

**BEFORE THE MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION**

FILED
April 20, 2026
Missouri Public
Service Commission

In the Matter of:

Brett Felber, Complainant,

v.

Ameren Missouri, Respondent.

Case No. EC-2026-0218

**EXPANDED AFFIDAVIT OF CUSTODIAL REVIEW,
FORENSIC ANALYSIS, AND DOCUMENT INVALIDITY**

FORENSIC CONSULTANT

Hypercore Wireless Consultants Corporation

Phone: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

I, Brett Felber, being duly sworn, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following statements are true and correct:

1. I conducted a detailed forensic and custodial review of a document identified as "Attachment I-C," which purports to be an email communication, with assistance and consultation from Hypercore Wireless Consultants Corporation.
2. The analysis utilized established digital forensic methodologies, including evaluation of email header structure, metadata requirements, SMTP transmission protocols, and authentication mechanisms such as SPF and DKIM.
3. The document is not a native email file and lacks all fundamental characteristics required to authenticate it as an actual transmitted email.
4. Specifically, the document is missing critical identifiers, including:

Public



- Message-ID
- SMTP "Received" routing headers
- Return-Path
- DKIM cryptographic signature
- SPF authentication results
- MIME-Version and Content-Type encoding
- Mail server transmission chain

5. The absence of these elements makes it technically impossible to verify that the document was ever transmitted through any email system.

6. The format of the document is inconsistent with genuine email structure and appears to be a reconstructed or manually generated document.

7. The document lacks embedded hyperlinks, HTML formatting, and branding elements typical of legitimate utility communications.

8. The document omits critical identifying information necessary for attribution, including the recipient's full name and unique identifiers.

9. The inclusion of regulatory confidentiality language within the document body indicates post hoc preparation for evidentiary use.

10. Based on the foregoing, I conclude that:

- The document is not an authentic email record
- The document has likely been reconstructed, altered, or manually created
- There is no verifiable evidence the document was ever sent or received

11. Accordingly, the document lacks evidentiary reliability and should be deemed invalid.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Executed this 20 day of April, 2026.



Brett Felber, Affiant

Please see attached
All Purpose
Jurat form
for additional
Notary Events



State of Texas | County of Tarrant

Subscribed and sworn before me this 20 day of April, 2026, by Brett Felber

Document Notarized using a Live Audio-Video Connection

Brett Felber

Notary Public

