BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Brett Felber,)
	Complainant,) Case No. EC-2026-0004
V.)
Union Electric Missouri,	Company d/b/a Ameren)
Respondent.)

ORDER DENYING MOTION TO QUASH

Issue Date: September 30, 2025 Effective Date: September 30, 2025

On September 12, 2025, Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri filed a request for a subpoena duces tecum for Complainant's bank records. Complainant subsequently filed a motion to quash the subpoena on September 15, 2025, prior to the subpoena being issued. That motion is denied as premature.

The Commission issued the subpoena and filed notice in this case on September 19, 2025. Complainant filed a timely motion to quash the subpoena that same day.

The Commission held a prehearing conference on September 26, 2025. At that conference Complainant argued his motion. Ameren Missouri filed a response to Complainant's motion to quash, and on September 26, 2025, Complainant filed an exhibit/memorandum in support of his motion.

Complainant relies on Sections 408.675, RSMo, through 408.700, RSMo, and the Federal Right to Financial Privacy Act, 12 U.S.C. Section 3401. Complainant's

reliance on Section 408, RSMo, and the Federal Right to Financial Privacy Act are erroneous. The references to the government obtaining bank records are inapplicable in this instance because Ameren Missouri is seeking the records, not the Commission.

Complainant further argues that subpoena is unnecessary and duplicative because Complainant will be submitting his banking records pursuant to a data request. Ameren Missouri states that it needs to subpoena Complainant's bank records to verify the information in any records Complainant submits.

Complainant placed his bank records at issue when he initiated this formal complaint and included screen shots of his bank account on his telephone in support of his position that he paid his utility bill.

The law Complainant cites does not apply in this instance and Complainant's bank records are relevant to the subject matter of this complaint. Therefore, the Commission will deny Complainant's *Motion to Quash Subpoena*.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- 1. Complainant's September 12, 2025, *Motion to Quash Subpoena* is denied as premature.
- 2. Complainant's September 19, 2025, Motion to Quash Subpoena is denied. Ameren Missouri may proceed to seek Complainant's bank records via subpoena.
 - 3. This order shall be effective when issued.

BY THE COMMISSION

Nancy Dippell



Nancy Dippell Secretary

John T. Clark, Senior Regulatory Law Judge, by delegation of authority pursuant to Section 386.240, RSMo 2016.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri, on this 30th day of September, 2025.

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 30th day of September 2025.

SION OF THE OF T

Nancy Dippell Secretary

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION **September 30, 2025**

File/Case No. EC-2026-0004

MO PSC Staff Staff Counsel Department 200 Madison Street, Suite 800 P.O. Box 360 Jefferson City, MO 65102 staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov Jefferson City, MO 65102 opcservice@opc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel (OPC) **Marc Poston** 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 P.O. Box 2230

Brett Felber Brett Felber 3911 Cleaveland Ave. 33748 SM #95460 San Diego, CA 92103 bfelber14@gmail.com

MO PSC Staff Carolyn Kerr 200 Madison Street Jefferson City, MO 65101 carolyn.kerr@psc.mo.gov **Union Electric Company** Jennifer Hernandez 1901 Chouteau Avenue Saint Louis, MO 63103 jhernandez2@ameren.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,

Secretary

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.