Filed
September 30, 2022
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No. 402P

MECG – Exhibit 402P Greg R. Meyer Surrebuttal Testimony File Nos. ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130

Issue: Revenue Requirement

Witness: Greg R. Meyer
Type of Exhibit: Surrebuttal Testimony

Sponsoring Parties: Midwest Energy Consumers Group

Case Nos.: ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130

Date Testimony Prepared: August 16, 2022

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2022-0129

In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service

Case No. ER-2022-0130

Surrebuttal Testimony and Schedules of

Greg R. Meyer

On behalf of

Midwest Energy Consumers Group

REDACTED VERSION

August 16, 2022



Project 11259 & 11260

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Eve Evergy Missouri Me Authority to Implen Increase for Electric	etro's nent a	Request for General Rat)) Case No. ER-2022-0129)))
In the Matter of Eve d/b/a Evergy Misso Authority to Implen Increase for Electric	uri W nent a	est's Reques a General Rat	t for)) Case No. ER-2022-0130))
STATE OF MISSOURI)	SS		

Affidavit of Greg R. Meyer

Greg R. Meyer, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

- 1. My name is Greg R. Meyer. I am a consultant with Brubaker & Associates, Inc., having its principal place of business at 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140, Chesterfield, Missouri 63017. We have been retained by Midwest Energy Consumers Group in this proceeding on their behalf.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my surrebuttal testimony and schedules which were prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in the Missouri Public Service Commission, Case Nos. ER-2022-0129 & ER-2022-0130.
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that the testimony and schedules are true and correct and that they show the matters and things that they purport to show.

Greg R./Meyer

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 16th day of August, 2022.

TAMMY S. KLOSSNER
Notary Public - Notary Seal
STATE OF MISSOURI
St. Charles County
My Commission Expires: Mar. 18, 2023
Commission # 15024862

Notary Public

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)) Case No. ER-2022-0129)
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)) Case No. ER-2022-0130))

Table of Contents to the Surrebuttal Testimony of Greg R. Meyer

Sibley Units	2
Missouri/ Kansas Allocations	9
Bad Debt Tracker	12
Property Tax Expense/Tracker	15
Storm Reserve	17
Nuclear Depreciation	22
Labor Expenses	24
Schedule GRM-1	
Schedule GRM-2	

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Evergy Metro, Inc. d/b/a Evergy Missouri Metro's Request for Authority to Implement a General Rate Increase for Electric Service)) Case No. ER-2022-0129)
In the Matter of Evergy Missouri West, Inc.)
d/b/a Evergy Missouri West's Request for)
Authority to Implement a General Rate	Case No. ER-2022-0130
Increase for Electric Service)

Surrebuttal Testimony of Greg R. Meyer

PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS. 1 Q 2 Α Greg R. Meyer. My business address is 16690 Swingley Ridge Road, Suite 140, 3 Chesterfield, MO 63017. WHAT IS YOUR OCCUPATION? 4 Q 5 I am a consultant in the field of public utility regulation and a Principal at Brubaker & Α 6 Associates, Inc., energy, economic and regulatory consultants. 7 ARE YOU THE SAME GREG R. MEYER WHO PRESENTED DIRECT TESTIMONY Q 8 ON JUNE 8, 2022 AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONY ON JULY 13, 2022 IN THIS 9 PROCEEDING? 10 Α Yes, I am. 11 ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING IN THIS PROCEEDING? Q 12 Α I am appearing on behalf of Midwest Energy Consumers Group ("MECG").

ı	Q	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONT?
2	Α	I will respond to the Evergy rebuttal testimony regarding various issues. Specifically, I
3		will respond to the following issues:
4 5		The unrecovered investment resulting from the Sibley units' retirement and the rate of return on that unrecovered investment;
6		The Missouri/Kansas jurisdictional allocators;
7		➤ Bad Debt Tracker;
8		Property Tax Tracker and proper expense level for tracking;
9		> Storm Reserve;
10		Nuclear Depreciation; and
11		➤ Labor Expenses.
12		The fact that I do not address a particular issue in this testimony should not be
13		interpreted as a tacit approval of a position taken by the Parties on that issue.
14	Sible	ey Units
15	Q	HAVE YOU READ THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS JOHN
16		SPANOS REGARDING THE UNRECOVERED (STRANDED) INVESTMENT FROM
17		THE RETIREMENT OF THE SIBLEY UNITS?
18	Α	Yes, I have.
19	Q	DO YOU AGREE WITH HIS POSITION?
20	Α	Absolutely not.

1	Q	IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. SPANOS CLAIMS THAT A BOOK RESERVE
2		WAS ESTABLISHED IN CASE NO. EC-2019-0200 OF APPROXIMATELY \$327.2
3		MILLION, WHICH PRODUCED A NET BOOK VALUE OF APPROXIMATELY \$145.7
4		MILLION. PLEASE RESPOND.
5	Α	Mr. Spanos takes great liberties with the Commission Order in Case No. EC-2019-
6		0200. I have reviewed the Commission Order and the only portion of that Order that
7		discusses the amount of unrecovered investment in the Sibley units is restated here:
8 9 10 11		21. The estimated net book value of each Sibley unit and the common assets at Sibley as of June 30, 2018, as calculated by GMO's witness, is \$145.7 million. Public Counsel's witness estimated the net book value at \$160 million, while MECG's witness estimated that value at \$300 million. ¹
13		Nowhere in that Commission Order was there any endorsement of a specific party's
14		unrecovered investment total.
15	Q	ON PAGE 25 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. SPANOS CLAIMS THAT
16		EVERGY MISSOURI WEST HAS FOLLOWED THE DIRECTION BY THE
17		COMMISSION IN CASE NO. EC-2019-0200 AND ESTABLISHED THE \$145.7
18		MILLION NET BOOK VALUE RELATED TO SIBLEY AS OF JUNE 30, 2018.
19		PLEASE RESPOND.
20	Α	As I stated earlier, although Mr. Spanos strongly implies the Commission adopted the
21		\$145.7 million unrecovered investment in the Sibley units, Mr. Spanos fails to provide
22		an explicit reference to any section of the Commission Order that supports the
23		"direction." In reality, that is because no direction was provided by the Commission as
24		I described earlier. It is true that each party's position on the unrecovered investment
25		was acknowledged by the Commission, as is typical in a Commission's discussion of

¹Report and Order, Case No. EC-2019-0200, October 17, 2019, p. 9 (Footnotes omitted).

2		for what it ordered. The Commission ordered that:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company shall record as a regulatory liability in Account 254 the revenue and return on the Sibley unit investments collected in rates for non-fuel operations and maintenance costs, taxes, including deferred income taxes, and all other costs associated with Sibley units 1, 2, 3, and common plant. ²
10		Mr. Spanos' statement cannot be supported by a close review of the Commission
11		Order. The net book value in rates at the time of the Order was \$301 million.
12	Q	ON PAGE 25 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. SPANOS ACCUSES YOU OF
13		CREATING AN ALTERNATIVE RESULT SO THE COMPANY WILL NOT BE ABLE
14		TO EARN A RETURN DURING THE PERIOD OF RECOVERY SET FORTH (I.E., 20
15		YEARS). PLEASE RESPOND.
16	Α	Mr. Spanos' attempt to discredit me is without merit. I will show that the figures I relied
17		on were used to set rates in the last rate case, and were not created by me in an attempt
18		to limit the return on retired assets. If anyone is attempting to create an adjustment, it
19		is Evergy.
20	Q	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EVIDENCE YOU HAVE THAT SHOWS THAT IN THE
21		LAST EVERGY RATE CASE, CUSTOMER RATES WERE ESTABLISHED BASED
22		ON AN UNRECOVERED INVESTMENT FOR THE SIBLEY UNITS OF
23		APPROXIMATELY \$301 MILLION.
24	Α	As I stated in my direct testimony, I relied on the Staff's True-up Accounting Schedules
25		from the last rate case (Case No. ER-2018-0146). When one sums up the Sibley units

facts in a case, but no endorsement of any value was made by the Commission except

1

²Id., pp. 15-16 (Emphasis added).

1	plant in service and subtracts the accumulated depreciation reserve balances, one
2	arrives at an undepreciated net book value for the Sibley units of approximately \$301
3	million.

Q DO YOU HAVE ANY EVIDENCE THAT WOULD SUGGEST EVERGY SUPPORTED

THOSE TOTALS?

Α

Α

Yes. I have reviewed the true-up workpapers provided by Evergy's witness Ronald Klote in Case No. ER-2018-0146. Those workpapers support the exact totals used by the Staff in its true-up calculation. I have attached the workpapers provided by Mr. Klote for the true-up Plant in Service and Depreciation Reserve as Schedule GRM-1 and Schedule GRM-2, respectively. As you can readily see, the Plant in Service balance for the Sibley units is \$478,109,210 and the Depreciation Reserve balance for the Sibley units is \$177,138,697. Subtracting the Depreciation Reserve balance from the Plant in Service balance yields a net plant balance of \$300,970,513, or \$301 million, at June 30, 2018. These exact amounts may also be found in the Staff's true-up accounting schedules that form the basis of my recommendation.

Q PLEASE DESCRIBE THE TIMEFRAME FOR EVERGY'S CALCULATION OF THE \$145.7 MILLION UNRECOVERED INVESTMENT IN THE SIBLEY UNITS.

As a result of the MECG's and OPC's complaint case, Case No. EC-2019-0200, Evergy contracted with Mr. Spanos to calculate the net book value of the Sibley units. Mr. Spanos' calculation asserted that the net book value of the Sibley units was \$145.7 million.

1	Q	WHAI TIME PERIOD DID MR. SPANOS PERFORM HIS NET BOOK
2		CALCULATION?
3	Α	June 30, 2018. The exact same time period that Mr. Klote filed true-up workpapers
4		that supported a net book value over \$300 million. In the span of less than 24 hours,
5		the net book value for the Sibley units had supposedly decreased by over \$155 million.
6	Q	WHAT, IN YOUR OPINION, MADE UP THAT DIFFERENCE?
7	Α	Accumulated depreciation reserve amounts were shifted from other steam production
8		plants to reduce the net book value of the Sibley units.
9	Q	YOU REFER TO THE COMMISSION ORDER IN CASE NO. EC-2019-0200, WHERE
10		THE COMMISSION DETERMINED THAT A REGULATORY LIABILITY SHOULD BE
11		ESTABLISHED TO CAPTURE THE COSTS THAT WERE NO LONGER INCURRED
12		TO OPERATE THE SIBLEY UNITS. PLEASE PROVIDE THE COMMISSION
13		LANGUAGE DIRECTING THE PARTIES IN THE CASE ON HOW TO CALCULATE
14		THE REGULATORY LIABILITY.
15	Α	The Commission ordered the following:
16 17 18 19 20 21 22		2. KCP&L Greater Missouri Operations Company shall record as a regulatory liability in Account 254 the revenue and the return on the Sibley unit investments collected in rates for non-fuel operations and maintenance costs, taxes, including accumulated deferred income taxes, and all other costs associated with Sibley units 1, 2, 3, and common plant. The regulatory liability should quantify separately dollars related to return and other cost of service expense savings. ³

³*Id.*, pp. 15-16.

1	Q	DO YOU BELIEVE THAT EVERGY IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE COMMISSION

ORDER IF IT REFLECTS A NET BOOK VALUE FOR THE SIBLEY UNITS OF \$145.7

MILLION?

Q

Α

A Absolutely not. I can find nothing in either the Staff's or Evergy's true-up calculations in Case No. ER-2018-0146 that supports the use of Evergy's claimed net book value of \$145.7 million. This net book value was determined outside of the rate case and was never contemplated when setting Evergy's rates.

WHY IS IT SO IMPORTANT TO GET THE NET BOOK VALUE CORRECT AND WHAT IS THE RAMIFICATIONS IF THE COMPANY'S PROPOSAL IS ADOPTED?

The importance of this issue deals with the recovery of the undepreciated (stranded) investment in the Sibley units. Staff and other parties to this case have argued that the unrecovered investment in the Sibley units should not be allowed to earn a return. By significantly understating the Sibley units' unrecovered balance, Evergy is essentially preserving its earnings by shifting the unrecovered investment to other generating plants. By shifting accumulated depreciation balances away from other steam production facilities, Evergy may then earn a greater return on these other investments, and protect its profits should the Commission agree with me that it would be inappropriate to grant a return on a plant that is neither used or useful. If the Commission permits this shift, it allows Evergy to earn a return on plant that is no longer used and useful. As noted in Commissioner Hall's Concurring Opinion in the Sibley AAO case (Case No. EC-2019-0200), allowing a return on plant that is no longer used or useful is legally questionable and not good regulatory policy.

1	Q	DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER ISSUES THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS
2		REGARDING THE SIBLEY UNRECOVERED INVESTMENT?
3	Α	Yes. Evergy witness Larry Kennedy argues that Evergy's shareholders should
4		continue to earn a profit on a generating unit that no longer provides service to the
5		ratepayers. I am opposed to allowing a return on a plant that is not used and useful. I
6		would also note that the Staff and Office of Public Counsel support my position of no
7		return on Sibley unrecovered investment.
8	Q	ON PAGE 14 OF EVERGY WITNESS DARRIN IVES REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, HE
9		STATES, "SECURITIZATION IN MISSOURI IS AN OPTION, NOT A
10		REQUIREMENT." PLEASE RESPOND.
11	Α	I agree with Mr. Ives about the use of securitization. However, I am disappointed in the

approach Evergy is taking on this issue. By choosing to request a return on a retired generating unit, Evergy is requesting that ratepayers pay more in rates than they should, and provide enhanced profits for a retired generating plant that is not used and useful.

