BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Brett Felber, Complainant, v. Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, Respondent.	)))))))))	**Case No. EC-2026-0004**

ORDER DENYING SECOND MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Issue Date: October 2, 2025 Effective Date: October 2, 2025

On September 30, 2025, the Commission issued an order denying Complainant's motion to quash Ameren Missouri's subpoena for specific bank records of Complainant relevant to this complaint. That same day Complainant filed another motion to quash the subpoena titled *Complainant's Motion to Quash Subpoena*, which the Commission treated as a motion for reconsideration and denied.

Subsequently, that same day, Complainant filed *Complainant's Formal Motion to Quash Subpoena, Appeal to the Full Commission, and Motion to Stay*. Complainant's motion "appeals the September 30, 2025 Order of the Honorable Senior Regulatory Law Judge John Clark, denying Complainant's Motion to Quash Ameren Missouri's subpoena for Complainant's banking records. Complainant further moves for a Stay of the subpoena pending review by the full Commission."

In the past three days, the Commission has denied a premature motion to quash, a timely motion to quash, and a motion for reconsideration. Additional motions to quash the subpoena were rejected by the Commission as repetitive. Complainant's pleading is

not an appeal of a Commission decision despite Complainant's use of "appeal" but is another request for reconsideration of the Commission's decision. Any appeal of Commission decision would occur after a final Commission decision. Missouri circuit courts lack the authority to review Commission interlocutory orders.[1] If Complainant does not agree with the Commission's final resolution of this complaint, he may appeal that final order.

It appears that Complainant is using an artificial intelligence large language model (AI) to generate pleadings. AI allows for the quick generation of pleadings, but the pleadings often misconstrue and misapply the law or cite wholly inapplicable law. These pleadings purport to be motions, memorandums, and "forensic analysis". Complainant's pleadings are often misidentified leaving the Commission to determine the legal nature of each pleading. Complainant's filing of multiple daily repetitive pleadings is not only an abuse of process but also creates an imposition on the due process rights of the other parties and a burden to the Commission. Accordingly, the Commission will reject future duplicate pleadings on issues and relief that Complainant has already addressed in other pleadings.

Section 386.240, RSMo, permits the Commission to delegate its authority to the Regulatory Law Judge. Complainant's pleading seeks to "appeal" the Regulatory Law Judge's ruling to the Commission. However, the Regulatory Law Judge's orders are Commission orders via delegation. The Commission has already ruled on Complainant's first motion for reconsideration and found no reason to grant it. The Commission will deny

[1] *State ex rel. Riverside Pipeline Co., L.P. v. Public Service Com'n of State of Mo.*, 26 S.W.3d 396, 399-401 (Mo. App. 2000).

Complainant's second motion for reconsideration. The Commission will not address further duplicative requests to quash or reconsider this subpoena.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. Complainant's request for reconsideration and his motion to stay are denied.

2. This order shall be effective when issued.

BY THE COMMISSION

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

John T. Clark, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2016.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 2nd day of October, 2025.

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 2nd day of October 2025.

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

MOPSC
Digitally signed by MOPSC
Date: 2025.10.02 14:24:47 -05'00'

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

October 2, 2025

File/Case No. EC-2026-0004

MO PSC Staff
Staff Counsel Department
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

Office of the Public Counsel (OPC)
Marc Poston
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opcservice@opc.mo.gov

Brett Felber
Brett Felber
3911 Cleaveland Ave.
33748 SM #95460
San Diego, CA 92103
bfelber14@gmail.com

MO PSC Staff
Carolyn Kerr
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
carolyn.kerr@psc.mo.gov

Union Electric Company
Jennifer Hernandez
1901 Chouteau Avenue
Saint Louis, MO 63103
jhernandez2@ameren.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.