

mark. <253382@gmail.com>

Tue, Feb 4, 2025 at 9:27 PM

To: Travis Pringle <Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov>, Eric Vandergriff <Eric.Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>, mark.johnson@psc.mo.gov, Anna Martin <Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov>, pscinfo@psc.mo.gov, Mazzie Christensen <Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov>, rusty.black@senate.mo.gov, mopco@opc.mo.gov, nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov

#### Good Evening

Regarding 20 CSR 4240-20.045(6)(K)1

Here find evidence to refute a claim made by ATXI. This came to our attention after the December 9th and 10th hearing for DO 28 The evidence provided here shows that ATXI did not query

tax records from the Worth County Assessor's office on or around March 2024 as they told Commission Staff.

In addition to the evidence provided in the attachment to this email, I confirmed with the current Assessor there is no email to support ATXI's claim on or around

March 2024. If ATXI were to have done so they would have a receipt as explained by Miles Spainhower in the attached text message screen shot. (Miles was Assessor at the time in question)

Discovery of the truth as to whether or not ATXI queried said records as claimed is of profound importance to this CCN. The Commission and the State of Missouri should want

to know if ATXI intentionally provided the Commission with false information. Discovery of the truth is of utmost importance for this CCN as well as any future projects.

ATXI also failed to meet the intended purpose and 20 CSR 4240-20.045(6)(K)3 to provide a meeting in the County where 25 or more are impacted. The subject route

of this CCN is DO 28 and its inception date is May 14. (See record) . There has been one meeting in Worth County held on April 9th. While that meeting was sufficient to provide

"input" on DO 27 as is evident in James Nicholas Direct Testimony and also JN-D1. It is impossible to provide "input" on a route that wasn't in existence on April 9 and was outside of the

map used at said open house. It is illogical to argue the April 9th meeting meets the intent, purpose and requirement of this regulation for this CCN.

I respectfully request the entirety of this email including attachment to be provided to Commissioners Kayla Hahn, Maida Coleman, Jason Holsman, Glen Kolkmeyer, and John Mitchell.

Because I am not confident which of the recipients of this email is the appropriate person to get this email before the Commissioners, I respectfully ask for

collaboration to ensure no more than one is sent to each commissioner. Please provide me evidence that the 5 commissioners have received this information for their consideration on this CCN.

Respectfully Mark Harding 660-582-9780

EA-2024-0302.pdf 4378K



Pringle, Travis <Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov>

Thu, Feb 6, 2025 at 11:05 AM

To: "mark." <253382@gmail.com>, "Vandergriff, Eric" <Eric.Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>, "Johnson, Mark" <Mark.Johnson@psc.mo.gov>, Anna Martin <Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov>, Missouri Public Service Commission <psc.mo.gov>, Mazzie Christensen <Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov>, "rusty.black@senate.mo.gov" <rusty.black@senate.mo.gov" <rusty.black@senate.mo.gov" <mopco@opc.mo.gov>, "nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov>

Mr. Harding,

Thank you for your correspondence. We cannot forward this email on to the Commissioners because it would be an ex parte communication, which is prohibited under Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-4.015. That being said, Staff can submit this as a public comment on your behalf for the Commission's consideration.

Staff has followed up with your concerns about the March 2024 query claim. March 2024 was a typo from the first paragraph of the company's response that found its way into Staff's report. Attached is the public response ATXI provided Staff, which includes the specific dates ATXI queried the records in May 2024. Per ATXI, its consultants queried the records on the following dates:

- · Marion County: May 15, 2024
- Worth County: May 21, 2024, and May 22, 2024
- · Gentry County: May 23, 2024, and May 24, 2024
- · Dekalb County: May 21, 2024

Staff has requested receipts and/or additional information from ATXI for these May 2024 queries.

Thank you for your help with this process.

Travis Pringle Chief Deputy Counsel Missouri Public Service Commission 573.751.5700

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message including attachments, if any, is intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

LEGAL NOTICE: The Missouri Bar Chief Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa; and (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. If you wish to change how future communications are sent, please let me know AT ONCE.

