



Brett Felber [REDACTED]

Ameren Payment

Brett Felber [REDACTED]

Mon, Apr 6 at 7:29 PM

To: Krcmar, Aubrey M [REDACTED]

, Hernandez, Jennifer L [REDACTED]

Cc: [REDACTED]

Good evening, I never received a disconnect notice, so that is news to me. Do you have a tracking number to your first class mailing that can show proof, or is it bulk mailed?

There's a difference in the two. Actual first class mailing has a stamp on it showing the actual date it was put into circulation. Bulk first class, isn't first class mail, as it doesn't show a circulation date.

I have a corporate account with USPS for my frozen ice creams that are perishable. So we can argue that clearly.

Also, re-read my filed complaint. The amount in suspense it supposed to be the [REDACTED] Re-read line five of request relief, which would be to honor the [REDACTED] and the other amount back to the original payment agreement terms of what we had.

Look, I'm being really patient here and it really seems, that Ameren is trying to manipulate your written rules, regulations and tariff compliance and putting something else out.

It also doesn't make sense that I can pay by cashiers check at a payment station, but can't mail a payment in the form of a cashiers check. Is there a a specific tariff compliance written in rulemaking that shows this? Please cite it for me word for word and the actual tariff itself.

Get me the tariff rule that shows that a cashiers check cannot be mailed. Suspend the [REDACTED] until the Commission rules on if that is to be paid as a lump sum payment or they grant my requested relief of the original payment agreement terms, and do not ever blame me for my mailing addresses that I have, ever again.

Tomorrow I will file a Motion before the regulatory judge requesting a hearing as to if Ameren has the ability to charge to [REDACTED] upfront or if Ameren has to oblige to the requested relief I've asked for.

Have a good night.

[Quoted text hidden]