1 STATE OF MISSOURI 2 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 3 4 5 Public Hearing August 23, 2006 6 7 St. Louis, Missouri 8 9 10 In the Matter of a Proposed Rule ) Regarding Electric Utility Fuel )Case No. EX-2006-0472 and Purchased Power Cost Recovery) 11 Mechanism ) 12 13 Colleen M. Dale, Presiding, Chief Regulatory Law Judge Jeff Davis, Chairman 14 Steve Gaw 15 Linward Appling, Commissioners 16 17 Reported by: Midwest Litigation Services 18 Rebecca L. Lucas 19 Appearances: 20 Steven Dottheim John B. Coffman 21 Mark Poston 22 23 24 25

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE DALE: We are here in the matter of a
4	proposed rule regarding electric utility fuel and
5	purchased power cost recovery mechanism, Case
6	Number EX-2006-0472 on August 23rd, 2006.
7	Particularly to hear comments on Rules
8	4CSR240-3.161 and 4CSR240-20.090. At this time I
9	would like to have entries of appearance of counsel
10	beginning with Mr. Dottheim.
11	MR. DOTTHEIM: Steven Dottheim, Post Office
12	Box 360, Kansas City, Missouri, 65102 appearing on
13	behalf of the staff of the Missouri Public Service
14	Commission.
15	JUDGE DALE: And at the St. Louis location, if
16	we can start with Mr. Coffman.
17	MR. COFFMAN: My name is John B. Coffman. I'm
18	appearing on behalf of AARP in this case. 871
19	Tuxedo Boulevard, St. Louis, Missouri 63119.
20	JUDGE DALE: I was able to hear none of that.
21	THE COURT REPORTER: Can we adjust the volume
22	a little bit please.
23	COMMISSIONER GAW: Is this the mic for this?
24	Does that help, Judge?
25	JUDGE DALE: Yes. Yes, that's wonderful.

MR. KELLY: I'll just sit here and hold the 1 microphone and then they can come up and use this 2 3 microphone when they testify. 4 MR. COFFMAN: Judge, if you didn't hear me, 5 it's John Coffman appearing on behalf of AARP. 6 JUDGE DALE: Thank you. Mr. Poston. 7 MR. POSTON: I'm sorry, did you say Mr. 8 Poston? Mark Poston appearing on behalf of the 9 office of the public council, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102. 10 11 JUDGE DALE: Thank you. Ms. Tetra (phonetic) 12 are you entering an appearance today? 13 MS. TETRA: I am not. I am not going to be 14 entering my appearance today. If you remember I am the Kansas transplant awaiting Missouri approval. 15 16 JUDGE DALE: Before we begin I would like to 17 point out a few things that may have become apparent to you. We have significant video delay. 18 We hear each other with a few seconds delay. So if 19 20 after you finish speaking you would please just 21 pause for a few minutes. I may have something to 22 say but I'll try not to interrupt anybody. So give me a minute to say something after you finish 23 24 speaking. This hearing is about fuel adjustment 25

1 clause -- or fuel adjustment cost recovery mechanism only. This is not about the storm 2 3 restoration efforts of Ameren. We will be back for 4 another series of public hearings for that. Nor is 5 it about Ameren UE's requested ratings. We will 6 also be back for a series of public hearings on 7 that. In this particular docket we receive written comments, verbal comments and testimony. All of 8 9 those kinds of comments and testimony are given equal weight. If after you have listened this 10 evening and chose not to speak on the record, you 11 12 want to say something in this docket, you are more 13 than welcome to send written comments which will be 14 included in the file and given equal weight with all the other comments and testimony. If you need 15 an address for any of those, any one of the Public 16 17 Service Commission employees on site can get you 18 the address for sending those comments in. With that, I think all opening remarks are 19 20 concluded and we will begin with the first person 21 who would like to make comments or testimony. 22 MR. KELLY: Judge, the first one this evening 23 is Senator Joan Bray. 24 SENATOR BRAY: Thank you. Thank you, Judge, 25 and Commissioners. My name is Joan Bray. What

1 information do I need to give?