MPSC1 - 0022.0.pdf



mark h <253382@gmail.com>

To: Pringle Travis < Travis. Pringle@psc.mo.gov>

Thu, Feb 6, 2025 at 2:32 PM

Mr Pringle

Thank you for the new information including the "typo" defense.

This alone is enough to warrant a hearing on this most important matter. Which is where my attention is now. The people of Missouri deserve an opportunity to testify to this and other important matters in this case and for the discovery of the truth in this disputed and significant claim(s) made by ATXI. I know Commission staff has influence in OPC's decision to request a hearing by tomorrow. I do hope you will provide reasoned and appropriate input towards the ultimate decision by OPC to allow the people this hearing. It will be reason for further suspicion should OPC not request a simple hearing when it's available. I will be patient as to the eventual truth being known regarding ATXI's now seemingly desperate efforts to claim something they know they can't substantiate. We want the OPC to request a hearing or the commission to deny this CCN and begin working towards an ethical effort to get this project moving forward.

their recent claims untrue. If you have not seen it or have curiosity about any of this by all means ask!

Please note a Ron Goodsons whose documented testimony alone easily refutes ATXI's most recent claims. Along with ATXI's own evidence proving Just a hearing for the purpose of discovery on the assessors office claim(s) and other matters that have come to light since Staff Recommendations was published. Pretty simple request. Respectfully Mark > On Feb 6, 2025, at 11:05 AM, Pringle, Travis < Travis. Pringle@psc.mo.gov > wrote: > Mr. Harding, > Thank you for your correspondence. We cannot forward this email on to the Commissioners because it would be an exparte communication, which is prohibited under Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-4.015. That being said, Staff can submit this as a public comment on your behalf for the Commission's > Staff has followed up with your concerns about the March 2024 query claim. March 2024 was a typo from the first paragraph of the company's response that found its way into Staff's report. Attached is the public response ATXI provided Staff, which includes the specific dates ATXI queried the records in May 2024. Per ATXI, its consultants queried the records on the following dates: > • Marion County: May 15, 2024 > • Worth County: May 21, 2024, and May 22, 2024 > • Gentry County: May 23, 2024, and May 24, 2024 > • Dekalb County: May 21, 2024 > Staff has requested receipts and/or additional information from ATXI for these May 2024 queries. > Thank you for your help with this process. > Travis Pringle > Chief Deputy Counsel > Missouri Public Service Commission > 573.754.5700 > CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message including attachments, if any, is intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately. > LEGAL NOTICE: The Missouri Bar Chief Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa; and (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. If you wish to change how future communications are sent, please let me know AT ONCE. > To: Pringle, Travis <Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov>; Vandergriff, Eric <Eric.Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>; Johnson, Mark <Mark.Johnson@psc.mo.gov>;

> ----Original Message----> From: mark. <253382@gmail.com> > Sent: Tuesday, February 4, 2025 9:28 PM

Anna Martin <Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov>; Missouri Public Service Commission Secinfo@psc.mo.gov>; Mazzie Christensen <Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov>; rusty.black@senate.mo.gov; mopco@opc.mo.gov; nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov

> Subject: EA-2024-0302

> Good Evening



mark h <253382@gmail.com>

Mon, Feb 10, 2025 at 10:29 AM

To: Pringle Travis < Travis. Pringle@psc.mo.gov>

Cc: Vandergriff Eric < Eric. Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>, Johnson Mark < Mark. Johnson@psc.mo.gov>, Martin Anna < Anna. Martin@opc.mo.gov>, Service Commission Missouri Public <pscinfo@psc.mo.gov>, Christensen Mazzie <Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov>, rusty.black@senate.mo.gov, mopco@opc.mo.gov, nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov

Mr. Vandergriff and Mr. Pringle

As I continue to document the lack of validity to ATXI's most recent claims on the Assessors tax records issue. I want to be 100% certain that you are both aware there is an optional path. See attached photos. Because Mr Nicholas placed so much emphasis on "proximity to newer residences north of 46 highway" and now knowing he overlooked the house with red arrows, it would seem reasonable to consider ALL newer residences north of 46 and fix this oversight.

