FILED
December 12, 2008
Data Center
Missouri Public
Service Commission

Exhibit No.:

208

Issues:

Rate Design

Witness:

James C. Watkins

Sponsoring Party:

MO PSC Staff

Type of Exhibit:

Rebuttal Testimony

Case No.:

ER-2008-0318

Date Testimony Prepared:

October 14, 2008

MISSOURI PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION UTILITY OPERATIONS DIVISION

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY

OF

JAMES C. WATKINS

UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY D/B/A AMERENUE

CASE NO. ER-2008-0318

Jefferson City, Missouri October 2008

Stort Exhibit No. 208

Case No(s). ER-2008-0318

Date 12-00-08 Rptr 45

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF MISSOURI

In the Matter of Union Electric Company)	
d/b/a AmerenUE for Authority to File)	
Tariffs Increasing Rates for Electric)	Case No. ER-2008-0318
Service Provided to Customers in the)	
Company's Missouri Service Area.)	

AFFIDAVIT OF JAMES C. WATKINS

STATE OF MISSOURI)
) ss
COUNTY OF COLE)

James C. Watkins, of lawful age, on his oath states: that he has participated in the preparation of the following Rebuttal Testimony in question and answer form, consisting of ______ pages of Rebuttal Testimony to be presented in the above case, that the answers in the following Rebuttal Testimony were given by him; that he has knowledge of the matters set forth in such answers; and that such matters are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

James C. Watkins

Subscribed and sworn to before me this <u>/O^{*h}</u> day of October, 2008.

NOTARY SEAL S

SUSAN L. SUNDERMEYER My Commission Expires September 21, 2010 Callaway County Commission #06942086

1	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
3	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY			
4 5	OF JAMES C. WATKINS			
6				
8	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY			
10	D/B/A			
11 12	AMERENUE			
13 14	CASE NO. ER-2008-0318			
15	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1			
16				
17	ISSUES			
į				

1	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY		
2 3	OF		
4 5	JAMES C. WATKINS		
6 7	UNION ELECTRIC COMPANY		
8 9	D/B/A AMERENUE		
10 11	CASE NO. ER-2008-0318		
12	CASE IVO. ER-2000-0510		
13 14	Q. Please state your name and business address.		
15	A. My name is James C. Watkins and my business address is Missouri Public		
16	Service Commission, 200 Madison Street, P. O. Box 360, Jefferson City, Missouri		
17	65102.		
18	Q. Who is your employer and what is your present position?		
19	A. I am employed by the Missouri Public Service Commission (Commission)		
20	and my title is Manager, Economic Analysis, Energy Department, Operations Division.		
21	Q. Are you the same James C. Watkins that prefiled direct testimony in this		
22	case on September 11, 2008?		
23	A. Yes.		
24	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		
25	Q. What is the purpose of your rebuttal testimony?		
26	A. It is the Staff's position that the Commission should not allow AmerenUE		
27	a Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC). The purpose of this testimony is to make		
28	recommendations regarding the operation and structure of a FAC and additional reporting		
29	requirements should the Commission allow AmerenUE a FAC.		
30	Q. What are the Staff's recommendations?		

James C. Watkins

A.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

AmerenUE with the following modifications:

1. Fuel and purchased power cost accumulation should occur on a

for AmerenUE, that the FAC should have the characteristics of the FAC proposed by

The Staff recommends that if the Commission approves an electric FAC

2. Instead of a single average annual "Net Base Fuel Cost," the base cost of fuel and purchased power energy should be determined on a seasonal basis consistent with the months comprising the AmerenUE summer and winter seasons;

calendar month basis, but recovery should be billed on a billing month basis;

- 3. The number of "Accumulation Periods" should be reduced from three to two by lengthening the Accumulation Periods from four months to six months;
- 4. The tariffed FAC rate schedule should include the Fuel and Purchased Power Energy Cost Adjustment(s) (FPA) currently in effect, and a tariff sheet detailing the calculation of the rate;
- 5. Replacement power insurance costs, replacement power insurance recoveries, and ash disposal costs and sales should not be part of the FAC; and
- 6. AmerenUE should be required to submit specified information required for the Staff's True-Up Audit and Prudence Review, in addition to the information required by the Electric Utility Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Mechanisms Filing and Submission Requirements rule (4 CSR 240-3.161).

ISSUES

Q. Should fuel cost accumulation occur on a calendar month basis, but fuel cost recovery be billed on a billing month basis?

A. AmerenUE's fuel and purchased power costs are proposed to be accumulated on a calendar month basis, but AmerenUE's billing system and rate schedules are designed to recover costs on a billing cycle basis. AmerenUE proposes that, in the instance where a billing cycle contains fuel and purchased power adjustments (FPA) for two calendar months, the customer's bill be prorated in proportion to the number of days in the customer's billing period that each FPA was in effect. Staff proposes that the accumulated fuel and purchased power energy cost adjustments be recovered by billing month, as part of the standard billing process.

