

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

BRETT FELBER,
Complainant,

v.

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AMEREN MISSOURI,
Respondent.

Case No. EC-2026-0004

LETTER OF STANDING FIRM ON POSITION AND STANCE

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

Complainant, Mr. Brett Felber, hereby submits this Letter of Standing Firm on Position and Stance for inclusion in the official record of the above-captioned proceeding. This correspondence reaffirms the Complainant's continued commitment to the lawful exercise of his rights under the Missouri statutes, administrative rules, and constitutional guarantees that govern these proceedings.

II. AFFIRMATION OF LEGAL POSITION

Mr. Felber stands firm in his position and interpretation of the Missouri Public Service Commission's statutory and regulatory framework, as well as the Administrative Procedure and Review Act (§536 RSMo). These authorities were enacted to ensure that all parties—especially individual complainants—are afforded due process, transparency, and protection from arbitrary or discriminatory actions by regulated utilities or administrative bodies.

The statutes, rules, and tariffs cited throughout Complainant's prior filings are binding legal authorities duly promulgated and adopted by the State of Missouri. They are not subject to discretionary disregard or selective enforcement.

III. RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION'S AND PARTIES' CONCERNS

It appears that certain parties to this proceeding, and perhaps members of Commission Staff, have expressed frustration that Mr. Felber continues to cite and quote the specific statutory text, case law, and Commission rules that directly support his arguments. However, the Complainant is fully within his legal rights—and indeed obligated—to rely on the plain language of the governing laws that protect consumers and ensure procedural fairness before

the Commission.

Providing precise legal citations is not obstructionist conduct; it is the very foundation of lawful advocacy and the preservation of an accurate administrative record for any potential appellate review under §386.510 RSMo.

IV. DUE-PROCESS AND FAIRNESS EXPECTATIONS

Mr. Felber expects the Commission to apply all statutes, rules, and tariffs in their entirety, without partial or selective interpretation. The Complainant maintains that his reliance on specific authorities, including 20 CSR 4240 series regulations, §§386.500–386.570 RSMo, and relevant Missouri appellate precedent, constitutes a legitimate exercise of procedural rights—not defiance or delay.

Standing firm on these rights ensures that the Commission’s actions remain consistent with §536.140.2 RSMo, requiring that all agency decisions be supported by competent and substantial evidence and in accordance with law.

V. CONTINUED GOOD-FAITH PARTICIPATION

While the Complainant continues to face procedural resistance and selective treatment of filings and evidence, he remains committed to participating in this process in good faith and in strict adherence to law. Mr. Felber will continue to submit evidence, exhibits, and filings that are legally grounded, factually verified, and directly relevant to the merits of this case.

VI. CONCLUSION

Complainant respectfully reiterates his firm stance and reliance upon the statutes, case law, and administrative rules that exist to protect his best interests, procedural rights, and due-process guarantees. The record should clearly reflect that Mr. Felber stands resolute in his lawful position and will continue to advocate within the parameters of Missouri law, the Missouri Constitution, and the United States Constitution.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Brett Felber

Complainant

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone: [REDACTED]

Dated: October 23, 2025

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Letter of Standing Firm on Position and Stance was served on October 23, 2025, via electronic mail and/or U.S. Mail upon:

- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]