MR. KELLY: I think that's it. 2 3 SENATOR BRAY: Is that it? Okay. I am 4 representing the 24th District of the Missouri 5 Senate. And I want to talk about this, the rule on 6 the fuel adjustment clause. This came out of 7 Senate Bill 179, which I actively opposed on the 8 floor of the senate for one of the major reasons 9 being the fuel adjustment clause. There were also 10 adjustments in the legislation for environmental investments and conservation and weatherization, 11 12 but this is about the fuel adjustment clause. I 13 want to talk about that. This is an effort to pass 14 along costs to the consumers. I oppose the fuel adjustment clause because it's something if you can 15 16 pass along the cost of the fuel to the consumer, it 17 gives the company no incentive to find the best cost for the fuel. The gas companies have a fuel 18 adjustment clause and that's sort of the one source 19 20 of energy or one fuel source so it goes one source 21 and the fuel is the product as well. Electric 22 utilities have a variety of sources for producing their electricity. And so I think we should be 23 24 able to expect those utilities to mix their sources 25 so that they can get the best deal for consumers.

1 And if they're just allowed to pass along the cost of whatever fuel they buy at the time, there's 2 3 nothing there to encourage a mixture of fuel 4 sources and really working for the consumer's 5 interest. And I don't believe that the rule is 6 proposed. My understanding is that it doesn't have 7 the kind of consumer protections that during the debate on this bill that we were assured by all 8 9 kinds of interested parties that when it got to the 10 rule making process that there would be some real interest in protecting the consumers at this level. 11 12 So it's -- I still have yet to see that kind of 13 assurance that happened during the debate on the 14 bill come out in the rule. We've known for a long time it's an obvious that Ameren UE is a very well 15 run company. It does very well for its investors. 16 17 It's good for investment investors and produces 18 great returns. It pays its board members well. It does very well by them. It does very well by its 19 20 executives and the kind of remuneration that the 21 executives get. And my concern is how well does 22 this rule do by the rate payers. And I think that 23 Ameren needs to prove itself to its own customers 24 and its rate payers about its accountability to be 25 as good a relationship with its customers and the

1 rate payers as it is with its board members and its executives and its investors. A surcharge -- and I 2 3 don't think that another surcharge on the bill is 4 the way to be accountable to the rate payers. If 5 ultimately Ameren gets all the surcharges that are 6 provided in this bill, our bills are going to start 7 looking like our cell phone bills and be completely 8 indecipherable for one thing. But I very much 9 believe -- I like the system we have where a rate case must -- all the factors must be considered, 10 not just the cost, but the savings and the 11 12 efficiencies as well. I think that's the way it 13 should be. I don't -- I didn't like the bill, 14 don't like the rule, don't like this way of doing business. The accountability to the rate payers, 15 16 the consumers, is a huge thing. You know, three 17 years in a row there's been -- we've had major 18 outages in this region from storms. And as an elected official I'm still hearing from folks about 19 20 the fallout from this year's storms. We've 21 suffered in the previous two years as well. And I 22 think there's a lot of things that Ameren still 23 needs to do to its customers and rate payers to 24 prove that it can handle its business properly, 25 whatever comes along, in its utilities. Their

1 business is to provide our electricity, our power, even under the difficult circumstances. I just --2 3 like I say, I don't like the rule to begin with. 4 And my concern is that the consumers are not 5 represented well enough in this rule. And I would 6 prefer that Ameren can have its rate case next 7 spring and let's look at it all. Let's consider it 8 all. If they're able to save through some fuel 9 sources others may be more expensive. They may be saving money in some other ways. But it should all 10 be considered. And the way it's done now is the 11 12 best for all interested parties. The rate payers, 13 the consumers, are served best by the current 14 system. And clearly the investors in the company have done very well as the executives and board 15 16 members. Thank you very much. JUDGE DALE: Any questions from the bench in 17 St. Louis? 18 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Senator Bray, I apologize. I 19 20 got lost on the way here this evening. 21 SENATOR BRAY: I can understand. That's okay. 22 I think some other people did too. But you made 23 your way here. That's good. 24 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: And I know I can go back and 25 read the transcript. Like I said, I apologize. I

