SMITH LEWIS, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.O. BOX 918 COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65205-0918

BETHANY R. FINDLEY

OF COUNSEL

DANIEL G. BECKETT

AMANDA ALLEN MILLER

ROBERT C. SMITH

PARALEGAL CHERYL L. LOBB

WILLIAM JAY POWELL JOHN L. ROARK COLLY J. DURLEY JAMES B. LOWERY MICHAEL R. TRIPP PHEBE LA MAR SARAH E. GIBONEY

BRUCE H. BECKETT

RAYMOND C. LEWIS, JR. (1926-2004)

CITY CENTRE
111 SOUTH NINTH STREET, SUITE 200
COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201-4891
(573) 443-3141 • Fax (573) 442-6686

August 12, 2011

Terence G. Lord, Clerk The Missouri Court of Appeal Western District 1300 Oak Street Kansas City, MO 64106

Steven Reed
Secretary of the Commission
Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street, Suite 100
Jefferson City, MO 65203-0360

AUG 1 5 2011

Missouri Public

Service Commission

RE: Case No. ER-2011-0028 and Case No. WD74172

Dear Messrs. Lord and Reed:

Enclosed for filing please find the Public Service Commission's Order Approving Reconciliation of Contested Issues, to which I have attached the August 2, 2011 Reconciliation submitted by Union Electric Company, which the enclosed Order approves. This Order approves the Reconciliation required by Section 386.420.4, as amended by Senate Bill 48 passed by the General Assembly this year.

This Order and the attached Reconciliation will also be part of the Record on Appeal to be filed by the Public Service Commission, but the company had indicated in its Notice of Appeal filed with the Commission and transmitted to the Court that the company would supplement the Notice of Appeal with the final approved Reconciliation once it was approved.

Should you have any questions, please contact me at the address or telephone number listed above.

Thanking you for your assistance, I am

Sincerely

James B. Lowery

smp

Enclosures

c/enc: Kevin Thompson/Steve Dottheim; Lewis R. Mills; Hunt S. Brown;

Thomas R. Schwarz, Jr./Stephanie S. Bell; Diana M. Vuylsteke; David L. Woodsmall; Lisa C. Langeneckert; John C. Dodge; Mark W. Comley; Leland B. Curtis/Carl J. Lumley\Kevin M. O'Keefe; John B. Coffman; Henry B. Robertson; John J. Reichart; Sarah Mangelsdorf; Mary Ann Young; Sherrie A. Schroder/Michael A. Evans; and Jennifer S. Frazier

STATE OF MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

At a session of the Public Service Commission held at its office in Jefferson City on the 10th day of August, 2011.

In the Matter of Union Electric Company, d/b/a)	
Ameren Missouri's Tariff to Increase Its Annual)	File No. ER-2011-0028
Revenues for Electric Service)	

ORDER APPROVING RECONCILIATION OF CONTESTED ISSUES

Issue Date: August 10, 2011 Effective Date: August 10, 2011

Senate Bill No. 48, passed by the General Assembly in 2011, amended Section 386.420.4, RSMo to require the Commission to prepare and approve a detailed reconciliation regarding the dollar value and rate or charge impact of the contested issues decided by the Commission in this rate case. The law requires the Commission to allow the parties an opportunity to provide written input regarding that reconciliation.

On July 25, 2011, Ameren Missouri filed a proposed reconciliation along with a motion asking the Commission to approve that reconciliation. The Commission directed its Staff to respond to Ameren Missouri's proposed reconciliation by August 1, and allowed other parties until that date to respond if they wished to do so. Staff filed its response on August 1, no other party has responded.

Staff indicated its general agreement with the reconciliation prepared by Ameren Missouri, but suggested that several additional charts showing various billing determinants be included as part of the reconciliation. Ameren Missouri agreed with Staff's suggestion

and on August 2 filed a revised reconciliation that includes the additional billing determinant tables proposed by Staff.

The Commission finds that the reconciliation submitted by Ameren Missouri on August 2 is an accurate representation of the revenue requirement impact of the issues decided by the Commission in its report and order. The Commission further finds that the submitted reconciliation satisfies the requirements of Section 386.420.4, RSMo, as amended by SB 48.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

- The Reconciliation of Issues Decided by the Commission, filed on August 2,
 2011, by Union Electric Company, d/b/a Ameren Missouri, is approved.
 - 2. This order shall become effective on August 10, 2011.

