



City of Farmington
Public Works Department

12 South Franklin Street, Farmington, Missouri 63640

Phone: 573.756.0608 Fax: 573.756.5161

www.farmington-mo.gov

August 22, 2017

Letter of Transmittal

Missouri Public Service Commission
200 Madison Street
Jefferson City, MO 65101

RE: Application for Change of Electric Service Provider

FILED²

AUG 28 2017

To whom it may concern,

Please find the enclosed document:

**Missouri Public
Service Commission**

- Application for Change of Electric Service Provider

If you have any questions, please call Larry Lacy at (573)218-8266.

Sincerely,

Breckin Shoemaker

Breckin Shoemaker
Public Works Clerk

FILED²

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

AUG 28 2017

Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the matter of the application of)
(Name of Applicant))
for change of electric supplier.)

Case No. _____

APPLICATION FOR CHANGE OF ELECTRIC SERVICE PROVIDER

1. Applicant's address is: 110 W Columbia, Farmington, MO 63640

2. The name of Applicant's current electric service provider is: Ameren Missouri

3. Applicant requests the Missouri Public Service Commission to order a change of electric supplier to the address indicated above. For Ameren Acct#'s 1951317127 and 3951317125

4. Applicant requested the Commission to order a change of electric supplier from Ameren Missouri (Current)
to City of Farmington Light & Water (Requested)


5. Applicant requests the Missouri Public Service Commission to order a change of electric provider for the following reasons.* _____

The accounts for which we are requesting the change provides for are for street lights. When this area originally developed, it was outside the City Limits. When they later petitioned for annexation, the City was able to provide water and sewer but didn't have power in the area. Last year, a new substation and distribution main was constructed nearby. There is additional construction in the subdivision and we have extended power to serve these new homes in this area (within our City Limits). Since we now have power on this street, we want to power our street lights from our system.

6. Applicant has taken the following steps in an attempt to work out electric service problems with the electric service provider: We have had good service from Ameren Missouri. We have discussed the desire to power our own street lights and they have agreed to our request.

WHEREFORE, Applicant requests the Missouri Public Service Commission to issue an Order which changes the current electric service provider.

7-22-2017
(Date)


(Signature of Applicant)

573-218-8266
(Phone Number)

*If reason for change is poor service, outages, low voltage, etc., applicant should submit a record of service problems covering at least 90 days, including dates and times of problems to the extent possible. Applicant should also attempt to determine reasons for any service problems. For instance, if electric service was out or you are experiencing blinking lights, you should contact the supplier of electric service to determine the problem, and include this information with the application. (If the reasons from the supplier was a storm, car hitting pole, trees in line, conductor fell down, or whatever the supplier states for the problem, this should be noted.)

STATE OF MISSOURI

COUNTY OF St. Francois


)
)
)
SS.

VERIFICATION

Larry Lacy, on oath, states that he/she has read the foregoing application and is familiar with its contents and the matters set forth therein are true to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief.

Larry Lacy
(Signature of Applicant)

SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public on this the 22nd
day of August, 18 2017



Breckin Shoemaker
Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 3-15-19

**INFORMATION ON PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PROCEDURE
FOR PRO SE CHANGE OF SUPPLIER APPLICANTS**

Pro se applicants are applicants who choose to represent themselves before the Public Service Commission rather than being represented by an attorney. This attachment is intended to give *pro se* applicants information on Commission procedures for applicants for a change of electric supplier. However, it is still the responsibility of *pro se* applicants to educate themselves about Commission procedures. "Parties who represent themselves must satisfy all relevant rules of procedure; they are entitled to no indulgence they would not have received if represented by counsel." *Sutton v. Kestler*, 930 S.W.2d 516, 517 (Mo. App. 1996). Enclosed please find a copy of the Commission's rules on Practice and Procedures. You will be expected to follow these rules.