1 don't wish to have you repeat everything. But is
2 there anything that's important in your testimony
3 that you want to make sure that I hear while, you
4 know, you're here to --

5 SENATOR BRAY: I think that the heart of my 6 testimony is that we have operated -- we have 7 received our power from a very well run company and 8 operated the way the system is now very well to 9 everybody's interest. I mean, the rates have stayed low and the investors are happy with their 10 returns and all that because rate cases allow 11 12 everything to be taken into consideration, not just 13 surcharges that don't account for savings as well. I think that's the heart of my thing, my testimony, 14 is the way things are it looks like a fair and 15 16 balanced way to do business. And that's why I don't like this potential to add surcharge. This 17 bill had, what, three or four surcharges that could 18 be added ultimately? 19

20 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: That is correct. No further21 questions. Thank you, Senator.

JUDGE DALE: Thank you. Commissioner?
COMMISSIONER GAW: I don't have any questions.
Thank you, Judge. But I would say thank you to
Senator Bray for coming out. I know she's been

very involved in this from the beginning and she's 1 2 usually at these public hearings expressing the 3 opinion that she has and that of for her constituents. And I appreciate that very much. 4 5 SENATOR BRAY: Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Senator, Linn Appling. 7 How are you doing? 8 SENATOR BRAY: I'm fine, Commissioner, how are 9 you? COMMISSIONER APPLING: I'm doing great. 10 SENATOR BRAY: Good. 11 12 COMMISSIONER APPLING: What is your 13 recommendation for this Bill 179 -- what should we as public service commissioners, what are your 14 thoughts? What is your recommendation? 15 SENATOR BRAY: Well, I believe that it's 16 17 permissive, isn't it, that you don't have to approve a fuel surcharge -- a fuel adjustment 18 clause. You don't have to allow that. And so I 19 20 think my preference would be for the commission not 21 to make that a part of the process. And that would 22 be my desire, because I have faith in the way we've 23 been doing business where the utility comes in for 24 the rate case and all factors are considered. 25 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Thank you very much. I

appreciate you coming out tonight. Thank you very 1 2 much. 3 SENATOR BRAY: Thank you. 4 JUDGE DALE: Thank you, Senator Bray. 5 SENATOR BRAY: Thank you, Judge. 6 MR. KELLY: Next is John Koester. No? Okay. 7 Before I go to the next one I just want to let you 8 know that the chairman of the public service 9 commission, Jeff Davis is here. So it's Chairman Davis and Commissioner Steve Gaw are with us this 10 evening. Claudette Grant? Melanie -- is it 11 12 Shouse? 13 MS. SHOUSE: Shouse, yes. I'd like to begin by saying that I was just made aware of this 14 meeting a few hours ago. And I have not had time 15 16 to prepare any remarks. So I hope I'm coherent, in any case. I'd like to speak on this fuel 17 adjustment surcharge. Is that what it's called? 18 From the perspective of someone on a limited 19 20 income. I'm not sure how many of you have had 21 experience living at or below the poverty line, but 22 I'm here to tell you what it's like. Just for a 23 little bit of background, if you don't mind me 24 taking a minute, I had owned a business for about 25 12 years. And last October I was diagnosed with

