FILED October 23, 2025 Missouri Public Service Commission

Exhibit No. 37

Exhibit No.:	

Issue(s): Class Cost of Service Study and

Rate Design

Witness: Timothy S. Lyons

Type of Exhibit: True-Up Rebuttal

Testimony

Sponsoring Party: The Empire District

Electric Company d/b/a Liberty

Case No.: ER-2024-0261

Date Testimony Prepared: September 2025

Before the Public Service Commission of the State of Missouri

True-Up Rebuttal Testimony

of

Timothy S. Lyons

on behalf of

The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a Liberty

September 22, 2025



TABLE OF CONTENTS

FOR THE TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY S. LYONS THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A LIBERTY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2024-0261

SU	PAC	ЗE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	TRUE-UP BILLING DETERMINANTS AND REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS	1
III.	CONCLUSION	2

TRUE-UP REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY S. LYONS THE EMPIRE DISTRICT ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A LIBERTY BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CASE NO. ER-2024-0261

INTRODUCTION

1

I.

2	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is Timothy S. Lyons. My business address is 1 Speen Street, Suite 150,
4		Framingham, Massachusetts 01701.
5	Q.	Are you the same Timothy S. Lyons who provided direct, rebuttal and surrebuttal
6		testimony in this matter on behalf of The Empire District Electric Company d/b/a
7		Liberty ("Liberty" or the "Company")?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your true-up rebuttal testimony in this proceeding before
10		the Missouri Public Service Commission ("Commission")?
11	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to describe the true-up billing determinants and revenue
12		adjustments.
13	II.	TRUE-UP BILLING DETERMINANTS AND REVENUE ADJUSTMENTS
14	Q.	Has the Company reviewed the billing determinants and revenue adjustments
15		submitted by Staff in Staff's surrebuttal / true-up direct testimony?
16	A.	Yes.
17	Q.	Does the Company continue to agree with Staff's overall adjustments to rate
18		revenues and billing determinants?
19	A.	Yes. The Company continues to generally agree with Staff's revenue adjustments, and
20		billing determinants. The only exceptions are the Economic Development Rider
21		("EDR") revenues and Staff's revenue imputation adjustment. The EDR revenue

1 adjustment has been addressed in the rebuttal and surrebuttal testimony of Charlotte T. 2 Emery. In addition, Staff's revenue imputation adjustment is being addressed by 3 Company witnesses Charlotte T. Emery and Amy Walt in true-up rebuttal. 4 Q. Has the Company incorporated Staff's billing determinants in its rate design 5 calculations? 6 A. Yes. As shown in **True-Up Rebuttal Schedule TSL-1**, the Company has updated its 7 revenues to reflect Staff's true-up billing determinants.¹ 8 Q. Has the Company updated its revenue adjustments to incorporate Staff's true-up 9 revenue adjustments? 10 Yes. The Company has updated its retail revenue adjustments to align with Staff's true-A. 11 up revenue adjustments, other than the two exceptions noted above. The resulting base 12 rate retail revenues align with Staff's proposed true-up base rate revenues. For further 13 discussion, see the true-up rebuttal testimony of Ms. Emery. 14 III. **CONCLUSION** 15 Does this conclude your true-up rebuttal testimony at this time? Q. 16 Yes. A.

¹ The true-up billing determinants presented in the Company's rate design workpapers exclude Community Solar kWh. In addition, the kWh usage for Special Lighting Service (LS) and Transmission Service (TS) reflects the workpapers of Staff witnesses Poudel and Randall, respectively. We note minor variances between these figures and those presented in Staff witness Cox's workpaper, 'ER-2024-0261 Sales and Revenues Empire True-up.xlsx', Tab: 'kWh Summary'.

VERIFICATION

I, Timothy S. Lyons, under penalty of perjury, on this 22nd day of September, 2025, declare that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

/s/ Timothy S. Lyons