

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Jonathan Miller,)
Complainant,)
v.) **Case No. GC-2026-0007**
Spire Missouri Inc. d/b/a Spire)
Respondent.)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR SUMMARY DETERMINATION

Issue Date: December 2, 2025

Effective Date: January 2, 2026

On July 2, 2025 Jonathan Miller filed a complaint before the Commission.

The Complainant filed over 100 documents in this case, several of them in violation of the Commission's August 20, 2025 Order directing the Complainant to stop filing personal information about people he does not represent.

On October 30, 2025 Spire filed a *Motion for Summary Determination* asking that the complaint be dismissed pursuant to Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.117(1), as there is no genuine issue of material facts in this case, and Respondent is entitled to a dismissal as a matter of law. On November 14, 2025, Staff filed a response supporting Spire's *Motion for Summary Determination*.

Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.070(7) states that the Commission may, after notice, dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim on which relief may be granted or for failure to comply with any provision of these rules or an order of the Commission. Section 386.390.1 says that the Commission shall hear complaints regarding, "a violation

of any provision of law, or of any rule or order or decision of the Commission".¹

The Commission is an administrative body of limited jurisdiction, having only the powers expressly granted by statutes and reasonably incidental thereto.² Further, Section 386.390.1, RSMo (Supp. 2023) provides that the Commission shall hear complaints regarding "a violation, of any provision of law, or of any rule or order or decision of the commission."

In this case, Spire has not violated any law, rule, or order or decision of the Commission. Spire has also not violated any statute, rule, regulation, or tariff over which the Commission has jurisdiction. Rather, the Complainant alleges that Spire violated Missouri Statutes, Commission rules, and several federal laws including the Constitution of the United States. The Commission finds the complaint does not allege any facts upon which relief may be granted. The Commission further finds that because the complaint alleges no claim for which relief may be granted and no violation of any statute, rule, regulation, or tariff over which the Commission has jurisdiction, good cause exists to dismiss the complaint under 20 CSR 4240-2.070(7). The Commission will grant Spire's motion to dismiss.

Under Commission Rule 20 CSR 4240-2.070(14), the regulatory law judge shall cause the parties to be notified that the dismissal of the complaint will be final unless an application for rehearing is filed. The application for rehearing must be filed with the Commission before the effective date of this order, which is January 2, 2026.³

¹ See, e.g., *State ex. rel. City of St. Louis v. Missouri Public Service Comm'n*, 73 S.W.2d 393, 399 (Mo. banc 1934); *State ex. rel. Kansas City Transit, Inc. v. Public Service Comm'n*, 406 S.W.2d 5, 8 (Mo. 1966); *State ex rel GS Technologies Operating Co. v. PSC of Mo.*, 116 S.W.3d 680, 696 (Mo. App. 2003).

² Section 386.390.1 RSMo (2016)

³ Section 386.500, RSMo (2016) and Section 386.15, RSMo (Supp. 2023).

If the Commission denies the application for rehearing, the parties then have 30 days after the denial is issued to file an appeal with the court of appeals.⁴ If the Commission grants the application for rehearing, then the Commission will order appropriate actions at that time.

After reviewing the original complaint, which was that the Complainant was enrolled in budget building without his consent, and the fact that the company disenrolled him, there remains no issue of fact or law to be decided by the Commission. The Commission will order the Motion for Summary Determination be granted and the complaint will be dismissed.

THE COMMISSION ORDERS THAT:

1. The Commission will grant the Motion for Summary Determination and dismiss the Complaint.
2. This order shall be effective on January 2, 2026.

BY THE COMMISSION

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Nancy Dippell".

Nancy Dippell
Secretary

Karolin S. Walker, Regulatory Law Judge,
by delegation of authority pursuant
to Section 386.240, RSMo (2016).

Dated at Jefferson City, Missouri,
on this 2nd day of December 2025.

⁴Section 386.510, RSMo (Supp. 2023).

STATE OF MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

**I have compared the preceding copy with the original on file in
this office and I do hereby certify the same to be a true copy therefrom
and the whole thereof.**

**WITNESS my hand and seal of the Public Service Commission,
at Jefferson City, Missouri, this 2nd day of December 2025.**



Nancy Dippell

**Nancy Dippell
Secretary**

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

December 2, 2025

File/Case No. GC-2026-0007

MO PSC Staff

Staff Counsel Department
200 Madison Street, Suite 800
P.O. Box 360
Jefferson City, MO 65102
staffcounselservice@psc.mo.gov

**Office of the Public Counsel
(OPC)**

Marc Poston
200 Madison Street, Suite 650
P.O. Box 2230
Jefferson City, MO 65102
opcservice@opc.mo.gov

Jonathan Miller

Jonathan Miller
812 South 3rd Street
Odessa, MO 64076
jonmiller33mj@gmail.com

MO PSC Staff

Andrea Hansen
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101
andrea.hansen@psc.mo.gov

Spire

Matthew Aplington
700 Market Street
Saint Louis, MO 63101
matt.aplington@spireenergy.com

Spire

J. Antonio Arias
700 Market Street, 6th Floor
St. Louis, MO 63101
antonio.arias@spireenergy.com

Enclosed find a certified copy of an Order or Notice issued in the above-referenced matter(s).

Sincerely,



Nancy Dippell
Secretary

Recipients listed above with a valid e-mail address will receive electronic service. Recipients without a valid e-mail address will receive paper service.