- Q. Is it important that the "Net Base Fuel Cost" be determined on a seasonal basis?
- A. Yes. The cost of fuel and purchased power energy is significantly higher in the summer than in the winter. If the Accumulation Period is less than a full calendar year, this difference should be reflected in the determination of whether AmerenUE has over collected or under collected its fuel and purchased power energy costs during each Accumulation Period. By its nature, actual fuel and purchased power energy costs in the summer will be higher than the annual average fuel and purchased power energy cost, and, likewise, the actual fuel and purchased power energy costs in the winter will be less than the annual average. Therefore if the actual cost over the next year were the same as what was set as an annual base, accumulation periods with summer months would always show an upward adjustment was necessary and accumulation periods with winter months

would always show a downward adjustment was necessary. In some instances, without seasonal base costs, it would even be possible to calculate an under collection amount to recover from customers when in fact AmerenUE's average fuel and purchased power energy cost for the accumulation period was less than the average base fuel and purchased power energy cost for the season. These situations could be and should be avoided by seasonally differentiating the base costs. Thus, the total over recovery or under recovery in each Accumulation Period should be calculated by summing the differences between the appropriate seasonal base and actual fuel and purchased power energy costs on a (calendar) monthly basis.

Q. Why should the number of annual Accumulation Periods be reduced to two per year?

A. There are two reasons that Staff is recommending only two Accumulation Periods each year. The first is to reduce customer confusion regarding their rates by minimizing the number of additional rate changes in each year. Having three Accumulation Periods of four months each would result in three rate changes in addition to the summer-winter seasonal rate changes, totaling five per year. Staff recommends that AmerenUE be allowed only two Accumulation Periods a year — one that runs from December 1 through May 31 with the Recovery Period beginning in the October billing month (coinciding with the change to winter rates) and the other Accumulation Period being June 1 through November 30 with the Recovery Period beginning in the April billing month. Many customers are already confused by the seasonal rate changes. Their confusion should not be heightened by allowing three rate changes in addition to the current two seasonal changes. The Staff's recommended accumulation periods would

Rebuttal Testimony of James C. Watkins

only increase the number of rate changes by one because one of the adjustments is occurring on each customer's same bill as the change to winter rates.

The other reason that Staff recommends only two Accumulation Periods is the limited number of changes to coal and nuclear prices during a year. Most of AmerenUE's coal contracts change prices only once a year and typically this is on January 1. AmerenUE's uranium prices change only once every 18 months. Since the majority of AmerenUE's fuel and purchased power costs are for coal and uranium, it seems unnecessary to calculate an adjustment every four months as proposed by AmerenUE.

- Q. Should the FAC rate in effect be shown in AmerenUE's tariff?
- A. Yes. The Commission's Rule, 4 CSR 240-3.145, requires that each electric utility have on file with the Commission and available to the public a Tariff that sets forth the services offered by that utility and the rates, terms and conditions for the use of those services. Unless the various FAC rates are listed in the Tariff, customers have no way to determine whether they are being billed correctly or not. The Empire District Electric Company's (Empire's) tariff sheet showing the calculation of its current FAC rate is attached as an example of what should be required of AmerenUE.
- Q. Does Staff believe that replacement power insurance costs, replacement power insurance recoveries, and ash disposal costs and sales should not be included in the FAC?
 - A. Yes. These costs are not volatile variable fuel costs or revenues.
 - Q. Should additional information be submitted to the Staff?
 - A. Yes. The following reports should be submitted:

Rebuttal Testimony of James C. Watkins

1		1. Annual report of detailed fuel procurement hedging practices
2		2. Report to Energy Department Manager or Engineering Analysis
3		Supervisor all incidents at power plants, whether accidental or mechanical
4	:	failures, involving serious physical injury or death or property damage in
5		excess of one hundred thousand dollars. (4 CSR 240-3.190(3)(A))
6		3. Report to Energy Department Manager or Engineering Analysis
7	:	Supervisor all SO2 Allowance sales, purchases, trades, swaps, and loans.
8	,	This information is to be reported monthly, along with the information
9	1	provided in compliance with 4 CSR 240-3.161
10	Q.	Why is this information necessary?
11	A . ′	This information is required for the Staff's True-Up Audits and Prudence
12	Reviews. Thes	se Staff information needs are not covered by the current minimum filing
13	requirements,	and should the Commission grant AmerenUE use of a FAC, the
14	Commission sh	ould order AmerenUE to submit these materials.
15	Q. 1	Does this conclude your rebuttal testimony?
16	A.	Yes, it does.