1	STATE OF MISSOURI
2	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	
4	
5	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
6	In-Camera Proceedings
7	December 3, 2007 Jefferson City, Missouri Volume 3
8	
9	In the Matter of the Joint Application of Great Plains Energy) Incorporated, Kansas City Power &) Light Company, and Aquila, Inc.,) Case No. EM-2007-0374 for Approval of the Merger of) Aquila, Inc., with a Subsidiary of) Great Plains Energy Incorporated) and for Other Related Relief)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	NANCY M. DIPPELL, Presiding, SENIOR REGULATORY LAW JUDGE.
15	JEFF DAVIS, Chairman, CONNIE MURRAY, LINWARD "LIN" APPLING, TERRY JARRETT, COMMISSIONERS.
16	
17	
18	
19	REPORTED BY: KELLENE K. FEDDERSEN, CSR, RPR, CCR MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 JUDGE DIPPELL: All right. I think we're

- 2 ready to go ahead then with your in-camera questions.
- 3 MICHAEL CHESSER testified as follows:
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WILLIAMS:
- 5 Q. All right. In the first sentence of that
- 6 last paragraph, what did you state in that letter to --
- 7 that was directed to Aquila?
- 8 A. It says, in order to deliver a transaction
- 9 which will create this immediate and sustainable long-term
- 10 value for Aquila and Great Plains shareholders, we require
- 11 informal discussions with regulators prior to the
- 12 execution of a definitive merger agreement for this
- 13 transaction.
- 14 Q. And who are you referring to when you use
- 15 the term regulators?
- 16 A. I was referring to the Missouri Public
- 17 Service Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission,
- 18 both of whom regulate our businesses, and I was also
- 19 intending to -- when I say the Commission, it's
- 20 commissioners and staff of both commissions. And I might
- 21 say that in taking this tact, I was continuing a process
- 22 that I had experienced firsthand in two other mergers
- 23 where it was important to sit down with the Commissioners
- 24 and Staff prior to a formal announcement to make sure they
- 25 understood the arrangement and to make sure that there

1 wasn't any significant objections that we were not aware

- 2 of.
- 3 MR. MILLS: Judge, if I may interject here,
- 4 since we don't have anything in writing from KCPL or GPE
- 5 as to why this was supposedly marked highly confidential
- 6 in the first instance, I would like an explanation now of
- 7 why that question and that answer was highly confidential.
- 8 I don't see anything that falls under the Commission's
- 9 rule so far?
- 10 MR. ZOBRIST: Judge, if I may? Because it
- 11 reveals a business strategy, Great Plains Energy's
- 12 approach to how this merger and the related transactions,
- 13 it goes on to speak about Black Hills, how this company
- 14 contemplated carrying out these transactions, that clearly
- 15 deals with the business strategy, and that's why it at
- 16 this point ought to remain highly confidential.
- 17 MR. MILLS: There wasn't anything about
- 18 Black Hills in those questions.
- 19 MR.ZOBRIST: I know, but it's within that
- 20 paragraph. My point stands in regard to the plans of
- 21 Great Plains.
- 22 MR. MILLS: If it's going to be a secret
- 23 that part of the plan was to meet with regulators and get
- 24 feedback, then we're going to be fighting this every step
- 25 of the way. I'm perfectly happy to fight it, but that's

- 1 what we're going to have to do.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: I'm going to stand by my
- 3 earlier ruling. I'm going to allow the companies to make
- 4 formal detailed response to each of the documents.
- 5 Mr. Mills, if you want to have an ongoing objection like
- 6 Mr. Conrad, I'll be happy to do that, but I think that it
- 7 will have to wait until in the morning when I can have an
- 8 opportunity to look at each of their reasons and each of
- 9 these documents because your motion was just as broad as
- 10 their designation, and I have to go through basically line
- 11 by line and decide.
- 12 MR. MILLS: And there certainly were some
- 13 exhibits designated highly confidential that I did not ask
- 14 to be declassified.
- MR. COFFMAN: Your Honor, for
- 16 clarification, there will be an opportunity later, after
- 17 that ruling, to move to declassify portions of this
- 18 transcript today based on that ruling?
- 19 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes. And based on my
- 20 ruling, I will declassify anything that -- anything that
- 21 is declassified tomorrow, I will declassify in the
- 22 transcript from today.
- MR. COFFMAN: Thank you.
- 24 JUDGE DIPPELL: Including we were in-camera
- 25 when we had the discussion about excluding witnesses, and

- 1 just for the record, I will alert the court reporter to
- 2 consider the in-camera session not starting until after my
- 3 ruling on that. We didn't actually talk about anything
- 4 in-camera at that point. That discussion will be public
- 5 also. Mr. Williams, I think --
- 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Are we still in-camera?
- 7 JUDGE DIPPELL: Yes.
- 8 MR. CONRAD: Judge, while the colloquy is
- 9 going on, are we still all talking about Exhibit 101 or
- 10 are we talking about some subset of that?
- JUDGE DIPPELL: We were talking about
- 12 Exhibit 102 on that last --
- 13 MR. CONRAD: Thank you. I had missed that.
- 14 I apologize.
- MR. WILLIAMS: I've been advised we don't
- 16 need to remain in-camera for this series of questions.
- JUDGE DIPPELL: All right, then, we can go
- 18 back into public session. Wait just one moment.
- 19 WHEREUPON, the in-camera portion of Michael
- 20 Chesser's testimony was concluded.

21

22

23

24

25