Exhibit No.:

/05 LTS Tariff

Issue: Witness:

Wilbon L. Cooper

Type of Exhibit:

Direct Testimony

Sponsoring Party:

Union Electric Company

Case No.:

EA-2005-0180

Date Testimony Prepared: December 20, 2004

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

CASE NO. EA-2005-0180

DIRECT TESTIMONY

MAR 0 2 2005

OF

WILBON L. COOPER

Missouri Public Service Commission

ON BEHALF OF

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY d/b/a AmerenUE

> St. Louis, Missouri December, 2004

Exhibit No. Case No(s) Date 2-22-05

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

Application of Union Electric Company for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity authorizing it to construct, install, own, operate, control, manage and maintain electric plant, as defined in § 386.020(14), RSMo. to provide electric service in a portion of New Madrid, County, Missouri, as an extension of its existing certificated area)) Case No. EA-2005-0180)))
AFFIDAVIT OF WIL	BON L. COOPER
STATE OF MISSOURI	
CITY OF ST. LOUIS)	
Wilbon L. Cooper, being first duly sworn o	n his oath, states:
1. My name is Wilbon L. Cooper. I w	ork in St. Louis, Missouri, and I am employed
by Ameren Services Company as Manager, Rate E	ngineering and Analysis.
2. Attached hereto and made a part he	reof for all purposes is my Direct Testimony
on behalf of Union Electric Company d/b/a Amere	nUE consisting of 10 pages, Appendix A,
and Schedules WLC-1 through WLC-3, all of which	ch have been prepared in written form for
introduction into evidence in the above-referenced	docket.
3. I hereby swear and affirm that my a	answers contained in the attached testimony to
the questions therein propounded are true and corr	Willon L. Cooper
Subscribed and sworn to before me this 20th day	of December, 2004.
My commission expires: 4-1-2006	Notary Public () MARY HOYT Notary Public - Notary Seal STATE OF MISSOURI Jefferson County My Commission Expires: April 1, 2004

l		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		WILBON L. COOPER
4		CASE NO. EA-2005-0180
5	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
6	A.	My name is Wilbon L. Cooper. My business address is One Ameren Plaza,
7	1901 Choute	au Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri 63103.
8	Q.	By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
9	A.	I am employed by Ameren Services Company as Manager of the Rate
10	Engineering	and Analysis Department of Regulatory Policy and Planning. In this capacity, I
11	provide rate	engineering and analysis services to Union Electric Company d/b/a AmerenUE,
12	(referred to h	erein as "Company" or "AmerenUE").
13	Q.	What is your educational background, work experience and duties of your
14	position?	
15	A.	This information is summarized in Appendix A, which is attached to this
16	testimony.	
17	Q.	What was your responsibility in the preparation of this case?
18	A.	My primary responsibility relates to the development and design of the Large
19	Transmission	n Service ("LTS") tariff submitted for approval as part of the Application filed in
20	this case and	which is attached hereto as Schedule WLC-1.
21	Q.	Have you prepared or have there been prepared under your direction and
22	supervision	a series of schedules for presentation to the Commission in this proceeding?

1 A. Yes. In addition to Appendix A mentioned above, I am sponsoring Schedules 1 through 3 which are attached hereto.

Q. Please identify Schedule WLC-1.

A. Schedule WLC-1 consists of eight (8) tariff sheets which reflect tariffs to be added to the Company's Schedule 5 – Schedule of Rates for Electric Service that are being proposed by the Company for approval by the Commission in this proceeding. Such tariffs would also add a description of a certain geographic area to the Company's Service Area Descriptions and update the table of contents, such sheets are also included in part of Schedule WLC-1.

Q. Please identify Schedule WLC-2.

A. Schedule WLC-2 shows the development of the rates contained within the LTS tariff and also the annual cents per kilowatt hour realization of this rate on a hypothetical customer.

Q. Please identify Schedule WLC-3.

A. Schedule WLC-3 illustrates the effects of the billing of the same hypothetical customer on Schedule WLC-2, under the Company's existing Service Classification No 11(M) Large Primary Service ("LPS") rates.

Q. Please define the term "rate design."

A. The term "rate design" refers both to the process of establishing the individual and specific charges (e.g. monthly customer charges, cents per kilowatt-hour, and dollars per kilowatt) as well as to the actual structure of an individual class rate. The rate design or structure of a given rate class may range in complexity from the simple structure of a monthly customer charge and a flat per kWh charge, as within the Company's Residential Rate, to the more

- 1 complex set of customer, energy, and demand charges for large industrial/commercial customers,
- 2 as within the Company's LPS rate. In all instances, however, the charges within a specific rate
- 3 are established such that the application of these individual charges to the total annual or test
- 4 year customer class usage will result in the collection of that part of the Company's total annual
- 5 revenue requirement, or cost of service, that is to be collected from customers in each of the
- 6 Company's rate classes, as determined by the Commission.

