provide sufficient documentation as to allow the Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) or Staff to determine which expenses should be recoverable and included in cost of service. In Case Nos. GR-96-285 and GR-98-140 the Staff recommended, and the Commission ordered, a 50% disallowance of

14

15

this department's expenses. In the current case, the Staff again found that the Company had not maintained adequate documentation supporting its request for recovery of these expenses, and was not in compliance with the Commission's order to do so. In Case No. GR-98-140, the Commission ordered the Company to create adequate documentation that would allow the Staff and OPC to verify which of the department's expenses are properly recovered from the ratepayers and which are not. Given the company's failure to provide adequate documentation for the activities of the Public Affairs and Community Relations Department, the Staff allocated 50% to those activities that should not be included in cost of service.

- Q. Please explain the scope of work in your determination that 50% of the Public Affairs and Community Services Department should be included in the Company's cost of service.
- Α. To determine which costs incurred by the Public Affairs and Community Services Department should be included in the cost of service, Staff reviewed relevant documentation, work papers, and orders from Case Nos. GR-96-28-285 and GR-98-140. Also, the Staff discussed the current activities of the department and how they were documented with Pam Levetzow, Director of Public Affairs and Community Relations, and Rob Hack, Vice President of Pricing and Regulatory Affairs. Further, Staff analyzed documentation and work papers from the current case to determine if the Company had complied with the Commission's order to maintain adequate documentation to support its request for recovery of Public Affairs and Community Services' expenses included in the Company's current case.

1	LOBBYING		
2	Q.	Please explain adjustment S-47.2.	
3	Α.	Adjustment S-47.2 removes lobbying payments made to the firm of	
4	Arnold and A	ssociates.	
5	Q.	Please explain adjustment S-47.3.	
6	A.	Adjustment S-47.3 removes expenses for lobbying activities provided by	
7	the firm of Richard Wiles and Associates.		
8	Q.	Why is it appropriate to exclude costs relating to the above entities?	
9	A.	Historically, the Commission has disallowed lobbying expenses as	
10	inappropriate costs to recover from ratepayers.		
11	OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EXPENSES		
12	. Q .	Please explain adjustment S-45.1.	
13	A.	Adjustment S-45.1 removes payments to the Blue Hills Country Club for	
14	activities and	entertainment. The Staff does not consider these costs as necessary to the	
15	provision of u	itility service.	
16	MISCELLA	NEOUS GENERAL EXPENSE	
17	Q.	Please explain adjustment S-52.1.	
18	A.	Adjustment S-52.1 acknowledges the Company's adjustment (MGE work	
19	paper, H-21),	to remove country club dues. These amounts are excluded consistent with	
20	the Company	's filing. These costs are excluded because they are not necessary to the	
21	provision of ı	ntility services.	
22	Q.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?	
23	A.	Yes, it does.	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Filing For General Rate Increase)	Case No. GR-2001-292
AFFIDAVIT OF SHEL	DON V	WOOD
STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss. COUNTY OF COLE)		
Sheldon Wood, of lawful age, on his oath a preparation of the foregoing Direct Testimony in a pages to be presented in the above case; a Testimony were given by him; that he has known answers; and that such matters are true and combelief.	questio that the vledge	on and answer form, consisting of e answers in the foregoing Direct of the matters set forth in such
Sheld	he <i>lch C</i> lon Wo	ood bood
Subscribed and sworn to before me this	gof Ap	oril 2001.

TONI M. CHARLTON NOTARY PUBLIC STATE OF MISSOURI COUNTY OF COLE My Commission Expires December 28, 2004