

# Motion for Equal Access to Due Process

**TO:** The Missouri Public Service Commission

**FROM:** Jonathan Miller

**CASE NO.:** GC-2026-0007

**DATE:** August 18, 2025

**SUBJECT:** Motion for Equal Access to Due Process

## I. Introduction

Jonathan Miller respectfully submits this motion to demand equal access to due process in this proceeding, as guaranteed by both state and federal law. The purpose of this filing is to present a formal challenge to the prejudicial and unequal process provided by the Commission's Electronic Filing and Information System (EFIS).

## II. Argument

The Missouri Public Service Commission's EFIS system, by its design and application, creates an unfair and unequal playing field. As a pro se litigant, I have been provided with a Personal Account, while my defendant, Spire, and the PSC staff, have been provided with Professional Accounts. This is not a distinction in name only; it is a direct and demonstrable contradiction to the legal principle of **due process**.

The system's inconsistent notification for filings, as demonstrated in my **Motion to Amend and Supplement Discovery Findings**, has deprived me of timely and reasonable notice of legal filings. This is a direct consequence of the system's design, which provides a more robust and reliable service to professional users.

The Due Process Clauses of the **U.S. Constitution (14th Amendment)** and the **Missouri Constitution (Article I, Section 10)** require that no person be deprived of property without due process of law. This fundamental right requires that all parties to a legal proceeding be given a fair and impartial opportunity to be heard. The PSC, as a state agency, is bound by these constitutional requirements. While the Commission has the authority to create its own rules, those rules, as defined in **Missouri Revised Statutes (RSMo) Chapter 386**, must be "lawful and reasonable." A process that provides one party with more reliable access to legal filings than another is, by definition, not reasonable.

By providing different types of accounts to the plaintiff and the defendant, the Commission is creating a procedural barrier that fundamentally violates the principle of equal access to discovery and a fair and transparent process.

### III. Prayer for Relief

Wherefore, Jonathan Miller respectfully demands that the Commission:

1. **Grant me immediate and full access** to the same type of Professional Account provided to my defendant, Spire.
2. **Formally acknowledge** that the use of different account types for professional and pro se parties creates an unequal and prejudicial process that violates a complainant's right to due process.

I thank the Commission for its immediate attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Miller

Complainant,

Case No. GC-2026-0007

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]