- Q. What were the Company's principal objectives in the design and development of the proposed LTS rate?
- A. The Company's principal objectives were as follows: a) to structure and design the LTS rate so that it is as close as practicable to the Company's existing LPS rate: and b) for the application of this rate, as designed, to produce an annual cents per kilowatt-hour realization equivalent to the realization that would have been experienced if the customer taking service under the new LTS rate had been taking service under the existing LPS rate, taking into consideration, however, certain unique characteristics of the customer and the service it would take under the new LTS rate, which I address in more detail below.
- Q. Considering a) and b) above, why couldn't one have achieved the same result by simply serving Large Transmission Service customers under the existing LPS rate?
- A. Because, as I generally noted earlier, there are very unique service characteristics for customers qualifying for the LTS rate that would produce inequities for the LTS customer if we strictly applied the existing LPS rate. The LTS rate was therefore developed to address those inequities.
 - Q. Please explain.

A. To be eligible for service under the LTS classification, the customer must satisfy all the conditions listed in section 6, Rate Application, as provided for in Schedule WLC-1. These conditions include: 1) minimum of three (3) million megawatt hours of annual energy consumption, 2) a ninety-eight percent (98%) annual load factor, 3) the customer must be responsible for arranging and paying for transmission service necessary to deliver power and energy over the transmission facilities of a third party, if necessary, and 4) the customer must not require use of the Company's distribution system. The LTS tariff also includes an adjustment for energy line losses which will be provided by the Company (but paid for by the customer to Company, as reflected in the Energy Line Loss Rate section of Schedule WLC-1). While the LPS rate structure equitably addresses conditions 1) and 2) above, it does not equitably address conditions 3) and 4) above, and it also fails to address the necessary adjustment for energy line losses.

- Q. Please explain the inequity of a strict application of the existing LPS tariff to a customer required to be responsible for arrangement and payment of transmission service necessary to deliver power and energy over the transmission facilities of a third party and, also, who totally avoids use of the Company's distribution system?
- A. The rate and applicable riders for the existing LPS tariff were designed to reflect, among other things, that all customers served are within the Company's control area and that the Company has full responsibility for providing transmission and distribution service regardless of the voltage level at which the customer receives service from the Company (e.g., 12 kV, 34 kV, 69 kV, or 138 kV or higher). Neither of those facts is true for customers who would qualify for the new LTS tariff (currently Noranda Aluminum, Inc. ("Noranda")), as those customers would not be within the Company's control area and those customers would not receive transmission or

- 1 distribution services from the Company. In addition, energy line losses are significantly
- different for LTS customers as opposed to LPS customers. As a result, the logical conclusion is
- 3 that a strict application of the same LPS rate to customers with service characteristics consistent
- 4 with those outlined in the proposed LTS tariff would be inappropriate. Thus, there should an
- 5 adjustment of the charges to customers taking service under the new LTS rate.

6

7

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

2?

- Q. Have you performed an analysis of the adjustments to the existing LPS tariff that are necessary to more equitably reflect service under the proposed LTS tariff?
- 8 A. Yes. The worksheet depicting that analysis is attached hereto as Schedule WLC-2.
- 9 Q. What assumptions were made regarding the calculations in Schedule WLC-
- 12 characteristics that are consistent with the tariff requirements contained within the proposed LTS
 13 tariff were used to develop adjustments to the rates contained within the LPS tariff: 1) a customer
 14 is metered at 138 kilovolts or higher; and 2) the customer does not use the Company's
 15 distribution system. The first set of adjustments or changes to SC No. 11(M) (the LPS rate) are
 16 associated with the SC No.12 (M) (the LTS rate) customer's total avoidance of use of the
 17 Company's distribution system.

Q. Please explain Schedule WLC-2?

A. Schedule WLC-2 contains two sets of adjustments. The first set of adjustments are associated with the fact that customers who qualify for service under the new LTS tariff avoid use of the Company's distribution system. Those adjustments are reflected in the "Less Rider RDC (1)" rows (Lines 5 and 13 of Schedule WLC-2). The second set of adjustments is associated with the lower than average energy and demand losses of an LTS customer versus an

1 LPS customer. Those adjustments are reflected in the "Less loss adj. (5.55%-1.38%)" rows
2 (Lines 7 and 15 on Schedule WLC-2).

