	,
1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	STATE OF MISSOURI
3	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
4	PROCEDURAL CONFERENCE
5	In The Matter of the)
6	
7	Clarification of PPA) Case No. EO-2026-0101
8	Replacement Values for)
9	The Empire District)
10	Electric Company's d/b/a)
11	Liberty's Market Price)
12	Protection Mechanism)
13	
14	TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 4, 2025 10:00 a.m.
15	Jefferson City, MO via WebEx
16	VIA WEDEK
17	VOLUME 1
18	
19	JOHN CLARK, Presiding
20	SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE
21	KAYLA HAHN, Chair, MAIDA J. COLEMAN,
22	GLEN KOLKMEYER, JOHN MITCHELL,
23	COMMISSIONERS
24	Transcribed by:
25	Leah Muthoni



1	THE COURT: Let's go on the record.
2	Today's date is November the 4th, 2025, and
3	the current time is 10:00 a.m. This
4	procedural conference is being held by WebEx
5	for the convenience of the parties.
6	The commission has set aside this time
7	today for a procedural conference in the case
8	captioned as; In the matter of the
9	clarification of PPA replacement values for
10	The Empire District Company's doing business
11	as Liberty's Market Price Protection
12	Mechanism. And that is file number EO-2026-
13	0101.
14	My name is John Clark. I'm the
15	regulatory law judge presiding over this
16	matter. And I'm going to begin by asking the
17	attorneys to enter their entries of appearance
18	for the record, starting with Liberty.
19	MS. CARTER: Diana Carter for The Empire
20	District Electric Company doing business as
21	Liberty.
22	THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Carter. On
23	behalf of staff?
24	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Eric Vandegriff on
25	behalf of the staff of the Missouri Public



1	Service Commission.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Vandegriff.
3	And on behalf of Public Counsel?
4	MR. POSTON: Marc Poston on behalf of
5	OPC.
6	THE COURT: Okay, thank you, Mr. Poston.
7	And Renew Missouri.
8	MS. MERS: Nicole Mers, on behalf of
9	Renew Missouri.
10	THE COURT: And those are the ones I've
11	seen so far. Are there any other parties
12	here? I hear none.
13	I believe this started out in Liberty's
14	previous well, in their previous rate case,
15	the 312 rate case. And at that time, I was
16	overseeing the MPPM issue. And because it was
17	if I remember correctly, and anybody
18	correct me if I'm wrong, this was something
19	that it was agreed to by the parties in a
20	stipulation and agreement.
21	And it was clarified in the 312 case, at
22	least into one regard, but it seemed to leave
23	one other issue open, which involved what the
24	PPA replacement values should be. Because it
25	was a stipulation, it was very difficult for



the commission to clarify because the commission was not a party to that agreement.

And so it got bumped over into Judge
Hatcher's Liberty Electric rate case. I can't
remember the number off the top of my head.
And it was a hang up issue there. So it was
moved out to me again in its own case for
resolution. And I've sent out, I believe, an
order establishing initial stuff.

But this is, I guess I call this procedural conference because I think everybody is relatively familiar with this issue, with the exception of Mr. Poston. But Mr. Williams is familiar with this issue.

So I kind of want to rough out how much time is needed for this because I don't think -- to be honest, I'm not particularly hopeful that the parties can reach an agreement, but maybe you guys can. But I would like to go ahead and try and set an evidentiary hearing date.

So how long do parties think they're going to need to prepare for an evidentiary hearing on the market price protection mechanism?



2.2

2.4

1	MR. POSTON: Hey, Judge
2	THE COURT: Oh, go ahead.
3	MR. POSTON: Marc Poston. I'll say I
4	really need to speak with Nathan and one of
5	our witnesses in the case to really give you a
6	definitive answer. But I certainly you
7	know, were willing to work with the parties
8	and try to come up with something.
9	THE COURT: Okay. And I'm willing to do
10	that. Like I said, I called a procedural
11	conference because I wanted to talk about it.
12	Normally, if I was just asking the parties to
13	submit a procedural schedule, I'd send out an
14	order.
15	But I'm happy to and pursuant to 20
16	CSR 4240-2.090, subsection 6, I can rule on
17	procedural and substantive matters at a
18	procedural conference. So with that in mind,
19	I'm going to ask the parties to get together
20	and put together a procedural schedule.
21	Do the parties think they can put
22	together a procedural schedule by the 21st of
23	November? Is that a hold on just a second.
24	Yeah, that seems to be that's the week
25	before Thanksgiving. Is that sufficient time