stage four breast cancer, which is the final stage. 1 And after a little research found out that I had a 2 3 13 percent chance of survival. And I was forced to 4 quit working. And I am now on a fixed income of 5 \$832 a month. Now, I'd like to know how many of 6 you can tell me exactly where any projected fuel increases are going to come out of my so-called 7 8 paycheck. I just don't see where. This fuel 9 adjustment charge or surcharge, they say that it's based on cost. Well, is it -- is it a gift for the 10 spectacular job you did cleaning up after the 11 12 storm? I mean, there were people in my 13 neighborhood that were without power for nine days 14 after the storm. Is it the magnificent job you did with the Taum Sauk Reservoir? I'm a little 15 16 confused here. I don't see why they need to be 17 given the arbitrary power to raise the rates 18 whenever they wish. I know that there are a lot of people like myself who are on fixed incomes who 19 20 were not able to come here because, A, they didn't 21 hear about it because it was not advertised at all; 22 and, B, it's not on a major mass transit route. So 23 unless they have a vehicle, they're out of luck. 24 So that's why this room is mostly empty tonight. I 25 just think it's an outrage. And even people that

1	are working as you may know Missouri has the
2	appallingly low minimum wage of \$5.15 an hour.
3	And, you know, these people are lucky if they can
4	afford to put food on their table, you know, much
5	less deal with rate increases. And also with the
6	global climate change that's been occurring and
7	we've been having 100 degree days for a week at a
8	time. And I'm sure that that's going to happen
9	next summer as well. And there were dozens of
10	people this summer that perished because they could
11	not afford to keep their air conditioner on. Now
12	do we really need to give the utility companies the
13	arbitrary power to raise rates at a time when
14	people are dying because they cannot afford to pay
15	their power bills? I just wish that reality would
16	appear before you folks before you decide to make a
17	decision that could cost lives in this state. And
18	I think that's all that I have to say.
19	MR. KELLY: Does anyone have any questions?
20	JUDGE DALE: Mr. Kelly.
21	MR. KELLY: Do any of the commissioners have
22	any questions for the witness?
23	JUDGE DALE: Not here.
24	COMMISSIONER GAW: Thank you for coming,
25	ma'am.

1	MR. KELLY: Is the next one Ruth Grill? How		
2	about John Moyle?		
3	MR. MOYLE: Close. John Moyle.		
4	MR. KELLY: Sorry, John.		
5	MR. MOYLE: Well, I'm another one of those		
6	people that didn't expect to be coming here		
7	speaking today because I found out about it today,		
8	but we're here and hopefully we'll work it out. I		
9	agree with my senator when she said that Ameren is		
10	pretty well run and they've done a good job with		
11	working with the State to try to keep the rates		
12	down. And if Ameren came to me and said that they		
13	needed to do a marginal rate increase in general I		
14	might have less problem with that. But they're		
15	talking about a fuel surcharge increase that is		
16	because of the cost of fuel going up. I don't have		
17	the ability to get a fuel surcharge increase in my		
18	budget at home when my cost of fuel has gone up.		
19	In a sense we're all customers of the State of		
20	Missouri here. One customer is seeking special		
21	consideration over the cost of fuel, versus the		
22	rest of the customers that have no special		
23	consideration from the State over the cost of fuel,		
24	be it fuel taxes or anything else. I don't think		
25	one should be looked upon more favorably than the		

other. That's pretty much all I have to say. 1 2 JUDGE DALE: Thank you, sir. Are there any 3 questions from the bench? 4 COMMISSIONER GAW: John, excuse me. Judge, I 5 realize that we don't have to swear witnesses in 6 for this, but it would be helpful I think to have 7 some identifier in case we needed to contact them 8 for some reason. And I don't know if we're getting 9 addresses or contact information in the event. MR. KELLY: There are addresses on here. 10 COMMISSIONER GAW: Will that be made a part of 11 12 the file so we can see that? 13 MR. KELLY: I submit it to the rate clerk law 14 judge. 15 JUDGE DALE: Tell the location where they can 16 get those before those witnesses leave. COMMISSIONER GAW: Yes, Judge. Kevin Kelly 17 18 just said that the information is on the list, sign up list. So we will have it evidently. 19 JUDGE DALE: Okay. Thank you. There are no 20 21 questions on this end, Mr. Kelly. 22 MR. KELLY: Dennis Anderson. Mr. Anderson, if 23 you would after we're done, I'll need your address 24 because we put your name on here at the end. Thank 25 you.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you, Judge. Thank you, 1 Commissioners for allowing me to speak. I'm a 2 3 former alderman for the City of Pagedale and I'm 4 also a teacher. And I just recently just heard 5 about this commission just as well like the others 6 on the news this evening. And I told my wife I'm 7 coming right over. My basic concerns are this: I 8 believe these rate increases or this rate 9 surcharge, please correct me if I'm stating it 10 wrong, is seasonal to me. What I mean by seasonal is that it seems like utility commissions or 11 12 utility agencies always talk about rate increases 13 at their highest rate time, or rate peak during the 14 year. I never hear of one talking about surcharge during the low times in the winter. It always 15 seems to be during the peak period where they could 16 17 show the need. And that's my biggest concern. I 18 mean, I never heard anyone say this is our yearly rate, our yearly average over the length of the 19 20 entire year. It seems like it's always during the 21 peak periods of -- or output during the summer 22 months, or during their highest demand. And I'm 23 just saying that to me it doesn't seek like it's 24 very equitable to those of us during the low times 25 when -- where the demand isn't there or is not