Q. Please explain the basis for both sets of adjustments.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The Company's existing tariffs contain a Rider RDC - Reserve Distribution A. Capacity Rider. Rider RDC contains charges to be billed to customers who receive reserve capacity on the Company's distribution system. Considering that the Rider RDC monthly demand charges were developed for reserve distribution facilities, it was logical that these same charges could be used as an offset to the existing LPS rate that includes costs associated with use of the Company's distribution system. Thus, the monthly charges contained within the LTS tariff were reduced by an amount equal to the Rider RDC charges (Lines 5 and 13). Similar adjustments are needed to reflect differences in energy line losses for LTS customers versus LPS customers. Data from the Company's most recent class cost of service study reflects an average energy and demand loss factor of 5.55% for customers under the LPS tariff. The Company's Energy Delivery personnel determined that the average energy and demand loss factor for an LTS customer would be only 1.38%. As a result, demand and energy charges under the LPS tariff were reduced by 4.17% (5.55-1.38) (Lines 7 and 15 of Schedule WLC-2). The resultant energy and demand charges under the proposed LTS tariff are shown on Lines 8 and 16 of Schedule WLC-2.

Q. Were there any other adjustments required to the current LPS tariff to reflect the unique nature of an LTS customer?

A. Yes, because the LTS customer (in this case, Noranda) is metered at a voltage (161 kilovolts) higher than the standard primary service voltage (i.e., 12/13.8 kilovolts) of an LPS customer, adjustments of meter readings provided for under the Company's Rider C –

- 1 Adjustments of Meter Readings For Metering At A Voltage Not Provided For In A Rate
- 2 Schedule (Line 24) were required. I would note that this adjustment would be necessary
- 3 regardless of whether the customer would be billed under the LPS or the LTS tariff as it reflects
- 4 lower transformation losses associated with metering at a higher than standard primary service
- 5 voltage.

8

- 6 Q. Does the proposed LTS rate as shown on Schedule WLC-2 produce an
- 7 annual realization equal to the annual realization if the same customer was billed under the
 - current LPS rate?
- 9 A. No. If one assumes that the existing LPS rates which were set by the Commission
- are just and reasonable, then one wouldn't expect it to produce the same realization because the
- existing LPS rates contain components relating to use of distribution facilities and energy line
- losses that, as discussed earlier, do not exist for an LTS customer. While the LTS customer may
- have certain load characteristics (e.g., high voltage service and high load factor) comparable to
- an LPS customer, they are very dissimilar in terms of utilization of distribution assets and
- 15 average losses which leads to the conclusion that the LTS customer should benefit from lower
- 16 rates.
- Q. If the above statement is true, why is the Company proposing an Annual
- 18 Contribution Factor ("ACF") for LTS customers that produces current revenues that
- equate to the revenues that would be produced by a strict application of the existing LPS
- 20 rate?
- A. The Company's Application in this case seeks to incorporate an area that contains
- a customer that would qualify for service under the LTS tariff. An entire Cost of Service Study
- 23 ("COSS") and comprehensive rate design will not be done except in connection with the

Company's next rate proceeding. Therefore, we have a unique situation for which we cannot at this time effectively develop a more specific rate. The Company will be filing both a Missouri retail electric jurisdiction and a class COSS in January 2006. However, Noranda needs the LTS tariff in place by June 1, 2005. Therefore, to ensure that the revenues received from Noranda will be as much as would have been received based on the just and reasonable rates last set by the Commission (for an LPS customer, a rate of 3.25 cents per kwh), the Company is using the ACF to, in effect, treat Noranda from a current revenue standpoint as if Noranda was a new LPS customer, but the Company is taking into account, in designing the new LTS tariff, the equitable adjustments that should be recognized to account for those cost elements (or lack of them) that do not exist for serving Noranda.

Q. Please describe the ACF in more detail.

A. The ACF is provided for in the "Annual Contribution Factor" section of Schedule WLC-1 and is reflected on Line 21 of Schedule WLC-3. As shown on Schedule WLC-3, the ACF is calculated based on application of the LPS rate to a LTS customer. The Company's standard demand and energy rates are adjusted for Rider B – Discounts Applicable For Service To Substations Owned By Customer In Lieu of Company Ownership (Lines 5 and 12 of Schedule WLC-3) and, also, the previously mentioned Rider C (Line 22). As provided for in the Annual Contribution Factor section Schedule WLC-1, the positive difference between the ACF and a LTS customer's annual net base billing cents per kilowatt-hour realization will be multiplied by a LTS customer's annual kilowatt hours and the resulting amount shall be due and payable to the Company.