16 Q WOULD CHOOSING SECURITIZATION BE A WIN/WIN FOR EVERGY'S 17 SHAREHOLDERS AND RATEPAYERS?

18

19

20

21

22

Α

Yes. Choosing securitization would provide Evergy's shareholders with an immediate lump sum payment for the unrecovered investment in Sibley, and would provide ratepayers with a lower cost return than applying the Company's Weighted Average Cost of Capital ("WACC") return. In that instance, both parties are sharing in the retirement of the Sibley generating plant.

1 Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE EVERGY HAS NOT COMMITTED TO SECURITIZATION

2 **UP TO THIS POINT?**

- 3 In my opinion, Evergy is waiting to see how the Commission addresses the recovery of 4 the undepreciated investment in Sibley. If the Commission denies a return on the 5 unrecovered investment in Sibley, I strongly believe that Evergy will seek securitization 6 for the Sibley unrecovered investment. However, if the Commission grants a WACC 7 return on the unrecovered investment in Sibley, Evergy's shareholders will have won 8 enhanced profits from a retired generating plant and the Commission will have 9 de-incentivized securitization for dealing with both the Sibley unrecovered investment 10 and future plant retirements.
- 11 Q BASED ON YOUR POSITION, WHAT WOULD YOU RECOMMEND FROM THE
- 12 **COMMISSION?**
- 13 A In order to strike a fair balance for the retirement of the Sibley plant, I would recommend
- that the Commission deny a WACC return on the Sibley unrecovered investment of
- 15 \$301 million.

16 <u>Missouri/ Kansas Allocations</u>

- 17 Q HAVE YOU READ THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS JOHN
- 18 WOLFRAM ADDRESSING THE MISSOURI/KANSAS JURISDICTIONAL
- 19 **ALLOCATIONS?**
- 20 A Yes, I have.

1 Q ON PAGE 3 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. WOLFRAM DISPUTES YOUR 2 CONCLUSION THAT AVERAGING THE 12CP AND THE 4CP ALLOCATORS 3 WOULD NOT ACHIEVE JUST AND REASONABLE RATES. PLEASE RESPOND. 4 Α I find Mr. Wolfram's statement to be seriously lacking and deceptive. In his direct 5 testimony, Mr. Wolfram admits that the use of the 12CP allocator is inferior to the use of a seasonal peak allocator. In other words, there is no justification for using a 12CP 6 7 allocator. As I stated in my direct testimony, this same conclusion was reached 8 independently by Staff witness Erin Maloney in 2006. In the span of 16 years, the use 9 of a 12CP allocator could not be supported with the use of the FERC Tests as described 10 in the direct testimony of Mr. Wolfram (pages 11-12). I would note that Mr. Wolfram 11 does not go so far as to say that the 12CP method is wrong, but indicates that other 12 allocators are more appropriate. I continue to support the belief that the 12CP method 13 is wrong.

WHAT CAN BE DRAWN FROM MR. WOLFRAM'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q

Α

As much as Mr. Wolfram tries, Evergy's proposal boils down to the fact that Evergy cannot persuade the Kansas Commission to see the facts surrounding the inappropriate use of the 12CP allocator for setting Kansas retail rates. In response, Mr. Wolfram is forced to suggest that a compromise is to average the two allocators (4CP and 12CP) to produce a reasonable result. I contend that after sifting through all of the arguments presented by Mr. Wolfram, the result he is seeking is to average an inappropriate allocator (12CP) with the most appropriate allocator (4CP). However, in doing so, Missouri ratepayers would be required to pay more to possible achieve a more favorable result for Evergy.

Essentially, Evergy is asking Missouri ratepayers to once again come to the
bargaining table when they already have compromised and are now setting rates using
a very appropriate and arguably the most appropriate allocator (4CP). Missouri
ratepayers are paying their fair share of the costs to deliver service and should not
entertain compromises that result in higher costs.

Q MR. WOLFRAM INDICATES IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY THAT EVERGY HAS ATTEMPTED TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS IN BOTH STATES. PLEASE RESPOND.

I take it from Mr. Wolfram's rebuttal testimony that since Evergy cannot convince the Kansas Commission to move away from the 12CP allocator that, in the spirit of compromise, Missouri should abandon the 4CP method and meet "halfway." Meeting "halfway" results in equally weighing an inappropriate allocator (12CP) with a proven allocator (4CP) and raising rates for Missouri ratepayers. I fail to see how this benefits Missouri ratepayers. Simply stated, this is not a Missouri problem.

15 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION.

Α

Α

I assert that the Commission should reject the Evergy Missouri/Kansas allocation proposal. The use of a 12CP allocator has been studied two times, 16 years apart, and found to be an unacceptable way to allocate plant. Yet, Evergy is asking the Missouri jurisdiction to forgo those study facts and find a compromise. Simply stated, this is not a reasonable method and should be rejected.

1	Q	DO YOU HAVE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ON JURISDICTIONAL										
2		ALLOCATIONS?										
3	Α	Yes, I would briefly like to discuss MECG's support for the Energy Allocator.										
4	Q	IN BOTH THE STAFF'S DIRECT AND REBUTTAL TESTIMONIES, THERE HAVE										
5		BEEN DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE ENERGY ALLOCATOR. PLEASE DISCUSS										
6		THIS ISSUE.										
7	Α	It is my understanding that the Missouri Commission has historically used the Energy										
8		Allocator to allocate fuel costs, purchased power costs and off-system sales between										
9		the Missouri and Kansas jurisdictions. The Kansas jurisdiction uses the Un-Used										
10		Energy Allocator. The MECG continues to support the use of the Energy Allocator for										
11		purposes of cost of service.										
12	Bad	Debt Tracker										
13	Q	HAVE YOU READ THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS DARRIN										
14		R. IVES RELATING TO EVERGY'S REQUEST FOR A BAD DEBT TRACKER?										
15	Α	Yes, I have.										
16	Q	DO YOU CONTINUE TO OPPOSE ALLOWING EVERGY TO HAVE A BAD DEBT										

18

Α

TRACKER?

Most definitely.

1	Q	IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. IVES POSTURES THAT BAD DEBTS COULD							
2		BE A SIGNIFICANT COST. PLEASE RESPOND.							
3	Α	In her rebuttal testimony, Evergy witness Linda J. Nunn provides a table listing the last							
4		three years and true-up level of write-offs for Evergy Metro and Evergy West. The							
5		highest level for Evergy Metro was \$9.9 million and the highest level for Evergy West							
6		was \$5.7 million. Neither of these totals represent a significant cost when compared to							
7		total operating expenses for either company. Furthermore, if those costs do not							
8		represent a significant cost increase exposure, the bad debt tracker will have even less							
9		of an impact.							
10	Q	IN YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY (PAGES 22-23), YOU PROVIDED A LIST OF							
11		SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS AVAILABLE FOR USE BY EVERGY. THESE							
11 12		SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS AVAILABLE FOR USE BY EVERGY. THESE REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR							
12		REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR							
12 13	A	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE							
12 13 14	А	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS.							
12 13 14 15	Α	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS. Yes, in my direct testimony I listed eight special regulatory tools Evergy has to avoid							
12 13 14 15 16	Α	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS. Yes, in my direct testimony I listed eight special regulatory tools Evergy has to avoid earnings erosion for its shareholders. In addition to that list, we must now add a							
12 13 14 15 16 17	Α	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS. Yes, in my direct testimony I listed eight special regulatory tools Evergy has to avoid earnings erosion for its shareholders. In addition to that list, we must now add a property tax tracker from the recent passage of Senate Bill 745. These special							
12 13 14 15 16 17	A	REGULATORY TOOLS PROTECT AGAINST EARNINGS EROSION FOR EVERGY'S SHAREHOLDERS. PLEASE DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF THESE SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOLS. Yes, in my direct testimony I listed eight special regulatory tools Evergy has to avoid earnings erosion for its shareholders. In addition to that list, we must now add a property tax tracker from the recent passage of Senate Bill 745. These special regulatory tools account for well above 50% of the revenue requirement in these rate							

*** A bad debt tracker is not needed at this time.

22

1	Q	DO YOU CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE THEORY THAT THE USE OF TRACKERS
2		REDUCES THE INCENTIVE OF A UTILITY TO CONTROL COSTS?
3	A.	Yes. I personally believe that special regulatory tools like trackers and reserve
4		accounting provide less incentive for a utility to control costs. I also would note that the
5		Commission supported that notion in Ameren Missouri's Case No. ER-2014-0258,
6		where the Commission stated:
7 8 9		 Tracker mechanisms can be a useful tool in the correct circumstances, but they should be used sparingly because they can reduce the incentive of the utility to closely monitor its costs.⁴
10		Further in the Order, addressing a storm tracker, the Commission stated:
11 12 13 14 15		8. By their nature, cost trackers tend to reduce a utility's incentive to aggressively control costs by ensuring that all costs will be recovered. Under a tracker, such costs would be subject to a prudence review, but a prudence review cannot control costs as efficiently as a strong economic incentive. ⁵
16	Q	IN REFERENCE TO THE ABOVE COMMISSION ORDER, DO YOU BELIEVE A
17		SPECIAL REGULATORY TOOL LIKE A TRACKER SHOULD BE USED FOR THE
18		ENHANCED RECOVERY OF A NORMAL OPERATING EXPENSE LIKE BAD
19		DEBTS?
20	Α	Definitely not. Bad debt expense is a normal and ongoing cost of doing business. Bad
21		debts do not represent a significant level of expense for a utility when compared to the
22		overall revenue requirement. Therefore, bad debts should be normalized, included in
23		cost of service, and evaluated when looking at all relevant operating costs of Evergy.
24		There is no need to single out this cost for special regulatory treatment. I, therefore,
25		reject Evergy's request to establish a bad debt tracker.

⁴*Id.*, p. 50 (Footnote omitted). ⁵*Id.*, p. 45 (Footnote omitted).

1 Q WHAT IS THE STANDARD THAT THE COMMISSION HAS APPLIED WHEN

2 **EVALUATING TRACKERS?**

- 3 A The Commission has repeatedly held that deferral mechanisms are limited to costs that
- 4 meet an "extraordinary" standard. This limited basis is when events occur during a
- 5 period which are extraordinary, unusual, and unique, and not recurring.⁶ I understand
- 6 that the Missouri Court of Appeals has also upheld this standard. For the reasons, I
- 7 discussed above, the Company's request in this case does not meet that standard.

8 Property Tax Expense/Tracker

- 9 Q HAVE YOU READ THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS MELISSA
- 10 K. HARDESTY REGARDING THE ISSUES OF PROPERTY TAX EXPENSE AND A
- 11 **PROPERTY TAX TRACKER?**
- 12 A Yes, I have.
- 13 Q MS. HARDESTY ARGUES THAT YOUR OPPOSITION TO A PROPERTY TAX
- 14 TRACKER IS NO LONGER VALID SINCE THE LEGISLATURE PASSED AND THE
- 15 GOVERNOR SIGNED A BILL (SENATE BILL 745) THAT NOW ALLOWS A
- 16 MISSOURI UTILITY TO UTILIZE A PROPERTY TAX TRACKER. PLEASE
- 17 **RESPOND.**
- 18 A I agree with Ms. Hardesty that Evergy can now utilize a property tax tracker for
- 19 regulatory purposes. Therefore, I must withdraw my opposition to a property tax tracker
- in this case.

⁶Application of Missouri Public Service Company, Report and Order, Case Nos. EO-91-358 and EO-91-360, 1 Mo.PSC 3d 200, 205 (Emphasis added).

Q MS. HARDESTY ALSO OPPOSES YOUR USE OF THE LAST KNOWN LEVEL OF PROPERTY TAXES THAT EVERGY HAS PAID FOR INCLUSION IN RATES. DO

YOU AGREE WITH MS. HARDESTY?

Α

No, I do not. Since Evergy is now allowed to track property taxes, it seems completely logical to use the last known level of property taxes for tracking purposes. In the case of Evergy, that would be the 2021 level of property taxes actually paid by Evergy. Ms. Hardesty is arguing for a property tax methodology that estimates the level of property taxes that will be paid in 2022. However, given that Evergy now is allowed to utilize a property tax tracker, it will be allowed to recover any difference between the actual property taxes paid in 2021 and the actual amount paid in December 2022. Evergy's request to continue to include an estimated level of property taxes and have the use of another special regulatory tool is unnecessary and fails at the goal of keeping costs down for Evergy's ratepayers. If the actual 2022 property taxes paid exceed the 2021 actual property taxes paid, the newly implemented tracker will capture the increased property taxes. Evergy's request to include an estimated level of property taxes and the use of a property tax tracker is potentially detrimental to Evergy's ratepayers by requiring to pay in advance for an estimated level of property taxes.

18 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION?

A I propose that the 2021 level of property taxes be included in the revenue requirement in this rate case, and that level of property taxes be used to track differences in property taxes going forward.