1	to put together a procedural schedule?
2	MS. CARTER: Judge, if we could have a
3	THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Carter.
4	MS. CARTER: Thank you. Sorry. If we
5	could have a little bit more time, given the
6	happenings in the rate case and the other
7	proceedings, I think that would be helpful.
8	Because I think maybe we should have pre-filed
9	testimony, is probably going to be the most
10	helpful for this issue being so complicated
11	THE COURT: It is a very
12	MS. CARTER: and then an evidentiary
13	hearing.
14	THE COURT: Well, can the parties have a
15	procedural schedule developed by the 5th of
16	December? Is that sufficient time?
17	MS. CARTER: I think that would be great.
18	THE COURT: Okay. And since I'm ordering
19	it well, I'll go ahead and codify it in an
20	order so the parties not present can see it.
21	And remember that the parties to the rate
22	case, like Ms. Mers with Renew, are
23	automatically parties to this proceeding as
24	well. Good morning, Mr. Williams.
25	That about covers everything I wanted to



1	address with this. Like I said, I'm familiar
2	with this topic and I think most of the
3	parties are. But I'll agree that if there
4	needs to be pre-filed testimony, it may take a
5	little bit to determine how much. But that's
6	really all I had for today, unless there's any
7	other matters that anybody wanted to address.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Judge, I apologize for not
9	joining earlier. I dropped the ball on this.
LO	THE COURT: That is just fine, Mr.
L1	Williams. Mr. Poston came. To explain what I
L2	was doing is, because I'm familiar with the
L3	MPPM issue, it was moved from the 312 case to
L4	Judge Hatcher's rate case. And then it was
L5	popped out of there and I popped it into its
L6	own individual case to resolve it.
L7	I was trying to see how much time the
L8	parties would need before an evidentiary
L9	hearing. I thought it would be pretty quick
20	since I think the parties are reasonably
21	familiar with the issue.
22	Ms. Carter pointed out that there will
23	need to be pre-filed testimony, which I agree,
2.4	and that will take some time. So I have set



25

December 5th as the due date for a proposed

1 procedural schedule in this case. Does that 2 work for you? 3 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. 4 THE COURT: Okay. 5 Judge, is the commission MR. WILLIAMS: 6 contemplating modifying the MPPM? 7 THE COURT: I cannot, at this point, say 8 what the commission is contemplating. I'm not 9 sure that they've spent a lot of time with 10 this yet. I've spent a little bit of time 11 with it. 12 At the end of the day, that's an issue 13 that really almost needs to be decided by the parties because this was originally brought as 14 15 a clarification issue. Can the commission 16 clarify this? And the commission said, "No, 17 we can't. We weren't part of the meeting of 18 the binds on this. We don't know what you 19 guys agreed to." And we're in a little bit of 20 a bizarre situation in that we're having an 21 evidentiary hearing on that. 2.2 But the underlying market price 23 protection mechanism, it comes out of a 2.4 stipulation and agreement. So I don't know, 25 at the end of the day, how much leeway the



1	commission would have to modify it rather than
2	clarify it, if that makes sense.
3	MR. WILLIAMS: Here's my position, Judge.
4	The commission does not have the authority to
5	construe contracts. So what the MPPM is, as
6	ordered by the commission, is whatever the
7	commission ordered and its understanding of
8	what it ordered at the time it did so.
9	THE COURT: That is an alternative take,
10	and I've considered that as well. That's a
11	reasonable argument to make, and I will give
12	it some more thought.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: And that was why I was
14	asking about what the commission's
15	contemplating, because I don't know I mean,
16	if it's clarification merely, then I don't
17	know that there's a need for a hearing,
18	because the commission has everything in front
19	of it that it should be considering for what
20	it meant.
21	THE COURT: Well, in theory, but what we
22	have are some sample documents, the
23	stipulation, and a little bit of talk about
24	the stipulation. But what we're kind of
25	lacking on that is context and the parties'