Q. What effect will approval of the LTS tariff have in this case?

A. It will allow the Company to serve Noranda according to the rates, terms, and conditions reflected in the LTS tariff until such time as the Commission orders any changes in those rates, terms, and conditions, which conceivably could be the Company's next rate proceeding or thereafter. As I view the situation today, I believe the structure of the LTS tariff would be appropriate in the next rate proceeding but certainly the components of the LTS tariff will be reviewed in the next and in subsequent rate proceedings and ultimately the Commission will determine the just and reasonable rate for LTS and for that matter all of the Company's customers consistent with their service characteristics. I would think that the lack of distribution and transmission service and the differences in energy line losses for an LTS customer, as it is today, would be relevant considerations when later reviewing the continued appropriateness of the LTS tariff.

Q. Mr. Cooper, what other changes or modifications were made to the Company's existing LPS tariff to reflect the unique electrical characteristics of service to an LTS customer?

A. There were two other minor changes to the existing LPS tariff provisions, as follows: 1) language governing the application of Power Factor charges was modified to reflect the possibility that LTS customers may have special arrangements with their third party transmission suppliers for satisfactory power factor levels; and 2) language governing the Company's charges for the provision of energy losses to the customer's third party transmission provider. This language is contained in the "Energy Line Loss Rate" section of Schedule WLC-1, whereby all such energy is billed at a rate equal to 3.25 cents per/ kWh. Such losses are covered by the Company simply increasing its generation output and, as a result, should be billed at the ACF rate. Finally, because of the size of the customer's load and potentially its location,

- the LTS tariff includes certain critical credit requirements designed to protect AmerenUE and its
- 2 ratepayers, as discussed by Mr. Craig Nelson in his testimony.
- Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.

QUALIFICATIONS OF WILBON L. COOPER

My name is Wilbon L. Cooper and I reside in St. Louis, Missouri.

My educational background consists of a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering (BSEE) from the University of Missouri-Rolla.

I was employed as an Assistant Engineer in the Rate Engineering Department of Union Electric in June 1980. My work included assignments relating to the general analyses and administration of various aspects of Union Electric's electric, gas, and steam rates. In October 1989 I was appointed Supervising Engineer – Rate Analysis, in the Rate Engineering Department of Corporate Planning at Ameren Services Company. In the latter position I was responsible for meeting the analytical requirements of the Company's retail gas and electric rates and wholesale electric rates, including load research and various cost of service and rate design studies, as assigned. I was appointed to my present position of Manager of Rate Engineering in March 2003.

I currently have responsibility for the general policies and practices associated with the day-to-day administration and design of Union Electric's electric and gas rate tariffs, riders and rules and regulations tariffs on file with the Missouri Public Service Commission and the Illinois Commerce Commission, and in the participation in various proceedings before these regulatory agencies. In addition, Rate Engineering is responsible for conducting class cost of service and rate design studies and the participation in other projects of a general corporate nature, as requested by the Director of Regulatory Policy and Planning.

I have previously submitted testimony before the regulatory commissions of Illinois, Missouri, and Iowa.

FLECTRIC SERVICE

		SERVICE		
MO. P. S. C. SCHED	DULE NO. 5		9 th Revised	SHEET NO. <u>68</u>
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C. SCHED	PULE NO. 5		8 th Revised	SHEET NO 68
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI SE	RVICE AREA		
	RVICE CLASSI GE TRANSMIS			
Rate Based on Monthly M	eter Readings			
Summer Rate	,	ing four (4) mon through Septemb	-	
Customer C	harge	\$210.00	per month	
Demand Ch	arge	\$11.816	per kW of E	Billing Demand
Energy Cha	rge	\$0.02242	per kWh	
Reactive Cl	narge	\$0.24	per kVar	
Winter Rate		ing eight (8) mor ber through May	-	
Customer C	Charge	\$210.00	per month	
Demand Ch	arge	\$4.504	per kW of B	Billing Demand
Energy Cha	rge	\$0.01974	per kWh	
Reactive Cl	narge	\$0.24	per kVar	
Optional Time-of-D	ay Adjustments			
Additional Custome	r Charge	\$14.00	per month	
Energy Adjustment	- per kWh			Off-Peak Hours (1)
Summer kWh (June Winter kWh (Octob	▲	~		\$0.0025 \$0.0011
(1) On-peak and classification	-	oplicable shall be	as specified v	vithin this service
*Indicates Change				
DATE OF ISSUE SSUED BY G. L. Rainwate:		DATE EFFECTIVE		e 1, 2005 Louis, Missauri

G. L. Rainwater Chairman, President & CEO St. Louis, Missouri WLC-1

NAME OF OFFICER TITLE ADDRESS Page 1 of 10

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY	ELECTRIC SERVIC	Ē				
MO. P. S. C. SCHEDULE	NO5	Original	SHEET NO68.1			
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C. SCHEDULE	NO5		SHEET NO.			
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI SERVICE A	REA				
SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 12 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE (cont'd)						
Energy Line Loss Rate	Compensation for C	ustomer's energy line losses	from use			

of the transmission system(s) outside Company's control area shall be in the form of energy solely supplied by Company to the transmission owner(s) and compensated by payment at a monthly rate of \$0.0325 per kWh after appropriate Rider C adjustment of meter readings.