Storm Reserve

1

- 2 Q HAVE YOU READ THE EVERGY REBUTTAL TESTIMONIES ADDRESSING THE
- 3 STORM RESERVE?
- 4 A Yes. I have reviewed the rebuttal testimonies of Evergy witnesses Ronald Klote and
- 5 Bruce Akin.
- 6 Q PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF MR. AKIN'S REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.
- 7 A Mr. Akin continues to support a storm reserve. In support of his position, Mr. Akin
- 8 describes the increased level of storms occurring nationally, as well as provides a
- global perspective. Given the frequency of storms nationally and globally, Mr. Akin
- 10 hopes to persuade the Commission to adopt a storm reserve.
- 11 Q DID MR. AKIN PROVIDE ANY SPECIFIC ARGUMENTS TO YOUR DIRECT
- 12 **TESTIMONY?**
- 13 A Yes. On page 3 of his rebuttal testimony, Mr. Akin states that Table 8 included in my
- direct testimony is "misleading" regarding the January 12, 2019 winter storm which had
- a \$10.6 million impact on Evergy Missouri Metro's operations. I included the storm in
- Table 8 and represented it as a storm over \$1.5 million. It was my belief when I
- 17 compiled Table 8, and I still believe, that a storm of that magnitude should not be able
- to be funded from the storm reserve and that an Accounting Authority Order ("AAO")
- would need to be sought to cover the costs of this storm. However, after further
- consideration, I believe the storm reserve, if approved by the Commission, could be
- 21 used for this storm and I will discuss the unintended consequences from using the
- storm reserve later in this testimony.

1	Q	DO YOU AGREE THAT THE LEVEL	OF STORMS HAS INCREASED	SINCE 2011?
---	---	-----------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------

A Yes, I do. Table 7 of my direct testimony supports that conclusion. Storm activity has increased in the Evergy West's service area since 2017. Evergy Metro's service area has experienced increased storm activity since 2013. However, that only tells part of the story. Referring to Table 8 in my direct testimony, although the frequency of storms has increased, the level of storm costs has been mostly in the cost range of \$200K - \$400K. Storm costs in this range would not reflect a significant cost to Evergy.

Q DID EVERGY PROVIDE ANY EVIDENCE THAT THE LEVEL OF STORM COSTS

INCLUDED IN CUSTOMER RATES WAS NOT SUFFICIENT TO RECOVER PAST

STORM COSTS?

Α

11 A No, Evergy did not mention this concern in its testimony. Therefore, I conclude that the
12 level of storm costs, coupled with the cost reductions Evergy accomplished between
13 rate cases, provided sufficient cost recovery of past storm costs.

14 Q WHY DO YOU BELIEVE THE COSTS PER STORM ARE IN THE RANGE OF

\$200K - \$400K?

For the last several years, Evergy has invested in reliability projects and performed planned vegetation management tree trimming cycles. In addition, Evergy, as well as other electric utilities, is required to perform infrastructure inspections on much of its transmission and distribution systems. These inspections identify weak spots in the system that require remediation. Clearly, these activities have hardened the transmission and distribution systems to storm damage.

1	Q	WILL THESE ACTIVITIES INSULATE EVERGY AND ITS RATEPAYERS FROM THE

2 **POSSIBILITY OF A SIGNIFICANT STORM?**

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Α

- A No. However, if a storm occurs in the Evergy service area, the damage should be less than if these maintenance prevention activities were not performed.
- 5 Q IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. AKIN DISCUSSES WINTER STORM URI AS
 6 ANOTHER REASON FOR A STORM RESERVE. PLEASE RESPOND.
 - Winter Storm Uri was the most expensive natural disaster in the history of the United States. However, neither Mr. Akin nor Mr. Klote provide any storm cost recovery funds necessary to restore service to Evergy's customers. I suspect if Winter Storm Uri had required a significant storm fund expenditure, one of these Evergy witnesses would have stated that in their rebuttal testimonies. I would note that Evergy Metro's operations actually experienced a significant benefit from Winter Storm Uri through sales into the Southwest Power Pool ("SPP") market. Evergy Metro recorded increased sales revenues totaling millions of dollars in the SPP market as a result of elevated market prices. In addition, if Evergy does incur extraordinary storm costs like those associated with Winter Storm Uri, it can seek to securitize those costs just as Evergy West has done.
- TURNING YOUR ATTENTION NOW TO THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY
 WITNESS RONALD KLOTE. ON PAGE 13, HE STATES THAT A STORM RESERVE
 WOULD HELP REDUCE THE EARNINGS VOLATILITY FOR INVESTORS WHICH
 CAN HELP REDUCE THE UTILITY'S COST OF DEBT. PLEASE RESPOND.
- As I previously discussed, the costs of storms are not significant relative to the total operating expenses of Evergy. Evergy's request for a storm reserve is simply another

request to isolate certain Evergy operations and collect expenses via the storm reserve
without the necessity of evaluating all of the operations of Evergy. Evergy failed to cite
specific examples when it was required to seek debt financing to cover storm costs. I
doubt that Evergy was required to issue debt to cover the storm expenses from the
January 2019 winter storm. Using the issuance of debt as an argument for a storm
reserve is not persuasive since the costs of significant storms has been relatively small
as a portion of the Company's total operations.

Q

Α

Q

Α

ON PAGE 14 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. KLOTE STATES THAT YOU BELIEVE A STORM RESERVE IS SIMILAR TO A TRACKER. PLEASE RESPOND.

There is a difference between a reserve and a tracker. My statement in my rebuttal testimony was that the arguments against a storm reserve were similar to the arguments I had previously discussed with the property tax and bad debt expense trackers. I recognize that a storm reserve does not track expenses and true them up in a future rate case. However, both reserve accounting and trackers are types of special regulatory tools that do not require a utility to consider the impacts to all of its operations (i.e., all relevant factors).

ON PAGE 14 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. KLOTE ATTEMPTS TO JUSTIFY THE STORM RESERVE BY POSITING THAT A STORM RESERVE COULD HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO REDUCE AN AAO REQUEST. PLEASE RESPOND.

I am concerned with Mr. Klote's statement. In addressing the winter storm of 2019 (\$10.5 million for Evergy Metro), Evergy could have requested an AAO to cover those expenses if it believed the financial consequences of recording those storm costs

currently would have had a significant impact on its earnings for that year. However, Mr. Klote states that those storm costs could have been absorbed by the storm reserve. Herein lies one of the unintended consequences of a storm reserve.

Q

Α

A storm reserve could allow the accumulation of storm costs that could deplete the reserve and cause the storm reserve to have a negative balance. In the next general rate case, Evergy would request funds necessary to replenish the negative storm reserve balance and fund a new level to replenish the storm funds for future storms. If this situation occurred, one might argue that the replenishment of the storm reserve resulted in retroactive ratemaking. However, I am sure Evergy would dispute this claim by saying the Commission approved the storm reserve and should have anticipated all of the consequences from reserve accounting.

The storm reserve will guarantee 100% recovery of all storm costs without requiring Evergy to assess whether significant storm costs could be absorbed through Evergy's current rate revenues. With a storm reserve, Evergy is not required to use cost savings from its other operations to absorb costs from significant storms. A storm reserve would act as a single cost center of Evergy. I am generally opposed to single issue reviews.

PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION ON THE EVERGY REQUESTED STORM RESERVE.

I am opposed to the implementation of a storm reserve for the Evergy operations. A storm reserve will lessen the incentive for Evergy to control storm cost recovery, similar to what the Commission found with regard to the use of trackers that I discussed in my direct testimony. A storm reserve will guarantee 100% recovery of all storm costs outside of a review of the total Evergy operations. The storm reserve will act as a single

cost of service item. There exists the possibility that the storm reserve could be fully depleted and then Evergy would request rate relief to replenish the reserve, add funds to the reserve for future significant storms, and request an increase in the level of funding for "normal" storm recovery. The current level of significant storms has increased, but the level of costs for those storms has not been significant when compared with Evergy's total operating expenses. A storm reserve is not needed at Evergy as compared with state jurisdictions that experience storm costs in the hundreds of million dollars.

The current ratemaking process has worked well for utilities in the State of Missouri. Ratemaking allows for a certain level of storm costs to be recovered from ratepayers. If the utility experiences a major storm with extraordinary repair costs, it can file an AAO request to defer those costs for a future rate case. The use of an AAO is sufficient protection for a utility in addressing storm costs and balances the interests of shareholders and ratepayers. A storm reserve is not needed for Missouri utilities and Evergy's storm reserve request should be denied by the Commission.

Nuclear Depreciation

- 17 Q HAVE YOU READ THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS JOHN
 18 SPANOS AS IT RELATES TO THE ISSUE OF NUCLEAR DEPRECIATION?
- 19 A Yes. I have.
- 20 Q PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR POSITION.
- 21 A Evergy is requesting to increase Wolf Creek depreciation expense by approximately \$5.5 million, or approximately 29%. I am opposed to any increase in depreciation expense for Wolf Creek due to the fact that Ameren Missouri has indicated it is going

1	to seek an operating license extension (presumably another 20 - 80 year operating
2	life) for its Callaway nuclear plant prior to its current operating license expiration in
3	2044. Since Ameren Missouri has already acknowledged its intent to extend the
4	operating license for the Callaway plant, it would be my contention that Wolf Creek will
5	also seek license extension; and, therefore, increasing Wolf Creek's depreciation
6	expense at this time is not necessary.

- 7 Q IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. SPANOS CLAIMS THAT YOUR PROPOSAL
 8 TO CHANGE THE LIFE SPAN FOR THE WOLF CREEK NUCLEAR FACILITY IS
 9 UNREALISTIC. DO YOU AGREE?
- No. There is already evidence from a nuclear facility with the exact design as Wolf

 Creek (Ameren's Callaway Unit) that will be seeking a license extension. My proposal

 is simply to recognize what will most likely transpire soon regarding the life of Wolf

 Creek.
- 14 Q ON PAGE 35 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. SPANOS STATES
 15 DEPRECIATION SHOULD BE RECOVERED SYSTEMATICALLY AND
 16 RATIONALLY OVER THE LIFE OF THE ASSET S CONSISTENT WITH THE PERIOD
 17 OF TIME THE ASSETS ARE TO BE UTILIZED. PLEASE COMMENT.
- 18 A This comment by Mr. Spanos completely supports the position I have proposed in this
 19 case. Increasing Wolf Creek depreciation at this time would not allow systematic
 20 depreciation recovery once Evergy announces life extension for Wolf Creek.

D RESOURCE PLAN
RESOURCE

("IRP")? AND, IF SO, DID YOU FIND ANY REFERENCE TO A WOLF CREEK

LICENSE EXTENSION?

2

3

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Α

4 A Yes. I reviewed Evergy's most recent IRP and I could not find any reference to a Wolf
5 Creek license extension. However, I am sure that Evergy is aware of Ameren
6 Missouri's plans to seek life extension for its Callaway Nuclear Generating Plant.
7 Therefore, I would contend that life extension has been discussed by Evergy's upper
8 management (and if it has not, it should have been). Wolf Creek is a valuable asset

during this transition to more intermittent (renewable) resources.

10 Q ARE THERE ANY OTHER REASONS WHY DELAYING THIS INCREASE IN 11 DEPRECIATION EXPENSE IS JUSTIFIED?

Yes. Evergy witness Ives mentions non-utility events such as COVID-19 and inflation to support its request for a bad debt tracker. Given these non-utility influences on the Company's operations, delaying recovery of Wolf Creek's depreciation expense would be a goodwill gesture from Evergy to ratepayers. However, if life extension is in the future plans of Evergy albeit unannounced, this delay in depreciation expense would be negated by life extension. For all the reasons discussed, I believe Wolf Creek's current depreciation rates should be continued for purposes of establishing Evergy's retail rates.

<u>Labor Expenses</u>

- 21 Q HAVE YOU REVIEWED THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF EVERGY WITNESS
- 22 RONALD KLOTE AS IT RELATES TO LABOR EXPENSE?
- 23 A Yes, I have.

1	Q	IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. KLOTE SEEMS CONFUSED ABOUT YOUR
2		POSITION ON SEVERANCE PAY. PLEASE RESPOND.

Α

As part of the MECG review, we inquired whether Evergy Metro's or West's operations were seeking to include any severance pay in cost of service. The response to our discovery was that Evergy was not including any severance pay in cost of service. My testimony simply referenced the fact that no severance pay was included. I also included a short explanation why severance pay should not be included in cost of service. Therefore, MECG does not have and did not propose any adjustment for severance pay since there was no cost to disallow.

10 Q ON PAGE 8 OF HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. KLOTE CLAIMS YOU USED 11 FLAWED DATA TO ANALYZE OVERTIME DOLLARS. PLEASE RESPOND.

I was very surprised by Mr. Klote's claim since I issued discovery (MECG 4.14) to get the level of overtime dollars and hours incurred by Evergy Metro's and West's operations for the last five calendar years. The discovery response was provided by Thurman Gardner, Payroll Manager at Evergy, and authenticated by Mr. Brad Lutz of Evergy. I relied on that information provided in the discovery request. To the extent the information is "flawed," I would suggest that Mr. Klote, Mr. Gardner and Mr. Lutz meet to reconcile the information provided.

19 Q IF INDEED THE INFORMATION PROVIDED BY EVERGY TO THE MECG IS 20 FLAWED, HAVE YOU DEVELOPED A DIFFERENT LEVEL OF OVERTIME TO 21 INCLUDE IN COST OF SERVICE?

