

Exhibit No.: _____
Issue(s): Hedging Exclusion from the FAC/
Property Sale Losses Reducing the Rate Base/
Business Credit Reduction to Rate Base
Witness/Type of Exhibit: Riley/Direct
Sponsoring Party: Public Counsel
Case No.: ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN S. RILEY

Submitted on Behalf of the Office of the Public Counsel

**EVERGY METRO, INC. D/B/A
EVERGY MISSOURI METRO
AND
EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. D/B/A
EVERGY MISSOURI WEST**

CASE NOS. ER-2022-0129 AND ER-2022-0130

** **
Denotes Confidential information that has been redacted

June 8, 2022

PUBLIC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Testimony	Page
Hedging and FAC Inclusion	2
Rate Base Adjustment for Loss on Sale of Business Property	5
Business Credits Deduction to Rate Base	9

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

JOHN S. RILEY

**EVERGY MISSOURI WEST, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI WEST AND
EVERGY METRO, INC. D/B/A EVERGY MISSOURI METRO**

CASE NOS. ER-2022-0129 AND ER-2022-0130

1 **Q. What is your name and what is your business address.**

2 A. John S. Riley, PO Box 2230, Jefferson City, Missouri 65102.

3 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

4 A. I am employed by the Missouri Office of the Public Counsel (“OPC”) as a Utility Regulatory
5 Supervisor.

6 **Q. What is your educational background?**

7 A. I earned a B.S. in Business Administration with a major in Accounting from Missouri State
8 University.

9 **Q. What is your professional work experience?**

10 A. I was employed by the OPC from 1987 to 1990 as a Public Utility Accountant. In this capacity
11 I participated in rate cases and other regulatory proceedings before the Public Service
12 Commission (“Commission”). From 1994 to 2000 I was employed as an auditor with the
13 Missouri Department of Revenue. I was employed as an Accounting Specialist with the
14 Office of the State Court Administrator until 2013. In 2013, I accepted a position as the Court
15 Administrator for the 19th Judicial Circuit until April, 2016, when I joined the OPC as a Public
16 Utility Accountant III. I have also prepared income tax returns, at a local accounting firm, for
17 individuals and small business from 2014 through 2017.

1 **Q. Are you a Certified Public Accountant (“CPA”) licensed in the State of Missouri?**

2 A. Yes, I have continued my certification for over 23 years. As a CPA, I am required to continue
3 my professional training by attending Missouri State Board of Accountancy qualified
4 educational seminars and classes. The State Board of Accountancy requires that I spend a
5 minimum of 40 hours a year in training that continues my education in the field of
6 accountancy. I am also a member of the Institute of Internal Auditors (“IIA”) which provides
7 its members with seminars and literature that assist CPAs with their annual educational
8 requirements.

9 **Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Missouri Public Service Commission?**

10 A. Yes I have. A listing of my Case filings is attached as JSR-D-1.

11 **Q. What is the purpose of your Direct testimony today?**

12 A. 1) I will discuss issues related to fuel hedging including how hedging costs should be
13 accounted for. 2) I will provide an explanation and recommendation for the Commission to
14 reduce both Evergy Metro and Evergy West’s rate base by the amount of losses the
15 Companies have incurred over the years for the unprofitable sale of business property. The
16 rate base should be reduced to at least the amount of Net Operating Losses (“NOL”) that are
17 carried on the regulatory books for ratemaking purposes. 3) I will also provide and explain
18 my recommendation for rate base reductions for each Company’s accumulated business
19 credits that allow the respective utility to enjoy what is essentially a permanent interest free
20 loan.

21 **HEDGING AND FAC INCLUSION**

22 **Q. What is your position in regards to Evergy resuming its hedging programs?**

23 A. I take no official position on whether Evergy should or should not resume its hedging
24 program, as this would be a management decision. It is reasonable to expect customers to

1 temper their tolerance of hedging resumption so long as the Companies can show some
2 restraint in its risk modeling and does not revert back to the consistently ineffective practices
3 of the past. Every hedging practices should be conducted prudently, cautiously, and the
4 Companies must follow the Stipulation and Agreement that was signed by the parties and
5 approved in Case No. ER-2016-0156 & 0285.

