Exhibit No.:

Issues: Losses & Jurisdictional Allocations

Witness: Alan J. Bax Sponsoring Party: MO PSC Staff Type of Exhibit: Direct Testimony Case Nos.: ER-2004-0034 & HR-2004-024 Date Testimony Prepared: December 9, 2003

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

FILED³

ALAN J. BAX

APR 2 8 2004

Misseuri Public Service Commission

AQUILA, INC. D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS--MPS AND AQUILA NETWORKS--L&P

CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034 & HR-2004-024

Jefferson City, Missouri December 2003

Exhibit No. Case No(s). FP-2004-0034 Date 0123/01 Rotr XF

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In The Matter Of Aquila, Inc. D/B/A Aquila) Networks L&P And Aquila Networks MPS To Implement A General Rate Increase In Electricity

Case No. ER-2004-0034 & HR-2004-0024 Consolidated

AFFIDAVIT OF ALAN J. BAX

Ì

STATE OF MISSOURI)) ss **COUNTY OF COLE**)

Alan J. Bax, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following written Direct Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of Xpages of Direct Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the attached written Direct Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of December, 2003. Notary Public My commission expires

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS
2	
3	SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES
4	JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATIONS
5	DEMAND ALLOCATION FACTOR
6	ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTOR 8
i	

i

	DIRECT TESTIMONY
2	OF
3	ALAN J. BAX
4	AQUILA, INC.
5	D/B/A AQUILA NETWORKS-MPS
6	AND AQUILA NETWORKS-L&P
7	CASE NOS. ER-2004-0034 and HR-2004-0024
8	(CONSOLIDATED)
9	
10	Q. Please state your name and business address?
11	A. Alan J. Bax, P.O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.
12	Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
13	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)
14	as a Utility Engineering Specialist III in the Energy Department of the Utility Operations
15	Division.
16	Q. Please describe your educational and work background.
17	A. I graduated from the University of Missouri - Columbia with a Bachelor of
18	Science degree in Electrical Engineering in December 1995. Concurrent with my studies,
19	I was employed as an Engineering Assistant in the Energy Management Department of
20	the University of Missouri – Columbia from the Fall of 1992 through the Fall of 1995.
21	Prior to this, I completed a tour of duty in the United States Navy, completing a course of
	study at the Navy Nuclear Power School and a Navy Nuclear Propulsion Plant.
22	study at the Navy Nuclear Power School and a Navy Nuclear Propulsion Plant.
18 19 20	Science degree in Electrical Engineering in December 1995. Concurrent with my studi I was employed as an Engineering Assistant in the Energy Management Department the University of Missouri – Columbia from the Fall of 1992 through the Fall of 199 Prior to this, I completed a tour of duty in the United States Navy, completing a course

ā

2

1	by The Empire District Electric Company (Empire) as a Staff Engineer until					
2	August 1999, at which time, I began my employment with the Staff of the Missouri					
3	Public Service Commission (Staff).					
4	Q. Are you a member of any professional organizations?					
5	A. Yes, I am a member of the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers					
6	(IEEE).					
7	Q. Have you previously filed testimony before the Commission?					
8	A. Yes, I have filed testimony on jurisdictional allocations and system energy					
9	losses in electric rate cases involving Missouri Public Service, at the time a division of					
10	Utilicorp United, Inc. (Case No. ER-2001-672), and Empire, (Case No. ER-2002-424).					
11	In addition, I filed testimony on losses and jurisdictional allocations in a complaint case					
12	involving Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE (Case No. EC-2002-1) and filed					
13	true-up testimony concerning jurisdictional allocations in an electric rate case involving					
14	Empire (Case No. ER-2001-299).					
15	Q. To which of the operations of Aquila, Inc. are you directing your					
16	testimony?					
17	A. My testimony concerns the electric operations of Aquila, Inc. in Missouri.					
18	Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?					
19	A. The purpose of this testimony is to recommend that the Commission adopt					
20	the system energy loss factors that I calculated for Aquila Networks - MPS (MPS) and					
21	Aquila Networks - L&P (L&P), (Schedules 1 and 2 respectively, attached to this Direct					
22	Testimony), and the jurisdictional allocation factors for demand and energy that I					

