LACLEDE GAS COMPANY CASE NO. GT-2001-329

Requested By:		Douglas E. Micheel	Douglas E. Micheel		
Requested From:		Bruce Henning	Bruce Henning		
Date of Request:		May 31, 2001	May 31, 2001		
" a transpo	rtation discounts that of those contracts that of	witnesses, Laclede has reexceed those achieved by ot	buttal testimony, lines 8-12, lecently been able to negoti ther market participants and tightening of the market	ate longer-term firm that are likely to out	
Α.	Did Mr. Henning merely rely on information provided by Laclede witnesses to reach his conclusion regarding the claim Laclede was able to negotiate longer-term firm transportation discounts that exceed those achieved by other market participants.				
B.	Did Mr. Henning conduct his own investigation of Laclede's pipeline contracting practices. If yes, please provide a complete copy of that analysis. If no, why didn't Mr. Henning conduct his own analysis?				
		This Response	Includes:	······································	
Prin disks tapes	ted Materials	Total Pages	Magnetic Media	Number of or	
Plea	se number each sectio	n of multiple pages as:	File formats for data:		
	# of Tota	1#			
LIST	PRINTED MATERIA	LS AND/OR FILES INCLU	DED:		
SF	EE COMPAN	Y'S RESPONSE	ON THE ATTA	CHED SHEET	
accur know any t	ate and complete, and n to the undersigned.	contains no material misrep The undersigned agrees to im which would materially affe	unsel in response to the above presentations or omissions be amediately inform the Office set the accuracy or complete	ased upon present facts of the Public Counsel if	
DAT	E RECEIVED:	SIGNE	ED BY:		
		T	TITLEEX	hibit No. 37 Case No. <u>61-2001-</u> 329	

Laclede Gas Company
GSIP-III
Case No. GT-2001-329
Office of the Public Counsel
Data Request No. 5003

- A. a) Yes.
 - b) Given the time constraints and the cost involved, the Company did not ask me to perform my own investigation of Laclede's pipeline contracting practices.