1	understanding. And that's something that can
2	really only come in through testimony or some
3	other form of argument.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: And from my perspective,
5	what the parties think is irrelevant.
6	THE COURT: Okay, I understand that. All
7	the same, I'm going to be ordering that the
8	parties submit a proposed procedural schedule
9	by December 5th.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: I understand.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
12	Williams. Thank you for bringing that to my
13	attention. And I will take a hard look at
14	that. Is there anybody else who has anything
15	that needs to be addressed by the commission
16	at this point?
17	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Apologies, Your Honor.
18	We do have a brief question.
19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. LUBERT: This is Jay Lubert
21	(phonetic). Good morning.
22	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Lubert.
23	MR. LUBERT: My question is whether the
24	entirety of this docket is limited to the
25	scope of the PPA replacement value, because



1	MPPM has a lot more components than just that
2	one.
3	THE COURT: I do think it is, because, I
4	mean, that's the only that was the only
5	thing that the parties ever requested
6	clarification on, is what the appropriate PPA
7	replacement value was.
8	MR. LUBERT: Okay. That helps with just
9	scope and understanding what we need to
10	actually cover. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else
12	the commission needs to address? All right.
13	Thank you all for showing up today. I hope
14	you have a good day and a good week. And I
15	will adjourn this conference and go off the
16	record.
17	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
18	MR. VANDERGRIFF: Thank you, Your Honor.
19	MS. CARTER: Thanks.
20	
21	(End of audio recording.)
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIPTIONIST
2	
3	I, LEAH MUTHONI, do hereby certify:
4	
5	That said audio transcription is a true
6	record as reported by me, a disinterested
7	person.
8	
9	I further certify that I am not
10	interested in the outcome of said action, nor
11	connected with, nor related to any of the
12	parties in said action, nor to their
13	respective counsel.
14	
15	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set
16	my hand this 11th day of November, 2025.
17	1 2 2 6 111
18	Leavin.
19	Leah Muthoni
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



0	about 5:11 6:25 9:14,23	appropriate 11:6	behalf 2:23,25 3:3,4,8	7:13,14,16 8:1
0101 2:13	action 12:10, 12	argument 9:11 10:3	believe 3:13 4:8	certainly 5:6 CERTIFICATE
1	actually 11:10	as 2:8,11,20	binds 8:18	12:1
10:00 2:3	address 7:1,7 11:12	6:23 7:25 8:14 9:5,10 12:6	bit 6:5 7:5 8:10,19 9:23	certify 12:3,9
11th 12:16	addressed 10:15	aside 2:6	bizarre 8:20 brief 10:18	2:9 8:15 9:16 11:6
2	adjourn 11:15	ask 5:19	bringing	clarified 3:21
20 5:15	again 4:7	asking 2:16 5:12 9:14	10:12	clarify 4:1
2025 2:2	agree 7:3,23	at 3:15,21	brought 8:14	8:16 9:2
12:16	agreed 3:19	5:17 8:7,12,	bumped 4:3	Clark 2:14
21st 5:22	8:19	25 9:8 10:13, 16	business	codify 6:19
	agreement 3:20 4:2,18	attention	2:10,20	come 5:8 10:2
3	8:24	10:13	but 3:22 4:10, 13,18,19 5:6,	comes 8:23
312 3:15,21 7:13	ahead 4:20 5:2 6:3,19	attorneys 2:17	15 7:3,5 8:22 9:21,24	commission 2:6 3:1 4:1,2 8:5,8,15,16
4	all 7:6 10:6 11:12,13	audio 11:21 12:5	by 2:4,16 3:19 5:22 6:15	9:1,4,6,7,18 10:15 11:12
4240-2.090 5:16	almost 8:13	authority 9:4	8:13 9:6 10:9, 15 12:6	commission's 9:14
4th 2:2	9:9	automatically 6:23	С	Company 2:20
5	answer 5:6	В	call 4:10	Company's
	any 3:11 7:6 12:11		called 5:10	2:10
5th 6:15 7:25 10:9	anybody 3:17	ball 7:9	came 7:11	complicated 6:10
10.9	7:7 10:14	because 3:16, 24 4:1,11,16	cannot 8:7	
6	anything 10:14 11:11	5:11 6:8 7:12 8:14 9:15,18	captioned 2:8	components 11:1
6 5:16	Apologies 10:17	10:25 11:3 before 5:25	Carter 2:19,22 6:2,3,4,12,17	conference 2:4,7 4:11
A	apologize 7:8	7:18	7:22 11:19	5:11,18 11:15
a.m. 2:3	appearance 2:17	begin 2:16	case 2:7 3:14, 15,21 4:4,7 5:5 6:6,22	connected 12:11