6 **Q. Could you provide a brief history of the Companies discontinuing of the hedging**
7 **programs, key points of the Stipulation and Agreement, and your understanding of the**
8 **Company's intentions today?**

9 A. Yes. In general, the OPC opposed the continuation of the hedging for natural gas and cross
10 hedging for purchase power because the Company efforts were ineffective and costing the
11 ratepayers millions of dollars. I have attached my direct testimony from the 2016 case as
12 Schedule JSR-D-02. The testimony provides background on my opposition to the Company
13 hedging as well as a description of the manner in which the Company was conducting its
14 hedges.

15 Within the ER-2016-0156 case; the Company signed the Non-Unanimous Stipulation and
16 Agreement and agreed to cease all hedging. Below is the section of the Stipulation and
17 Agreement that pertains to Hedging:

18 5. HEDGING & CROSS-HEDGING

19 The Signatories agree that GMO will suspend all of its hedging
20 activities associated with natural gas (cross-hedging related to
21 purchased power and natural gas fuel hedging). Upon approval of this
22 Stipulation, GMO will expeditiously proceed to unwind all of its
23 hedges associated with natural gas. Any gains or losses from the
24 unwinding of the natural gas hedges will be flowed through GMO's
25 Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") without disallowance. The
26 Signatories agree GMO may resume its natural gas fuel hedging
27 activities (but not use natural gas derivatives to cross-hedge purchased
28 power) should the market place and/or other factors change such that
29 resuming natural gas fuel hedging activities would be warranted.
30 GMO agrees to notify the Commission Staff and the Office of the

1 Public Counsel (“Public Counsel”) if GMO decides to resume its
2 natural gas fuel hedging activities. In the event GMO resumes natural
3 gas fuel hedging activities, GMO will record all hedging gains to
4 FERC Account 254, 4 Regulatory Liability and hedging losses to
5 FERC Account 182.3 Other Regulatory Assets or FERC Account 186,
6 Deferred Debits. This deferral is agreed upon for purposes solely
7 described in this paragraph and does not apply to or set precedent for
8 any other case or expense. All parties are free to argue for the
9 ratemaking treatment of any amounts deferred under this language and
10 the ongoing treatment of hedging costs.¹

11 The paragraph stipulates that the Company may resume hedging but specifically prohibits
12 cross-hedging resumption. The paragraph is also very clear that any results from the
13 resumption of hedging will be recorded in regulatory asset and liability accounts with no
14 mention of flowing costs or revenues through the FAC mechanism.

15 The Companies have petitioned the Commission to allow hedging for fuel as well as cross
16 hedging for purchase power to flow through the FAC. The OPC is opposed to allowing
17 hedging transactions to flow through the FAC at this time.

18 **Q. Please explain why neither hedging nor cross-hedging should be allowed to flow through**
19 **the FAC.**

20 A. In the 2016 case, the OPC argued that the Company was costing ratepayer’s millions and
21 should not be running these losses through the FAC. Ultimately, Staff, OPC and the
22 Companies agreed to halt all future hedging transactions. The Companies could resume
23 hedging but the Agreement was quite clear that cross hedging was not to be resumed. The
24 Agreement was also clear that the results of new hedging was not going to be funneled through
25 the FAC. The Company has indicated that it intends to record hedging results through the
26 FAC. There has been no alteration to the existing Stipulation and Agreement to allow FAC
27 inclusion.

¹ This particular section is from the GMO rate case, however, the same language can be found in the Stipulation and Agreement entered into for Case No. ER-2016-0285, Kansas City Power & Light Company

1 The Evergy West hasn't even begun actual hedging transactions as of yet but insists on FAC
2 inclusion of the results. This is unwise. We can't even guess how well Evergy will be at
3 hedging in today's markets and it is therefore prudent not to allow these costs to flow through
4 the FAC to prevent the problems that befell Evergy's predecessor, where poor results were
5 funneled through the FAC with little resistance.

6 **Q. What is your recommendation with regard to hedging at this time?**

7 A. The Company may choose to hedge for natural gas prices with no cross-hedging, but the
8 results, good or bad, should be recorded in the regulatory asset/liability accounts and dealt
9 with in the next general rate case. By then all parties will have a better understanding of how
10 Evergy performs in the hedging arena.