Annual Contribution Factor. Customer shall pay an Annual Contribution Factor to Company, calculated as follows:

The Annual Contribution Factor (ACF) shall be calculated as of the date of the completion of each successive 12-month billing period, commencing with the first annual anniversary date of service to Customer under the rate. In the event the ACF is eliminated or discontinued prior to the said anniversary date, no Customer payment is required.

The ACF shall be calculated so as to provide Company an annual net bundled kilowatt-hour realization to Company of \$0.0325/kWh, after appropriate Rider C adjustments to Customer's monthly kilowatt-hour and kilowatt meter readings. All energy and compensation due as a result of the Energy Line Loss and Reactive Charge provisions herein, if any, shall be excluded in the calculation of the ACF. The ACF shall be eliminated effective upon a Missouri Public Service Commission ("MoPSC") order in a Complaint case, rate case proceeding, or any other regulatory proceeding where Company's rates for its bundled Service Classifications are changed.

1. Transmission Service Requirements. Company's obligation to provide service under this rate is conditioned upon receipt of approval from the appropriate Regional Transmission Organization ("RTO") to incorporate Customer's load within Company's Network Integration Transmission Service agreement without the obligation or requirement that Company construct, upgrade, or improve any existing or new transmission plant or facilities.

ATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1, 2005
SSUED BY	G. L. Rainwater Chairman, Pres	sident & CEO	St. Louis, disaguid WI

ELECTRIC SERVICE

MO. P. S. C.	SCHEDULE NO. 5	_	Original	_ SHEET NO.	68.2
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C.	SCHEDULE NO. 5	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_SHEET NO	_
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI	SERVICE AR	ea		
		·			

SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 12 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE (cont'd)

Customer shall be responsible for securing firm transmission service throughout the Contract Term outside of Company's control area at no cost or charge to Company (except for Energy Line Losses), if necessary, and Customer agrees to indemnify and hold Company harmless from all such costs or charges imposed or billed. In any event, Customer shall be responsible for all costs and charges imposed or billed to Company from an RTO that are based on the fact that Customer's load is not directly connected to Company's system (e.g. Through and Out rates imposed by the Midwest Independent System Operator, Inc.)

- 2. <u>Credit Requirements.</u> A Customer taking service under this rate shall agree to the following special credit terms and conditions, in addition to those that may be required pursuant to Company's rules, regulations, rates or tariffs: Company, upon request and in its sole discretion, may demand of Customer a security deposit in the form of cash, letter of credit or surety bond, equal to two times (2x) the highest monthly utility bill from the prior 12-month period, upon the occurrence of any of the following:
 - an assignment to Customer or Customer's parent of a long-term public a. debt rating by Moody's that falls below the rating of Baa3;
 - an assignment to Customer or Customer's parent of a long-term public b. debt rating by Standard & Poor's that falls below the rating of BBB-;
 - a significant change in ownership, as determined by Company, c. including but not limited to a change in ownership or possession of the assets of Customer;
 - d. the assessment of two (2) late payment charges within any 12 month rolling period; or
 - Customer makes an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or e. otherwise becomes bankrupt or insolvent (however evidenced), in which case Company may pursue other remedies available in law or equity, including a declaration that the agreement is in default.

DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1, 2005
ISSUED BY	G. L. Rainwater Chairman, Pres	sident & CEO	St. Louis, Minsawii MI C

ELECTRIC SERVICE

MO. P. S. C.	SCHEDULE NO. 5			Original	SHEET NO.	68.3
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C.	SCHEDULE NO5				SHEET NO.	
APPLYING TO	MTSSOTTET	SEPUTCE	APRA			

SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 12 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE (cont'd)