Yes. Based on the table provided by Mr. Klote on page 9 of his rebuttal testimony, I would propose that a three-year average of overtime dollars incurred from 2019-2021

- be used in cost of service. This would result in a level of overtime of \$32.75 million.

 This level of overtime would represent an increase from the \$31.9 level I proposed in my direct testimony.
- 4 Q DO YOU CONTINUE TO OPPOSE EVERGY'S REQUEST TO INFLATE THE
 5 ACTUAL OVERTIME DOLLARS BY 2.5% EACH YEAR UP TO 2022?

Α

Yes. I am opposed to factoring-up the overtime dollars to the level expected to be incurred in 2022. Evergy has presented no analyses that shows overtime dollars have increased by the 2.5% factored-up provision. I have prepared Table 1 that shows the relationship of overtime dollars expensed and overtime hours incurred from the response to MECG Data Request 4-14.

Table 1												
	Evergy Overtime Analysis											
<u>Year</u>	Year Overtime \$ Overtime Hours \$ Rate per Hour											
2019	\$31,294,180	412,111	\$75.94									
2020	\$29,791,656	393,478	\$75.71									
2021	\$33,897,882	451,616	\$75.06									

As can be seen from Table 1, the level overtime hours and dollars expensed by year fluctuates during the 2019-2021 time period. This is to be expected with overtime. It should also be noted that the rate per hour of overtime has decreased since 2019. This decrease in the rate of overtime is one of the reasons why factoring-up overtime dollars is not required when annualizing overtime costs. The level of overtime dollars expensed in any one year is directly dependent on specific employees' current wage rates and the number of overtime hours incurred. Table 1 shows that since 2019, the employees on average that worked overtime had a lower wage rate than the previous year. Therefore, the idea that overtime dollars need to be factored-up is unfounded

1	and should be rejected by the Commission. I would note that I relied on the response
2	to MECG Data Request 4-14 that Mr. Klote refers to as flawed since I did not have the
3	information from any corrected discovery response to determine if the rate trend would
4	continue. However, the majority of overtime dollars and hours included in response to
5	MECG 4-14 should continue to support my arguments.

- 6 Q IN HIS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY, MR. KLOTE ARGUES YOUR JOINT BILLING
 7 ADJUSTMENT IS INCORRECT. PLEASE RESPOND.
- In his explanation, Mr. Klote states that actual Joint Billings through May 31, 2021 will be used. Given the explanation of Mr. Klote for the true-up, I do not have an issue on Joint Billings.
- 11 Q DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR SURREBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
- 12 A Yes, it does.

Line	Account	Account Adjustments														
					RB-20 JEC Plant Disallowances Estimated Net Charging Adjustments & Crossroads & LTIP								Juris	lda	Flag louis Adioseted	
No.	No.	Description Per DR 27 Plant		Estimated Ne Additions		arging ns Jun18	Adjustments & GSU Trf	Crossroads & T&D	LTIP Capitalization Adj	Total Ad	justments	Adjusted Plant	Factor No.	Juris Allocation	Elec Juris Adjusted Plant	
	A			С	D		F	G	н	ı		J	К	L	M	N
1 2	30100	Intangible Plant Organization Electric	s	96,664							\$	-	\$ 96,664	7,1	99.591% \$	96,269
3	30301	Miscellaneous Intangibles (Like 353)	ş	606,337							φ	_	606,337	8,1	99.660%	604,275
4	30301	Misc. Intangibles - Trans Crossroads		13,476,338					(3,891,687)		(3,891,687)	9,584,651	8,1	99.660%	9,552,064
5	30302	Miscellaneous Intangibles- Cap Softwr 5 yr		16,387,894					(-,,	,		-	16,387,894	7,1	99.591%	16,320,867
6	30302	Misc. Intangible Cap Software - Lake Road		350,000								-	350,000	3,8	75.821%	265,374
7	30309	Misc. Intangible -MINT Line		72,118								-	72,118	8,1	99.660%	71,873
8	30310	Miscl Intang-latan Hwy & Bridge		931,039								-	931,039	8,1	99.660%	927,874
9		TOTAL PLANT INTANGIBLE	\$	31,920,390	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ (3,891,687	') \$ -	\$	(3,891,687)	\$ 28,028,703		\$	27,838,595
10	PRODUCTIO	N PLANT														
11	STEAM PRO															
12		STEAM PRODUCTION - SIBLEY														
13	31000	Steam Production Land - Elec - Sibley	\$	396,706							\$	-	\$ 396,706	3,1	99.660% \$	395,357
14	31100	Steam Prod Structures - Elec - Sibley		61,783,268								-	61,783,268	3,1	99.660%	61,573,205
15 16	31200 31202	Steam Prod Boiler Plant Elec - Sibley Steam Prod Boiler AQC Equip - Sibley		232,560,299								-	232,560,299 102,236,686	3,1 3,1	99.660% 99.660%	231,769,594 101,889,081
17	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - Sibley		102,236,686 58,260,178								-	58,260,178	3,1	99.660%	58,062,093
18	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip Elec - Sibley		19,236,607									19,236,607	3,1	99.660%	19,171,202
19	31600	Steam Prod Misc Plant Equip - Sibley		3,635,467								_	3,635,467	3.1	99.660%	3,623,106
20		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION - SIBLEY	\$	478,109,210	\$ -	\$		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$ 478,109,210	-,	\$	
21		STEAM PROD. JEFFREY														
22	31000	Steam Production Land - Elec - Jeffrey	\$	367,789				\$ 111,704			\$	111,704		3,1	99.660% \$	477,863
23 24	31100 31200	Steam Prod Structures - Elec - Jeffrey Steam Prod Boiler Eq - Elec - Jeffrey		22,838,007 63,551,932				410,538 1,438,628				410,538 1,438,628	23,248,545 64,990,560	3,1 3,1	99.660% 99.660%	23,169,500 64,769,592
25	31200	Steam Prod Boiler AQC Eq - Jeffrey		79,161,250				1,430,020				1,430,020	79,161,250	3,1	99.660%	78,892,101
26	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - Jeffrey		22,204,653									22,204,653	3,1	99.660%	22,129,157
27	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip - Jeffrey		7,784,188								_	7,784,188	3,1	99.660%	7,757,721
28	31500	Steam Prod - Jeffrey GSU's		, . ,				1,750,630				1,750,630	1,750,630	3,1	99.660%	1,744,678
29	31600	Steam Prod Misc Plant Equip - Jeffrey		3,132,986				32,089				32,089	3,165,075	3,1	99.660%	3,154,314
30		TOTAL STEAM PROD. JEFFREY	\$	199,040,804	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 3,743,589	\$ -	\$ -	\$	3,743,589	\$ 202,784,394		\$	202,094,927
0.4		OTE AN ADOD I AVE DOAD														
31 32	31000	STEAM PROD - LAKE ROAD Steam Production Land Elec - LR	s	38,919							\$		\$ 38,919	3,4	75.821% \$	29,509
33	31100	Steam Production Structures - LR	ş	27,497,653							φ	_	27,497,653	3,5	75.821% \$	20,849,022
34	31200	Steam Production Boiler Plant - LR		85,319,737								_	85,319,737	3,6	65.594%	55,964,641
35	31202	Steam Production Boiler AQC - LR		5,636,481								-	5,636,481	3,6	65.594%	3,697,194
36	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - LR		21,150,783								-	21,150,783	3,7	99.375%	21,018,564
37	31500	Steam Production Access Equip - LR		12,251,355								-	12,251,355	3,8	75.821%	9,289,112
38	31600	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - LR		1,767,593								-	1,767,593	3,9	47.438%	838,514
39		TOTAL STEAM PROD - LAKE ROAD	\$	153,662,521	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 153,662,521		\$	111,686,556
40		STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN COMMON														
41	31000	Steam Prod Land - latan Com	s	11,381							\$	_	\$ 11.381	3,1	99.660% \$	11.343
42	31100	Steam Prod. Struct latan Com	•	21,550,123							*	-	21,550,123	3,1	99.660%	21,476,852
43	31200	Steam Prod. Boiler Equiplatan Com		53,023,598								-	53,023,598	3,1	99.660%	52,843,317
44	31400	Steam Prod. TurboGen - latan Com		1,750,085								-	1,750,085	3,1	99.660%	1,744,134
45	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip- latan Com		7,583,772								-	7,583,772	3,1	99.660%	7,557,987
46	31600	Steam Production-Misc Power Plant Equipment-latan Com		939,156								-	939,156	3,1	99.660%	935,963
47		TOTAL STEAM PROD - IATAN COMMON	\$	84,858,114	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 84,858,114		\$	84,569,597
48		STEAM PRODUCTION IATAN 1														
49	31000	Steam Production Land - latan 1	\$	249,279								-	\$ 249,279	3,1	99.660% \$	248,432
50	31100	Steam Production Structures - latan 1		4,722,654								-	4,722,654	3,1	99.660%	4,706,597
51	31105	Steam Production Structures - latan 1 Disallowance		(15,150)								-	(15,150)	1,1	100.000%	(15,150)
52	31200	Steam Production Boiler Plant - latan 1		101,998,219								-	101,998,219	3,1	99.660%	101,651,425
53	31205	Steam Production Boiler Plant - latan 1 Disallowance		(262,720)								-	(262,720)	1,1	100.000%	(262,720)
54 55	31202 31400	Steam Prod Boiler AQC - latan 1		455,225								-	455,225	3,1	99.660%	453,677
55	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - latan 1		15,614,924								-	15,614,924	3,1	99.660%	15,561,834

Line	Account						Adiu	ıstments										
					RB-20		,.	JEC Plant		isallowances						Juris		
					Estimated Net	Cł	narging	Adjustments		rossroads &	LTIP					Factor	Juris I	Elec Juris Adjusted
No.	No.	Description	Pe	r DR 27 Plant	Additions		ons Jun18	GSU Trf		T&D	Capitalization Adj	Tota	al Adjustments	Adj	usted Plant	No.	Allocation	Plant
56	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip - latan 1		12,789,966									-		12,789,966	3,1	99.660%	12,746,480
57	31505	Steam Prod Access Equip - latan 1Disallowance		(21,473)									-		(21,473)	1,1	100.000%	(21,473)
58	31600	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - latan 1		1,835,726									-		1,835,726	3,1	99.660%	1,829,485
59	31605	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - latan 1 Disallowance		(2,383)									-		(2,383)	1,1	100.000%	(2,383)
60		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION IATAN 1	\$	137,364,268	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	137,364,268		\$	136,896,204
61		STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN 2																
62	31100	Steam Production-Structures-latan 2		29,516,775									-		29,516,775	3,1	99.660%	29,416,418
63	31106	Steam Production-Structures-latan 2 disallowance		(435,092)									-		(435,092)	1,1	100.000%	(435,092)
64	31200	Steam ProdBoiler Plant Equip-latan 2		198,402,724									-		198,402,724	3,1	99.660%	197,728,155
65	31206	Steam ProdBoiler Plant Equip-latan 2 disallowance		(3,127,158)									-		(3,127,158)	1,1	100.000%	(3,127,158)
66	31400	Steam ProdTurbogenerator-latan 2		71,364,934									-		71,364,934	3,1	99.660%	71,122,293
67	31406	Steam ProdTurbogenerator-latan 2 disallowance		(432,292)									-		(432,292)	1,1	100.000%	(432,292)
68	31500	Steam ProdAccessory Equipment latan 2		17,791,172									-		17,791,172	3,1	99.660%	17,730,682
69	31506	Steam ProdAccessory Equipment latan 2 disallowance		(144,466)									-		(144,466)	1,1	100.000%	(144,466)
70	31600	Steam Production-Misc Power Plant Equipment-latan 2		1,217,789									-		1,217,789	3,1	99.660%	1,213,649
71	31606	Steam Prod-Misc Power Plant Equip-latan 2 disallowance		(16,154)									-		(16,154)	1,1	100.000%	(16,154)
72		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN 2	\$	314,138,232	\$ -	\$		\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	314,138,232		\$	313,056,034
73		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION	\$	1,367,173,150	\$ -	\$		\$ 3,743,	589 \$	-	\$ -	\$	3,743,589	\$	1,370,916,740		\$	1,324,786,957
	OTHER PRO																	
75		OTHER PROD - NEVADA																
76	34000	Other Production Land Elec - Nevada	\$	59,905								\$	-	\$	59,905	3,1	99.660% \$	59,701
77	34100	Other Prod. Structures Elec - Nevada		417,680									-		417,680	3,1	99.660%	416,260
78	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders Elec - Nevada		777,964									-		777,964	3,1	99.660%	775,319
79	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - Nevada		935,801									-		935,801	3,1	99.660%	932,620
80	34400	Other Prod. Generators Elec - Nevada		611,711									-		611,711	3,1	99.660%	609,631
81	34500	Other Prod. Access. Eq - Elec - Nevada		549,179									-		549,179	3,1	99.660%	547,312
82	34600	Other Prod. Misc Plt Eq - Nevada		10,842									-		10,842	3,1	99.660% \$	10,805
83		TOTAL OTHER PROD - NEVADA	\$	3,363,082	\$ -	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	3,363,082		\$	3,351,648
0.4		OTHER REAL AREAUTOR																
84 85	34000	OTHER PROD GREENWOOD Other Production Land - GW	s	233,662								\$		\$	233,662	3,1	99.660% \$	232,868
			Þ									Ф	-	Ф				
86 87	34100 34200	Other Prod. Structures - GW Other Prod. Fuel Holders - GW		5,476,079 3.687.615									-		5,476,079 3,687,615	3,1 3.1	99.660% 99.660%	5,457,461 3.675.077
88	34200	Other Prod. Prime Movers - GW		35,456,323									-		35,456,323	- /	99.660%	35,335,771
89	34400	Other Prod. Generators - GW		8,351,250									-		8,351,250	3,1 3,1	99.660%	8,322,856
90				6,879,502									-		6,879,502			6,856,111
90 91	34500 34600	Other Prod. Access Eq - GW Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - GW		79.132									-		79.132	3,1 3,1	99.660% 99.660% \$	78.863
92	34000	TOTAL OTHER PROD GREENWOOD	<u>s</u>	60,163,563	s -	\$		\$	- \$		\$ -	\$	-	\$	60,163,563	3,1	\$9.000% \$	59,959,006
32		TOTAL OTHER PROD GREENWOOD		00,103,303	<u> </u>	•		4	- 4		-	<u> </u>	-	Ψ	00,103,303			33,333,000
93		OTHER PROD SOUTH HARPER																
94	34000	Other Prod. Land - SH	s	1.034.874								\$	_	\$	1.034.874	3.1	99.660% \$	1.031.356
95	34100	Other Prod. Structures - SH	Ÿ	12,122,132								Ψ	-	Ψ	12,122,132	3,1	99.660% ¢	12,080,917
96	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders - SH		4,004,628									_		4,004,628	3.1	99.660%	3,991,012
97	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - SH		70,235,013									-		70,235,013	3,1	99.660%	69,996,214
98	34400	Other Prod. Generators - SH		17,543,981									-		17,543,981	3,1	99.660%	17,484,331
99	34500	Other Prod. Access Elec Eq - SH		17,271,230									_		17,271,230	3,1	99.660%	17,212,508
100	34600	Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - SH		297.549									-		297,549	3,1	99.660% \$	296,537
101	0.000	TOTAL OTHER PROD SOUTH HARPER	S	122,509,407	\$ -	\$		s	- \$	-	\$ -	\$		\$	122,509,407	٠,.	\$	122,092,875
101		TOTAL OTHER TROP GOOTHTIART ER	<u> </u>	122,000,407				•	- •		· -	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	122,000,401			122,032,070
102		OTHER PROD - CROSSROADS																
103	34000	Other Production Land - Crossroads	s	427,390					s	(240,351	1)	\$	(240,351)	\$	187,039	3.1	99.660% \$	186.403
103	34100	Other Production Earla - Crossroads Other Prod. Structures - Crossroads	¥	2,941,645					Ÿ	(1,279,957		~	(1,279,957)	Ÿ	1,661,688	3,1	99.660%	1,656,038
105	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders - Crossroads		4,764,501						(2,418,184			(2,418,184)		2,346,317	3,1	99.660%	2,338,340
106	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers- Crossroads		80,617,571						(44,761,402			(44,761,402)		35,856,169	3,1	99.660%	35,734,258
107	34400	Other Prod. Generators - Crossroads		16,441,651						(8,937,477			(8,937,477)		7,504,174	3,1	99.660%	7,478,660
108	34500	Other Prod. Acc. Elec Eq -Crossroads		15,427,457						(8,752,236			(8,752,236)		6,675,221	3,1	99.660%	6,652,525
109	34600	Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - Crossroads		151,949						(73,591			(73,591)		78,358	3,1	99.660%	78,092
110	0.000	TOTAL OTHER PROD - CROSSROADS	s	120,772,164	s -	s		s	- \$	(66,463,198		\$	(66,463,198)	\$	54,308,966	٠,٠	\$	54,124,316
				,,						,,,100	, .		(,, /00)	-	, .,			,,

