

Exhibit No. 852

F. Neil Mathews – Exhibit 852
Testimony of Neil Mathews
Surrebuttal
File No. EA-2024-0302

SURREBRUTTAL TESTIMONY

FOR

F. NEIL MATHEWS

**AMEREN TRANSMISSION COMPANY OF ILLINOIS (“ATXI”)-
NORTHERN MISSOURI GRID TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM FOR
FDIM AND APPLICATION FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE
AND NECESSITY**

CASE NOS; EA-2024-O302

1. INTRODUCTION

Q., Please state your name and business address.

A. F. Neil Mathews, 1369 N. Port Washington Road-Unit 107, Grafton, WI
53024

Q. What are your qualifications and experience?

A. I am a 5th generation landowner of the 1885 Missouri Century Family Farm
certified by the University of Missouri Century Farm Project which has been
in our family’s ownership for 140 years in Worth County Missouri on
Missouri Route M north of Denver, Missouri.

**Q. Have you testified previously before the Missouri Public Service
Commission?**

A. No

Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?

A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to respond to the rebuttal
testimony of Mr. Sam Morris when he stated, “Yes, ATXI has engaged in
discussion to obtain information from Mr. Mathews regarding his concerns
and potential sensitivities or constraints on or near his property”. In
response to the rebuttals, I do support other alternatives other than moving
the route of the 345-volt transmission lines and towers back to AO-27.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

ISSUES

Q. Who has contacted you regarding the proposed 345-volt transmission lines and towers crossing my land?

The only individual that has contacted me has been Mr. Scott Gross from Contract Land Staff. We had an exchange of 3 emails on June 5, 2025 and a telephone conversation. I was never contacted by Mr. Morris.

Q. Do you have any further recommendation for AXTI to consider when engaging me in further considerations as a result of the rebuttals?

A. Yes, I recommend ATXI consider offering a plan for micrositing/rerouting the transmission lines and towers around my farm or at least moving the project 1320 feet to the west of my farm which I previously suggested as a workaround when I first became aware of this project crossing my farm. I recommend that an alternative such as micrositing the transmission lines and towers in a way not to cross any of my 1885 farm would resolve my participation in this dispute. However, if there are no other options for avoiding crossing my farm, I would support this project if it also included building an exemplary wind or solar farm on my land as a demonstration site for what the future of developing electric power along corridors of energy transmission could be.