11 **RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT FOR LOSS ON SALE OF BUSINESS PROPERTY**

12 **Q. What is a loss on the sale of business property?**

13 A. The property in question was used in the trade or business of the Company. This is a tax
14 return item. It represents a reduction in the overall income total of the business.

15 **Q. What is the nature of the business property that the Companies have been selling?**

16 A. The assets being sold are general utility assets that are for whatever reason no longer useful.

17 **Q. Where do you locate and identify this "discarded" utility property?**

18 A. On the Company's consolidated federal tax return, specifically Form 4797, **Sale of Business**
19 **Property** and its associated schedules. The sales are listed in asset groupings, such as Office
20 Equipment, Distribution, Production Plant, Railcars and Transportation. The Statement has
21 separate columns for cost, depreciation allowed, sale price and gain/loss. Each group is
22 summarized and the total balance is transferred to Income section (line 9) of the main page of
23 the tax return (Form 1120). This total is almost always a loss. So a Company's list of incomes
24 will have one line item reduction (loss) in the income section.

1 **Q. Why would the loss on the sale of obsolete business property raise any concern?**

2 A. Several reasons. The dollar value of the loss is usually substantial. There is a loss recorded
3 nearly every year. The property in question is utility plant and equipment that ratepayers have
4 funded and hasn't been fully depreciated on the financial records. Still having an
5 undepreciated cost on the books and records would indicate that the assets still have value.
6 The reduction in net income for the loss directly affects the amount or the use of the
7 Company's net operating loss ("NOL"). In utility rate base, the NOL is an addition to plant
8 and therefore an increase in revenue requirement for the Company in question.

9 **Q. Could you provide more detail about how this loss, a reduction in income, affects the**
10 **NOL?**

11 A. The property sale losses are a reduction in taxable income. For tax purposes, those reductions
12 in income mostly come from the business expenses. If taxable expenses exceed total taxable
13 revenues then a tax loss is generated ("NOL"). Usually, we think of NOLs to be associated
14 with accelerated depreciation but several factors can contribute to a taxable loss. The loss on
15 the Sale of Business Property is one of those factors. Let's use a short example:

16	Utility Company A has gross profits of	\$100,000
17	Interest income of	\$ 10,000
18	Capital gains of	\$ 5,000
19	Loss on Sale of Property	(\$15,000)
20	Total Income	\$100,000

22	Utility Company A has salaries of	\$25,000
23	Interest expense	\$30,000
24	Depreciation	\$40,000
25	Other Deductions	\$10,000
26	Total Expenses	\$105,000
27	Taxable Income	(\$5,000) loss

Direct Testimony of
John S. Riley
File Nos. ER-2022-0129 and ER-2022-0130

1 It can quickly be determined that without a Loss on Sale of Business Property of \$15,000,
2 the Utility would have made a taxable profit of \$10,000. Instead, there is a \$5,000 loss
3 which will be recognized as an NOL for regulatory purposes. The property sale loss
4 contributes to the NOL balance.

5 Another example:

6	Utility Company A has gross profits of	\$150,000
7	Interest income of	\$ 10,000
8	Capital gains of	\$ 5,000
9	Loss on Sale of Business Property of	(\$ 15,000)
10	Total Income	\$150,000

11		
12	Utility Company A has salaries of	\$25,000
13	Interest expense	\$30,000
14	Depreciation	\$40,000
15	Other Deductions	\$10,000
16	Total Expenses	\$105,000
17	Taxable Income	\$45,000

18		
19	Assume that the Company has an NOLC of \$50,000	\$45,000 NOL applied
20	Taxable Income is	0
21	Company still has \$5,000 as an NOLC	

22 If the Company didn't have utility plant sales of (\$15,000) then the Total Income would
23 have been \$60,000 and Company A would have exhausted the entire NOL balance of
24 \$50,000 and still would have owed income tax on \$10,000. In these examples, the Loss
25 on Sale of Business Property has helped create an NOL balance and in the second part,
26 retain an NOL balance.

27 **Q. The only time an income tax return comes into the regulatory discussion is to track**
28 **the balance of NOL from year to year. Why should the Commission pay attention to**
29 **Form 4797 losses?**

30 A. Because these line item losses essentially reduce income taxes for the Company but Staff
31 does not reflect this in the Income Tax calculations within the Accounting Schedules.