Line	Account							Adjı	ustments	;											
					RB-2					Plant		allowances							Juris		
				DD 07 DI	Estimate			rging		ments &	Cro	ossroads &	•	LTIP					Factor	Juris	Elec Juris Adjusted
No.	No.	Description	Per	DR 27 Plant	Additio	ns	Station	s Jun18	GS	U Trf		T&D	Cap	pitalization Adj	lota	l Adjustments	Ac	djusted Plant	No.	Allocation	Plant
111		OTHER PROD - SOLAR																			
112	34401	Other Prod. Generators - Solar	\$	8,429,121											\$	-	\$	8,429,121	3,1	99.660%	8,400,462
113		TOTAL OTHER PROD - SOLAR	\$	8,429,121	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	8,429,121			8,400,462
114		OTHER PRODUCTION - LAKE ROAD																			
115	34100	Other Prod Structures - Electric	\$	1,592,075											\$		\$	1,592,075	3,1	99.660%	1,586,662
116	34200	Other Prod Structures - Electric Other Prod Fuel Holders - Electric	۳	626,192											Ψ		Ψ	626,192	3,1	99.660%	624,063
117	34300	Other Prod Prime Movers - Electric		16,775,216												-		16,775,216	3,1	99.660%	16,718,181
118	34400	Other Prod Generators - Electric		2.606.821												-		2.606.821	3.1	99.660%	2.597.958
119	34500	Other Prod Accessory Equip - Electric		2,680,435												-		2,680,435	3,1	99.660%	2,671,322
120	34600	Other Prod Misc Plt - Electric		-												-		· · · · ·	3,1	99.660%	· · · · · · · ·
121		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION - LAKE ROAD	\$	24,280,739	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	24,280,739		_;	24,198,184
122		OTHER PROD - RALPH GREEN																			
123	34000	Other Production Land Elec - RG	s	11,376											\$		\$	11,376	3.1	99.660%	11,337
124	34100	Other Production Earld Elec - RG Other Prod. Structures Elec - RG	۳	1,859,964											Ψ	-	Ψ	1,859,964	3,1	99.660%	1,853,640
125	34200	Other Prod. Structures Elec - RG Other Prod. Fuel Holders Elec - RG		453,765												-		453,765	3,1	99.660%	452,222
126	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - RG		5,487,483														5,487,483	3,1	99.660%	5,468,825
127	34400	Other Prod. Generators Elec - RG		6,396,677												-		6,396,677	3,1	99.660%	6,374,928
128	34500	Other Prod. Access. Elec Eq - RG		1,574,781												_		1,574,781	3,1	99.660%	1,569,427
129	34600	Other Prod. Misc Plt Eq - RG		31,050												_		31,050	3,1	99.660%	30,945
130		TOTAL OTHER PROD - RALPH GREEN	\$	15,815,095	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	15,815,095	.,	3	
			-																	_	
131		OTHER PRODUCTION - LANDFILL GAS TURBINE																			
132	34100	Other Prod Structures - Electric	\$	256,910											\$	-	\$	256,910	3,1	99.660%	
133	34200	Other Prod Fuel Holders - Electric		2,309,870												-		2,309,870	3,1	99.660%	2,302,016
134	34300	Other Prod Prime Movers - Electric		11,018												-		11,018	3,1	99.660%	10,981
135	34400	Other Prod Generators - Electric		2,923,022												-		2,923,022	3,1	99.660%	2,913,084
136 137	34500 34600	Other Prod Accessory Equip - Electric Other Prod Misc Plt - Electric		41,622 4,059												-		41,622 4,059	3,1 3,1	99.660% 99.660%	41,481 4,045
138	34600	TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT - LAKE ROAD	\$	5,546,502	\$	-	s		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	5,546,502	3,1	99.000%	
				-,,			•		•						<u> </u>		-			_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
139		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION	\$	360,879,673	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(66,463,198) \$	-	\$	(66,463,198)	\$	294,416,475		3	293,415,459
140		PROJECTED ADDS NET OF RETIRES																			
141	31100	Structures and Improvements													\$	_	\$	_	3,1	99.660%	
142	31200	Boiler Plant Equipment													Ψ	-	*	_	3,1	99.660%	· -
143	31202	Steam Prod Boiler AQC Eq														_		_	3,1	99.660%	-
144	31400	Turbo Generator Units														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
145	31500	Accessory Electric Equipment														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
146	31600	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
147	34100	Structures and improvements														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
148	34200	Fuel holders,producrs,accessr														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
149	34300	Prime movers														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
150	34400	Generators														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
151	34401	Other Prod. Generators - Solar														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
152	34500	Accessory electric equipment														-		-	3,1	99.660%	-
153	34600	Misc power plant equipment	_				_		_							-	_	-	3,1	99.660%	-
154		TOTAL PROJ ADDS NET OF RETIRES-STEAM & CT'S	\$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$			_5	-
155		TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT	\$	1,728,052,823	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,743,589	\$	(66,463,198) \$	-	\$	(62,719,609)	\$	1,665,333,214		3	1,618,202,415
156		TRANSMISSION PLANT																			
157	35000	Transmission Land Electric	\$	2,884,090											\$	-	\$	2,884,090	8,1	99.660%	2,874,284
158	35001	Transmission Land Rights - Electric		1,972,660												-		1,972,660	3,1	99.660%	1,965,953
159	35004	Transmission Depreciable Land Rights		12,977,912												-		12,977,912	8,1	99.660%	12,933,787
160	35200	Transmission Structures and Imp.		9,232,550												-		9,232,550	8,1	99.660%	9,201,159
161	35300	Transmission Station Equip		188,826,033					(1,750,630)					(1,750,630)		187,075,402	8,1	99.660%	186,439,346
162	35303	Trans. Station Equip. Commication Eq		125,547												- '		125,547	8,1	99.660%	125,120
163	35400	Transmission Towers and Fixtures		323,639												-		323,639	8,1	99.660%	322,539

Line	Account					Adiu	ıstments								
					RB-20		JEC Plant	Disallowances					Juris		
				D 07 Di	Estimated Net	Charging	Adjustments &	Crossroads &	LTIP				Factor		Elec Juris Adjusted
No. 164	No. 35500	Description Transmission Poles and Fixtures	Per L	133,968,619	Additions	Stations Jun18	GSU Trf	T&D	Capitalization Adj	Total	Adjustments	Adjusted Plant 133,968,619	No. 8,1	Allocation 99.660%	Plant 133,513,126
165	35500	Transmission Poles and Fixtures-Disallow		155,500,015				(1,402,180)			(1,402,180)	(1,402,180)	1,1	100.000%	(1,402,180)
166	35600	Transmission Overhead Cond & Devices		77,407,085				(1,10=,100)			-	77,407,085	8,1	99.660%	77,143,901
167	35600	Transmission Overhead Cond & Devices-Disallow						(3,221,404)			(3,221,404)	(3,221,404)	1,1	100.000%	(3,221,404)
168	35700	Transmission Underground Conduit		16,148							-	16,148	3,1	99.660%	16,093
169	35800	Transmission Underground Cond & Dev.		86,562							-	86,562	8,1	99.660%	86,268
170		TOTAL TRANSMISSION PLANT	\$	427,820,845	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (1,750,630)	\$ (4,623,584)	\$ -	\$	(6,374,214) \$	421,446,631		\$	419,997,992
171		DISTRIBUTION PLANT													
172	36000	Distribution Land Electric	\$	6,739,471						\$	- \$	6,739,471	5,1	99.760% \$	6,723,309
173	36001	Distribution Depreciable Land Rights		382,240							-	382,240	5,1	99.760%	381,323
174	36002	Distribution Land Leased		22,228							-	22,228	5,1	99.760%	22,175
175	36100	Distribution Structures & Improvements		12,616,136							-	12,616,136	5,1	99.760%	12,585,882
176 177	36200 36400	Distribution Station Equipment		207,947,250							-	207,947,250	5,1 5.1	99.760% 99.760%	207,448,592
177	36500	Distribution Poles, Tower, & Fixtures Distribution Overhead Conductor		274,477,617 178,002,791							-	274,477,617 178,002,791	5,1 5,1	99.760%	273,819,420 177,575,940
179	36500	Distribution Overhead Conductor-Disallow		170,002,791				(3,055,085)			(3,055,085)	(3,055,085)	1,1	100.000%	(3,055,085)
180	36600	Distribution Underground Circuit		87,190,941				(0,000,000)			-	87,190,941	5,1	99.760%	86,981,857
181	36600	Distribution Underground Circuit-Disallow						(321,331)			(321,331)	(321,331)	1,1	100.000%	(321,331)
182	36700	Distribution Underground Conductors		190,171,609							- 1	190,171,609	5,1	99.760%	189,715,578
183	36800	Distribution Line Transformers		247,870,465							-	247,870,465	5,1	99.760%	247,276,072
184	36901	Distribution Services Overhead		23,699,630							-	23,699,630	5,1	99.760%	23,642,798
185	36902	Distribution Services Underground		80,296,030							-	80,296,030	5,1	99.760%	80,103,480
186 187	37000 37001	Distribution Meters Electric Distribution Meters PURPA		28,419,903 2,038,114							-	28,419,903 2,038,114	5,1 5,1	99.760% 99.760%	28,351,752 2,033,227
188	37001	Distribution Meters - AMI		21.830.220								21.830.220	5.1	99.760%	21.777.871
189	37100	Distribution Cust Prem Install		26,071,448							_	26,071,448	5,1	99.760%	26,008,928
190	37101	Distribution Electric Vehicle Charging Stations				4,753,905					4,753,905	4,753,905	1,1	100.000%	4,753,905
191	37300	Distribution Street Light and Traffic Signal		46,860,896							-	46,860,896	5,1	99.760%	46,748,523
192		TOTAL DISTRIBUTION PLANT	\$ 1	1,434,636,987	\$ -	\$ 4,753,905	\$ -	\$ (3,376,416)	\$ -	\$	1,377,489 \$	1,436,014,476		\$	1,432,574,216
193		GENERAL PLANT													
193	38900	General Land Electric	s	1,892,211						\$	- \$	1,892,211	7,1	99.591% \$	1,884,472
195	38901	General Land Electric-Land Rights	Ÿ	2,303						Ψ	- ψ	2,303	7,1	99.591% \$	2,293
196	39000	General Structures & Improv. Electric		48,552,623							_	48,552,623	7,1	99.591%	48,354,043
197	39100	General Office Furniture & Equipment		7,211,694							-	7,211,694	7,1	99.591%	7,182,198
198	39102	General Office Furniture - Computer		5,598,062							-	5,598,062	7,1	99.591%	5,575,166
199	39104	General Office Furniture - Software		1,343,248							-	1,343,248	7,1	99.591%	1,337,754
200	39200	General Transportation Equip Autos		122,445							-	122,445	7,1	99.591%	121,944
201 202	39201 39202	General Transportation Equip Light Trucks General Trans Equip Heavy Trucks		5,131,748 24,966,869							-	5,131,748 24,966,869	7,1 7,1	99.591% 99.591%	5,110,759 24,864,754
202	39202	General Trans Equip Tractors		203,787							-	203,787	7,1	99.591%	202,953
204	39204	General Trans Equip Trailers		1,156,216							-	1,156,216	7,1	99.591%	1.151.487
205	39205	General Trans Equip Medium Trucks		11,390							-	11,390	7,1	99.591%	11,343
206	39300	General Stores Equipment		58,875							-	58,875	7,1	99.591%	58,634
207	39400	General Tools Electric		5,184,942							-	5,184,942	7,1	99.591%	5,163,735
208	39500	General Laboratory Equipment		4,216,189							-	4,216,189	7,1	99.591%	4,198,945
209	39600	General Power Operated Equipment		6,456,967							-	6,456,967	7,1	99.591%	6,430,558
210 211	39700 39800	General Communication Equipment General Misc. Equipment		40,851,297 448,414							-	40,851,297 448,414	7,1 7,1	99.591% 99.591%	40,684,216 446,580
212	39000	TOTAL GENERAL PLANT	S	153,409,280	\$ -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$		7,1	\$9.591%	152,781,836
					-	-	-	-	•		•	.55,.55,266			.02,.0.,000
213		GENERAL PLANT - LAKE ROAD													
214	39000	General Structures - LR	\$	-						\$	- \$		3,8	75.821% \$	-
215	39100	General Office Furniture - LR		237,196							-	237,196	3,8	75.821%	179,844
216 217	39102 39104	General Office Furniture Computer - LR		132,552							-	132,552	3,8	75.821%	100,503
217	39104	General Office Furniture Software - LR General Trans Autos - LR		-							-	-	3,8 3.8	75.821% 75.821%	-
219	39200	General Trans Light Trucks - LR		260,282							-	260,282	3,8	75.821%	197,349
220	39202	General Trans Heavy Trucks - LR		71,418							-	71,418	3,8	75.821%	54,150
221	39204	General Trans Trailers - Electric		95,073							-	95,073	3,8	75.821%	72,085