BY THE COMMISSION

Stèven C. Reed Secretary

(SEAL)

Gunn, Chm., Davis, Jarrett, and Kenney, CC., concur.

Woodruff, Chief Regulatory Law Judge

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of the Tariff Filings of Union)	
Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri, to)	Case No. ER-2011-0028
Increase Its Revenues for Retail Electric Service.); ¹	

AMEREN MISSOURI'S REPLY TO STAFF RESPONSE TO AMEREN MISSOURI'S RECONCILIATION FILING

COMES NOW Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri ("Ameren Missouri" or the "Company") and hereby replies to the above-referenced Staff Response. In this regard, the Company states as follows:

- 1. Staff's Response indicates that the Staff has no objection to the reconciliation filed by Ameren Missouri on July 25, 2011, except that the Staff suggests that the three Exhibits A, B and C filed with the Staff's Response be made a part of the Commission-approved reconciliation. The Company agrees.
- 2. The Company's July 25, 2011 reconciliation was labeled "Exhibit A." One of the documents the Staff recommends be included in the Commission-approved reconciliation is also labeled "Exhibit A." Consequently, the Company is relabeling its reconciliation as "Attachment 1," so as to avoid confusion. Also, the Staff afforded the Company the courtesy of pointing out typographical errors in the reconciliation submitted by the Company on July 25, 2011. The Company is also taking this opportunity to correct those typographical errors plus one additional typographical error that appears on the third sheet of the July 25, 2011 reconciliation. That sheet stated "Issue: ROE 10.75% per Company" and should have stated "Issue: ROE 10.7% per Company." These corrections have no impact on any of the figures in the reconciliation.

WHEREFORE, the Company resubmits the reconciliation originally filed on July 25, 2011, modified only as outlined hereinabove, and that now includes as part thereof the three exhibits the Staff recommends be included therein, and prays that the Commission approve the

same as contemplated by Section 386.420.4, RSMo., as amended by S.B 48, signed by Governor

Nixon on July 1, 2011 and effective on that same date.

Dated: August 2, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James B. Lowery

James B. Lowery, Mo. Bar #40503 SMITH LEWIS, LLP P.O. Box 918 Columbia, MO 65205-0918 (T) 573-443-3141 (F) 573-442-6686 lowery@smithlewis.com

Thomas M. Byrne, Mo. Bar #33340
Wendy K. Tatro, Mo. Bar # 60261
Union Electric Company
d/b/a Ameren Missouri
P.O. Box 66149 (MC 1310)
1901 Chouteau Avenue
St. Louis, MO 63166-6149
(T) 314-554-2514
(F) 314-554-4014
Ameren Mo Service @ameren.com

Attorneys for Union Electric Company d/b/a Ameren Missouri

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served on all parties of record via electronic mail (e-mail) on this 2nd day of August, 2011.

/s/James B. Lowery
James B. Lowery

Ameren Missouri MPSC Case No.ER-2011-0028 Reconciliation of Issues Decided by the Commission Revenue Requirement Impact

		Change Revenue Requirement
ROE		
10.2% Per Order 8.75% Per Staff 9.9% Per MIEC 10.0% Per MEG 10.7% Per Company	173,225,030 91,854,109 156,341,962 162,061,336 201,292,648	(81,370,921) (16,883,068) (11,163,694) 28,067,618
Sioux Scrubbers	-	
Disallowances Per Staff		(5,044,384)
Taum Sauk Investment	-	
100% Allowed in Rates Per Company	•	11,361,682
Storm Cost O&M	-	
Per Staff Per MIEC		(2,255,708) (2,209,371)
Storm Cost Amortization	-	
Allow Amortization Per Company		207,429
Property Taxes Sioux Scrubbers & Taum Sauk	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Disatlow Per MIEC		(10,759,462)
RES Compliance Cost	-	
Per Staff Per MIEC		(397,514) (796,777)
Amortization of Energy Efficiency		
Per MIEC		(2,175,057)

AmerenUE (ER-2011-0028)

Issue: ROE 8.75% per MPSC Staff

Value: (\$81,370,921)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$37,430,360)	-3.12%
Small General Service	(\$9,142,765)	-3.12%
Large General Service	(\$16,793,436)	-3.12%
Small Primary Service	(\$6,491,157)	-3.12%
Large Primary Service	(\$5,856,612)	-3.12%
Large Transmission Service	(\$4,572,429)	-3.12%
Lighting	(\$1,082,028)	-3.12%
MSD	(\$2,134)	-3.12%
Total	(\$81,370,921)	-3.12%

