



FILED


DEC 29 2025

Missouri Public
Service Commission

FAX

Fax (417) 624-5217 Library (417) 623-7953 Reference & ILL (417) 624-5465

ATTENTION DATA ENTRY DATE 12/28/25

COMPANY MO PSC Tribunal FAX # 

From: Elizabeth Peterson Total pages, including cover sheet 6

Message:

Currently these Issues are Not readily Found ^{But}
ALJ CLARK there are 2 more emerging Safety Issue
Request for Extension for Counsel & State Satisfying Safety
Request for addition ^{OF} pending outcomes from ^{Issue}
2 other Agency of the State Regarding "Safety"
of Advanced Meter that have been Submitted

MO PSC ALJ Clark Case #EC-2026-0150 Claimant Elizabeth Peterson

Request for Time Extension on HEARING for Good Cause

#1 There is a Protection and Advocacy Group in MO that I was just able to learn about. As a disabled household the non profit has my form now as of Dec. 26. Their Agency should be getting back to me.

It would also be likely they will have their own AMICUS BREIF

So, there would be new entry of appearance and of course a new Brief provided on the case. I was under duress of not having any injunction in place after the informal complaint with PSC ended unfavorably. I was not expecting the informal unfavorable outcome as I was trying diligently to work with the Utility Vendor staff throughout the process. I was also involved in a Rule making hearing process and did my best not to go on record about the informal hearing as I felt it could have breached your Commissioners awareness of my informal complaint. So, I did gag my concerns about ADA during the Advanced Rule Making hearing.

Explanation of two STRIKES:(Contrary to the strikes I now see are placed on my Case for exparte I was tring to resolve why I was not being provided an alternative FAIR STATE HEARING PROCESS) This was answered verbally by the Liaison Ethan last Thursday. That query had been going on for a number of years. I did not understand that your Commission does not have a 3rd party Tribunal process. The Ast. to Commissioner Doug Anderson used to be the Liasion and so since he was privy in that former position I actually did reach out to him in desperation thinking he would have been already recused from this matter?

Continuing with extension request I have new evidence that I need to add as an additional complaint in regards to SAFE equipment as far as safety issues which that is not in my original hurried initial brief that I was on a deadline to submit in 3 days.

The **Additional safety issue in regards to the equipment in question. The outcome of that safety issue is pending as it regards outside public initiatives for an investigations by two STATE of MO entities that in particular have not concluded my evidence is founded. It was originally not concluded as unfounded according to my Municipal level government and so I checked with those Officials prior to turning it over to the STATE of MO.

The other collections of evidence is also for FULL investigatory going to require a entity of the original health concerns matter that my initial brief expounds upon. But, as it stands now I do not see that the State of MO has proven to me or to PSC as the regulator that the equipment is to be propagated as SAFE. As these equipment also have an outstanding Federal order from a Judge in DC Circuit Court of Appeal whereby those 11,000 white pages showing records of evidence of harm were admissible and the Governing Saftey Agency the FCC lost

that home is the dwelling... satisfy that your Administrative Tribunal can update and properly enforce the Tariff statute regulatory in place as per the Defendants desire to remove a safe equipment would compromise as to impose unsafe equipment regardless that is is on current Tariff. That is why the Tribunal needs to help satisfy there would only be safe equipment ever upon the dwelling as the patient as disabled is basically homebound and so the environment is not a choice to just leave to live elsewhere. There is crucial safety concerns that I need to bring additionally as they have emerged.

The holidays is making it difficult to get ahold of Counsel and it would be preferred to be given due process with equity in standards to the Utility Vendor.

Thank you for your time and consideration

Elizabeth Peterson claimant POA for disabled person in household

Case # EC-2026-0150

Inbox

Outlook.com

Favorites

Inbox 25

Drafts 35

You might be interested in this information on GSA.gov - htt...

N... Saturday
 E... 1
<https://nodirtelectricity.com/>
<https://nodirtelectricity.co...>

Saturday

2

Saturday

0

This message has no content.

Last Week

Jotform Friday
 We have received your respo...
 Request Help Hidden to
 protect your privacy I have re...

Mo P&A Application... Friday
 Group of people with disa... 2
 Good afternoon, Thank you for
 contacting Missouri Protecti...

City of Joplin Building Divi... Friday
 2
<https://www.moadvocacy.org/265/>
 et Outloo...

Eric Pru... Friday

individual has been turned down for ADA and MO PSC did nothing to
 change their own policy and practice so I will attach how two years
 ago I asked them to.

Sincerely

Elizabeth Peterson

Proof of Contacting Counsel
 Case # EC-2026-0150

Mo P&A Application Unit
 To You

Dec 26

Good afternoon,

Thank you for contacting Missouri Protection and Advocacy
 Services. You can complete an application for services by visiting
 our website: www.moadvocacy.org and click on "request help"
 towards the bottom, or you may call us [800-392-8667](tel:800-392-8667) and we can
 start an application via phone.

Sincerely,

Application Unit

...

Thank you, I will do that. Great, thank you so much! Thank

Reply



Bill Fleischaker Cancel

All Mail People Files Ev

1 Filter

Inbox

Case # EC-2026-0150

Dec 15

To You

From To

12/19/25

11: Inbox

PROOF of
intent to acquire
COUNSEL

NOTE: This message is intended only for the person or persons to whom it is addressed. It is **PRIVILEGED** and **CONFIDENTIAL**. Any dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or any of its contents other than by the person or persons to whom it is addressed, is prohibited and may constitute a violation of civil or criminal law. If this message has been delivered to you in error, please delete the message from your system and contact the sender by collect telephone call. Thank you.

DEAR CLIENT: The Missouri Bar Disciplinary Counsel requires all Missouri lawyers to notify all recipients of e-mail that: (1) e-mail communication is not a secure method of communication; (2) any e-mail that is sent to you or by you may be copied and held by various computers through which it

Reply All



MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
ELECTRONIC FILING AND INFORMATION SYSTEM

Register Log in
Help Directory

Company & User Management	Agenda & Public Meetings	Case e-Filings & Search	Utility Compliance e-Filings & Search	Consumer Resources	Reports & Misc. Resources
---------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------

An email has been sent to buffypeterson@hotmail.com. To complete the registration process, please follow the instructions in the email.

CASE # EC-2026-0150

Missouri Public Service Commission
500 Union Street, 10th Floor
St. Louis, MO 63102-1000

PSC Links

Contact Us
Public Service Commission Home Page

Useful Links

Document Uploads
LPE Application of Rate Change and Insurance
LPE and Settlement Filings
Missouri Public Service Commission Home Page
PSC Home Page

Stay Connected



I have Not been able to
ACCESS EFIS to upload