considered	decided 8:13	establishing	given 6:5	here 3:12
9:10	definitive 5:6	4:9	go 2:1 4:19	Here's 9:3
considering 9:19	determine 7:5	ever 11:5	5:2 6:3,19 11:15	hereby 12:3
construe 9:5	developed 6:15	everybody 4:12	going 2:16 4:23 5:19 6:9	hereunto 12:15
contemplating 8:6,8 9:15	Diana 2:19	everything 6:25 9:18	10:7	Hey 5:1
context 9:25	difficult 3:25	evidentiary	good 6:24 10:21,22	hold 5:23
contracts 9:5	disinterested 12:6	4:20,23 6:12 7:18 8:21	11:14	honest 4:17 Honor 10:17
convenience 2:5	District 2:10, 20	exception	got 4:3	11:18
correct 3:18	-	4:13	great 6:17	hope 11:13
correctly 3:17	docket 10:24	explain 7:11	guess 4:10	hopeful 4:17
could 6:2,5	documents 9:22	F	guys 4:19 8:19	how 4:15,22 7:5,17 8:25
counsel 3:3 12:13	doing 2:10,20 7:12	familiar 4:12, 14 7:1,12,21	Н	
COURT 2:1, 22 3:2,6,10	dropped 7:9	far 3:11	hand 12:16	if 3:17,18 5:12
5:2,9 6:3,11,	due 7:25	file 2:12	hang 4:6	6:2,4 7:3 9:2,
14,18 7:10 8:4,7 9:9,21	E	fine 7:10	happenings 6:6	16 in 2:7,8 3:13,
10:6,11,19,22 11:3,11	earlier 7:9	for 2:5,7,9,18, 19 3:25 4:7,	happy 5:15	14,19,21 4:7
cover 11:10	Electric 2:20	16,23 6:10 7:6,8,25 8:2	hard 10:13	5:5,18 6:6,19 8:1,19,20
covers 6:25	4:4 else 10:14	9:17,19 10:12 11:13	Hatcher's 4:4 7:14	9:18,21 10:2 12:10,12,15
CSR 5:16 current 2:3	11:11 Empire 2:10	form 10:3	having 8:20	individual 7:16
	Empire 2:10, 19	from 7:13	head 4:5	initial 4:9
D	end 8:12,25	10:4	hear 3:12	interested
date 2:2 4:21	11:21	front 9:18 further 12:9	hearing 4:20, 24 6:13 7:19	12:10
7:25 day 8:12,25	enter 2:17 entirety 10:24		8:21 9:17	into 3:22 4:3 7:15
11:14 12:16	entries 2:17	G	held 2:4	involved 3:23
December	EO-2026- 2:12	get 5:19	helpful 6:7,10 helps 11:8	irrelevant
6:16 7:25 10:9	Eric 2:24	give 5:5 9:11		10:5
				\ EVITAG