Issue: ROE 9.9% per MIEC

Value: (\$16,883,068)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$7,766,156)	-0.65%
Small General Service	(\$1,896,967)	-0.65%
Large General Service	(\$3,484,349)	-0.65%
Small Primary Service	(\$1,346,804)	-0.65%
Large Primary Service	(\$1,215,146)	-0.65%
Large Transmission Service	(\$948,701)	-0.65%
Lighting	(\$224,502)	-0.65%
MSD	(\$443)	-0.65%
Total	(\$16,883,068)	-0.65%

Issue: ROE 10.0% per MEG

Value: (\$11,163,694)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$5,135,263)	-0.43%
Small General Service	(\$1,254,343)	-0.43%
Large General Service	(\$2,303,978)	-0.43%
Small Primary Service	(\$890,555)	-0.43%
Large Primary Service	(\$803,499)	-0.43%
Large Transmission Service	(\$627,315)	-0.43%
Lighting	(\$148,449)	-0.43%
MSD	(\$293)	-0.43%
Total	(\$11,163,694)	-0.43%

Issue: ROE 10.7% per Company

Value: \$28,067,618

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	\$12,911,013	1.07%
Small General Service	\$3,153,653	1.07%
Large General Service	\$5,792,631	1.07%
Small Primary Service	\$2,239,022	1.07%
Large Primary Service	\$2,020,146	1.07%
Large Transmission Service	\$1,577,187	1.07%
Lighting	\$373,229	1.07%
MSD	\$736	1.07%
Total	\$28,067,618	1.07%

Issue: Sioux Scrubbers Disallowances per MPSC Staff

Value: (\$5,044,384)

	<u>Impact</u>	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$2,320,400)	-0.19%
Small General Service	(\$566,783)	-0.19%
Large General Service	(\$1,041,066)	-0.19%
Small Primary Service	(\$402,403)	-0.19%
Large Primary Service	(\$363,066)	-0.19%
Large Transmission Service	(\$283,456)	-0.19%
Lighting	(\$67,078)	-0.19%
MSD	(\$132)	-0.19%
Total	(\$5,044,384)	-0.19%

Issue: Taum Sauk, 100% Allowed in Rates per Company

Value: \$11,361,682

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	\$5,226,337	0.44%
Small General Service	\$1,276,589	0.44%
Large General Service	\$2,344,839	0.44%
Small Primary Service	\$906,349	0.44%
Large Primary Service	\$817,749	0.44%
Large Transmission Service	\$638,440	0.44%
Lighting	\$151,082	0.44%
MSD	\$298	0.44%
Total	\$11,361,682	0.44%

Issue: Storm Cost per MPSC Staff

Value: (\$2,255,708)

•	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$1,037,618)	-0.09%
Small General Service	(\$253,449)	-0.09%
Large General Service	(\$465,536)	-0.09%
Small Primary Service	(\$179,943)	-0.09%
Large Primary Service	(\$162,353)	-0.09%
Large Transmission Service	(\$126,754)	-0.09%
Lighting	(\$29,995)	-0.09%
MSD	(\$59)	-0.09%
Total	(\$2,255,708)	-0.09%

Issue: Storm Cost per MIEC

Value: (\$2,209,371)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$1,016,303)	-0.08%
Small General Service	(\$248,243)	-0.08%
Large General Service	(\$455,973)	-0.08%
Small Primary Service	(\$176,247)	-0.08%
Large Primary Service	(\$159,018)	-0.08%
Large Transmission Service	(\$124,150)	-0.08%
Lighting	(\$29,379)	-0.08%
MSD	(\$58)	-0.08%
Total	(\$2,209,371)	-0.08%

Issue: Allow Storm Cost Amoritization per Company

Value: \$207,429

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	\$95,417	0.01%
Small General Service	\$23,307	0.01%
Large General Service	\$42,809	0.01%
Small Primary Service	\$16,547	0.01%
Large Primary Service	\$14,930	0.01%
Large Transmission Service	\$11,656	0.01%
Lighting	\$2,758	0.01%
MSD	\$5_	0.01%
Total	\$207,429	0.01%

