Exhibit No.:

Issue(s): Financial security requirements for

large load customers

Witness: Shana Ramirez

Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony
Sponsoring Party: Data Center Coalition

Case No.: EO-2025-0154

Date Testimony Prepared: September 12, 2025

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO EO-2025-0154

SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF SHANA RAMIREZ

ON BEHALF OF

THE DATA CENTER COALITION

September 12, 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	.]
II.	RESPONSE TO STAFF ON CREDIT AND COLLATERAL	. 2
Ш	RESPONSE TO OPC ON CREDIT AND COLLATERAL	7

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

- 2 Q. State your name, occupation, and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Shana Ramirez, and I am a Director at Energy and Environmental Economics,
- also known as E3. My business address is 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 1500, San
- 5 Francisco, CA 94104.
- 6 Q. On whose behalf are you filing testimony?
- 7 A. I am filing surrebuttal testimony on behalf of the Data Center Coalition ("DCC").
- 8 Q. Have you previously testified before the Missouri Public Service Commission
- 9 ("Commission")?
- 10 A. Yes, I provided rebuttal testimony on behalf of DCC in this case.
- 11 Q. What topics are you addressing in your testimony?
- 12 A. My testimony addresses comments made in rebuttal testimony by Staff of the Missouri
- Public Service Commission ("Staff") and the Office of the Public Counsel ("OPC")
- regarding financial security requirements for large load customers, including data centers,
- in response to the credit and collateral framework proposed by Evergy Metro, Inc., d/b/a
- 16 Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc., d/b/a Evergy Missouri West
- 17 (collectively, "Evergy" or the "Company"). Specifically, I rebut Staff's recommendation
- of a collateral requirement equal to or greater than the full exit fee. I also address OPC's
- argument against a collateral requirements exemption, which is based on OPC's
- 20 characterization of volatility in the data center industry rather than widely used customer
- 21 specific credit standards.

II. RESPONSE TO STAFF ON CREDIT AND COLLATERAL

- 2 Q. Please briefly reiterate Evergy's proposed collateral requirements.
- 3 A. Evergy proposes a collateral requirement equal to two years of minimum monthly bills,
- with the possibility of a reduction for customers with higher creditworthiness.
- 5 Q. What is Staff's position on the amount of collateral that should be required?
- 6 A. Staff argues that the LLPS tariff should include a pledge of collateral or other security equal to or greater than the exit fee.¹
- 8 Q. What is your response to Staff's argument?

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A. Staff's proposed collateral structure is excessive and inconsistent with sound risk management principles. Requiring collateral equal to or greater than the full remaining exit fee imposes an unnecessary barrier for customers, even those with strong credit ratings and long-term commitments. This punitive approach does not appear to reflect the utility's actual risk exposure and could severely reduce the viability of Evergy's service territory as a siting option for most data centers and other large-load customers.

By contrast, Evergy's proposal of two years demonstrates a reasonable balance between protection and practicality. The company is confident that within this timeframe it can reallocate any unused capacity through its robust and growing interconnection queue. In addition, two years of minimum bills is becoming an increasingly common industry benchmark, further validating the reasonableness of Evergy's approach. For these reasons, Staff's proposal significantly overstates risk and results in collateral requirements that are disproportionate to the actual circumstances.

RAMIREZ - 2

¹ Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. EO-2025-0154, Staff Recommendation, p. 35 (Jul. 25, 2025).

III. RESPONSE TO OPC ON CREDIT AND COLLATERAL

2 Q. What is OPC's position on Evergy's collateral proposal?

A. OPC expressed opposition to waivers based on creditworthiness. In his rebuttal testimony²
discussing Evergy's proposal, OPC Witness Geoff Marke raised concerns regarding the
long-term sustainability of data centers, citing volatility in the industry as a whole rather
than the creditworthiness of individual customers. He argued that this volatility increases
the probability of future stranded assets.

8 Q. What is your response to OPC's position?

A.

Assessing risk based on the individual credit profile of a customer is a well-established and reasonable practice, as credit rating is a widely recognized measure of risk and provides a sound basis for tailoring collateral requirements. By contrast, relying on generalized projections about an entire industry is speculative, fails to reflect the actual risk posed by a specific customer, and does not align with standard utility practices. The tariff applies to all large load customers, not just data centers, which further undermines the argument that collateral requirements should hinge on data center industry-wide conditions. Even when focusing specifically on data centers, it is inappropriate to assume uniformity in their operations, volatility, or risk. Utilities typically evaluate the financial stability of individual customers, not broad or subjective industry trends, because doing so provides a more accurate and objective basis for risk assessment. Moreover, industry-wide considerations must also acknowledge the extensive queue of projects and significant growth projections, which mitigate stranded asset risk by providing viable replacement loads. Ultimately, each

² Missouri Public Service Commission Docket No. EO-2025-0154, *Rebuttal Testimony of Geoff Marke*, p. 15:6-9 (Jul. 25, 2025).

- project should be evaluated on its own merits, with collateral requirements reflecting the
- 2 specific customer's risk profile rather than generalized unproven concerns that tend to
- 3 overstate risk.
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ! TATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Application of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro and Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West for Approval of New and Modified Tariffs for Service to Large Load Customers)		
AFFIDAVIT OF SHANA RAMIREZ		
STATE OF NEVADA)		
COUNTY OF WASHOE) ss		
My name is Shana Ramirez, and on my oath I declare that I am of sound mind and lawful		
age; that I prepared the foregoing Surrebuttal Testimony; and further, under the penalty of perjury,		
that the same is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.		
Maria Parisis Shana Ramirez		
Subscribed and sworn before me this 10 day of September, 2025.		
Notary Public		
My commission expires: 01/13/2029 Meredith Kenyon Notary Public - Stafe of Nevada County of Washoe APPT. NO. 25-8334-02 My Appt. Expires JAN: 13, 2029		