Exhibit No.:

Issues:

FAS 106

Witness:

Michael J. Muth

Sponsoring Party:

Missouri Gas Energy

Case No.:

GR-2009-0355

Date Testimony Prepared:

September 28, 2009

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MISSOURI GAS ENERGY

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

FILED? NOV 0 9 ZUU9

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

MICHAEL J. MUTH

Missouri Public Service Commission

Jefferson City, Missouri

September 2009

Denotes Highly Confidential Information

Case No(s). GP - 2009

Date 10-26-09 Rptr

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

MICHAEL J. MUTH

CASE NO. GR-2009-0355

September 2009

1	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
2	A.	My name is Michael J. Muth, and my business address is 9500 Arboretum Boulevard,
3		Suite 200, Austin, Texas 78759.
4		
5	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
6	A.	I am employed by Rudd and Wisdom, Inc. as an actuary. I am Senior Principal and
7		Senior Actuary of the firm.
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
10	A.	I am a Cum Laude graduate of Culver Military Academy, graduating with Honors in
11		Mathematics and With Honors in Physics. I am also a graduate of the University of
12		Texas at Austin with a Bachelor of Business Administration degree in Finance/Actuarial
13		Science, with Highest Honors. I am a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries, a Member of
14		the American Academy of Actuaries, an Enrolled Actuary and a Fellow of the
15		Conference of Consulting Actuaries.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.

1 A. I have been a consulting actuary, specializing in pension and other post-employment 2 benefits, with Rudd and Wisdom, Inc. since 1978.

4 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS TESTIMONY?

A. I am testifying in this case on behalf of Missouri Gas Energy ("MGE" or Company") a division of Southern Union Company. The purpose of my testimony is to respond in part to portions of the Staff Report- Cost of Service regarding the Company's treatment of Other Post-Employment Benefits "OPEBs" under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106 ("SFAS106") as well as Public Counsel witness Ted Robertson's testimony on this issue. I have been advised by counsel that Missouri statute provides for rate recovery of OPEBs calculated in accordance with FAS 106 so long as the assumptions and estimates used in developing the FAS 106 level of expense are based on sound actuarial principles. Because the Commission Staff and Public Counsel have questioned the use of FAS 106 for ratemaking purposes in this case, my testimony will demonstrate that the level of OPEBs requested for rate recovery by MGE has been calculated in accordance with FAS 106 pursuant to assumptions and estimates that are based on sound actuarial principles. MGE witness John Davis will provide further testimony on this issue.

- 20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF YOUR WORK FOR SOUTHERN
 21 UNION COMPANY.
- A. Since 1994, my firm has been engaged by Southern Union Company to conduct an Actuarial Valuation of MGE's Postretirement Benefits other than Pensions. I have

	attached the Actuarial Valuation Report as of January 1, 2009 as Schedule MJM-1 to this
	testimony.
Q.	WHAT IS CONTAINED IN THE ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORT?
A.	As detailed in the report, SFAS Nos. 106, 132(R) and 158 establish the standards of
	financial reporting and accounting for an employer that offers postretirement benefits
	other than pensions to its employees. These standards include provisions for a liability or
	asset related to the plan to be shown directly on the employer's financial statements. This
	report provides the Company and its auditors with the information required by SFAS No.
	106 and SFAS No. 132(R), as amended by SFAS No. 158.
Q.	CAN YOU BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE WHAT THE JANUARY 1, 2009 REPORT
	SHOWS?
A.	The Executive Summary on page 3 of the report provides a summary of our findings and
	is quoted in its entirety below:
	**
•	A. Q.

1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18		**		
19		·		
20 21	Q.	ARE THE ACTUARIAL VALUATION REPORTS PREPARED BY YOUR COMPANY, INCLUDING THE MGE JANUARY 1, 2009 REPORT, BASED ON		
22		SOUND ACTUARIAL PRINCIPLES?		
23	A.	Yes. Section I of the report contains our "Certification of Actuarial Evaluation," which		
24		details the scope of Rudd and Wisdom's engagement, the material information upon		
25		which we relied to render our opinion, and the basis of our opinion.		
26				
27	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?		
28	A.	Yes, at this time.		

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Missouri Gas Energy's Tariff Sheets Designed to Increase Rates for Gas Service in the Company's Missouri Service Area.) Case No. GR-2009-0355))
AFFIDAVIT OF MICH	AAEL J. MUTH
STATE OF TEXAS) ss. COUNTY OF TEAVIS)	
Michael J. Muth, of lawful age, on his oath states: the foregoing Rebuttal Testimony in question and ar case; that the answers in the foregoing Rebuttal Tesknowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; the best of his knowledge and belief.	swer form, to be presented in the above stimony were given by him; that he has
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 24-hday	MICHAELD WUTH of September 2009.
PATRICIA HITE My Commission Expires March 31, 2010	Patricia With Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 3-31-2010	

SCHEDULE ONE HAS BEEN DEEMED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL IN ITS ENTIRETY