Issue: Property Taxes, Disallow per MIEC

Value: (\$10,759,462)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$4,949,318)	-0.41%
Small General Service	(\$1,208,924)	-0.41%
Large General Service	(\$2,220,552)	-0.41%
Small Primary Service	(\$858,309)	-0.41%
Large Primary Service	(\$774,404)	-0.41%
Large Transmission Service	(\$604,600)	-0.41%
Lighting	(\$143,074)	-0.41%
MSD	(\$282)	-0.41%
Total	(\$10,759,462)	-0.41%

Issue: RES Compliance Cost per Staff

Value: (\$397,514)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$182,855)	-0.02%
Small General Service	(\$44,664)	-0.02%
Large General Service	(\$82,039)	-0.02%
Small Primary Service	(\$31,711)	-0.02%
Large Primary Service	(\$28,611)	-0.02%
Large Transmission Service	(\$22,337)	-0.02%
Lighting	(\$5,286)	-0.02%
MSD	(\$10)	-0.02%
Total	(\$397,514)	-0.02%

Issue: RES Compliance Cost per MIEC

Value: (\$796,777)

	Impact	<u> </u>
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$366,515)	-0.03%
Small General Service	(\$89,525)	-0.03%
Large General Service	(\$164,440)	-0.03%
Small Primary Service	(\$63,561)	-0.03%
Large Primary Service	(\$57,347)	-0.03%
Large Transmission Service	(\$44,773)	-0.03%
Lighting	(\$10,595)	-0.03%
MSD	(\$21)	-0.03%
Total	(\$796,777)	-0.03%

Issue: Amortization of Energy Efficiency per MIEC

Value: (\$2,175,057)

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	(\$1,000,519)	-0.08%
Small General Service	(\$244,387)	-0.08%
Large General Service	(\$448,891)	-0.08%
Small Primary Service	(\$173,510)	-0.08%
Large Primary Service	(\$156,548)	-0.08%
Large Transmission Service	(\$122,222)	-0.08%
Lighting	(\$28,923)	-0.08%
MSD	(\$57)	-0.08%
Total	(\$2,175,057)	-0.08%

Issue: Lighting Pole and Span per Muni Group

Value: \$1,395,932

	Impact	
	Amount	Percent
Residential	\$642,124	0.05%
Small General Service	\$156,846	0.05%
Large General Service	\$288,094	0.05%
Small Primary Service	\$111,357	0.05%
Large Primary Service	\$100,471	0.05%
Large Transmission Service	\$78,441	0.05%
Lighting	\$18,562	0.05%
MSD	\$37_	0.05%
Total	\$1,395,932	0.05%

ER-2011-0028)
(ER-
AmerenUE (EF

	•		
	,		Attachment 1
	9.25% 5.20% 5.20% 5.20% 5.20% 7.11%	7.11%	¥
	Total Revenue Requirement \$1,201,1719,118 \$293,386,157 \$538,891,886 \$208,297,563 \$187,935,383 \$146,726,677 \$34,721,674 \$68,484	\$2.611,146,942	
	Rate Increase \$173,225,030 Tol \$79,682,952 \$79,682,941 \$35,750,406 \$13,818,583 \$12,467,744 \$9,733,934 \$2,733,934 \$2,303,456 \$4,543	\$173,225,030 7.11%	
	Revenue Neutral Class Totals \$1,721,436,166 \$273,922,746 \$503,141,480 \$194,478,980 \$175,467,639 \$136,992,742 \$32,418,218	\$2,437,921,912	
	2.00% -1.78% -1.78% -1.78% -1.78% -1.78% 0.00%		
	Per Stip per Class \$21,989,000 (\$4,957,000) (\$9,104,747) (\$3,519,253) (\$3,175,000) (\$2,479,000) \$1,247,000	\$1,000	:
	Per Stip \$21,989,000 (\$4,957,000) (\$12,624,000) (\$3,175,000) (\$2,479,000) \$1,247,000	\$1,000	
AmerenUE (ER-2011-0028)	Current Revenues \$1,099,447,166.33 \$278,879,746.21 \$512,246,227.26 \$17,998,232.50 \$178,642,639 \$139,471,742.46 \$31,171,217.69 \$63,940.32	\$2,437,920,911.91	
AmerenUE (Res SGS LGS SPS SPS LPS LTS Lighling MSD		