Line	Account						Adju	stments										
					RB-20			JEC Plant	Disal	lowances						Juris		
					Estimated Net		narging	Adjustments &		sroads &	L	.TIP				Factor	Juris	Elec Juris Adjusted
No.	No.	Description	Pe	er DR 27 Plant	Additions	Statio	ons Jun18	GSU Trf	1	T&D	Capitali	ization Adj	Tota	al Adjustments	Adjusted Plant	No.	Allocation	Plant
222	39205	General Trans Med Trucks - LR												-	-	3,8	75.821%	-
223	39300	General Stores Equp LR		23,379										-	23,379	3,8	75.821%	17,726
224	39400	General Tools - LR		304,431										-	304,431	3,8	75.821%	230,823
225	39500	General Laboratory - LR		436,007										-	436,007	3,8	75.821%	330,586
226	39600	General Power Operated Equip LR		951,494										-	951,494	3,8	75.821%	721,433
227	39700	General Communication - LR		675,607										-	675,607	3,8	75.821%	512,253
228	39800	General Misc. Equip - LR		44,059										-	44,059	3,8	75.821%	33,406
229		TOTAL GENERAL PLANT - LAKE ROAD	\$	3,231,499	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 3,231,499			\$ 2,450,158
230		INDUSTRIAL STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT																
231	31009	Industrial Steam Land	\$	11,450									\$	-	\$ 11,450	2,2	0.000%	\$ -
232	31109	Industrial Steam Structures		30,158										-	30,158	2,2	0.000%	-
233	31209	Industrial Steam Boiler Plant		1,764,819										-	1,764,819	2,2	0.000%	-
234	31509	Industrial Steam Accessory		48,849										-	48,849	2,2	0.000%	-
235	37509	Industrial Steam Distribution		132,908										-	132,908	2,2	0.000%	-
236	37609	Industrial Steam Mains		1,420,926										-	1,420,926	2,2	0.000%	-
237	37909	Industrial Steam CTY Gate		485,291										-	485,291	2,2	0.000%	-
238	38009	Industrial Steam Services		100,842										-	100,842	2,2	0.000%	-
239	38109	Industrial Steam Services- Other		363,850										-	363,850	2,2	0.000%	<u> </u>
240		TOTAL INDUSTRIAL STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT	\$	4,359,094	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 4,359,094	-		\$ -
																-	_	
	CAPITALIZE	D LT INCENTIVE STOCK AWARDS																
241	39999	Capitalized LT Incentive Stock Awards										(3,835,702)		(3,835,702)	(3,835,702)	1,1	100.000%	(3,835,702)
242		TOTAL PLANT IN SERVICE	\$	3,783,430,917	\$ -	\$	4,753,905	\$ 1,992,959	\$ (7	78,354,885)	\$	(3,835,702)	\$	(75,443,723)	\$ 3,707,987,193	-	_	\$ 3,650,009,510

Line	Account	t				Adjustr	nents		_						
No.	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description	ا	Per DR 27 Reserve	RB-30 Proj Net Activity	JEC Plant Adjustments & GSU Trf	Disallowances Crossroads & T&D	Charging Stations Jun18	To	tal Adjustments	Δdi	justed Reserve	Juris Factor #	Juris Allocation	Electric Juris Adjusted Reserve
	A	B		C	D	E	F	G		Н	Auj	I	J	K	L
1	,,	INTANGIBLE PLANT		J	_	_	•	· ·		••		•	·		-
2	30100	Intangible Plant Organization Electric	\$	16,313					\$	-	\$	16,313	7,1	99.591%	16,246
3	30301	Miscellaneous Intangibles (Like 353)	·	102,567						-		102,567	8,1	99.660%	102,218
4	30301	Misc. Intangibles - Trans Crossroads		5,218,366			(2,841,288)			(2,841,288)		2,377,079	8,1	99.660%	2,368,997
5	30302	Miscellaneous Intangibles- Cap Softwr 5 yr		15,344,727			,			-		15,344,727	7,1	99.591%	15,281,968
6	30302	Misc. Intangible Cap Software - Lake Road		350,000						-		350,000	3,8	75.821%	265,374
7	30309	Misc. Intangible -MINT Line		26,053						-		26,053	8,1	99.660%	25,964
8	30310	Miscl Intang-latan Hwy & Bridge		145,264						-		145,264	8,1	99.660%	144,770
9		TOTAL PLANT INTANGIBLE	\$	21,203,290	\$ -		\$ (2,841,288)	\$ -	\$	(2,841,288)	\$	18,362,002			\$ 18,205,536
10	PRODUC	CTION PLANT													
11	STEAM F	PRODUCTION													
12		STEAM PRODUCTION - SIBLEY													
13	31000	Steam Production Land - Elec - Sibley	\$	-					\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
14	31100	Steam Prod Structures - Elec - Sibley		28,724,769				-		-		28,724,769	3,1	99.660%	28,627,104
15	31200	Steam Prod Boiler Plant Elec - Sibley		94,777,361				-		-		94,777,361	3,1	99.660%	94,455,118
16	31202	Steam Prod Boiler AQC Equip - Sibley		7,041,804						-		7,041,804	3,1	99.660%	7,017,862
17	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - Sibley		32,659,429						-		32,659,429	3,1	99.660%	32,548,387
18	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip Elec - Sibley		13,246,389						-		13,246,389	3,1	99.660%	13,201,351
19	31600	Steam Prod Misc Plant Equip - Sibley		688,946				-				688,946	3,1	99.660%	
20		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION - SIBLEY	\$	177,138,697	\$ -		\$ -	\$ -		-	\$	177,138,697			\$ 176,536,426
21		STEAM PROD. JEFFREY													
22	31000	Steam Production Land - Elec - Jeffrey	\$	-					\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
23	31100	Steam Prod Structures - Elec - Jeffrey		16,089,254		410,538				410,538		16,499,792	3,1	99.660%	16,443,693
24	31200	Steam Prod Boiler Eq - Elec - Jeffrey		41,908,428		1,438,628				1,438,628		43,347,056	3,1	99.660%	43,199,676
25	31202	Steam Prod Boiler AQC Eq - Jeffrey		8,139,748						-		8,139,748	3,1	99.660%	8,112,073
26	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - Jeffrey		8,487,121						-		8,487,121	3,1	99.660%	8,458,264
27	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip - Jeffrey		6,008,848						-		6,008,848	3,1	99.660%	5,988,417
28	31500	Steam Prod - Jeffrey GSU's				954,738				954,738		954,738	3,1	99.660%	951,492
29	31600	Steam Prod Misc Plant Equip - Jeffrey		1,058,196		32,089				32,089		1,090,285	3,1	99.660%	1,086,578
30		TOTAL STEAM PROD. JEFFREY		81,691,594	\$ -	\$ 2,835,993	\$ -	\$ -	\$_	2,835,993	\$	84,527,588			\$ 84,240,194
31		STEAM PROD - LAKE ROAD													
32	31000	Steam Production Land Elec - LR	\$	_					\$	-	\$	-	3,4	75.821%	\$ -
33	31100	Steam Production Structures - LR		7,935,346						-		7,935,346	3,5	75.821%	6,016,667

Line	Account						Adjustn	nents										
							JEC Plant	Dis	allowances	Cha	arging							
			Per DR 27	R	B-30 Proj Net	A	djustments &	Cro	ssroads &		itions					Juris	Juris	Electric Juris
No.	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description	Reserve		Activity		GSU Trf		T&D	Jı	ın18	Tota	Adjustments	Ad	ljusted Reserve	Factor #	Allocation	Adjusted Reserve
34	31200	Steam Production Boiler Plant - LR	20,741,196										-		20,741,196	3,6	65.594%	13,604,983
35	31202	Steam Production Boiler AQC - LR	1,508,138										-		1,508,138	3,6	65.594%	989,249
36	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - LR	10,897,327										-		10,897,327	3,7	99.375%	10,829,205
37	31500	Steam Production Access Equip - LR	4,334,102										-		4,334,102	3,8	75.821%	3,286,163
38	31600	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - LR	291,899										-		291,899	3,9	47.438% _	138,472
39		TOTAL STEAM PROD - LAKE ROAD	\$ 45,708,009	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$		\$	-	\$	45,708,009		-	\$ 34,864,739
40		STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN COMMON																
41	31000	Steam Prod Land - latan Com	\$ -									\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
42	31100	Steam Prod. Struct latan Com	3,087,268										-		3,087,268	3,1	99.660%	3,076,771
43	31200	Steam Prod. Boiler Equiplatan Com	8,149,486										-		8,149,486	3,1	99.660%	8,121,778
44	31400	Steam Prod. TurboGen - latan Com	308,474										-		308,474	3,1	99.660%	307,425
45	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip- Iatan Com	1,394,014										-		1,394,014	3,1	99.660%	1,389,274
46	31600	Steam Production-Misc Power Plant Equipment-latan Cor	т 83,802										-		83,802	3,1	99.660%	83,517
47		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN COMMON	\$ 13,023,044	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	13,023,044		_	\$ 12,978,765
48		STEAM PRODUCTION IATAN 1																
49	31000	Steam Production Land - latan 1	\$ -									\$	_	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
50	31100	Steam Production Structures - latan 1	2,585,910									·	_	•	2,585,910	3.1	99.660%	2,577,118
51	31105	Steam Production Structures - latan 1 Disallowance	(1,951)										_		(1,951)	1,1	100.000%	(1,951)
52	31200	Steam Production Boiler Plant - latan 1	31,893,847										_		31,893,847	3,1	99.660%	31,785,408
53	31205	Steam Production Boiler Plant - Iatan 1 Disallow	(37,516)										_		(37,516)	1,1	100.000%	(37,516)
54	31202	Steam Prod Boiler AQC - latan 1	106,795										_		106,795	3,1	99.660%	106,432
55	31400	Steam Prod Turbogenerator - latan 1	8,348,287										_		8,348,287	3,1	99.660%	8,319,903
56	31500	Steam Prod Access Equip - latan 1	5,596,334										_		5,596,334	3,1	99.660%	5,577,306
57	31505	Steam Prod Access Equip - latan 1 Disallowance	(3,589)										_		(3,589)	1,1	100.000%	(3,589)
58	31600	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - latan 1	617,968										-		617,968	3,1	99.660%	615,867
59	31605	Steam Prod Misc Power Plant - latan 1 Disallowance	(415)										-		(415)	1,1	100.000%	(415)
60		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION IATAN 1	\$ 49,105,670	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	49,105,670		_	\$ 48,938,562
61		STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN 2																
62	31100	Steam Production-Structures-latan 2	4,230,128				_						_		4,230,128	3,1	99.660%	4,215,746
63	31106	Steam Production-Structures-latan 2 Disallowance	(56,649)				_						_		(56,649)	1,1	100.000%	(56,649)
64	31200	Steam ProdBoiler Plant Equip-latan 2	33,403,082										_		33,403,082	3,1	99.660%	33,289,512
65	31200	Steam ProdBoiler Plant Equip-latan 2 Disallowance	(468,448)				-						-		(468,448)	3, i 1,1	100.000%	(468,448)
66	31400	Steam ProdTurbogenerator-latan 2	10,569,480				-						-		10,569,480	3,1	99.660%	10,533,544
67	31400	Steam ProdTurbogenerator-latan 2 Disallowance	(70,204)				-						-		(70,204)	3, i 1,1	100.000%	(70,204)
07	31400	Steam FrouTurbogenerator-latan z Disaliowance	(70,204)				-						-		(10,204)	1,1	100.000%	(10,204)