		<u> </u>		
issue 3:16,23	least 3:22	meant 9:20	my 2:14 4:5	11:21 12:1,
4:6,13,14 6:10 7:13,21	leave 3:22	mechanism	9:3 10:4,12, 23 12:16	10,11,16
8:12,15	leeway 8:25	2:12 4:25	23 12.10	off 4:5 11:15
it 3:16,19,21,	Let's 2:1	8:23	N	on 2:1,22,24
22,24,25 4:3,	Liberty 2:18,	meeting 8:17		3:3,4,8 4:24 5:16,23 7:9
6 5:11 6:11,	21 4:4	merely 9:16	name 2:14	8:18,21 9:25
19,20 7:4,13, 14,15,16,19	Liberty's 2:11	Mers 3:8 6:22	Nathan 5:4	11:6
8:11,23 9:1,2,	3:13	mind 5:18	need 4:23 5:4	one 3:22,23
8,12,19,20	like 4:19 5:10	Missouri 2:25	7:18,23 9:17	5:4 11:2
11:3	6:22 7:1	3:7,9	11:9	ones 3:10
it's 9:16	limited 10:24	modify 9:1	needed 4:16	only 10:2 11:4
its 4:7 7:15	little 6:5 7:5	modifying 8:6	needs 7:4	OPC 3:5
9:7	8:10,19 9:23	more 6:5 9:12	8:13 10:15 11:12	open 3:23
	long 4:22	11:1	Nicole 3:8	order 4:9 5:14
J	look 10:13	morning 6:24	No 8:16	6:20
Jay 10:20	lot 8:9 11:1	10:21,22		ordered 9:6,7,
John 2:14	Lubert 10:20,	most 6:9 7:2	none 3:12	8
joining 7:9	22,23 11:8	moved 4:7 7:13	nor 12:10,11, 12	ordering 6:18 10:7
judge 2:15 4:3	M	MPPM 3:16	Normally 5:12	originally
5:1 6:2 7:8,14 8:5 9:3		7:13 8:6 9:5	not 4:2,17	8:14
just 5:12,23	make 9:11	11:1	6:20 7:8 8:8	other 3:11,23
7:10 11:1,8	makes 9:2	Mr 2:24 3:2,4,	9:4 12:9	6:6 7:7 10:3
7.10 11.1,0	Marc 3:4 5:3	6 4:13,14 5:1, 3 6:24 7:8,10,	November 2:2	our 5:5
K	market 2:11	11 8:3,5 9:3,	5:23 12:16	out 3:13 4:7,8,
	4:24 8:22	13 10:4,10,	number 2:12 4:5	15 5:13 7:15,
kind 4:15 9:24	matter 2:8,16	11,17,20,22,	7.0	22 8:23
know 5:7 8:18,24 9:15,	matters 5:17	23 11:8,17,18	0	outcome
6.16,24 9.15, 17	7:7	Ms 2:19,22 3:8 6:2,3,4,		12:10
	maybe 4:19	12,17,22 7:22	of 2:5,8,9,17,	over 2:15 4:3
L	6:8	11:19	23,25 3:3,4,8 4:5,13,15 5:4,	overseeing
	me 3:18 4:7 12:6	much 4:15	22 6:15 7:2,	3:16
looking 0.05	12.0	7:5,17 8:25	15 8:9,10,12,	own 4:7 7:16
lacking 9:25				
law 2:15	mean 9:15	Muthoni 12:3,	17,19,23,25 9·7 19 23 24	
_	mean 9:15 11:4	Muthoni 12:3, 19	9:7,19,23,25 9:7,19,23,24 10:3,24,25	