Is there any reason the route I am presenting again here today cannot be used? Please tell us what those reasons are.

I will remind you that using reasons pertaining to proper notification and due process will be unacceptable reasons to the persons who are the victims of precisely those very things not being adhered to.

If Staff determines this available route that provides well over 1000' clearance to ALL residences and structures and parallels existing roadways and avoids wetlands and a floodplain etc. etc. can not be used, please give the reasons why not.

Also know there is an equally easy fix using DO-27. There is no reason this line has to be within 500' of Traci's new house.

Mark, Laurie and Traci 660-582-9780

> On Feb 6, 2025, at 11:05 AM, Pringle, Travis < Travis. Pringle@psc.mo.gov > wrote: > Mr. Harding, > Thank you for your correspondence. We cannot forward this email on to the Commissioners because it would be an exparte communication, which is prohibited under Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-4.015. That being said, Staff can submit this as a public comment on your behalf for the Commission's > Staff has followed up with your concerns about the March 2024 query claim. March 2024 was a typo from the first paragraph of the company's response that found its way into Staff's report. Attached is the public response ATXI provided Staff, which includes the specific dates ATXI queried the records in May 2024. Per ATXI, its consultants queried the records on the following dates: > • Marion County: May 15, 2024 > • Worth County: May 21, 2024, and May 22, 2024 > · Gentry County: May 23, 2024, and May 24, 2024 > • Dekalb County: May 21, 2024 > Staff has requested receipts and/or additional information from ATXI for these May 2024 queries. > Thank you for your help with this process.

> Travis Pringle > Chief Deputy Counsel > Missouri Public Service Commission

> 573.751.5700

> CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail message including attachments, if any, is intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately.

> LEGAL NOTICE: The Missouri Bar Chief Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers it passes through as it goes from me to you or vice versa; and (3) persons not participating in our communication may intercept our communications by improperly accessing your computer or my computer or even some computer unconnected to either of us which the e-mail passed through. If you wish to change how future communications are sent, please let me know AT ONCE.

Original Message----



Red line is an option.

Provides 1000't clearance to ALL residences and structu and avoids Kent Lane house and avoids hog barn land.

This is an available option.



mark h <253382@gmail.com>

Tue. Feb 11, 2025 at 7:48 AM

To: Pringle Travis < Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov>

Cc: Vandergriff Eric < Eric. Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>, Johnson Mark < Mark. Johnson@psc.mo.gov>, Martin Anna < Anna. Martin@opc.mo.gov>, Service Commission Missouri Public < pscinfo@psc.mo.gov>, Christensen Mazzie < Mazzie. Christensen@house.mo.gov>, rusty. black@senate.mo.gov, mopco@opc.mo.gov, nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov

Mr Vandergriff, Mr Pringle

Regarding data request MPSC1-0022.0

"This email is to correct a typo in previous email (data request is MPSC1-0022.0) and also to respectfully request a response indicating Staffs intentions of asking applicant to provide the records (and receipts) they received on the dates and by the methods they claim.

2:28

.11 5G 6

MPSC1 - 0022.0.pdf



Done

Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois Response to MPSC 's Data Request LRTP Missouri 1 (EA-2024-0302)

No.: MPSC1 0022.0

(1) On what date(s) did ATXI, or its consultants, query the county assessor's office(s) for landowner contact information for land directly affected by the proposed route as depicted in its Application, Appendix E? (2) Describe how ATXI, or its consultants, queried the records of any county assessor's office for landowner contact information. For purposes of this data request, land is directly affected if a permanent easement or other permanent property interest would be obtained over all or any portion of the land or if the land contains a habitable structure that would be within three hundred (300) feet of the centerline of an electric transmission line.

**RESPONSE**: (Do not edit or delete this line or anything above this. Start typing

your response right BELOW Date.)