Generally, there will be five parties in a change of supplier case: (1) the applicant; (2) the applicant's current electric supplier; (3) the electric supplier to whom the applicant wishes to change; (4) the Staff of the Commission; and (5) a representative of the Office of the Public Counsel. The Staff of the Commission is a separate party and will make an independent recommendation to the Commissioners. However, the Commissioners will make the ultimate decision. The Office of the Public Counsel represents the general public before the Commission. Neither the Staff of the Commission nor the Office of the Public Counsel represents the applicant, and neither will act as applicant's attorney nor give substantive advice to the applicant. The Office of the Public Counsel and Staff will try to answer any questions an applicant may have about Commission procedure. The applicant should also be aware that if any pleading or

correspondence is to be filed with the Commission, a copy of that document must be sent to all the other parties.

Other parties may request information from the applicant through the Commission discovery procedure, which is similar to what is allowed in court cases. The purpose of discovery is to find out generally what evidence a party intends to present at a hearing, or what a party's witnesses may be expected to testify at a hearing. All parties, including the *pro se* applicant, may engage in discovery, in accordance with the Commission's rules.

If there are contested matters, the Commission will hold a hearing.

At the hearing, the *pro se* applicant can expect the following procedure: Each party will be allowed to make a brief opening statement. Then the applicant will present evidence. If applicants expect to take the stand to testify on their own behalf, they will have to testify in narrative form, since there will be no attorney to ask them questions. If the applicant intends to put a witness on the stand, applicant will have to ask nonleading, direct examination questions to elicit the witness's testimony.

All witnesses will be asked to give an oath or affirmation, and any witness may be questioned by the Commissioners or the Administrative Law Judge. All witnesses are subject to cross-examination by the other parties. All witnesses should have personal knowledge of the subject of their testimony. Applicants should bring to the hearing at least thirteen copies of any exhibit or document they intend to offer into evidence.

After the applicant has presented evidence, the other parties will be allowed to present their evidence. Then the parties will give their closing statements. Sometimes the Commission wishes to have briefs instead of closing statement. This is unlikely in the

typical change of supplier case, but if briefs are requested the procedure will be explained at the time of the hearing.

In applications for change of electric suppliers, the Commission considers a number of factors in making its decision, including, but not limited to the following:

1. Whether the customer's needs can be adequately met by the present supplier with respect to either the amount or quality of the power;
2. Whether there are health or safety issues involving the amount or quality of power;
3. What alternatives the customer has considered, including alternatives with the present supplier;
4. Whether the customer's equipment has been damaged or destroyed as a result of a problem with the electric supply;
5. The effect the loss of the customer would have on the present supplier;
6. Whether a change in supplier would result in a duplication of service or facilities, especially in comparison with alternatives available from the present supplier, a comparison which could include: (a) the distance involved and cost of any new extension, including the burden on others -- for example, the need to procure private property easements; and (b) the burden on the customer relating to the cost or time involved, not including the cost of the electricity itself;
7. The overall burden on the customer caused by the inadequate service, including any economic burden not related to the cost of the electricity itself, and any burden not considered with respect to factor 6(b) above;

8. What efforts have been made by the present supplier to solve or mitigate the problem;
9. The impact the Commission's decision may have on economic development, on an individual or cumulative basis; and
10. The effect the granting of authority for a change of suppliers might have on any territorial agreements between the two suppliers in question, or on the negotiation of territorial agreements between the suppliers.

The applicant should try to address these factors when presenting testimony at the hearing.

STATE OF MISSOURI)
)
COUNTY OF _____) ss.

VERIFICATION

_____, on oath, states that he/she has read the
foregoing application and is familiar with its contents and the matters set forth therein are
true to the best of his/her knowledge, information and belief.

(Signature of Applicant)

SWORN TO BEFORE ME, the undersigned Notary Public on this the _____
day of _____ 19____.