Exhibit A File No. ER-2011-0028 Billing Determinants Supporting Class Revenue

Residential Class	
	Billing Units
Customer Charge	
Summer Bills	4,151,124.0
Winter Bills	8,302,248.0
TOD Bills	456.0
Low-income Surcharge	12,453,828.0
Energy Charge	
Summer kWh	4,755,929,765.8
On-peak	79.562.6
Off-peak	142,915.5
Winter kWh	
First 750 kWh	5,085,597,808.7
Over 750 kWh	4,132,102,893.9
On-peak	137,676.2
Off-peak	311,175.4

Small General Service Class	
	Billing Units
Customer Charge	
Summer Bills	
One-phase	360,264.0
Three-phase	150,616.0
Winter Bills	
One-phase	720,528.0
Three-phase	301,232.0
TOD Bills	
One-phase	6,864.0
Three-phase	1,452.0
6M	68,544.0
Low-income Surcharge	1,540,956.0
Energy Charge	
Summer kWh	1,220,475,371.6
On-peak	5,409,413.3
Off-peak	9,275,042.3
Winter kWh	
1	
Base	1,840,511,093.5
	1,840,511,093.5
Base	

Large General Service Class	
	Billing Units
Customer Charge	
Summer Bills	39,892.0
Winter Bills	79,784.0
TOD Bills	374.0
Low-income Surcharge	120,050.0
Demand Charge (kW)	
Summer	8,386,671.0
Winter	15,508,521.5
Energy Charge	
Summer kWh	
First 150HU	1,144,186,273.6
Next 200HU	1,248,607,044.8
Over 350HU	528,367,817.6
On-peak	2,470,405.6
Off-peak	3,764,908.3
Winter kWh	
First 150HU	1,919,258,899.0
Next 200HU	2,068,642,100.3
Over 350HU	868,703,614.2
Seasonal	409,282,990.3
On-peak	3,973,950.5
Off-peak	6,179,365.1

Small Primary Service Class	
	Billing Units
Customer Charge	
Summer Bills	2,548.0
Winter Bills	5,096.0
TOD Bills	120.0
Low-income Surcharge	7,764.0
Demand Charge (kW)	
Summer	2,843,925.3
Winter	5,112,945.5
Energy Charge	
Summer kWh	
First 150HU	414,201,162.9
Next 200HU	510,870,866.0
Over 350HU	363,529,016.8
On-peak	7,728,225.3
Off-peak	11,594,432.1
Winter kWh	
First 150HU	693,083,896.0
Next 200HU	845,698,395.0
Over 350HU	605,024,947.7
Seasonal	163,753,286.9
On-peak	14,556,070.3
Off-peak	22,786,900.2
Reactive Charge	1,569,741.1
Rider b	840,338.7

Large Primary Service Class		
	Billing Units	
Customer Charge		
Summer Bills	283,0	
Winter Bills	567.0	
TOD Bills	36.0	
Low-income Surcharge	850.0	
Demand Charge (kW)		
Summer	2,437,214.9	
Winter	4,598,815.2	
Energy Charge		
Summer kWh		
Energy	1,366,029,469.6	
On-peak	26,905,443.1	
Off-peak	53,316,560.4	
Winter kWh		
Energy	2,456,505,129.0	
On-peak	46,446,055.7	
Off-peak	93,667,416.5	
Reactive Charge	728,226.0	
Rider b		
115 kW	462,851.7	
69 kW	2,000,791.8	

	0 1 (0)		
Large Transmission Service Class			
	Billing Units		
Customer Charge			
Summer Bills	4.0		
Winter Bills	8.0		
Low-income Surcharge	12.0		
Demand Charge (kW)			
Summer	1,904,083.6		
Winter	3,802,149.0		
Reactive Demand Charge (kW)			
Summer	0.0		
Winter	0.0		
Energy Charge	36.00		
Summer kWh			
Energy	1,373,777,043.0		
Line Loss	48,082,196.7		
Winter kWh			
Energy	2,752,103,764.2		
Line Loss	96,323,631,7		