1 there. So I'm saying to base this rate increase on during the summer, during the high peak output, to 2 3 me is unfair. Because that's when you could show 4 the highest level of consumers or usage during that 5 rate time. And I feel that it does the rest of us 6 a disservice who are fixed incomes. And I can't 7 speak as eloquently as the senator and the former 8 people who have been before me, but I think they 9 make the case just as well as I do. I feel that if you're going to have this type of surcharge, I feel 10 11 that it should be looked at over the total usage 12 for the entire year. And it should have some real 13 limits set on it. But also, too, I wish someone 14 would come in and give me a surcharge when the rates gets about 3.10, 3.50. And I don't have that 15 16 luxury. They tell me -- and I heard -- this is a direct quote from my utilities. They told me when 17 I said I lost about maybe 500 or \$600 worth of 18 food, they said that's my problem. I need to work 19 20 through it. Why is it that they should have the 21 luxury of not working through it. That really 22 bothers me, that arrogance. That really bothers 23 me, you know. I feel that they should work through 24 it. Thank you for hearing me. I hope you have a 25 pleasant evening. Thank you. Thank you, Judge.

1 Thank you, Commissioners. Are there any more 2 questions? 3 JUDGE DALE: Are there any questions for 4 Mr. Anderson? 5 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Anderson. 6 COMMISSIONER APPLING: Mr. Anderson, thank you 7 for coming in. I know it could have been -- if you 8 would have known about it sometime it could have 9 been much better. But I apologize to you for the fact you didn't get the notice earlier. But, 10 11 anyway, thank you for coming in tonight. I 12 appreciate your comments. 13 MR. ANDERSON: Thank you very much. JUDGE DALE: Mr. Kelly, do you have any other 14 witnesses? 15 16 MR. KELLY: Judge, that's the last person I 17 have on the list. Is there anyone else? Okay. 18 Come on. If you want to go ahead and identify vourself. 19 20 MS. STEELE: Of course. My name is Angela 21 Steele. And just quickly I just wanted to point 22 out that, you know, most of us work at jobs where we're lucky if we get a 3 percent cost of living 23 24 allowance each year. And, you know, the 17.7 25 percent increase that was reflected here is rather

1 out of line with reality for the most of us. And there was some discussion earlier about the 2 3 protective elements that were supposed to be in 4 place should this go through, and some is not the 5 same as sufficient. Hopefully it won't go through, 6 but should that happen despite the feedback that 7 you've received here this evening, I would hope 8 that there would be sufficient protective measures 9 implemented within the language of this. And that's basically all I have to say. 10 JUDGE DALE: Before you leave -- two things. 11 12 One is make sure that you get your name and address 13 to Mr. Kelly. 14 MS. STEELE: Yes, ma'am. JUDGE DALE: And the other thing is that the 15 17 percent that you're talking about is part of a 16 17 rate case. And you should be getting a billing insert that notifies you about an opportunity to 18 comment on that rate case increase. And I hope 19 20 that you will bring your comments up again in that 21 forum as well. 22 MS. STEELE: Okay. I did have one other comment because the information that was reflected 23 24 in the newspaper article that was e-mailed amongst