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: _____

INFORMATION ON PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION PROCEDURE
FOR *PRO SE* CHANGE OF SUPPLIER APPLICANTS

Pro se applicants are applicants who choose to represent themselves before the Public Service Commission rather than being represented by an attorney. This attachment is intended to give *pro se* applicants information on Commission procedures for applicants for a change of electric supplier. However, it is still the responsibility of *pro se* applicants to educate themselves about Commission procedures. "Parties who represent themselves must satisfy all relevant rules of procedure; they are entitled to no indulgence they would not have received if represented by counsel." *Sutton v. Kestler*, 930 S.W.2d 516, 517 (Mo. App. 1996). Enclosed please find a copy of the Commission's rules on Practice and Procedures. You will be expected to follow these rules.

Generally, there will be five parties in a change of supplier case: (1) the applicant; (2) the applicant's current electric supplier; (3) the electric supplier to whom the applicant wishes to change; (4) the Staff of the Commission; and (5) a representative of the Office of the Public Counsel. The Staff of the Commission is a separate party and will make an independent recommendation to the Commissioners. However, the Commissioners will make the ultimate decision. The Office of the Public Counsel represents the general public before the Commission. Neither the Staff of the Commission nor the Office of the Public Counsel represents the applicant, and neither will act as applicant's attorney nor give substantive advice to the applicant. The Office of the Public Counsel and Staff will try to answer any questions an applicant may have about Commission procedure. The applicant should also be aware that if any pleading or

correspondence is to be filed with the Commission, a copy of that document must be sent to all the other parties.

Other parties may request information from the applicant through the Commission discovery procedure, which is similar to what is allowed in court cases. The purpose of discovery is to find out generally what evidence a party intends to present at a hearing, or what a party's witnesses may be expected to testify at a hearing. All parties, including the *pro se* applicant, may engage in discovery, in accordance with the Commission's rules.

If there are contested matters, the Commission will hold a hearing.

At the hearing, the *pro se* applicant can expect the following procedure: Each party will be allowed to make a brief opening statement. Then the applicant will present evidence. If applicants expect to take the stand to testify on their own behalf, they will have to testify in narrative form, since there will be no attorney to ask them questions. If the applicant intends to put a witness on the stand, applicant will have to ask nonleading, direct examination questions to elicit the witness's testimony.

All witnesses will be asked to give an oath or affirmation, and any witness may be questioned by the Commissioners or the Administrative Law Judge. All witnesses are subject to cross-examination by the other parties. All witnesses should have personal knowledge of the subject of their testimony. Applicants should bring to the hearing at least thirteen copies of any exhibit or document they intend to offer into evidence.

After the applicant has presented evidence, the other parties will be allowed to present their evidence. Then the parties will give their closing statements. Sometimes the Commission wishes to have briefs instead of closing statement. This is unlikely in the

typical change of supplier case, but if briefs are requested the procedure will be explained at the time of the hearing.

In applications for change of electric suppliers, the Commission considers a number of factors in making its decision, including, but not limited to the following:

1. Whether the customer's needs can be adequately met by the present supplier with respect to either the amount or quality of the power;
2. Whether there are health or safety issues involving the amount or quality of power;
3. What alternatives the customer has considered, including alternatives with the present supplier;
4. Whether the customer's equipment has been damaged or destroyed as a result of a problem with the electric supply;
5. The effect the loss of the customer would have on the present supplier;
6. Whether a change in supplier would result in a duplication of service or facilities, especially in comparison with alternatives available from the present supplier, a comparison which could include: (a) the distance involved and cost of any new extension, including the burden on others -- for example, the need to procure private property easements; and (b) the burden on the customer relating to the cost or time involved, not including the cost of the electricity itself;
7. The overall burden on the customer caused by the inadequate service, including any economic burden not related to the cost of the electricity itself, and any burden not considered with respect to factor 6(b) above;

8. What efforts have been made by the present supplier to solve or mitigate the problem;
9. The impact the Commission's decision may have on economic development, on an individual or cumulative basis; and
10. The effect the granting of authority for a change of suppliers might have on any territorial agreements between the two suppliers in question, or on the negotiation of territorial agreements between the suppliers.

The applicant should try to address these factors when presenting testimony at the hearing.