- 3. **Payments.** Bills are due and payable within ten (10) days from date of bill.
- 4. Contract Term. A Customer taking service under this rate shall agree to an initial Contract Term of 15 years. The Contract Term shall be extended in one-year increments unless or until the contract is terminated at the end of the Contract Term or any annual extension thereof by a written notice of termination given by either party or received not later than five years prior to the date of termination. During the Contract Term, a Customer taking service under this rate agrees that Company shall be the exclusive supplier of power and energy to Customer's premises, and waives any right or entitlement by virtue of any law, including but not limited to Section 91.026 RSMo as it now exists or as amended from time to time, statute, rule, regulation, or tariff, to purchase, acquire or take delivery of power and energy from any other person or entity.
- 5. Tax Adjustment. Any license, franchise, gross receipts, occupation or similar charge or tax levied by any taxing authority on the amounts billed hereunder will be so designated and added as a separate item to bills rendered to customers under the jurisdiction of the taxing authority.
- 6. Rate Application. This rate shall be applicable, at Customer's request, to any Customer that 1) consumed 3 million MWh in the preceding 12 months, or can demonstrate to the Company's satisfaction that it will consume said amount in the next 12 months if historical data are unavailable, 2) can demonstrate to Company's satisfaction that such energy was routinely consumed at a load factor of 98% or higher or that Customer will, in the ordinary course of its operations, operate at a similar load factor, 3) arranges and pays for transmission service necessary for the delivery of electricity over the transmission facilities of a third party, 4) does not require use of Company's distribution system, excepting Company's metering equipment, for service to Customer, and 5) meets all other required terms and conditions of the rate.

DATE OF ISSUE	 DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1,	2005

ELECTRIC SERVICE

MO, P. S. C.	SCHEDULE NO. 5		Original	SHEET NO. 68.4
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C.	SCHEDULE NO. 5			SHEET NO
ADDI VING TO	MTCCOTTET	מישושים	10P1	

SERVICE CLASSIFICATION NO. 12 (M) LARGE TRANSMISSION SERVICE RATE (cont'd)

- 7. <u>Character of Service Supplied.</u> Company will supply a standard three-phase alternating current transmission service voltage. The appropriate adjustments under Rider C will apply; however, there will be no adjustments under Rider B.
- 8. <u>Demand Meters.</u> Company will be responsible for the demand meters which have been installed for the measurement of demands.
- 9. <u>Billing Demand.</u> The billing demand in any month will be the highest demand established during peak hours or 50% of the highest demand established during off-peak hours, whichever is highest during the month, but in no event less than 5,000 kilowatts.

Peak hours and off-peak hours are defined as follows:

Peak hours: 10:00 A.M. to 10:00 P.M., Monday through Friday.

Off-peak hours: All other hours including the entire 24 hours of the following days: New Year's Day, Independence Day, Thanksgiving Friday, Good Friday, Labor Day, Christmas Eve Day, Memorial Day, Thanksgiving Day, Christmas Day.

All times stated above apply to the local effective time.

10. Reactive Charge. The kVar charge specified in this rate shall be applicable to the kilovars by which the Customer's average metered kilovars exceed the Customer's kilovars at an average power factor of 90% lagging during the billing period. Such average kilovar billing units shall be determined in accordance with the following formula:

$$kVar = \left(\frac{kVarh}{kWh} - 0.4843\right)(kW)$$

DATE OF ISSUE	 DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1, 2005

UNION ELECTRIC CO	MPANY ELECTRIC SERVICE	E	
MO. F	P. S. C. SCHEOULE NO5	Original	SHEET NO. 68.5
CANCELLING MO.	P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO5		SHEET NO.
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI SERVICE A	REA	
	SERVICE CLASSIFICATION LARGE TRANSMISSION SERV		
wh	ere:		
	kVar = kilovar billing units		
	kVarh = metered kilovarhours		
	kWh = metered kilowatthours		
	kW = metered kilowatts		
	0.4843 = kilovar requirement at	: 90% lagging power fac	tor.
not Cu	here in Company's sole judgment appet be appropriate to a Customer, an agostomer for the costs or charges associately be substituted for said formula.	greement between Comp	any and
	otional Time of Day ("TOD") Server all Large Transmission Service usa		
a.	Customer will be transferred to TOD meter installation and tranthe applicable non-TOD rate af	nsferred from this TOD	rate option to
Ъ.	A Customer electing this TOD minimum period of 12 months discontinue this option within t subject to the continued payme of any other customer charge, f	provided however, that the first 90 days following the TOD Customer	Customer may ag election Charge, in lieu
c.	Any Customer canceling this T billing under said option for a p billing period on the TOD option	period of one year follow	
DATE OF ISSUE	DATI	E EFFECTIVE June	1, 2005