2

l						
1	calculated for MPS as shown on Schedules 3 and 4 respectively, attached to this Direct					
2	Testimony. My testimony also describes how I determined these factors.					
3	SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES					
4	Q. What is the result of your system energy loss factor calculation?					
5	A. As shown on Schedule 1 attached to this Direct Testimony, I have					
6	calculated the system energy loss factor for MPS to be 0.0691, or 6.91% of MPS's Net					
7	System Input (NSI). Schedule 2 shows my calculated system energy loss factor for L&P					
8	to be 0.0674, or 6.74% of L&P's NSI.					
9	Q. What are system energy losses?					
10	A. System energy losses are the energy losses that occur in the electrical					
11	equipment (e.g., transmission and distribution lines, transformers, etc.) of both MPS's					
12	and L&P's system between the generating sources and the customers' meters.					
13	Q. How are system energy losses determined?					
14	A. The basis for this calculation is that NSI equals the sum of "Retail Sales",					
15	"Wholesale Sales" (as applicable), "Company Use," and "System Energy Losses." This					
16	can be expressed mathematically as:					
17	NSI = Retail Sales + Wholesale Sales + Company Use + System Energy Losses.					
18	NSI, Company Use, Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales are known; therefore, system					
19	energy losses may be calculated as follows:					
20	System Energy Losses = NSI – Retail Sales - Wholesale Sales – Company Use.					
21	The system energy loss factor is the ratio of system energy losses to NSI:					
22	System Energy Loss Factor = (System Energy Losses + NSI)					
23	Q. How is NSI determined?					

•

1	A. In addition to the relationship expressed in the equation above, NSI is also
2	equal to the sum of net generation, the net interchange and applicable resultant
3	inadvertent flows. Net generation is the total energy output of each generating station
4	minus the energy consumed internally to enable its production. Net interchange is the
5	resultant of summing the following calculations:
6	1. The net of off-system purchases and sales and
7	2. The net of purchases and sales between divisions of Aquila Networks,
8	Inc. (Aquila).
9	Inadvertent flows is the term often utilized in the electric utility industry to
10	describe the portion of the actual physical flows on one's electrical grid structures that are
11	not accounted for in existing contractual and/or scheduled agreements. The output of
12	each generating station is monitored continuously, as is the net of affiliate and off-system
13	purchases and sales and any resultant inadvertent flows. I obtained this information from
14	data supplied by Aquila in response to Staff Data Request Nos. 35, 53, 62, 63, 110, 353
15	and 539.
16	Q. What are Retail Sales, Wholesale Sales and Company Use and how are
17	these values determined?
18	A. Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales represent the jurisdictional energy
19	metered within a particular system. In this case, MPS has both a wholesale and a retail
20	jurisdiction, while L&P has only a retail jurisdiction. Company Use is the electricity
21	consumed at each of the non-generation facilities, such as the corporate office building.
22	Retail Sales and Wholesale Sales data was provided in response to Staff Data Request
1	

Nos. 54 and 353. Company Use data was provided in response to Staff Data Request
 Nos. 57 and 353.

3

Q. Why are inadvertent flows only included in the calculation of MPS?

A. In the response to Staff Data Request 63, Aquila reported the inadvertent
flows reflected in Schedule 1 as pertaining to MPS and provided no information for L&P.
Upon further questioning, MPS and L&P were apparently treated as one control area, not
separate divisions, in the monitoring and reporting of inadvertent flows. I was informed
it would be next to impossible to allocate the data received between MPS and L&P.
Therefore, without a means of allocating the reported information between the two
operating divisions, I applied the total of the inadvertent flows to MPS.

11

Q. Which Staff witness used your calculated system energy loss factors?

A. I provided my calculated system energy loss factors, for both MPS and
L&P, to Staff witness Richard J. Campbell.

14 JURISDICTIONAL ALLOCATIONS

15

Q. Please define the phrase "jurisdictional allocation".

16 Α. For purposes of my testimony, jurisdictional allocation refers to the process by which demand-related and energy-related costs are allocated to the applicable 17 18 jurisdictions. Demand-related and energy-related costs are divided between two 19 jurisdictions: retail and wholesale operations. The application of a particular allocation 20 factor is dependent upon the types of costs being allocated. These calculations were 21 performed for MPS only. L&P has no electric wholesale customers; thus, these 22 calculations were not necessary for that division.