some of us here indicated that they did not expect

1 for the attendance to be very high because the common layperson couldn't understand the language 2 3 of what was to be discussed anyway. And I 4 personally consider that an insult. And I think 5 for them to blatantly reflect that type of a mind 6 set is not helpful to their cause if they hope to 7 gain any support for this to be passed. 8 JUDGE DALE: Thank you. Are there any 9 questions from the bench in St. Louis? CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, ma'am. 10 11 JUDGE DALE: Thank you. Is there anyone else 12 who would wish to testify or comment? 13 MR. APELL: Yes. My name is Scott Apell. I did sign the list, but unfortunately no one could 14 read my writing. That's why my mother always said 15 16 I should be a doctor. I don't have anything 17 prepared. I came here mostly to crab about the storm situation and the rate increases and also 18 this. And I was basically going to be here to 19 20 listen. Luckily by being the last, people that are 21 much more informed and more intelligent than myself 22 have already spoken most of my case. The one thing that I look at is I look at -- I've always worked 23 24 in a factory. I'm your common person who doesn't 25 understand all this legal mumbo jumbo or whatever.

1 20-something years ago there was a referendum, if I remember right, for the State of Missouri on 2 3 building a nuclear power plant in our -- you know, 4 in our area of Callaway County. And I was 5 amazed -- there's certain things in my life. One 6 was when I was in high school and I was in 7 government economics class. And this is during 8 Vietnam, '67, '68. And it always amazed me why a 9 person would spend a quarter of a million dollars 10 to run for an office that at that time was paying \$50,000 a year. That stuck in my mind. It also 11 12 stuck in my mind that after this referendum was 13 finished and the bill was passed, that they could 14 build a nuclear power plant, that all these friends of mine that worked along side -- I worked in a 15 printing company all my life -- and were scratching 16 their heads and said, I voted against that thing. 17 Well, the wording on the referendum was such that 18 if you voted no, it was actually yes, and vice 19 versa, which gets me to the point here, two things. 20 21 I could understand a need, you know, for increased 22 cost and this, that and whatever. You can see that 23 nowadays by going to any Mobile Exxon station most 24 of them in the city have now gone to the little 25 signs that have the 3.25 that they have to stick up

1 every morning with suction cups to electronic signs so they can change these at will almost instantly. 2 3 There's no accountability with these people. And 4 like the senator said, and whatever, if you're just 5 passing things through, there's no incentive to cut 6 costs or retain costs, this, that or whatever. If 7 you have a rate case that has to go before a 8 certain period of time, there's some sort of 9 planning that has to be involved. These people are not idiots. The gas companies are not idiots. I 10 guarantee you every time you see a 10 cent 11 12 increase, and it comes down 9 cents, then another 13 time you see 10 cent increase and it comes down 9 14 cents. You know, it never really gets down to where it was originally. And this is all 15 16 preplanned by psychologists that work with their 17 company and whatever. If we're going to sit here and basically let UE off the hook, I can understand 18 their reason for the cost. And I think one 19 20 gentleman said something about maybe that's okay 21 but at a slower rate. To sit here and let them say 22 well, we can go ahead and we've got to pay \$1 per 23 unit per cost where it was 50 cents before. Well 24 they've got professional people, and I don't work 25 for them, and that's why I don't work for them,