	MO, P. S. C. SCHEDULE NO5	Original	SHEET NO 68.6
CANCELLI	NG MO. P.S.C. SCHEDULE NO5		SHEET NO
APPLYING TO	MISSOURI SERVICE	ARBA	
	SERVICE CLASSIFICATI LARGE TRANSMISSION SERV	ON NO. 12 (M) VICE RATE (cont'd)	
12.	General Rules and Regulations. In a regulations, all of Company's General supply of service under this rate.	addition to the above speci Rules and Regulations sha	ific rules and all apply to the

DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1, 2005
ISSUED BY	G. L. Rainwater NAME OF OFFICER	Chairman, President & CEO	St. Louis, Missouri WLC-1 ADDRESS Page 7 of 10

MO. P. S. C.SCHEDULE NO). <u>5</u>	7 th	Revised	SHEET NO.	69
CANCELLING MO. P.S.C.SCHEDULE NO). <u>5</u>	6 th :	Revised	SHEET NO.	69
APPLYING TO	ISSOURI SERVIC	E ARKA			
* The following sheets are rese	rved for future us	e in Missou	ri·		
Sheet No. 70 through Sheet N	No. 97.8, inclusive	€.			
					i
·					
*Indicates Change.					
DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIV	E June	1, 2005	

ISSUED BY

G. L. Rainwater Chairman, President & CEO
NAME OF OFFICER TITLE

St. Louis, Missouri

ADDRSChedule WLC-1
Page 8 of 10

CANOCILI	MO. P. S. C. SCHED		-	
PPLYING TO	IG MO, P.S.C. SCHED		SHEET NO.	26.1
PPLING TO		MISSOURI SERVICE AREA		
		MORGAN COUNTY (cont'd.)		
TOWNSHIP 45 North	RANGE 19 West	SECTIONS/U.S.SURVEYS 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 1 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 2 32, 33, 34, 35, 36		
		NEW MADRID COUNTY		
TOWNSHIP 20 North	RANGE 10 East	SECTIONS/U.S.SURVEYS 1, 2, 3, 10, 11	_	
20 North	11 East	1, 2, 3, 4		
20 North	12 East	3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10		
20 North	13 East	4, 5, 6		
21 North	10 East	13, 14, 15, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 3 $\Delta \underline{11}$, $\underline{12}$	4, 35,	36,
21 North	11 East	7, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 2 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36	26, 27,	28,
21 North	12 East	13, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 3 34, 36	1, 32,	33,
21 North	13 East	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 1 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32,		17,
22 North	11 East	1, 11, 12, 13, 14, 24		
22 North	12 East	1, 2, 6, 7, 8, 18		
22 North	13 East	1, 2, 3, 4, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 2 25, 26, 27, 33, 34, 35, 36, Surveys 28,		24,
*22 North	14 East	6, 7, 18, 19, 29, 30, 31, 32 Surveys 698, 700, 1106, 1107, 1108, 1101	172,	710,
23 North	10 East	23, 24, 25, 26, 35, 36		
23 North	11 East	22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 31, 34, 36		
23 North	12 East	19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 2 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36	8, 29,	30,
obligatio Territori	n to serve in	tions/U.S. Surveys above, Company's right and New Madrid County is limited by the terms between Company and Ozark Border Electric (2)-99-599.	of the	tive

DATE OF ISSU	JE			DATE	EFFECTIVE _	Jun	e 1,	2005	
SSUED BY	G. L.	. Rainwater	Chairman.	President	& CEO	St.	Louis	. Missouri	A # 2

NAME OF OFFICER

*Indicates Change

TITLE

ADDRESS Page 9 of 10

P. S. C. MO., ILL. C. C., IA. ST. C. C. SCHEDULE NO	5	27 th	Revised	SHEET NO.	27
CANCELLING SCHEDULE NO	5	26 th	Revised	SHEET NO.	27
ADDITION TO					-

APPLYING TO MISSOURI SERVICE AREA	<u> </u>	
TABLE OF CONTENTS		
RATES		
Active Rates	Service Classification	Sheet No.
Residential Service Small General Service	1 (M) 2 (M)	28 32
Large General Service Small Primary Service Street & Outdoor Area Lighting ~ Company-Owned	3 (M) 4 (M) d 5 (M)	34 37 39
Street & Outdoor Area Lighting ~ Customer-Owner Large Primary Service	ed 6(M) 11(M)	45 67.1
*Large Transmission Service	12 (M)	68
Rates of Limited Application		
Municipal Street Lighting - Incandescent Private Ornamental Street Lighting	7 (M)	50
(Available only in St. Louis City and County) 8 (M)	55
Miscellaneous Charges	_	67.4