Prepared By: Leah Dettmers

Title: Manager, Stakeholder Relations & Training

Phone No.: 314.366.1848

Applicant responded to your data request and provided the information you requested. My curiosity is why applicant wasn't asked to provide evidence of their claim(s)? I have talked to several people who are familiar with attaining tax records and tax rolls. They all say the exact same thing. IF applicant did as they claim they have the records they claim and emails and possibly phone call evidence to those County Assessors offices corresponding with the dates they now claim. Simply ask the applicant to show the records they received from the Assessors offices. They are public records.

It is this simple: Please provide the methods used and the dates of requests and dates received and the RECORDS themselves and receipts and any other evidence you would have to support your most recent claims.

Respectfully Mark Harding 660-582-9780



## RE: EA-2024-0302 - Staff's Response

mark h <253382@gmail.com>

To: Vandergriff Eric < Eric. Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>

Tue, Feb 11, 2025 at 1:34 PM

Cc: Johnson Mark <a href="Mark.Johnson@psc.mo.gov">Martin Anna <a href="Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov">Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov</a>, Service Commission Missouri Public <pscinfo@psc.mo.gov</p>, Christensen Mazzie <a href="Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov">Martin Anna <a href="Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov">Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov</a>, Service Commission Missouri Public <pscinfo@psc.mo.gov</p>, Christensen@house.mo.gov, rusty.black@senate.mo.gov, mopco@opc.mo.gov, nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov, Pringle Travis <a href="Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov">Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov</a>

Again I thank you for your response,

but it seems we are not on the same page. While the regulation you refer to is something we unsuccessfully tried to bring attention to some time ago, the developments in recent days are much more important.

Because Staff did indeed ask Ameren "how" and "on what dates" this applicant secured records from the County Assessors offices, (see attached photo) it would be reasonable for Staff to also ask this of this applicant:

#### ATXI AND ITS CONSULTANTS

"Please provide cancelled checks and receipts showing proof of payment, along with the records you received from the County Assessors Offices on the dates you provided. Thank You!"

It shouldn't require me hiring an attorney to accomplish this. This is for the sole purpose of the discovery of the truth of applicants most recent claims. I would hope that Staffs position on this discovery of truth effort doesn't conflict with mine, however I acknowledge it is possible.

I don't see anything in your previous responses that suggest MOPSC Staff will be seeking the evidence from this applicant I have repeatedly requested in these emails.

If I have misunderstood, please clarify.

If I have it correct but your intentions change, please let me know.

Respectfully Mark Harding [Quoted text hidden]



# RE: EA-2024-0302 - Staff's Response

Vandergriff, Eric < Eric. Vandergriff@psc.mo.gov>

Tue, Feb 11, 2025 at 4:35 PM

To: mark h <253382@gmail.com>
Cc: "Johnson, Mark" <Mark. Johnson@psc.mo.gov>, Martin Anna <Anna.Martin@opc.mo.gov>, Missouri Public Service Commission
<pscinfo@psc.mo.gov>, Christensen Mazzie <Mazzie.Christensen@house.mo.gov>, "rusty.black@senate.mo.gov" <rusty.black@senate.mo.gov" <rusty.black@senate.mo.gov>, "mopco@opc.mo.gov" <mopco@opc.mo.gov>, "nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov" <nathan.williams@opc.mo.gov>, "Pringle, Travis" <Travis.Pringle@psc.mo.gov>

Good afternoon Mr. Harding,

Section 386.480, RSMo., states in part that "[n]o information . . . shall be open to public inspection or made public except on order of the Commission, or by the Commission or a Commissioner in the course of a hearing or proceeding."

The section also states that "[a]ny officer or employee of the Commission or the Public Counsel or any employee of the Public Counsel who, in violation of the provisions of this section, divulges any such information **shall be guilty of a misdemeanor**." (emphasis added).

Due to legal restrictions, I am unable to provide information regarding ATXI's response to Staff's investigation. However, you are welcome to contact ATXI directly if you have any questions about their response to Staff's data request.

In the meantime, I sincerely appreciate your help with this matter. Your efforts helped to draw attention to ATXI's response to Staff's data request. Thank you.

[Quoted text hidden]