Line	Account	:				Adjustm	nents				_						
						JEC Plant	Disa	llowances	Cha	rging							
			Per DR 27	RB-30 Proj Net	Ad	justments &		sroads &		tions					Juris	Juris	Electric Juris
No.	Number		Reserve	Activity		GSU Trf		T&D	Ju	ın18	Tot	al Adjustments	Ad	justed Reserve	Factor #	Allocation	Adjusted Reserve
68	31500	Steam ProdAccessory Equipment latan 2	2,714,176			-						-		2,714,176	3,1	99.660%	2,704,948
69	31506	Steam ProdAccessory Equipment latan 2 Disallowance				-						-		(24,068)	1,1	100.000%	(24,068)
70	31600	Steam Production-Misc Power Plant Equipment-latan 2	197,133			-						-		197,133	3,1	99.660%	196,463
71	31606	Steam Production-Misc Power Plant Equip-latan 2 Disallo		· 		-						-		(2,827)	1,1	100.000% _	(2,827)
72		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION - IATAN 2	\$ 50,491,804	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,491,804		-	\$ 50,318,016
73	31299	GMO Additional Amortization ER-2016-0156	\$ 9,750,000								\$	-	\$	9,750,000	1,1	100.000%	\$ 9,750,000
74		TOTAL STEAM PRODUCTION	\$ 426,908,818	\$ -	\$	2,835,993	\$		\$		\$	2,835,993	\$	429,744,811		-	\$ 417,626,702
, ,		TOTAL OTLAMT RODOCTION	Ψ 420,000,010	Ψ -	Ψ	2,000,000	Ψ		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	2,000,000	Ψ	420,144,011		-	417,020,702
75	OTHER F	PRODUCTION															
76		OTHER PROD - NEVADA															
77	34000	Other Production Land Elec - Nevada	\$ -								\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
78	34100	Other Prod. Structures Elec - Nevada	108,759									-		108,759	3,1	99.660%	108,389
79	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders Elec - Nevada	403,299									-		403,299	3,1	99.660%	401,928
80	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - Nevada	900,425									-		900,425	3,1	99.660%	897,363
81	34400	Other Prod. Generators Elec - Nevada	613,592									-		613,592	3,1	99.660%	611,506
82	34500	Other Prod. Access. Eq - Elec - Nevada	418,153									-		418,153	3,1	99.660%	416,731
83	34600	· ·	1,860									-		1,860	3,1	99.660%	1,853
84		TOTAL OTHER PROD - NEVADA	\$ 2,446,088	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	2,446,088		-	\$ 2,437,771
85		OTHER PROD GREENWOOD															
86	34000	Other Production Land - GW	\$ -								\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
87	34100	Other Prod. Structures - GW	1,301,396									-		1,301,396	3,1	99.660%	1,296,971
88	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders - GW	2,104,890									-		2,104,890	3,1	99.660%	2,097,734
89	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - GW	25,775,534									-		25,775,534	3,1	99.660%	25,687,897
90	34400	Other Prod. Generators - GW	6,840,255									-		6,840,255	3,1	99.660%	6,816,998
91	34500	Other Prod. Access Eq - GW	3,673,584									-		3,673,584	3,1	99.660%	3,661,094
92	34600	Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - GW	8,917									-		8,917	3,1	99.660% _	8,887
93		TOTAL OTHER PROD GREENWOOD	\$ 39,704,577	- \$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		-	\$	39,704,577		-	\$ 39,569,581
94		OTHER PROD SOUTH HARPER															
95	34000	Other Prod. Land - SH	\$ -								\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
96	34100	Other Prod. Structures - SH	2,436,920									-		2,436,920	3,1	99.660%	2,428,634
97	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders - SH	1,624,893									-		1,624,893	3,1	99.660%	1,619,369
98	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - SH	41,636,486									-		41,636,486	3,1	99.660%	41,494,922
99	34400	Other Prod. Generators - SH	8,771,442									-		8,771,442	3,1	99.660%	8,741,619

Line	Account					Adjustn	nents								
No.	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description	1	Per DR 27 Reserve	RB-30 Proj Net Activity	JEC Plant Adjustments & GSU Trf	Disallowances Crossroads & T&D	Charging Stations Jun18	To	otal Adiustments	∆diust	ed Reserve	Juris Factor#	Juris Allocation	Electric Juris Adjusted Reserve
100	34500	Other Prod. Access Elec Eq - SH		6.005.847	7.00.71.0	300		04.1.10		-		6,005,847	3,1	99.660%	5,985,427
101		Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - SH		91,006						_		91,006	3.1	99.660%	90,697
102	0.000	TOTAL OTHER PROD SOUTH HARPER	\$	60,566,594	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 6	60,566,594	٥,.	_	\$ 60,360,668
103		OTHER PROD - CROSSROADS													
104	34000	Other Production Land - Crossroads	\$	_					\$	-	\$	_	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
105	34100	Other Prod. Structures - Crossroads	Ψ	578,510			(411,204)		Ψ	(411,204)	Ψ	167,306	3,1	99.660%	166,737
106	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders - Crossroads		1,983,914			(1,369,175)			(1,369,175)		614,739	3,1	99.660%	612,648
107	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers- Crossroads		50,987,133			(35,210,259)			(35,210,259)	1	15,776,874	3,1	99.660%	15,723,233
108	34400	Other Prod. Generators - Crossroads		8,843,099			(6,357,049)			(6,357,049)		2,486,050	3,1	99.660%	2,477,598
109	34500	Other Prod. Acc. Elec Eq -Crossroads		4,719,240			(4,549,885)			(4,549,885)		169,355	3,1	99.660%	168,779
110	34600	Other Prod. Misc Pwr Plt - Crossroads		16,381			(46,839)			(46,839)		(30,458)	3,1	99.660%	(30,354)
111		TOTAL OTHER PROD - CROSSROADS	\$	67,128,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (47,944,411)	\$ -	\$	(47,944,411)	\$ 1	19,183,867	-,-	_	\$ 19,118,642
112		OTHER PROD - SOLAR													
113	34401	Other Prod. Generators - Solar	\$	630,077					\$	-	\$	630,077	3,1	99.660%	\$ 627,934
114	01101	TOTAL OTHER PROD - SOLAR	\$	630,077	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$		\$	630,077	٥, .	_	\$ 627,934
445		OTHER PROPUSTION LAKE BOAR												_	
115	0.4400	OTHER PRODUCTION - LAKE ROAD	•	4.050.000					•		•	4 050 000	• •	00 0000/	4 0 4 7 0 0 0
116	34100	Other Prod Structures - Electric	\$	1,252,086					\$	-	\$	1,252,086	3,1	99.660%	
117	34200	Other Prod Fuel Holders - Electric		611,125						=		611,125	3,1	99.660%	609,047
118	34300	Other Prod Prime Movers - Electric		10,939,032						=		10,939,032	3,1	99.660%	10,901,840
119	34400	Other Prod Generators - Electric		2,395,050						=		2,395,050	3,1	99.660%	2,386,907
120	34500	Other Prod Accessory Equip - Electric		1,137,749						-		1,137,749	3,1	99.660%	1,133,881
121	34600	Other Prod Misc Plt - Electric	_	-					_	-		-	3,1	99.660% _	
122		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION - LAKE ROAD	_\$	16,335,043	<u> </u>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	_\$_	-	\$ 1	16,335,043		-	\$ 16,279,504
123		OTHER PROD - RALPH GREEN													
124	34000	Other Production Land Elec - RG	\$	-					\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$ -
125	34100	Other Prod. Structures Elec - RG		740,476						-		740,476	3,1	99.660%	737,958
126	34200	Other Prod. Fuel Holders Elec - RG		189,212						=		189,212	3,1	99.660%	188,568
127	34300	Other Prod. Prime Movers - RG		4,766,664						=		4,766,664	3,1	99.660%	4,750,457
128	34400	Other Prod. Generators Elec - RG		6,304,401						-		6,304,401	3,1	99.660%	6,282,966
129	34500	Other Prod. Access. Elec Eq - RG		1,120,031						-		1,120,031	3,1	99.660%	1,116,223
130	34600	Other Prod. Misc Plt Eq - RG		13,927						-		13,927	3,1	99.660%	13,880
131		TOTAL OTHER PROD - RALPH GREEN	\$	13,134,710	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 1	13,134,710	•	_	\$ 13,090,052

Line	Account						Adjustm	ents	:										
Na	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description		Per DR 27 Reserve	RB-30 Proj Net Activity	: 4	JEC Plant Adjustments & GSU Trf		sallowances rossroads & T&D	S	harging Stations Jun18	т.	otal Adjustments	8 -1	ljusted Reserve	Juris Factor #	Juris Allocation		lectric Juris usted Reserve
No. 132	Number	OTHER PRODUCTION - LANDFILL GAS TURBINE		Reserve	Activity		G30 III		100		Juli 10		otal Adjustments	Au	justed Reserve	ractor#	Allocation	Auju	istea Reserve
132	34100	Other Prod Structures - Electric	\$	23,789								\$		\$	23,789	3,1	99.660%	¢	23,708
134	34200	Other Prod Structures - Electric Other Prod Fuel Holders - Electric	φ	520,314								φ	-	φ	520,314	3,1 3,1	99.660%		518,545
135	34300	Other Prod Prime Movers - Electric		3,205									-		3,205	3,1 3,1	99.660%		3,194
136		Other Prod Generators - Electric		3,205 817.927									-		3,203 817,927	3,1 3,1	99.660%		3, 194 815,146
137	34500	Other Prod Accessory Equip - Electric		8,545									-		8,545	3,1 3,1	99.660%		8,516
137		Other Prod Misc Plt - Electric		25									-		25	3,1 3,1	99.660%		25
139	34000	TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT - LAKE ROAD	\$	1,373,805	\$ -	\$	•	\$		\$		\$	<u> </u>	\$	1,373,805	3, 1	99.000 /0	\$	1,369,134
139		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT - LAKE ROAD	<u> </u>	1,373,005	<u>э</u> -	Ф	-	Ф	-	Ф		<u> </u>	-	Ф	1,373,005			<u> </u>	1,309,134
140		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION	\$	201,319,171	\$ -	\$: _	\$	(47,944,411)	¢		\$	(47 944 411)	•	153,374,760			\$	152,853,286
140		TOTAL OTHER PRODUCTION	Ψ_	201,313,171	<u> </u>	Ψ	· <u>-</u>	Ψ	(47,344,411)	Ψ		Ψ	(47,344,411)	Ψ	133,374,700			Ψ	132,033,200
141		RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-PRODUCTION																	
142		Production- Salvage & Removal Retirements not classifie	c \$	(17,474,673)								\$	_	\$	(17,474,673)	3,1	99.660%	\$	(17,415,259)
143		TOTAL RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-PRODUC		(17,474,673)	\$ -	\$	<u> </u>	\$	-	\$		\$	_	\$	(17,474,673)	0, 1	33.00070		(17,415,259)
110		TO THE REPORT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE POPULATION		(11,111,010)	-		•								(11,111,010)			-	(11,410,200)
144		PROJECTED ADDS NET OF RETIRES										\$	-	\$	-	3,1	99.660%	\$	-
145	31100	Structures and Improvements	\$	_								·	_		_	3,1	99.660%	\$	_
146	31200	Boiler Plant Equipment	·	=									-		=	3,1	99.660%	·	-
147	31202	Steam Prod Boiler AQC Eg		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
148	31400	Turbo Generator Units		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
149	31500	Accessory Electric Equipment		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
150	31600	Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
151	34100	Structures and improvements		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
152	34200	Fuel holders,producrs,accessr		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
153	34300	Prime movers		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
154	34400	Generators		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
155	34401	Other Prod. Generators - Solar		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
156	34500	Accessory electric equipment		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
157	34600	Misc power plant equipment		-									-		-	3,1	99.660%		-
158		TOTAL PROJ ADDS NET OF RETIRES-STEAM & CT'S	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			\$	-
159		TOTAL PRODUCTION PLANT	\$	610,753,315	\$ -	\$	2,835,993	\$	(47,944,411)	\$		\$	(45,108,418)	\$	565,644,898			\$	553,064,729
160		TRANSMISSION PLANT																	
161	35000	Transmission Land Electric	\$	=								\$	=	\$	=	8,1	99.660%	\$	=
162	35001	Transmission Land Rights - Electric		14,157									=		14,157	3,1	99.660%		14,109
163	35004	Transmission Depreciable Land Rights		4,153,625									-		4,153,625	8,1	99.660%		4,139,503
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·														•			

