## BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of	)	
Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership for	)	
Designation as a Telecommunications Company	)	
Carrier Eligible for Federal Universal Service	)	Case No. TO-2006-0172
Support Pursuant to § 254 of the	)	
Talecommunications Act of 1006	)	

## **AT&T MISSOURI'S APPLICATION FOR REHEARING**

COMES NOW Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri ("AT&T Missouri"), and pursuant to Section 386.500 RSMo 2000 and Commission Rule 2.160 (4 CSR 240-2.160), submits this Application for Rehearing of the Commission's September 21, 2006 Report and Order conditionally designating Missouri RSA No. 5 Partnership ("MO5") as an eligible telecommunications carrier ("ETC").

AT&T Missouri respectfully seeks rehearing of the Report and Order granting ETC status to MO5 with respect to the six AT&T Missouri wire center areas of Brookfield, Marceline, Moberly and, in part, Armstrong, Higbee and Glasgow, for the following reasons: (1) the Report and Order is unlawful, unjust, unreasonable, arbitrary, capricious and unsupported by competent and substantial evidence on the record as a whole, and (2) the Report and Order also fails to make adequate and sufficient findings of fact and conclusions of law. In support of its Application for Rehearing, AT&T Missouri adopts the rationale advanced by Intervenors Spectra Communications Group, LLC d/b/a Century Tel and CenturyTel of Missouri, LLC (collectively, "CenturyTel") in support of its separate Application for Rehearing, with any references and/or discussion directed to CenturyTel's wire center areas to be read as references and/or discussion directed to AT&T Missouri's wire center areas.

Furthermore, to the extent that CenturyTel's Application for Rehearing relies on the testimony of its expert witness and MO5's failure to rebut that testimony, AT&T Missouri's Application for Rehearing likewise should be granted based upon testimony to the same effect provided by AT&T Missouri's own expert witness and MO5's failure to rebut that testimony.

WHEREFORE, Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P. d/b/a AT&T Missouri, respectfully requests that the Commission rehear and reconsider this matter and grant such other relief as may be just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHWESTERN BELL TELEPHONE, L.P.

PAUL G. LANE

#27011

LEO J. BUB

#34326

ROBERT J. GRYZMALA

BY Kobert J. Ley zonela

#32454

Attorneys for Southwestern Bell Telephone, L.P.

One AT&T Center, Room 3516

St. Louis, Missouri 63101

314-235-6060 (Telephone)\314-247-0014 (Facsimile)

robert.gryzmala@att.com

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing document were served to all parties by e-mail on October 2, 2006.

Robert J. Lyzmala	
Robert J. Grysmala	

General Counsel William Haas Missouri Public Service Commission PO Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 gencounsel@psc.mo.gov	Public Counsel Michael F. Dandino Office Of The Public Counsel PO Box 2230 Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@ded.mo.gov
William.Haas@psc.mo.gov	mike.dandino@ded.mo.gov
Charles Brent Stewart Stewart & Keevil, LLC 4603 John Garry Drive, Suite 11 Columbia, MO 65203 Stewart499@aol.com	Paul S. Deford Lathrop & Gage, L.C. 2345 Grand Blvd. Kansas City, MO 65108 pdeford@lathropgage.com
William R. England, III Brian T. McCartney Sondra Morgan Brydon, Swearengen & England PO Box 456 Jefferson City, MO 65102 trip@brydonlaw.com bmccartney@brydonlaw.com smorgan@brydonlaw.com	