1	DEMAND /	ALLOCATION FACTOR
2	Q.	What is the definition of demand?
3	А.	Demand refers to the rate of electric energy that is delivered to a system to
4	meet the er	nergy requirements of its customers, generally expressed in kilowatts or
5	megawatts,	either at an instant in time or averaged over any designated interval of time.
6	In my analys	ses, I used hourly demands.
7	Q.	What types of costs are allocated on the basis of demand?
8	А.	Capital costs associated with generation and transmission plant and certain
9	operational	and maintenance expenses are allocated on this basis. This is appropriate
10	because gen	eration and transmission are planned, designed and constructed to meet the
11	anticipated of	lemand.
12	Q.	What methodology did you use to determine the demand allocators?
13	А.	I used what is known as the Four Coincident Peak (4 CP) methodology.
14	Q.	What is meant by "coincident peak"?
15	А.	The term coincident peak refers to the load in megawatts (MWs) in each
16	of the jurise	lictions that coincides with the hour of MPS's overall system peak recorded
17	for each mo	nth in the test period.
18	Q.	Why use peak demand as the basis for allocations?
19	А.	Peak demand is the largest electric requirement occurring within a
20	specified pe	riod of time (e.g., day, month, season, year) on a utility's system. In addition,
21	for plannin	g purposes, an amount must be included for meeting required contingency
22	reserves.	Since generation units and transmission lines are planned, designed, and
23	constructed	to meet a utility's anticipated system peak demands plus required reserves,
	1	

1	the contribution of each individual jurisdiction to these peak demands is the appropriate
2	basis on which to allocate the costs of these facilities.
3	Q. Please describe the procedure for calculating the jurisdictional demand
4	allocation factors using the 4 CP methodology.
5	A. The allocation factor for each jurisdiction was determined using the
6	following process:
7 8 9 10	a. Identify MPS's peak hourly load in each month for the four - month period June 2002 through September 2002 and sum the hourly peak loads.
11 12 13	b. Sum the particular jurisdiction's corresponding loads for the hours identified in #1 above.
14 15	c. Divide #2 above by #1 above.
16	The result is the allocation factor for the particular jurisdiction. The sum of the
17	demand allocation factors across all jurisdictions equals one. The system peak and
18	associated jurisdictional peaks where determined from information provided in the
19	response to Staff Data Requests 52, 330 and 353.
20	Q. What are the results of your calculations?
21	A. As shown on Schedule 3 attached to this direct testimony, the calculated
22	demand jurisdictional allocation factors for the updated test year are as follows:
23 24 25 26	Retail 0.9869 Wholesale 0.0131
27	Q. Which Staff witness used your jurisdictional demand allocation factors?
28	A. I provided these jurisdictional demand allocation factors to Staff witness
29	Phillip K. Williams.

--- --

5

1	ENERGY ALLOCATION FACTOR						
2	Q. What types of costs were allocated on the basis of energy?						
3	A. Variable expenses, such as fuel and certain operational and maintenance						
4	(O&M) costs, are allocated to the jurisdictions based on energy consumption.						
5	Q. How did you calculate the energy allocation factor?						
6	A. The energy allocation factor for an individual jurisdiction is the ratio of						
7	the annual kilowatt-hour (kWh) usage in the particular jurisdiction to the total MPS						
8	system kWh usage. The sum of the energy allocation factors across jurisdictions equals						
9	one. Applicable jurisdictional kWh usage totals were provided in the response to Staff						
10	Data Request Nos. 46 and 54.						
11	Q. What are the calculated energy allocation factors in this case?						
12 13	A. The factors are shown in Schedule 4 and repeated here.						
14 15	Retail .9843						
15 16 17	Wholesale .0157						
18	Q. Which Staff witness used your jurisdictional energy allocation factors?						
19	A. I provided these jurisdictional energy allocation factors to Staff witness						
20	Phillip K. Williams.						
21	Q. Does this conclude your prepared Direct Testimony?						
22	A. Yes, it does.						
i							
	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l						

8

AQUILA NETWORKS - MPS SYSTEM ENERGY LOSS CALCULATION*

	NET GENERATION (MWhs)	NET INTERCHANGE NET of PURCHASES AND SALES (Off system & Divisional) (MWhs)	INADVERTANT FLOWS (MWhs)	NET SYSTEM INPUT (MWhs)	RETAIL SALES (MWhs)	WHOLESALE SALES (MWhs)	COMPANY USE (MWhs)	SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES (MWhs)
Jan-02	418,958	33,495	(533)	451,920	439,343	13,855	871	(2,149)
Feb-02	326,160	75,591	1,482	403,233	353,226	12,567	581	36,859
Mar-02	276.961	152,886	2,588	432,435	380,241	12,888	895	38,411
Apr-02	361,411	19,222	(247)	380,386	386,225	12,353	596	(18,788)
May-02	355,228	53.812	(794)	408,246	342,600	12,191	468	52,987
Jun-02	391,077	164.985	(946)	555,116	408,786	16,755	426	129,149
Jul-02	378,887	278.671	(1,689)	655.869	539,483	20,183	47 9	95,724
Aug-02	415,804	211,169	(499)	626,474	594,946	20,386	511	10,631
Sep-02	295,569	210,776	432	506,777	523,206	17,529	433	(34,391)
Oct-02	388,747	25,340	161	414,248	415,048	12,742	1,355	(14,896)
Nov-02	346,861	62,540	(414)	408,987	358,414	12,772	652	37,149
Dec-02	398,407	61,950	(34)	460,323	381,874	13,878	1,020	63,551
YR 2002	4,354,070	1,350,437	(493)	5,704,014	5,123.392	178,099	8,287	394,235