			Monthly Billing
Description 5M RATE	Type	Lumens	Units
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	HPS	: 9500	11,455
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	HPS	25500	12,152
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	HPS	50000	2,936
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	MV	.6800	13,334
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	MV	20000	5,271
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	MV	54000	73
Horizontal - enclosed on existing wood pole	MV	108000	1
Open bottom on existing wood pole	HPS	5800	4
Open bottom on existing wood pole	HPS	9500	50,495
Open bottom on existing wood pole	MV	3300	4,126
Open bottom on existing wood pole	MV	6800	20,396
Post top including 17 foot post	HP\$	9500	36,251
Post top including 17 foot post	MV	3300	221
Post top including 17 foot post	MV	6800	11,353
Directional	HPS	25500	3,263
Directional	HP\$	50000	3,562
Directional	МΗ	34000	4,541
Directional	мн	100000	918
Directional	MV	20000	355
Directional	MV	54000	28
Prior to September 30, 1963			
Incandescent		1000	
Incandescent		2500	
Incandescent		4000	
Incandescent		6000	
Incandescent		10000	
Prior to April 9, 1986			
11,000 Lumens, Mercury Vapor, Post-Top		11000	9
11,000 Lumens, Mercury Vapor, Open Bottom		11000	258
11,000 Lumens, Mercury Vapor, Horizontal Enclosed		11000	535
42,000 Lumens, Mercury Vapor, Horizontal Enclosed		42000	•
5,800 Lumens, H.P. Sodium, Open Bottom		5800	-
16,000 Lumens, H.P. Sodium, Horizontal Enclosed		16000	8
34,200 Lumens, H.P. Sodium, Directional (2) 140,000 Lumens, H.P. Sodium, Directional		34200 140000	23
		20000	2.5
20,000 Lumens, Metal Halide, Directional		20000	-
2500 INC Wood			. 1
6000 INC Wood			4
Prior to September 27, 1988			47 770
Wood pole			14,779
Ornamental Concrete Pole			3,594
Steel Breakaway Pole			279
Standard Two-Conductor Overhead Cable			10,753
Underground Cable Installed In and Under Dirt		÷	223,025 11,735
All Other Underground cable Installations			11,100

ER-2011-0028 Ameren Missouri Lighting Class Billing Determinants - Exhibit B

6M RATE

Description Metered service (cust charge per meter) Energy charge (per kWh)	Туре	Lumens	Monthly Billing Units 1,336 5,135,213
Customer charge per account		:	758
Costomer charge per account			, 50
Energy & Maintenance	HPS	9500	9,730
Energy & Maintenance	HPS	25500	649
Energy & Maintenance	HPS	50000	50
Energy & Maintenance	МН	5500	4
Energy & Maintenance	MH	12900	37
Energy & Maintenance	MV	3300	1
Energy & Maintenance	MV	6800	8,673
Energy & Maintenance	ΜV	11000	
Energy & Maintenance	ΜV	20000	112
Energy & Maintenance	MV	54000	20
Energy Only	HPS	9500	151
Energy Only	HPS	16000	4
Energy Only	HPS	25500	- 208
Energy Only	HPS	50000	27
Energy Only	MV	3300	81
Energy Only	MV	6800	203
Energy Only	ΜV	11000	_
Energy Only	MV	20000	139
Energy Only	MV	42000	_
Energy Only	MV	54000	20
5_6M			-
Customer Charge			81
Metered kWh			356,575
7M RATE			
Description	Type	Lumens	
Incandescent and wood pole	INC	1000	6
Incandescent and wood pole	INC	. 2500	2
Incandescent and wood pole	INC	4000	8
Incandescent and wood pole	INC	6000	7
Incandescent and wood pole	INC	10000	2
Ornamental poles (opposed to wood) cost per light is			-
Incandescent and ornamental pole	INC	1000	-
Incandescent and ornamental pole	INC	2500	8
Incandescent and ornamental pole	INC	4000	16
Incandescent and ornamental pole	INC	6000	-
Incandescent and ornamental pole	INC	10000	+
Metered service (cust charge per meter)			4
Metered kWh			3,253

Ameren Missouri ER-2011-0028 Exhibit C Page 1 of 2

Energy Efficiency Billing Determinants

Residential

Summer kWh 4,756,152,243.9 Winter kWh 9,218,149,554.2

•
19,271
54,603
1,235,140,556
2,336,474,878

2,201,320
2,201,320 3,663,260
2,918,959,816
5,262,224,344

Small Primary Service	
Summer Opt Out kwh	29,667,155
Winter Opt Out kwh	63,822,587
Summer Remaining kwh	1,258,933,891
Winter Remaining kwh	2,243,737,939

Large Power

Summer Opt Out kwh 309,738,639
Winter Opt Out kwh 582,756,427

Summer Remaining kwh 1,056,290,831
Winter Remaining kwh 1,873,748,702

Exhibit C Page 2 of 2