

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Brett Felber,	)	
	)	
Complainant,	)	
	)	<u>Case No. EC-2026-0004</u>
v.	)	
	)	
Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren	)	
Missouri,	)	
Respondent.	)	

ORDER DIRECTING COMPLAINANT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY COMPLAINT SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED

Issue Date: October 23, 2025

Effective Date: October 23, 2025

On September 23, 2025, the Commission held a prehearing conference to address inappropriate filings, duplicate filings, filings threatening other actions, and duplicate filings. At that conference the Regulatory Law Judge admonished Complainant, Felber, for inappropriate filings. Felber has repeatedly been informed that ex parte communications with the Regulatory Law Judge are inappropriate.

At the prehearing conference Felber stated: "I apologize for sending you all the emails that I have. I'll stop." Felber has not stopped sending email communications to the Judge. Since that discovery conference Felber has emailed the Regulatory Law Judge 14 times. Some of those emails are comments, some argue rulings, and some include "service copies" for writs of prohibition or other threatened actions not filed in any court. Felber has continued to try and argue substantive matters of orders he disagrees with in emails. This is wholly inappropriate and bypasses the due process rights of the other parties to appropriately respond.

On September 16, 2025, the Commission issued its *Order Directing Complainant to File Pleadings as Prescribed and Notice Informing Complainant that Inappropriate Filings or Behavior Will Result in Commission Action*. That order noted that Felber emailed the Regulatory Law Judge 28 times between September 9, 2025, and September 15, 2025. That order stated:

These continued actions by Felber are inappropriate and not conducive to the administrative and judicial process. This behavior must cease or the complainant is at severe risk that the Commission will dismiss his complaint. Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.116 provides that a party, such as Felber, may be dismissed from a case for failure to comply with any order issued by the Commission, which has occurred multiple times.

Further, the Commission ordered: “Felber shall not email pleadings to, or have other ex parte contacts with, the Regulatory Law Judge presiding over this complaint.” Felber has violated that order numerous times.

On October 10, 2025, Felber emailed the Regulatory Law Judge stating:

Sorry, I don't have time to entertain a hearing on this matter. I sent a cease and desist. On top of that, Mrs. Hernandez chooses to alter, and manipulate what I addressed to her.

It's apparent Ameren only wants to conveniently convenience themselves.

You all have serious lying problems. The Full Commission needs to address all my Motions, Quash, Full Review. Your lies are no longer welcomed. You dug so far down that every other word is a lie or an excuse.

Felber only complies with orders he agrees with and will not comply with orders he does not agree with. Felber is welcome to appeal any final Commission decision, but Felber is not free to disregard orders as he chooses while his case is pending before the Commission.

Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.116(3) provides in part: “[a] party may be dismissed from a case for failure to comply with any order issued by the [C]ommission...”

Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.116(3) provides: “A case may be dismissed by the [C]ommission for good cause found after a minimum of ten (10) days notice to all parties involved.”

The Commission will not dismiss the complaint without giving Felber an opportunity to explain why he will not comply with Commission orders. Therefore, Felber shall file a pleading showing cause why his complaint should not be dismissed for failure to comply with Commission orders.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. Felber shall file a single pleading no later than October 27, 2025, showing cause why his complaint against Ameren Missouri should not be dismissed for failure to comply with Commission orders.
2. This order is effective when issued.



BY THE COMMISSION

Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

John T. Clark, Senior Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant to
Section 386.240, RSMo 2016.

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 23rd day of October, 2025.

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom and the whole thereof.

WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission, at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 23rd day of October 2025.



Nancy Dippell

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

October 23, 2025

File/Case No. EC-2026-0004

MO PSC Staff

Staff Counsel Department
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
staffcounsel@psc.mo.gov

**Office of the Public Counsel
(OPC)**

Marc Poston
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opc@psc.mo.gov

Brett Felber

Brett Felber
3911 Cleaveland Ave.
33748 SM #95460
San Diego, CA 92103
bfe14@gmail.com

Brett Felber

Lisa Lambert
2865 Dividend Park Dr
Florissant, MO 63031
bl5856@hotmail.com

MO PSC Staff

Carolyn Kerr
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
carolyn.kerr@psc.mo.gov

Union Electric Company

Jennifer Hernandez
1901 Chouteau Avenue
Saint Louis, MO 63103
jhernandez2@ameren.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,



**Nancy Dippell
Secretary**

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.