*Indicates Addition

DATE OF ISSUE		DATE EFFECTIVE	June 1, 2005
ISSUED BY	G. L. Rainwater	Chairman, President & CEO	St. Louis, Schedule WLC-
	NAME OF OFFICER	TITLE	ADDRESPage 10 of 10

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY DEVELOPMENT OF SERVCIE CLASSIFICATION NO. LTS RATES

Line No.				
1 1			Summer (Jun-Sep	ot)
2		Customer	Demand	Energy
3		Charge	Charge	Charge
4	Service Classification 11(M)	\$ 210.00	\$ 13.970	\$ 0.0234
5	Less Rider RDC(1)	n/a	\$ 1.640	\$ -
6	Sub total	\$ 210.00	\$ 12.330	\$ - \$ 0.0234
7	Less loss adj. (5.55%-1.38%)	n/a	\$ 0.514	\$ 0.00098
8	Service Classification 12(M)	\$ 210.00	\$ 11.816	\$ 0.02242
9			•	
10				
11			Winter (OctMay	<u>u</u>
12	Service Classification 11(M)	\$ 210.00	\$ 6.340	\$ 0.0206
13	Less Rider RDC(1)	\$ -	\$ 1.640	<u>\$</u>
14	Sub total	\$ 210.00	\$ 4.700	\$ 0.02060
15	Less loss adj(5.55%-1.38%)	n/a	\$ <u>0.196</u>	\$ 0.00086
16	Service Classification 12(M)	\$ 210.00	\$ 4.504	\$ 0.01974
17				
18		An	nual Weighted Rate	es and
19		Cents per k	Wh @ Approx. 99%	Load Factor
20		Customer	Demand	Energy
21		<u>Charge</u>	<u>Charge</u>	Charge
22	Summer/Winter Weighting	\$ 210.00	\$ 6.94	\$ 0.0206
23	Cents/kWh @ 99%Load Factor (2)	3.023		
24	Cents/kWh @ 99% Load Factor (2)(3)	3.002		
25	-			
26	(1) Weighted Average of Rider RDC Char	rges		
27	(2) - Excludes the Impact of Customer Ch	arge		
28	(3) - Metered Units Before Rider C Adjust	ment <line 21<="" td=""><td>x (1 - 0.0068)></td><td></td></line>	x (1 - 0.0068)>	

PRICING OF HYPOTHETICAL LTS LOAD ON LPS RATE

Line <u>No.</u>				
1			Summer (Jun-Sept)	
		Customer	Demand	Energy
2 3		Charge	<u>Charge</u>	Energy
4	Service Classification LPS	\$ 210.00	<u>571a.ge</u> \$ 13.97	<u>Charge</u> \$ 0.0234
5	Less Rider B	⊅ 210.00 n/a	\$ 0.95	1
6	Sub total	\$ 210.00	\$ 13.02	\$ -
7	Sub total		\$ 13.UZ	\$ 0.0234
		n/a		
8				
9			186-4 (O -4 - BE)	
10	0	A A A A A B	Winter (OctMay)	
11	Servcie Classification LPS	\$ 210.00	\$ 6.34	\$ 0.0206
12	Less Rider B	\$ -	\$ 0.95	\$ -
13	Sub total	\$ 210.00	\$ 5.39	\$ 0.0206
14				
15				
16			Annual Weighted Rates and	
17		<u>Cents</u>	per kWh @ Approx. 99% Load	Factor Pactor
18		Customer	Demand	Energy
19		Charge	<u>Charge</u>	Charge
20	Summer/Winter Weighting	\$ 210.00	\$ 7.93	\$ 0.0215
21	Cents/kWh @ 99%Load Factor (1)	3.250		·
22	Cents/kWh @ 99% Load Factor (1)(2)	3.228		
23	J			
24	(1) - Excludes the Impact of Customer	Charge		
25	(2) - Metered Units Before Rider C Adju	•	= 21 x (1 - 0.0068)>	
26	(_,			
27				
28				

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served via e-mail, to the following parties on the 20th day of December, 2004.

Office of the General Counsel
Missouri Public Service Commission
Governor Office Building
200 Madison Street, Suite 100
Jefferson City, MO 65101
gencounsel@psc.state.mo.us

Office of the Public Counsel Governor Office Building 200 Madison Street, Suite 650 Jefferson City, MO 65101 opcservice@ded.state.mo.us

Stuart W. Conrad, Esq.
Attorney for Noranda Aluminum, Inc.
Finnegan, Conrad & Peterson, L.C.
1209 Penntower Office Center
3100 Broadway
Kansas City, Missouri 64111
stucon@fcplaw.com

/s/James B. Lowery
James B. Lowery