1 that have already taken all of this into consideration and are supposed to be planning for 2 3 this. I guarantee you there's a lot of people down 4 there that don't hang electrical lines down there. 5 So to sit there and allow them this freedom, you 6 know, without any accountability except you say, 7 well, they have to come back and review this with the PSC, yeah, well, possession is another thing 8 9 I've remembered in my life, possession is nine-tenths of the law. You know, you try and 10 wrangle money out of these people, well, we've got 11 12 these costs here and we've got these costs here. 13 These aren't relevant to the rate increase for the 14 gas, you know, for their energy costs. Then we go into Enron. Here we've got a middleman company 15 just bouncing the prices all over the place. So 16 17 what you're saying is instead of UE going back to 18 Enron and saying, well, listen, we can't pay this we're going to have to buy from another source or 19 this, that or whatever, because electricity is 20 21 transmitted all over the United States and bought 22 from different companies. You know, if you're 23 going to sit there and allow them to do this, 24 what's the incentive for them to say, wait a 25 minute, we'll cut down on our paperwork. We can

1 fire somebody in the office that, you know, normally monitors this. So there's no incentive 2 3 for them to keep their costs under control, even 4 the outside costs. If I don't want to go to 5 Wal-Mart, you know, I'm not going to drive them out 6 of business, but, you know, by not shopping 7 someplace it's going to help them control the cost. 8 One reason here -- by the way, in defense, there 9 were two articles in the paper. And I, unfortunately, I'm the type of person that reads 10 the paper from cover to cover. There was an 11 12 article last week about this hearing and there was 13 an article tonight. So it wasn't totally 14 unannounced. They didn't put it up on Highway 40 on a billboard, but it was, you know, noticed. And 15 16 I did hear it on the news. But basically -- and I 17 quess in closing to let Ameren have this ability to not be accountable for this in the short term and 18 just be able to float their rates, you know, 19 20 according to their costs is something like a wolf 21 guarding the flock. You know, it just doesn't make 22 sense. And one of the reasons too, at least my 23 belief, this is a little social thing. The reason 24 there's so few people here is because there's a 25 great apathy. You know, what's the use of me

1	coming to a meeting. This is my first meeting.
2	What's the use of me coming to a meeting and saying
3	something. I didn't plan on saying anything and
4	it's evident. But I know in a small way the PSC
5	works because I had a situation with the phone
6	company where I had a bad line. And they said,
7	well, you don't have in-house service and therefore
8	it's going to cost you 37.50 for us to come out and
9	check the line. I'm sitting next to a lineman.
10	He's got my house unplugged, got his phone plugged
11	into the line and it was, you know, staticky. I
12	even told that to the gentleman at Southwestern
13	Bell. Well, that doesn't matter. You don't have
14	in-house service. So basically, in closing, I
15	wrote a small letter to the PSC saying to me this
16	sounds like extortion. You know, I've got to buy
17	this service, this insurance that I don't need.
18	That's similar to the roaring 20's. You don't buy
19	protection, you know, I'm going to throw a brick
20	through your window. So in the small sense, to
21	those who are kind of apathetic, PSC does work a
22	little bit anyway. Thank you.
23	JUDGE DALE: Thank you, sir. Are there any
24	questions from the bench?

25 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: No, but Mr. -- is it

Mr. Apell? 1 2 JUDGE DALE: Anyone else wishes to testify or 3 comment? 4 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Apell. 5 JUDGE DALE: Beg your pardon? 6 MR. KELLY: Did she ask me something? 7 JUDGE DALE: I'm hearing nothing, so --8 CHAIRMAN DAVIS: Anybody else wishing to 9 testify? 10 MR. KELLY: Is there anybody else who wishes to testify tonight? 11 COMMISSIONER GAW: Hold on just a second, 12 13 Judge. You're just not hearing us. You're clear. 14 MR. KELLY: I think that's it. JUDGE DALE: Okay. Thank you everyone for 15 16 coming. We really appreciate your coming out and making all these comments and giving us this 17 18 feedback. And with that we will be adjourned. 19 Thank you. 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	I	N D E X
2		
3	Witness Name	Page
4		
5	Joan Bray	4
6		
7	Melanie Shouse	11
8		
9	John Moyle	14
10		
11	Dennis Anderson	16
12		
13	Angela Steele	18
14		
15	Scott Apell	20
16		
17		
18		
19	(No exhibits were ma	arked for identification.)
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		