	pretty 7:19	reach 4:18		something
P	previous 3:14	really 5:4,5	S	3:18 5:8 10:1
part 8:17	price 2:11	7:6 8:13 10:2	said 5:10 7:1	Sorry 6:4
particularly	4:24 8:22	reasonable	8:16 12:5,10,	speak 5:4
4:17	probably 6:9	9:11	12	spent 8:9,10
parties 2:5	procedural	reasonably 7:20	same 10:7	staff 2:23,25
3:11,19 4:18,	2:4,7 4:11 5:10,13,17,	record 2:1,18	sample 9:22	started 3:13
22 5:7,12,19, 21 6:14,20,	18,20,22 6:1,	11:16 12:6	say 5:3 8:7	starting 2:18
21,23 7:3,18,	15 8:1 10:8	recording	schedule	stipulation
20 8:14 10:5,	proceeding	11:21	5:13,20,22 6:1,15 8:1	3:20,25 8:24
8 11:5 12:12	6:23	regard 3:22	10:8	9:23,24
parties' 9:25	proceedings	regulatory	scope 10:25	stuff 4:9
party 4:2	6:7	2:15	11:9	submit 5:13
person 12:7	proposed 7:25 10:8	related 12:11	second 5:23	10:8
perspective		relatively 4:12	see 6:20 7:17	subsection 5:16
10:4	protection 2:11 4:24	remember	seemed 3:22	substantive
phonetic 10:21	8:23	3:17 4:5 6:21	seems 5:24	5:17
point 8:7	Public 2:25	Renew 3:7,9	seen 3:11	sufficient
10:16	3:3	6:22	send 5:13	5:25 6:16
pointed 7:22	pursuant 5:15	replacement 2:9 3:24	sense 9:2	sure 8:9 10:19
popped 7:15	put 5:20,21	10:25 11:7	sent 4:8	
position 9:3	6:1	reported 12:6	Service 3:1	T
Poston 3:4,6	Q	requested	set 2:6 4:20	take 7:4,24
4:13 5:1,3		11:5	7:24 12:15	9:9 10:13
7:11	question	resolution 4:8	should 3:24	talk 5:11 9:23
PPA 2:9 3:24	10:18,23	resolve 7:16	6:8 9:19	testimony 6:9
10:25 11:6	quick 7:19	respective	showing	7:4,23 10:2
pre-filed 6:8	R	12:13	11:13	than 9:1 11:1
7:4,23		right 11:12	since 6:18	thank 2:22
prepare 4:23	rate 3:14,15	rough 4:15	7:20	3:2,6 6:4 10:11,12
present 6:20	4:4 6:6,21 7:14	rule 5:16	situation 8:20	11:10,13,17,
presiding 2:15	rather 9:1		some 7:24	18
2.10	14111 6 1 3.1		9:12,22 10:2	Thanks 11:19



		November 04, 2025		5
Thanksgiving 5:25	5:4,5,7,8,9, 11,12,15,19,	V	which 3:23 7:23	
that's 5:24 7:5 8:12 9:10 10:1 11:4	24 6:1,9,21, 23,25 7:4,5,7, 11,13,16,17,	value 10:25 11:7	who 10:14 why 9:13	
then 6:12 7:14 9:16 theory 9:21	23 8:13,19 9:1,4,11 10:7, 12,15,24 11:9,12	values 2:9 3:24 Vandegriff	will 7:22,24 9:11 10:13 11:15	
there's 7:6 9:17 THEREOF	12:11,12 today 2:7 7:6 11:13	2:24 3:2 VANDERGRIF F 2:24 10:17	Williams 4:14 6:24 7:8,11 8:3,5 9:3,13 10:4,10,12	
12:15 they've 8:9	Today's 2:2 together 5:19, 20,22 6:1	11:18 very 3:25 6:11	11:17 willing 5:7,9	
thing 11:5 think 4:11,16,	top 4:5	W	with 2:18 4:12,13,14	
22 5:21 6:7,8, 17 7:2,20 10:5 11:3	topic 7:2 transcription 12:5	want 4:15 wanted 5:11 6:25 7:7	5:4,7,8,18 6:22 7:1,2,12, 21 8:9,11 11:8 12:11	
this 2:3,6,15 3:13,18 4:10, 12,14,16	TRANSCRIPTI ONIST 12:1 true 12:5	we 6:2,4,8 8:17,18 9:21 10:18 11:9	WITNESS 12:15 witnesses 5:5	
6:10,23 7:1,2, 9 8:1,7,10,14, 16,18 10:16, 20,24 11:15	try 4:20 5:8 trying 7:17	we're 8:19,20 9:24 Webex 2:4	work 5:7 8:2 would 4:19	
12:16 those 3:10	U	week 5:24 11:14	6:7,17 7:18, 19 9:1	
thought 7:19 9:12	underlying 8:22	well 3:14 6:14,19,24 9:10,21	wrong 3:18	
through 10:2 time 2:3,6	understand 10:6,10	what 3:23 7:11 8:8,18	Yeah 5:24	
3:15 4:16 5:25 6:5,16 7:17,24 8:9,	understandin g 9:7 10:1 11:9	9:5,8,14,19, 21,24 10:5 11:6,9	Yes 8:3 yet 8:10	
10 9:8 to 2:16,17	unless 7:6	whatever 9:6		
3:19,22 4:1,2, 7,15,17,19,23	up 4:6 5:8 11:13	whether 10:23		