Line	Account				Adjustr	nents						
					JEC Plant	Disallowances	Charging					
			Per DR 27	RB-30 Proj Net	Adjustments &	Crossroads &	Stations			Juris	Juris	Electric Juris
No.	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description	Reserve	Activity	GSU Trf	T&D	Jun18	Total Adjustments	Adjusted Reserve	Factor #	Allocation	Adjusted Reserve
164	35200	Transmission Structures and Imp.	2,989,859					-	2,989,859	8,1	99.660%	2,979,694
165	35300	Transmission Station Equip	50,537,561		(954,738	-		(954,738)	49,582,823	8,1	99.660%	49,414,241
166	35303	Trans. Station Equip. Commication Eq	9,818					-	9,818	8,1	99.660%	9,785
167	35400	Transmission Towers and Fixtures	337,329					=	337,329	8,1	99.660%	336,182
168	35500	Transmission Poles and Fixtures	47,482,560					=	47,482,560	8,1	99.660%	47,321,120
169	35500	Transmission Poles and Fixtures-Disallow				(222,538)		(222,538)	(222,538)	1,1	100.000%	(222,538)
170	35600	Transmission Overhead Cond & Devices	35,893,932					=	35,893,932	8,1	99.660%	35,771,893
171	35600	Transmission Overhead Cond & Devices-Disallow				(404,824)		(404,824)	(404,824)	1,1	100.000%	(404,824)
172	35700	Transmission Underground Conduit	7,365					-	7,365	3,1	99.660%	7,340
173	35800	Transmission Underground Cond & Dev.	86,954						86,954	8,1	99.660% _	86,658
174		TOTAL TRANSMISSION PLANT \$	141,513,161	\$ -	\$ (954,738	\$ (627,362)	\$ -	\$ (1,582,100)	\$ 139,931,060		_	\$ 139,453,162
175		RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-TRANSMISSION										
176		Transmission-Salvage & Removal-Retirements not classif \$						\$ -	\$ (2,993,010)	8,1	99.660% _	
177		TOTAL RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-TRANSN_\$	(2,993,010)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ (2,993,010)		_	\$ (2,982,833)
178		DISTRIBUTION PLANT										
179	36000	Distribution Land Electric \$	-					\$ -	\$ -	5,1	99.760%	\$ -
180	36001	Distribution Depreciable Land Rights	_					-	<u>-</u>	5,1	99.760%	<u>-</u>
181	36002	Distribution Land Leased	7,077					_	7,077	5,1	99.760%	7.060
182	36100	Distribution Structures & Improvements	3,825,563					_	3,825,563	5,1	99.760%	3,816,389
183	36200	Distribution Station Equipment	70.765.644					_	70,765,644	5,1	99.760%	70,595,948
184	36400	Distribution Poles, Tower, & Fixtures	134,649,739					_	134,649,739	5,1	99.760%	134,326,849
185	36500	Distribution Overhead Conductor	46,784,346					_	46,784,346	5,1	99.760%	46,672,157
186	36500	Distribution Overhead Conductor-Disallow	10,701,010			(360,754)		(360,754)	(360,754)	1,1	100.000%	(360,754)
187	36600	Distribution Underground Circuit	14,917,673			(000,101)		(000,701)	14,917,673	5,1	99.760%	14,881,901
188	36600	Distribution Underground Circuit-Disallow	11,011,010			(29,589)		(29,589)	(29,589)	1,1	100.000%	(29,589)
189	36700	Distribution Underground Conductors	53,748,925			(20,000)		(20,000)	53,748,925	5,1	99.760%	53,620,035
190	36800	Distribution Line Transformers	129,252,555					_	129,252,555	5,1	99.760%	128,942,607
191	36901	Distribution Services Overhead	20,468,823					_	20,468,823	5,1	99.760%	20,419,739
192	36902	Distribution Services Underground	45,520,626					_	45,520,626	5,1	99.760%	45,411,467
193	37000	Distribution Meters Electric	8,301,560					_	8,301,560	5,1	99.760%	8,281,653
194	37001	Distribution Meters PURPA	3,653,094					_	3,653,094	5,1	99.760%	3,644,334
195	37001	Distribution Meters - AMI	1,232,997					_	1,232,997	5,1	99.760%	1,230,041
195	371002	Distribution Cust Prem Install	17,387,100					- -	17,387,100	5, i 5,1	99.760%	17,345,406
190	37100	Distribution Electric Vehicle Charging Stations	17,507,100				278,870	278,870	278,870	1,1	100.000%	278,870
198	37300	Distribution Street Light and Traffic Signal	10,862,468				210,010	210,010	10,862,468	5,1	99.760%	10,836,420
190	37300	Distribution Street Light and Traine Signal	10,002,400					-	10,002,400	J, I	99.70070	10,030,420

Line	Account							Adjustr	nents											
								JEC Plant		allowances		harging								
		B 10 B B 10		DR 27	RB	-30 Proj Ne	et A	Adjustments & GSU Trf	Cro	ossroads & T&D		stations Jun18					Juris "	Juris		ectric Juris
No.	Number	Depreciation Reserve Description		erve	•	Activity	\$		\$					tal Adjustments		djusted Reserve	Factor #	Allocation		sted Reserve
199		TOTAL DISTRIBUTION PLANT	\$ 561	,378,189	\$	-	Þ	-	Þ	(390,343)	Þ	278,870		(111,473)	Ф	561,266,716			\$ 5	559,920,531
200		RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-DISTRIBUTION																		
201		Distribution-Salvage & Removal-Retirements not classified	\$ (5	,746,620)									\$	-	\$	(5,746,620)	5,1	99.760%	\$	(5,732,839)
202		TOTAL RETIREMENTS WORK IN PROGRESS-DISTRIB	\$ (5	,746,620)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(5,746,620)			\$	(5,732,839)
203		GENERAL PLANT																		
204	38900		\$	_									\$	_	\$	_	7,1	99.591%	\$	_
205	38901	General Land Electric-Land Rights	•	171									·	_	•	171	7,1	99.591%	•	170
206	39000	General Structures & Improv. Electric	8	,166,842										-		8,166,842	7,1	99.591%		8,133,440
207	39100	General Office Furniture & Equipment	5	,352,714										-		5,352,714	7,1	99.591%		5,330,822
208	39102	General Office Furniture - Computer	2	,917,135										-		2,917,135	7,1	99.591%		2,905,204
209	39104	General Office Furniture - Software	1	,382,694										-		1,382,694	7,1	99.591%		1,377,039
210	39200	General Transportation Equip Autos		250,375										_		250,375	7,1	99.591%		249,351
211	39201	General Transportation Equip Light Trucks	2	,697,000										-		2,697,000	7,1	99.591%		2,685,970
212	39202	General Trans Equip Heavy Trucks	13	,110,436										-		13,110,436	7,1	99.591%		13,056,814
213	39203	General Trans Equip Tractors		103,723										-		103,723	7,1	99.591%		103,298
214	39204	General Trans Equip Trailers	1	,391,255										-		1,391,255	7,1	99.591%		1,385,565
215	39205	General Trans Equip Medium Trucks		(193,812)										-		(193,812)	7,1	99.591%		(193,019)
216	39300	General Stores Equipment		29,266										-		29,266	7,1	99.591%		29,147
217	39400	General Tools Electric	2	,811,957										-		2,811,957	7,1	99.591%		2,800,456
218	39500	General Laboratory Equipment	1	,732,967										-		1,732,967	7,1	99.591%		1,725,879
219	39600	General Power Operated Equipment	2	,627,829										-		2,627,829	7,1	99.591%		2,617,082
220	39700	General Communication Equipment	12	,435,683										-		12,435,683	7,1	99.591%		12,384,821
221	39800	General Misc. Equipment		137,566										-		137,566	7,1	99.591%		137,003
222		TOTAL GENERAL PLANT	\$ 54	,953,801	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	54,953,801		_	\$	54,729,040
223		GENERAL PLANT - LAKE ROAD																		
224	39000	General Structures - LR	\$	-									\$	_	\$	-	3,8	75.821%	\$	-
225	39100	General Office Furniture - LR		117,633										-		117,633	3,8	75.821%		89,191
226	39102	General Office Furniture Computer - LR		58,907										-		58,907	3,8	75.821%		44,664
227	39104	General Office Furniture Software - LR		-				-						_		-	3,8	75.821%		-
228	39200	General Trans Autos - LR		-										_		-	3,8	75.821%		-
229	39201	General Trans Light Trucks - LR		124,715										_		124,715	3,8	75.821%		94,560
230	39202	General Trans Heavy Trucks - LR		8,285										=		8,285	3,8	75.821%		6,282
231	39204	General Trans Trailers - Electric		105,735										-		105,735	3,8	75.821%		80,170
232	39205	General Trans Med Trucks - LR		•										-		, -	3,8	75.821%		-
																	•			

Line	Account						Adjustm	ents											
			Per DR 27		30 Proj Net	Adjı	IEC Plant ustments &		allowances ssroads &	St	arging ations					Juris	Juris	Elec	ctric Juris
No.	Number		Reserve		Activity	(GSU Trf		T&D	J	lun18	Tot	tal Adjustments	Adj	usted Reserve	Factor #	Allocation	Adjust	ted Reserve
233	39300	General Stores Equp LR	2,856										-		2,856	3,8	75.821%		2,166
234	39400	General Tools - LR	234,46	1									-		234,464	3,8	75.821%		177,774
235	39500	General Laboratory - LR	265,666	6									-		265,666	3,8	75.821%		201,431
236	39600	General Power Operated Equip LR	443,910										-		443,910	3,8	75.821%		336,578
237	39700	General Communication - LR	158,20°										-		158,201	3,8	75.821%		119,950
238	39800	General Misc. Equip - LR	4,91										-		4,915	3,8	75.821%		3,726
239		TOTAL GENERAL PLANT - LAKE ROAD	\$ 1,525,28	7 \$	-	\$	•	\$	•	\$		\$	-	\$	1,525,287		-	\$	1,156,490
240		RETIREMENTS-WORK IN PROGRESS-GENERAL PLAN	JT																
241		General Plant-Salvage & Removal-Retirements not classif	= =	3								\$	_	\$	299,766	3,8	75.821%	\$	227,286
242		TOTAL RETIREMENTS-WORK IN PROGRESS-GENERA				\$		\$		•		\$		\$	299,766	3,0	-	\$	227,286
272		TOTAL RETIREMENTO-WORK IN TROOKESO-SEREIG	Ψ 200,100	<u> </u>		Ψ	_	Ψ		Ψ				Ψ	200,700		-	Ψ	
243		INDUSTRIAL STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT																	
244	31009	Industrial Steam Land	\$ -									\$	=	\$	-	2,2	0.000%	\$	=
245	31109	Industrial Steam Structures	(50,572	2)									=		(50,572)	2,2	0.000%		=
246	31209	Industrial Steam Boiler Plant	(96,938	3)									=		(96,938)	2,2	0.000%		=
247	31509	Industrial Steam Accessory	(26,77	1)									-		(26,771)	2,2	0.000%		-
248	37509	Industrial Steam Distribution	4,366	3									-		4,366	2,2	0.000%		-
249	37609	Industrial Steam Mains	1,121,35	1									-		1,121,351	2,2	0.000%		-
250	37909	Industrial Steam CTY Gate	264,773	3									=		264,773	2,2	0.000%		=
251	38009	Industrial Steam Services	119,428	3									-		119,428	2,2	0.000%		-
252	38109	Industrial Steam Services- Other	324,053	3									-		324,053	2,2	0.000%		-
253		TOTAL INDUSTRIAL STEAM PRODUCTION PLANT	\$ 1,659,69	\$	•	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,659,691		-	\$	-
254		RETIREMENTS-WORK IN PROGRESS- INDUSTRIAL ST	ГБАМ																
255		Industrial Steam-Salvage & Removal-Retirements not class		7)								\$	_	\$	(76,167)	2,2	0.000%	\$	_
256		TOTAL RETIREMENTS-WORK IN PROGRESS-INDUST				\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	(76,167)	-,-	0.00070	\$	
200		TOTAL RETIREMENTS-WORK IN TROORESS-INDUSTR	ψ (10,10	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	_	Ψ	(10,101)		-	Ψ	
	CAPITAL	IZED LT INCENTIVE STOCK AWARDS																	
257	39999	Capitalized LT Incentive Stock Awards											-		-	1,1	100.000%		-
258		TOTAL DEPRECIATION RESERVE	\$ 1,384,470,704	\$		\$	1,881,255	\$	(51,803,404)	\$	278,870	\$	(49,643,279)	\$ 1	,334,827,425		-	\$ 1,3°	18,041,101