* Net Generation + Net Interchange + Inadvertant Flows = Net System Input (NSI)

= Retail Sales + Wholesale Sales + Company Use + System Energy Losses

System Energy Loss Factor = .0691

AQUILA NETWORKS - L&P SYSTEM ENERGY LOSS CALCULATION*

	NET GENERATION (MWhs)	NET INTERCHANGE Net of Purchases and Sales (Off system & Divisional) (MWhs)	NET SYSTEM INPUT (MWhs)	RETAIL SALES (MWhs)	COMPANY USE (MWhs)	SYSTEM ENERGY LOSSES (MWhs)
Jan-02	135,507	24,938	160,445	151,508	986	7,951
Feb-02	129,096	19,067	148,163	154,765	895	(7,497)
Mar-02	82,865	74,473	157,338	142,884	885	13,569
Apr-02	83,988	58,205	142,193	134,803	781	6,609
May-02	104,058	29,565	133,623	129,308	674	3,641
Jun-02	129,754	47,292	177,046	138,356	332	38,358
Jul-02	1 60,223	48,778	209,001	185,418	1,211	22,372
Aug-02	145,451	46,481	191,932	185,633	320	5,979
Sep-02	142,742	20,663	163,405	162,855	792	(242)
Oct-02	126,382	20,439	146,821	134,991	947	10,883
Nov-02	134,412	8,239	142,651	131,596	671	10,384
Dec-02	147,246	18,201	165,447	145,926	872	18,649
YR 2002	1,521,724	416,341	1,938,065	1,798,044	9,366	130,655
		All				

* Net Generation + Net Interchange = Net System Input (NSI)

= Retail Sales + Company Use + System Energy Losses

System Energy Loss Factor = .0674

Demand Allocation Factor

.

2

			Load at Sy	ystem Peak
Month	Hour	System Peak	Retail	Wholesale
		(MWs)	(MWs)	(MWs)
T 00	5.00 DM		000 100	
Jan-02	7:00 PM	835.676	823.190	12.486
Feb-02	7:00 PM	833.099	820.903	12.197
Mar-02	8:00 AM	795.568	784.252	11.316
Apr-02	5:00 PM	784.312	773.363	10.949
May-02	5:00 PM	1,056.387	1,041.449	14.938
Jun-02	5:00 PM	1,197.233	1,179.991	17.242
Jul-02	4:00 PM	1,297.292	1,281.739	15.553
Aug-02	4:00 PM	1,309.225	1,294.070	15.155
Sep-02	5:00 PM	1,238.653	1,220.503	18.150
Oct-02	5:00 PM	1,031.384	1,016.465	14.919
Nov-02	7:00 PM	763.125	752.177	10.948
Dec-02	6:00 PM	837.076	825.286	11.790
4CP		5,042.403	4,976.303	66.100
Allocation	Factor	1.0000	0.9869	0.0131

· · ___

Energy Allocation Factor

Month	Retail	Wholesale	Total
	(MWhs)	(MWhs)	
Jan-02	439,343.129	5,488.356	444,831.485
Feb-02	353,225.840	5,575.442	358,801.282
Mar-02	380,240.873	5,930.837	386,171.710
Apr-02	386,225.255	5,816.194	392,041.449
May-02	342,600.208	4,250.933	346,851.141
Jun-02	408,785.589	7,462.689	416,248.278
Jul-02	539,483.268	8,404.009	547,887.277
Aug-02	594,945.716	9,848.081	604,793.797
Sep-02	523,206.014	9,933.605	533,139.619
Oct-02	415,047.896	6,223.277	421,271.173
Nov-02	358,414.146	6,416.698	364,830.844
Dec-02	381,874.144	6,528.394	388,402.538
Total	5,123,392.078	81,878.515	5,205,270.593
Allocation Factor	0.9843	0.0157	1.0000