1 Historically, the Commission has been reflecting NOL balances in rate base. If the
2 Commission were to acknowledge that property sale losses extend the life of the NOL then
3 the NOL should be reduced by the accumulation of those losses. It stands to reason that
4 the ratepayer should benefit from this tax reduction since it has funded the assets in
5 question and provided a rate of return on those assets and paid the associated taxes on the
6 return.

7 **Q. Is there any concern that your recommendation will violate the so-called**
8 **“normalization rules?”**

9 A. The normalization rules require that the NOL must be related to accelerated depreciation.
10 Reducing the NOL by the losses from Form 4797 in no way damages that relationship. I
11 can find no other instances where the argument that I am now presenting has been made
12 previously. It certainly isn't in the Company's interest to recognize this offset.

13 **Q. What adjustments are you proposing for Evergy West and Metro?**

14 A. Review of Great Plains Energy consolidated income tax returns as well as Evergy tax
15 returns and answers provided with OPC data requests indicate that Evergy West and its
16 predecessor GMO have combined to record over ** _____ ** in losses on sales of
17 business property since 2017. Evergy Metro and its predecessor Kansas City Power &
18 Light have accumulated over ** _____ ** in that same time. So the overall
19 adjustment is to apply these balances to the NOL balances.

20 **Q. What NOL balances have the Companies included in rate base?**

21 A. Evergy West has an NOL balance in rate base of \$45,761,624. Evergy Metro has a
22 \$76,293,093 balance of NOL in rate base.

1 **Q. What conclusion do you draw from these Form 4797 loss balances?**

2 A. The respective loss balances accumulated since 2017 have far exceeded the NOL balances
3 that the Companies would include in the rate base. The NOL balances should be reduced
4 to zero.

5 **BUSINESS CREDITS DEDUCTION TO RATE BASE**

6 **Q. Could you summarize your position on the application of general business credits in**
7 **the revenue requirement process?**

8 A. I contend that the general business credits that have been generated courtesy of the federal
9 government are essentially permanent interest free money. Through the ratemaking
10 process these credits are ultimately funded by the ratepayer. This funding is very similar
11 to deferred income tax (ADIT) and should therefore be reflected in rate base as a reduction.

12 **Q. Could you explain the idea that a tax credit is similar to “interest free money”?**

13 A. The federal government incentivizes Companies to invest money. The IRS allows
14 accelerated depreciation to provide tax breaks to encourage investment in plant. We
15 recognize this as ADIT. When the government wants investment in other projects it deems
16 important it creates incentives by way of tax credits. Renewable energy may be the most
17 prominent in the current atmosphere but there are several that utilities can take advantage
18 of. Without getting into the particulars, companies generating these credits apply them to
19 their tax liability. Accelerated depreciation and tax credits are applied differently but the
20 results are the same – a reduction to the tax liability that the Company is required to pay.

21 The big difference in the two is that ADIT eventually “turns around” and the Company
22 eventually pays the tax over the life of the asset. Tax credits have no turn around. Tax
23 credits are much like NOLs in that they just sit on the books until they are needed. They
24 have no amortization and no expiration. The idea that credits are free money is that the
25 Company collects these unexpiring tax breaks through their ratepayer funded operations.

1 The Company didn't pay for these tax reducing credits, the ratepayer does. The application
2 of these credits goes directly to the bottom line – permanently.

3 **Q. A review of Evergy's most recent tax return (2020) indicates that the Company is**
4 **active in using these credits to reduce current taxes. Why propose rate base**
5 **treatment?**

6 A. Simply because Metro and West have accumulated balances that will not be depleted by
7 the next rate case. The annual application of these taxes should be reflected as a reduction
8 in the income tax expense built into the case, however, the balance should be reflected in
9 rate base just as ADIT is recognized.

10 **Q. What are the current balances of business tax credits for Metro and West?**

11 A. The Company provided a confidential answer to OPC data request 1314 (Schedule JSR-D-
12 03) and indicated that with the 2020 federal tax return, Metro had a balance of **
13 _____ ** and West had a balance of ** _____ **.

14 **Q. Are you proposing that these balances be a reduction to each Company's rate base?**

15 A. Yes.

16 **Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?**

17 A. Yes.